WEBVTT

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:03.162 Funding for Yale Cancer Answers is NOTE Confidence: 0.800554309090909 00:00:03.162 --> 00:00:06.150 provided by Smilow Cancer Hospital. NOTE Confidence: 0.800554309090909 $00:00:06.150 \rightarrow 00:00:08.235$ Welcome to Yale Cancer Answers NOTE Confidence: 0.800554309090909 00:00:08.235 -> 00:00:09.903 with Doctor Anees Chappar. NOTE Confidence: 0.800554309090909 $00:00:09.910 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.686$ Yale Cancer Answers features the NOTE Confidence: 0.800554309090909 $00{:}00{:}11.686 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}13.431$ latest information on cancer care NOTE Confidence: 0.800554309090909 $00:00:13.431 \rightarrow 00:00:14.898$ by welcoming oncologists and NOTE Confidence: 0.800554309090909 $00:00:14.898 \rightarrow 00:00:17.022$ specialists who are on the forefront NOTE Confidence: 0.800554309090909 $00{:}00{:}17{.}022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}18{.}907$ of the battle to fight cancer. NOTE Confidence: 0.800554309090909 00:00:18.910 --> 00:00:20.914 This week it's a conversation about NOTE Confidence: 0.800554309090909 $00{:}00{:}20{.}914 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}22{.}742$ the field of classical hematology NOTE Confidence: 0.800554309090909 $00:00:22.742 \longrightarrow 00:00:24.450$ with Doctor George Goshua. NOTE Confidence: 0.800554309090909 $00:00:24.450 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.592$ Dr Goshua is an assistant professor NOTE Confidence: 0.800554309090909 00:00:26.592 --> 00:00:28.380 of medicine and hematology at NOTE Confidence: 0.800554309090909 00:00:28.380 --> 00:00:29.865 the Yale School of Medicine,

 $00:00:29.870 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.640$ where Doctor Chagpar is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.800554309090909

 $00{:}00{:}31.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}33.056$ professor of surgical on cology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908400801818182

00:00:34.180 -> 00:00:35.888 George, maybe we can start off by

NOTE Confidence: 0.908400801818182

 $00:00:35.888 \rightarrow 00:00:37.628$ you telling us a little bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.908400801818182

 $00:00:37.628 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.470$ about yourself and what it is you do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871379075714286

 $00:00:39.600 \dashrightarrow 00:00:41.518$ Of course, it would be my pleasure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871379075714286

00:00:41.520 --> 00:00:44.575 I am a classical hematologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.871379075714286

 $00:00:44.575 \rightarrow 00:00:46.608$ by training and methodologically

NOTE Confidence: 0.871379075714286

00:00:46.608 --> 00:00:48.628 I'm trained in decision science,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871379075714286

 $00{:}00{:}48.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}50.658$ so I'm also a decision scientist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871379075714286

 $00:00:50.660 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.488$ And on faculty here at Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.871379075714286

00:00:53.488 --> 00:00:55.480 University School of Medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871379075714286

 $00{:}00{:}55{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}56{.}720$ I run the Goshua lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871379075714286

 $00:00:56.720 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.164$ which is a quantitative decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.871379075714286

00:00:59.164 --> 00:01:00.997 analytic modeling lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871379075714286

 $00:01:01.000 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.412$ the first in the country to

- NOTE Confidence: 0.871379075714286
- $00{:}01{:}03{.}412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}05{.}020$ focus on classical hematology.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.871379075714286
- $00:01:05.020 \rightarrow 00:01:07.204$ And I have the privilege of working
- NOTE Confidence: 0.871379075714286
- 00:01:07.204 --> 00:01:08.296 with undergraduate students,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.871379075714286
- $00:01:08.300 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.110$ graduate students at the School
- NOTE Confidence: 0.871379075714286
- 00:01:10.110 --> 00:01:11.196 of Public Health,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.871379075714286
- 00:01:11.200 --> 00:01:13.928 the School of Medicine,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.871379075714286
- $00:01:13.930 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.860$ and beyond.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92811733375
- 00:01:14.950 --> 00:01:18.686 Many of us have heard about hematology,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92811733375
- $00:01:18.690 \rightarrow 00:01:22.170$ but what exactly is classical hematology?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92811733375
- $00:01:22.170 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.830$ It seems to remind me about classical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92811733375
- $00:01:24.830 \rightarrow 00:01:27.450$ music as opposed to music in general.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92811733375
- $00{:}01{:}27{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}30{.}922$ So tell us more about what exactly is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92811733375
- $00:01:30.922 \dashrightarrow 00:01:33.509$ classical hematology and how that varies NOTE Confidence: 0.92811733375
- $00{:}01{:}33.509 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}35.975$ from all other forms of hematology.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143
- $00:01:36.650 \dashrightarrow 00:01:39.597$ I'm really glad you asked that question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:01:39.600 \rightarrow 00:01:42.568$ And that's because the field has really

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:01:42.568 \rightarrow 00:01:45.737$ struggled with its name until very recently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

00:01:45.740 --> 00:01:48.800 The American Society of Hematology

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

00:01:48.800 --> 00:01:52.838 has put forward a campaign to

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00{:}01{:}52{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}55{.}540$ unify the field and call

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

00:01:55.540 --> 00:01:57.160 it classical hematology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:01:57.160 \dashrightarrow 00:01:59.688$ And the way that it differs from other

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:01:59.688 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.353$ hematology is that we take care of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00{:}02{:}02{:}02{:}353 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}04{.}340$ with non cancerous blood disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:02:04.340 \dashrightarrow 00:02:06.772$ And the reason why the naming matters in

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00{:}02{:}06.772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}09.125$ particular is the other names for the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00{:}02{:}09{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}11{.}120$ There's two. There is non

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

00:02:11.120 --> 00:02:11.916 malignant hematology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00{:}02{:}11{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}15{.}586$ so non cancerous and then benign

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:02:15.586 \rightarrow 00:02:18.490$ hematology which is quite common and

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:02:18.490 \rightarrow 00:02:20.780$ that latter term is particularly problematic

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:02:23.864 \rightarrow 00:02:27.379$ because as we probably will discuss here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:02:27.380 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.080$ a lot of our patients have

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:02:30.080 \rightarrow 00:02:32.021$ life altering diseases that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:02:32.021 \rightarrow 00:02:35.269$ have to live with and in some cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:02:35.270 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.042$ very deadly diseases that can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:02:38.042 \rightarrow 00:02:39.910$ deadly without appropriate treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00{:}02{:}39{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}41{.}982$ And so for that reason there has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:02:41.982 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.185$ also a lot of frustration on our

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:02:44.185 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.197$ patients part with regards to being

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:02:46.197 \rightarrow 00:02:48.165$ labeled as quote unquote benign.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00{:}02{:}48.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}50.322$ And so for that reason the field has

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:02:50.322 \rightarrow 00:02:52.366$ moved forward now just this year

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:02:52.366 \dashrightarrow 00:02:53.818$ actually with classical hematology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860865417777778

00:02:54.190 --> 00:02:56.710 So give us some examples of

NOTE Confidence: 0.860865417777778

 $00:02:56.710 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.730$ some of the not malignant

 $00:03:00.730 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.820$ hematologic disorders that you treat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

 $00{:}03{:}03{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}05{.}140$ Of course, there's a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

 $00:03:05.140 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.930$ of rare diseases in here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

 $00:03:06.930 \dashrightarrow 00:03:09.338$ but there's also less rare diseases too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

00:03:09.340 --> 00:03:11.426 And so maybe I'll start with diseases

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

 $00{:}03{:}11.426$ --> $00{:}03{:}13.588$ that folks might be more familiar with, NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

 $00{:}03{:}13.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}15.406$ even though some of them are still rare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

 $00:03:15.410 \rightarrow 00:03:17.563$ Sickle cell disease in particular, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

 $00{:}03{:}17.563 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}19.747$ I think a lot of us know individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

 $00{:}03{:}19.747 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}21.829$ who live with sickle cell disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

 $00:03:21.830 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.888$ But then as we move forward,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

 $00{:}03{:}23.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}26.590$ think about all of your

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

00:03:26.590 --> 00:03:28.210 auto immune conditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

 $00:03:28.210 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.065$ so conditions where the immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

 $00{:}03{:}30.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}31.178$ system is dys regulated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

 $00:03:31.180 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.260$ And then that causes derangements

- NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113
- $00{:}03{:}33{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}34{.}924$ in the blood parameters.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113
- $00{:}03{:}34{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}38{.}050$ And so these are diseases in the realm of one
- NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113
- $00{:}03{:}38{.}119 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}41{.}170$ to three in a million in terms of incidence.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113
- $00:03:41.170 \dashrightarrow 00:03:43.902$ And examples include paroxysmal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113
- 00:03:43.902 --> 00:03:45.268 nocturnal hemoglobinuria,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113
- 00:03:45.270 --> 00:03:48.526 immune thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113
- $00:03:48.526 \dashrightarrow 00:03:50.968$ chronic immune thrombocytopenia,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113
- $00:03:50.970 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.314$ porphyrias.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113
- $00:03:51.314 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.034$ And then when you think
- NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113
- $00:03:53.034 \rightarrow 00:03:54.410$ about more common things,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113
- $00{:}03{:}54{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}56{.}985$ venous throm boembolism which affects hundreds
- NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113
- $00{:}03{:}56{.}985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}59{.}970$ of thousands of Americans every year,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113
- 00:03:59.970 --> 00:04:01.800 iron deficiency anemia
- NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113
- $00{:}04{:}01{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}04{.}840$ which affects a lot of our men and women,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113
- $00{:}04{:}04{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}06{.}220$ and in particular pregnant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

 $00:04:06.220 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.080$ women as well in this country.

 $00:04:08.381 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.187$ So that's a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

00:04:10.187 --> 00:04:12.353 of a sampling of the more rare

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

 $00:04:12.353 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.848$ and then the more common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847119621818182

 $00:04:15.360 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.456$ It really seems to be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.847119621818182

 $00:04:18.456 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.510$ wide spectrum of disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847119621818182

 $00:04:21.510 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.334$ And is the only linkage

NOTE Confidence: 0.847119621818182

 $00:04:23.334 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.256$ between all of them that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.847119621818182

 $00:04:25.256 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.158$ have to have something to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.847119621818182

 $00:04:27.158 \rightarrow 00:04:29.159$ with blood and blood disorders?

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

 $00:04:29.370 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.589$ I think that's very fair to say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

 $00:04:31.590 \rightarrow 00:04:34.887$ Yeah, it's interesting because at least in

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

 $00:04:34.887 \dashrightarrow 00:04:39.097$ the case of let's say autoimmune disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

 $00:04:39.100 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.900$ Sometimes in some of them if

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

00:04:41.900 --> 00:04:43.400 you want to think about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

 $00{:}04{:}43{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}45{.}812$ this is how I think about it with my

 $00:04:45.812 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.603$ patients when we talk together

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

 $00{:}04{:}47{.}603 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}49{.}838$ in clinic, you can think about it as

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

 $00:04:49.840 \dashrightarrow 00:04:51.700$ the disease spilling over into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

 $00:04:51.700 \rightarrow 00:04:54.039$ blood and the blood is very sensitive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

 $00{:}04{:}54{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}56{.}404$ We have multiple cell lines

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

 $00{:}04{:}56{.}404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}57{.}980$ that can be affected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

 $00:04:57.980 \dashrightarrow 00:05:00.215$ We have multiple proteins floating

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

 $00{:}05{:}00{.}215 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}03{.}000$ in there and our immune system

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

 $00:05:03.000 \dashrightarrow 00:05:05.436$ that has been so finely tuned over

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

 $00{:}05{:}05{.}436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}07{.}590$ over millennia and any of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

 $00{:}05{:}07{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}09{.}315$ parameters can be thrown off.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

 $00:05:09.320 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.184$ And so I think it's very fair to

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

 $00:05:11.184 \dashrightarrow 00:05:13.195$ say that the commonality here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

00:05:13.195 --> 00:05:15.045 that there's some underlying issue

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

 $00:05:15.045 \rightarrow 00:05:17.189$ that's happening to one of or more

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

 $00{:}05{:}17.189 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}18.950$ of those parameters in the blood.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.820449326
- $00{:}05{:}19{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}22{.}778$ Because it also seems that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820449326
- $00{:}05{:}22.778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}25.412$ when you're thinking about things as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820449326
- 00:05:25.412 --> 00:05:30.530 diverse as ITP versus sickle cell,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820449326
- $00:05:30.530 \rightarrow 00:05:33.710$ anemia versus thromboembolism,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820449326
- $00:05:33.710 \dashrightarrow 00:05:36.350$ the treatments are very different.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820449326
- $00{:}05{:}36{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}39{.}530$ The patient populations are very different.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820449326
- $00:05:39.530 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.564$ Even the blood cells that are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.820449326
- $00:05:41.564 \rightarrow 00:05:42.920$ affected are very different.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8878559425
- 00:05:44.070 --> 00:05:45.987 That's exactly correct.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8878559425
- $00{:}05{:}45{.}987 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}49{.}182$ There's a beautiful diversity and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8878559425
- $00:05:49.182 \rightarrow 00:05:51.370$ heterogeneity within the field.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8878559425
- $00{:}05{:}51{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}54{.}280$ There are classical hematologists who
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8878559425
- $00:05:54.280 \rightarrow 00:05:56.250$ particularly focus or sub-specialize
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8878559425
- $00{:}05{:}56{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}58{.}250$ further even within that field.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8878559425
- $00:05:58.250 \rightarrow 00:06:00.049$ That is part of the reason why,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8878559425

 $00:06:00.049 \rightarrow 00:06:01.809$ because there is such a diversity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8878559425

 $00:06:01.810 \dashrightarrow 00:06:04.090$ And then there are other classical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8878559425

00:06:04.090 --> 00:06:06.010 hematologists who are more generalists

NOTE Confidence: 0.8878559425

 $00{:}06{:}06{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}08{.}698$ as they would be in any specialty

NOTE Confidence: 0.8878559425

 $00{:}06{:}08{.}698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}10{.}853$ that kind of see the full spectrum

NOTE Confidence: 0.8878559425

 $00{:}06{:}10.853 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}12.850$ and then if there are complications

NOTE Confidence: 0.8878559425

 $00:06:12.850 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.490$ or there's a particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8878559425

00:06:14.490 --> 00:06:16.095 high risk situation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8878559425

 $00:06:16.095 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.700$ in those circumstances,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8878559425

 $00:06:17.700 \rightarrow 00:06:21.820$ they will often refer to a tertiary

NOTE Confidence: 0.8878559425

 $00{:}06{:}21.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}24.120$ academic Center for further evaluation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866562610714286

00:06:24.920 --> 00:06:28.408 George, many of us may

NOTE Confidence: 0.866562610714286

 $00{:}06{:}28{.}408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}31{.}140$ be familiar with some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.866562610714286

 $00:06:31.140 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.220$ blood disorders that you mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866562610714286

 $00{:}06{:}33.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}35.842$ but you also mentioned that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.866562610714286

 $00:06:35.842 \dashrightarrow 00:06:38.794$ have a laboratory that focuses on

- NOTE Confidence: 0.866562610714286
- $00:06:38.794 \rightarrow 00:06:41.030$ quantitative modeling and decision
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866562610714286
- 00:06:41.030 00:06:44.238 analytics that seems to be very
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866562610714286
- $00:06:44.238 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.372$ different from what we would
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866562610714286
- $00:06:46.372 \rightarrow 00:06:48.856$ normally think of as a hematologist.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866562610714286
- $00{:}06{:}48.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}52.038$ Tell us more about how those two
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866562610714286
- $00{:}06{:}52.038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}54.449$ areas of interest and expertise
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866562610714286
- $00:06:54.449 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.844$ kind of merged for you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273
- $00:06:58.440 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.224$ Well, I think it has a lot to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273
- $00{:}07{:}00{.}224 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}02{.}308$ do with advocacy. By definition,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273
- $00:07:02.308 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.420$ a lot of our diseases are rare in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273
- $00:07:06.535 \rightarrow 00:07:08.564$ our field all across the spectrum.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273
- $00:07:08.564 \rightarrow 00:07:10.160$ When you combine them all together,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273
- 00:07:10.160 --> 00:07:12.445 you really get very
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273
- $00{:}07{:}12.445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}14.273$ significant numbers of individuals.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273
- $00:07:14.280 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.600$ But within each bin,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

00:07:15.600 - 00:07:18.519 if we want to think about it that way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00{:}07{:}18.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}21.257$ some of the diseases are particularly rare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

00:07:21.260 $-\!\!>$ 00:07:23.609 And it is for that reason that you start

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00{:}07{:}23.609 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}25.832$ to think more and more about decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00{:}07{:}25.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}28.678$ making in an area where there are a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00{:}07{:}28.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}31.704$ diseases that are rare and in an

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:07:31.704 \rightarrow 00:07:34.730$ area where there are, let's say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

00:07:34.730 --> 00:07:37.930 less prospective randomized clinical trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:07:37.930 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.580$ perhaps more of a dependence

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

00:07:41.580 --> 00:07:43.770 on observational data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:07:43.770 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.994$ you start to think about trying to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:07:46.994 \dashrightarrow 00:07:49.371$ decisions with your patients in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:07:49.371 \rightarrow 00:07:52.510$ clinic and in the hospital in some cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:07:52.510 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.408$ some of which have very significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:07:55.408 \rightarrow 00:07:58.789$ consequences or can have very significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:07:58.790 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.799$ consequences on the rest of their lives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00{:}08{:}00{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}03{.}940$ We use strong immunosuppressive agents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:08:03.940 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.328$ We use anticoagulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:08:06.328 \rightarrow 00:08:09.225$ blood thinners that can predispose

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

00:08:09.225 --> 00:08:11.400 people if using correctly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:08:11.400 \rightarrow 00:08:16.930$ unnecessarily to a risk of bleeding and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:08:16.930 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.675$ it feels very natural to try and

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:08:20.680 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.084$ quantitatively try to approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00{:}08{:}23.084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}27.389$ these decisions and put them in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:08:27.389 \rightarrow 00:08:30.379$ framework that matters to patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:08:30.380 \longrightarrow 00:08:31.364$ to physicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:08:31.364 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.808$ to payers and then try to push

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:08:34.808 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.919$ the care of patients forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00{:}08{:}37{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}40{.}224$ And decision science is really nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:08:40.224 \rightarrow 00:08:42.599$ because one of the very wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00{:}08{:}42.599 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}44.903$ and unique things about it is

 $00:08:44.903 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.879$ it's very explicit in its

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:08:46.880 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.766$ measurement and reporting of uncertainty and

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:08:49.766 \rightarrow 00:08:53.316$ so any decision that we make in our lives,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:08:53.320 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.063$ anytime you think of a trade off

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00{:}08{:}55{.}063 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}56{.}925$ and I think about trade-offs all

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00{:}08{:}56{.}925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}59{.}037$ of the time, decision scientists do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:08:59.040 \rightarrow 00:09:01.150$ but everyone does beyond decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:09:01.150 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.994$ scientists too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

00:09:02.000 --> 00:09:02.322 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:09:02.322 \rightarrow 00:09:04.576$ It doesn't have to apply to medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

00:09:04.576 --> 00:09:06.767 every time you think of a trade off.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:09:06.770 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.410$ And the downstream effects thereof,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00{:}09{:}10.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}12.498$ all of that can be captured and that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:09:12.498 \rightarrow 00:09:14.253$ the really exciting part because I

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:09:14.253 \rightarrow 00:09:16.362$ think we have an opportunity to move

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

00:09:16.362 --> 00:09:18.682 the care of these patients forward and help improve

- NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273
- 00:09:19.165 --> 00:09:22.015 the areas of our health system,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273
- $00:09:22.020 \rightarrow 00:09:24.309$ and there are many that need improvement.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913294190333333
- $00:09:26.000 \dashrightarrow 00:09:28.688$ And so it sounds like you know this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913294190333333
- $00:09:28.688 \dashrightarrow 00:09:30.845$ whole area of decision science
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913294190333333
- 00:09:30.845 00:09:32.717 would have broad applicability
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913294190333333
- $00:09:32.717 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.562$ to all fields of medicine really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913294190333333
- $00:09:35.562 \rightarrow 00:09:38.286$ where we're balancing as you say
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913294190333333
- $00:09:38.286 \rightarrow 00:09:40.672$ trade-offs between risks and benefits
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913294190333333
- $00{:}09{:}40.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}43.570$ and how each patient might value
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913294190333333
- $00:09:43.656 \rightarrow 00:09:46.356$ each of those things differently.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913294190333333
- 00:09:46.360 --> 00:09:49.648 Talk a little bit more about kind of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913294190333333
- $00:09:49.648 \rightarrow 00:09:52.814$ the practical examples of how you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913294190333333
- 00:09:52.814 --> 00:09:55.900 applied decision science in your clinical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913294190333333
- $00:09:55.900 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.480$ endeavors.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00{:}09{:}58.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}02.570$ Of course. We'll start with an
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

- $00:10:02.570 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.133$ earlier example
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00:10:05.133 \rightarrow 00:10:08.346$ and then I'll work my way forward.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00{:}10{:}08{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}10{.}966$ So any time you think of a decision problem,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- 00:10:10.970 --> 00:10:12.698 and you think of trade-offs,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00{:}10{:}12{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}14{.}850$ you want to be able to make sure that you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00:10:14.911 \rightarrow 00:10:17.062$ have it laid out clearly in front of you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- 00:10:17.070 --> 00:10:20.398 And so I'm going to use a very
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00:10:20.398 \rightarrow 00:10:22.454$ interesting problem because it employs
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- 00:10:22.454 --> 00:10:24.902 3 different strategies in a disease
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00:10:24.902 \rightarrow 00:10:27.348$ where your platelet counts are low,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00:10:27.350 \longrightarrow 00:10:28.661$ chronic immune thrombocytopenia.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- 00:10:28.661 --> 00:10:31.283 When your platelet counts are low,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- 00:10:31.290 --> 00:10:33.467 you're at an increased risk of bleeding.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00:10:33.470 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.290$ And for that reason
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00:10:36.290 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.170$ there are treatment options and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00:10:38.170 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.537$ treatments that we do pursue for

- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- 00:10:40.537 --> 00:10:42.637 individuals whose platelet
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00{:}10{:}42.637 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}44.681$ counts are particularly low because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- 00:10:44.681 > 00:10:47.073 we don't want them to have a bleed,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00:10:47.080 \rightarrow 00:10:49.696$ especially if it's a bleed in the head,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00:10:49.700 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.860$ sometimes a bleed in the gut,
- $00:10:52.628 \rightarrow 00:10:54.164$ the bleeding can really happen anywhere,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00:10:54.170 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.837$ but there are certain higher risk areas.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- 00:10:56.840 --> 00:10:59.336 And so in thinking through that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00:10:59.340 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.116$ by the time an individual has,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00:11:01.120 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.016$ let's say,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00{:}11{:}02.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}04.256$ a diagnosis of immune thrombocytopenia,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00:11:04.260 \rightarrow 00:11:05.814$ by the time they reach 12 months,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00{:}11{:}05{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}07{.}060$ it's defined as chronic.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00:11:07.060 \rightarrow 00:11:09.221$ It's done that way because there's a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00:11:09.221 \rightarrow 00:11:11.197$ subset of individuals who will never go on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- 00:11:11.197 --> 00:11:13.597 to develop chronic immune thrombocytopenia.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- 00:11:13.600 --> 00:11:15.810 Their platelet counts will improve,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00:11:15.810 \rightarrow 00:11:16.966$ sometimes even spontaneously and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00:11:16.966 \rightarrow 00:11:19.210$ sometimes with a little bit of treatment,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00:11:19.210 \rightarrow 00:11:22.090$ and they will no longer need any treatment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00{:}11{:}22.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}23.980$ But for the vast majority of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00:11:23.980 \rightarrow 00:11:26.192$ individuals who do get to the stage
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- 00:11:26.192 --> 00:11:28.264 of having one year of this disease,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00:11:28.270 \rightarrow 00:11:31.358$ now they have a chronic disease and within
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00:11:31.358 \rightarrow 00:11:34.999$ we know the Natural History of that disease
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00:11:35.000 \rightarrow 00:11:35.840$ at that point,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00:11:35.840 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.240$ it's much less likely that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00:11:37.240 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.559$ it's going to dissipate.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00{:}11{:}38{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}40{.}192$ And so often these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00{:}11{:}40{.}192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}41{.}416$ individuals need treatment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00{:}11{:}41{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}43{.}020$ And so the treatment decision
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:11:43.020 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.980$ here is fascinating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00{:}11{:}43{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}46{.}409$ And this is 1 classic example where

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00{:}11{:}46{.}409 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}48{.}171$ a randomized control trial will

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:11:48.171 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.139$ never be done for reasons that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00{:}11{:}50{.}139 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}51{.}980$ will become clear in a moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00{:}11{:}51{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}55{.}300$ And that is the fact that our treatment NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:11:55.300 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.640$ options include three options here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00{:}11{:}57.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}59.986$ And they include a surgical approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

00:11:59.986 --> 00:12:02.358 splenectomy to try and remove the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00{:}12{:}02{.}358 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}04{.}934$ spleen and remove a site of production.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00{:}12{:}04{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}07{.}684$ Of all of these auto antibodies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00{:}12{:}07.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}10.797$ are in part driving the disease process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:12:10.800 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.094$ And we know that about 60% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:12:13.094 \rightarrow 00:12:15.656$ individuals will then never have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00{:}12{:}15.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}19.200$ think or worry about this disease again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:12:19.200 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.668$ At the same time,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00{:}12{:}20.668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}22.136$ splenectomy carries the risks
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00:12:22.136 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.038$ of infection that are lifelong.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00:12:24.040 \rightarrow 00:12:25.560$ Although they are time variant,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00:12:25.560 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.380$ they change over time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00{:}12{:}27{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}30{.}396$ It carries a risk of developing a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00:12:30.396 \rightarrow 00:12:32.236$ blood clot overtime going forward
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00{:}12{:}32{.}236 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}34{.}470$ and that's also time variant that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00{:}12{:}34{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}35{.}538$ changes with time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- 00:12:35.540 --> 00:12:38.030 And separately anytime you perform surgery
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00:12:38.030 \rightarrow 00:12:41.478$ there is a risk of having complications.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00:12:41.480 \rightarrow 00:12:44.966$ And even deaths from the surgery itself.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00{:}12{:}44{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}47{.}147$ And so you think about a strategy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00{:}12{:}47{.}147 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}49{.}341$ like that versus thinking about the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00{:}12{:}49{.}341 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}51{.}326$ two other options which include
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335
- $00:12:51.330 \rightarrow 00:12:52.593$ thrombopoietin receptor agonists,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:12:52.593 \rightarrow 00:12:54.277$ which are these therapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:12:54.277 \rightarrow 00:12:56.290$ that are taken chronically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:12:56.290 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.538$ either intravenously or by

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00{:}12{:}58{.}538 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}02{.}050$ mouth as tablets and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00{:}13{:}02{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}04{.}145$ technically have been studied going

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00{:}13{:}04{.}145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}06{.}240$ forward and thinking about using

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:13:06.308 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.527$ them for a prolonged period of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667

 $00:13:08.530 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.095$ so not just a few weeks or a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00{:}13{:}11.095 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}13.289$ months with the idea being that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667

 $00:13:13.290 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.862$ you might have to be on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667

 $00:13:14.862 \rightarrow 00:13:15.648$ this therapy lifelong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667

 $00:13:15.650 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.074$ There are certain very

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

00:13:17.074 --> 00:13:18.498 expensive costs of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667

 $00:13:18.500 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.910$ that accrue with this therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00{:}13{:}19{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}23{.}206$ both to the health system and to patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667

 $00:13:23.210 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.622$ And about 1/3 of patients at a median of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667

 $00:13:25.622 \rightarrow 00:13:28.450 \ 2 \ 1/2$ years can come off of the rapy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667

 $00:13:28.450 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.738$ probably be successful

NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667

 $00:13:30.740 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.420$ though we don't have enough follow

NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667

 $00:13:32.420 \rightarrow 00:13:34.697$ up time to know for sure and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667

 $00:13:34.697 \rightarrow 00:13:36.341$ separate from that in the last third

 $00{:}13{:}38{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}40{.}515$ is an immunosuppressive agent called

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:13:40.515 \rightarrow 00:13:42.720$ Rituximab that depletes those cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667

 $00{:}13{:}42.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}44.875$ that produce those troublesome auto

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00{:}13{:}44.875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}46.960$ antibodies and you have response

NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667

 $00:13:47.024 \rightarrow 00:13:48.930$ in about 50% of individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667

 $00:13:48.930 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.270$ at about a year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667

 $00{:}13{:}51{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}53{.}916$ And then that response starts to degrade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667

00:13:53.920 --> 00:13:55.480 it starts to decrease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667

 $00{:}13{:}55{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}57{.}430$ and people will have relapses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667

 $00:13:57.430 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.810$ And so if you can imagine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667

 $00:13:58.810 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.290$ you have these three options.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667
- 00:14:00.290 --> 00:14:01.481 But in truth,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667
- $00{:}14{:}01{.}481 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}03{.}863$ you can also sequence these options.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667
- $00:14:03.870 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.656$ And if you look at the American
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667
- 00:14:06.656 --> 00:14:08.760 Society of Hematology guidelines,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667
- $00:14:08.760 \rightarrow 00:14:10.380$ there's this inherent struggle with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667
- $00{:}14{:}10{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}12{.}738$ how do you actually rank these options
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667
- $00:14:12.738 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.778$ when they have not been compared
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667
- 00:14:14.778 --> 00:14:17.058 head-to-head and who is going to be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667
- $00:14:17.058 \rightarrow 00:14:18.598$ randomizing people to receive surgery,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667
- $00:14:18.600 \rightarrow 00:14:19.965$ splenectomy versus not,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667
- $00:14:19.965 \rightarrow 00:14:22.240$ that's not going to happen.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667
- $00{:}14{:}22{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}24{.}994$ But we do have 20 years of follow-up data
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667
- $00:14:24.994 \rightarrow 00:14:28.038$ with this modality with surgery specifically.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667
- $00{:}14{:}28{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}29{.}436$ And in the clinics
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667
- $00:14:29.436 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.719$ we can see that over the last 20 years,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:14:32.720 \rightarrow 00:14:35.294$ the utilization of surgery has significantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667

 $00:14:35.294 \rightarrow 00:14:38.698$ gone down in part because of these newer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:14:38.700 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.224$ more expensive therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667

 $00:14:40.224 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.681$ not because

NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667

 $00{:}14{:}41.681 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}44.768$ a splenectomy is not an effective option.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:14:44.770 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.018$ And so that is a perfect setup then and NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:14:49.018 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.274$ framework to start thinking about how

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:14:51.274 \rightarrow 00:14:54.048$ do we actually accurately model this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00{:}14{:}54.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}55.730$ how do we show what the benefit is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667

 $00{:}14{:}55{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}57{.}686$ on a population level and then can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667

 $00:14:57.686 \rightarrow 00:14:59.710$ we also make it covariate specific?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667

 $00{:}14{:}59{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}01{.}678$ Meaning if you look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667

00:15:01.678 --> 00:15:02.334 specific comorbidities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667

 $00:15:02.340 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.122$ IE the diseases that the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667

 $00{:}15{:}04{.}122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}05{.}631$ have and their likeliness to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667

 $00:15:05.631 \rightarrow 00:15:07.227$ respond to one of these therapies,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667
- $00:15:07.230 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.036$ can we build that in further than
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667
- $00{:}15{:}09{.}036 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}11{.}630$ to try and make it an individualized
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9166809306666667
- $00:15:11.630 \rightarrow 00:15:13.820$ personalized treatment decision for them?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81267582
- 00:15:14.580 --> 00:15:16.880 We'll pick up that conversation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81267582
- $00{:}15{:}16.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}18.581$ but first we need to take a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81267582
- $00{:}15{:}18{.}581 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}20{.}339$ short break for a medical minute.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81267582
- $00:15:20.340 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.189$ Please stay tuned to learn more about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81267582
- $00{:}15{:}23.189 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}25.060$ classical hematology with my guest,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81267582
- 00:15:25.060 --> 00:15:26.470 Doctor George Goshua.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533
- 00:15:26.960 --> 00:15:28.980 Funding for Yale Cancer Answers
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533
- $00{:}15{:}28{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}31{.}000$ comes from Smilow Cancer Hospital,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533
- $00{:}15{:}31.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}33.170$ where their Center for Gastro intestinal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533
- $00{:}15{:}33{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}34{.}906$ Cancers provides patients with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533
- $00{:}15{:}34{.}906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}36{.}738$ gastric cancers a comprehensive,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533
- $00:15:36.740 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.880$ multidisciplinary approach to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00:15:37.880 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.780$ the treatment of their cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00{:}15{:}39{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}42{.}540$ including clinical trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

00:15:42.540 --> 00:15:45.780 Smilowcancerhospital.org.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00:15:45.780 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.085$ Over 230,000 Americans will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00{:}15{:}48.085 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}50.840$ diagnosed with lung cancer this year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00{:}15{:}50{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}52{.}515$ and in Connecticut alone there

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00{:}15{:}52{.}515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}55{.}092$ will be over 2700 new cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00:15:55.092 \rightarrow 00:15:57.772$ More than 85% of lung cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00{:}15{:}57{.}772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}59{.}812$ diagnosis are related to smoking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00:15:59.820 \rightarrow 00:16:02.298$ and quitting even after decades of use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00:16:02.300 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.405$ can significantly reduce your risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

00:16:04.405 --> 00:16:06.840 of developing lung cancer each day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

00:16:06.840 --> 00:16:09.156 Patients with lung cancer are surviving

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00{:}16{:}09{.}156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}11.601$ thanks to increased access to advanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00:16:11.601 \dashrightarrow 00:16:13.277$ the rapies and specialized care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00:16:13.280 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.648$ New treatment options and

- NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533
- 00:16:14.648 --> 00:16:16.016 surgical techniques are giving

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

00:16:16.020 --> 00:16:17.610 lung cancer survivors more hope

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00:16:17.610 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.670$ than they have ever had before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00:16:19.670 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.215$ Clinical trials are currently underway

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00{:}16{:}22{.}215 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}24{.}251$ at federally designated Comprehensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00{:}16{:}24{.}251 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}26{.}392$ cancer centers such as the battle

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00{:}16{:}26{.}392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}28{.}645$ two trial at Yale Cancer Center and

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00{:}16{:}28.645 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}30.836$ Smilow Cancer Hospital to learn if a

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

00:16:30.836 --> 00:16:33.210 drug or combination of drugs based

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00{:}16{:}33{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}35{.}708$ on personal biomarkers can help to

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00{:}16{:}35{.}708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}37{.}910$ control non small cell lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

00:16:37.910 --> 00:16:40.294 More information is available

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00{:}16{:}40.294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}41.311$ at yale cancercenter.org.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

00:16:41.311 --> 00:16:43.837 You're listening to Connecticut public radio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333

 $00{:}16{:}44.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}46.798$ Welcome back to Yale Cancer Answers.

00:16:46.800 --> 00:16:48.380 This is doctor Anees Chagpar

NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333

00:16:48.380 --> 00:16:50.515 and I'm joined tonight by my guest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333

 $00:16:50.520 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.753$ Doctor George Goshua.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333

 $00:16:51.753 \rightarrow 00:16:54.630$ We're talking about the field of classical

NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333

00:16:54.699 --> 00:16:56.819 hematology and more specifically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333

00:16:56.820 --> 00:16:59.440 Doctor Goshua has a special

NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333

 $00:16:59.440 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.536$ expertise in decision science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333

 $00:17:01.540 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.200$ And right before the break,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333

 $00:17:03.200 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.053$ he was starting to tell us about how he

NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333

 $00{:}17{:}06.053 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}08.718$ brings decision science into the clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333

00:17:08.720 --> 00:17:10.890 So George, maybe you can pick up

NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333

 $00{:}17{:}10.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}12.500$ the conversation where we left it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333

00:17:12.500 --> 00:17:14.380 So as I understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333

 $00{:}17{:}14.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}18.545$ we were talking about ITP and how there

NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333

 $00{:}17{:}18.545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}22.283$ are three different options for treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333

 $00:17:22.290 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.678$ surgical versus non surgical

- NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333
- $00:17:24.678 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.663$ and these can be sequenced.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333
- $00{:}17{:}27.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}30.058$ We really don't have a lot
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333
- 00:17:30.058 --> 00:17:31.650 of clinical trial data,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333
- 00:17:31.650 -> 00:17:34.608 but you were about to tell us kind
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333
- $00{:}17{:}34.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}37.236$ of how you use decision analytics
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769
- $00{:}17{:}37{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}40.698$ as we come back to this decision of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769
- $00:17:40.698 \rightarrow 00:17:43.350$ splenectomy versus the medication options.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769
- $00:17:43.350 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.974$ We know what the data
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769
- $00:17:45.974 \rightarrow 00:17:48.501$ looks like at least observationally
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769
- $00:17:48.501 \rightarrow 00:17:50.020$ for splenectomy, right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769
- $00:17:50.020 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.620$ We know it's risk profile.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769
- $00:17:51.620 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.292$ We know that over the last 20 years
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769
- $00{:}17{:}54{.}292 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}56{.}826$ we've kind of moved away from it and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769
- $00{:}17{:}56.826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}59.617$ I think in some ways for good reason.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769
- $00{:}17{:}59.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}01.830$ But the question then becomes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:18:01.830 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.040$ what is that good reason,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00{:}18{:}04{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}06{.}427$ the good reason being that it's often

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00{:}18{:}06{.}427 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}09{.}019$ assumed I think by us as physicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:18:09.019 \rightarrow 00:18:11.263$ that our patients prefer therapies and

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:18:11.328 \dashrightarrow 00:18:13.648$ the rapeutics that are less invasive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:18:13.650 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.290$ And more often than not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:18:15.290 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.414$ that is correct.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00{:}18{:}17{.}414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}20{.}954$ But sometimes there are circumstances

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:18:20.954 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.682$ where patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:18:22.682 \rightarrow 00:18:23.930$ their values and preferences

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:18:23.930 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.178$ of course are paramount.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:18:25.180 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.805$ And so sometimes there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

00:18:26.805 --> 00:18:28.105 circumstances where you actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:18:28.105 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.202$ will have an individual who is

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:18:30.202 \rightarrow 00:18:31.578$ interested in pursuing splenectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:18:31.580 \rightarrow 00:18:33.060$ In this particular context,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769
- $00:18:33.060 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.280$ but will not because of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769
- $00:18:35.347 \rightarrow 00:18:37.227$ counseling that they receive.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769
- $00:18:37.230 \rightarrow 00:18:39.982$ And so we wanted to take a very
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769
- $00:18:39.982 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.473$ objective look at this and to model
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769
- $00:18:42.473 \rightarrow 00:18:44.650$ what would your life look like,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769
- 00:18:44.650 --> 00:18:45.272 you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769
- $00:18:45.272 \rightarrow 00:18:47.449$ if you can simulate a thousands of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769
- $00{:}18{:}47{.}449 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}49{.}815$ times making one decision or another
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769
- 00:18:49.815 --> 00:18:51.805 decision or yet another decision.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769
- $00:18:51.810 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.886$ And that is the beauty of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769
- $00:18:53.886 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.924$ decision analytic modeling.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769
- $00:18:54.930 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.628$ It allows us to quantify that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769
- $00{:}18{:}56{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}59{.}297$ It allows us to run those simulations
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769
- $00{:}18{:}59{.}297 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}02{.}269$ to make sure that we have addressed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769
- $00:19:02.270 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.100$ all of the concerns and so
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:19:04.100 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.320$ putting that all together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:19:05.320 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.140$ what we showed was that

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:19:08.140 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.928$ utilizing splenectomy early is

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:19:09.928 \rightarrow 00:19:12.610$ absolutely fine and in fact the

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00{:}19{:}12.683 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}14.975$ quality adjusted life years that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

00:19:14.975 --> 00:19:18.249 accrue if you as the patient make a NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:19:18.249 \rightarrow 00:19:20.339$ decision to pursue splenectomy at

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00{:}19{:}20{.}339 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}22{.}430$ least on a population level that

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

00:19:22.430 --> 00:19:25.703 is just as fine of a decision as

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:19:25.703 \rightarrow 00:19:27.739$ pursuing the medication therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00{:}19{:}27.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}29.425$ And so for those individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:19:29.425 \rightarrow 00:19:31.110$ for whom it makes sense,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:19:31.110 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.630$ they shouldn't be dissuaded for

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:19:32.630 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.459$ pursuing a therapy that is going

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:19:34.459 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.244$ to be just as effective for them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879992665

00:19:36.300 - 00:19:39.858 if the two options are equivalent,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.879992665
- $00:19:39.860 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.945$ patients may still be left
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879992665
- $00:19:41.945 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.613$ in this decisional conundrum.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879992665
- $00:19:43.620 \rightarrow 00:19:46.140$ And so how do you help patients with that?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- $00{:}19{:}46{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}49{.}164$ That drives back to one
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- $00:19:49.164 \rightarrow 00:19:52.014$ approach that my lab takes is to make
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- $00:19:52.014 \rightarrow 00:19:54.217$ sure that whenever we build models
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- $00:19:54.217 \rightarrow 00:19:56.629$ that try to approximate real life
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- $00:19:56.629 \rightarrow 00:19:58.923$ and that's what they are, right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- $00{:}19{:}58{.}923 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}00{.}367$ There are only approximations.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- $00:20:00.370 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.610$ We always take the most
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- $00:20:02.610 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.506$ conservative assumptions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- $00{:}20{:}03.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}05.090$ And so for example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- $00:20:05.090 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.670$ in that particular study,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- $00{:}20{:}06{.}670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}08{.}795$ although we show equivalence where
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- $00{:}20{:}08.795 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}11.759$ in the past the thought has been
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:20:11.759 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.045$ or the clinical practice has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:20:14.045 \rightarrow 00:20:16.569$ to pursue the medication therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

00:20:16.570 - 00:20:18.518 Although we show equivalence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

00:20:18.518 - > 00:20:21.440 in fact if you use assumptions

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:20:21.522 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.470$ that are more realistic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00{:}20{:}23{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}25{.}966$ i.e do not downplay the benefits

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

00:20:25.966 --> 00:20:28.641 of splenectomy and do not over

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:20:28.641 \rightarrow 00:20:31.080$ exaggerate the risks, which is what

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00{:}20{:}31.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}32.830$ we did in this model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:20:32.830 \rightarrow 00:20:35.680$ then you'll find that the splenectomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00{:}20{:}35{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}38{.}803$ option becomes a little bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:20:38.803 \rightarrow 00:20:41.087$ favorable in certain circumstances.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00{:}20{:}41.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}43.220$ But separate from that because we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:20:43.220 \rightarrow 00:20:45.384$ talking on a population level and

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:20:45.384 \rightarrow 00:20:47.424$ the really exciting bit is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:20:47.430 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.446$ we can take that and then we

- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- 00:20:49.446 --> 00:20:50.597 can personalize it, right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- 00:20:50.597 --> 00:20:52.606 Because this is on a population level,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- $00{:}20{:}52{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}54{.}118$ this is all comers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- $00:20:54.118 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.910$ If you're a 30 year old woman
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- $00:20:56.910 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.110$ versus if you're a 55 year old man,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- $00{:}20{:}59{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}01{.}520$ there's a very real difference
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- $00:21:01.520 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.940$ in your actual responses,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- $00:21:02.940 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.525$ a 30 year old woman will have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- $00{:}21{:}05{.}525 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}07{.}057$ a much better outcome,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- $00:21:07.060 \rightarrow 00:21:08.852$ typically with splenectomy than
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- 00:21:08.852 --> 00:21:11.328 a 55 year old man as compared
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- $00:21:11.328 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.680$ to the medication the rapies.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- $00{:}21{:}12.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}15.500$ And so the next steps for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- $00{:}21{:}15{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}17{.}380$ that particular question are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- $00:21:17.467 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.727$ to personalize and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

- $00:21:19.730 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.930$ not just to see,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- $00{:}21{:}20{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}22{.}730$ but to actually give an opportunity
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- 00:21:22.791 --> 00:21:24.347 for physicians right through
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- $00:21:24.347 \rightarrow 00:21:25.903$ an easy visual interface,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- $00{:}21{:}25{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}27{.}680$ essentially where they can plug
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- $00{:}21{:}27.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}29.450$ in the parameters of importance
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- $00:21:29.511 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.197$ like age and gender and other
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- $00{:}21{:}31{.}197 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}33{.}223$ diseases that may be at play that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- $00{:}21{:}33{.}223 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}34{.}628$ we know affect these risks.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- $00:21:34.630 \longrightarrow 00:21:36.604$ To then in their clinic calculate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- 00:21:36.604 --> 00:21:38.298 and simulate what actually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- 00:21:38.298 --> 00:21:40.200 happened the vast majority of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- $00:21:40.200 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.483$ time and to be able to provide
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- $00:21:42.483 \rightarrow 00:21:44.068$ those estimates to patients so
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- $00:21:44.068 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.350$ they can make a decision that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333
- $00:21:45.350 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.550$ makes the most sense for them.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695
- 00:21:48.420 --> 00:21:51.198 And that sounds,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695
- 00:21:51.200 --> 00:21:54.146 you know, really quite wonderful if
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695
- $00:21:54.146 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.260$ you're able to take all of the data,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695
- $00{:}21{:}57{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}00{.}116$ put it into an analytic model that can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695
- $00{:}22{:}00{.}116 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}02{.}418$ be personalized so that people can say,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695
- $00{:}22{:}02{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}05{.}669$ OK, tell me what's best for me and you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695
- $00:22:05.669 \rightarrow 00:22:08.780$ can put in all of those parameters.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695
- 00:22:08.780 --> 00:22:10.960 That sounds really quite wonderful.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695
- 00:22:10.960 --> 00:22:15.298 Has that found its way into the clinic in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695
- $00{:}22{:}15.298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}19.672$ hematology specifically, but then if it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695
- 00:22:19.672 --> 00:22:22.856 has, where are we going in terms of taking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695
- $00{:}22{:}22{.}856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}25{.}456$ that into the clinic for many, many,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695
- $00{:}22{:}25{.}456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}28{.}732$ many other diseases where patients still
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695
- $00{:}22{:}28.732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}31.474$ struggle with well, what should I do?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695
- 00:22:31.474 --> 00:22:33.410 Should I, if I have breast cancer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695

- $00:22:33.410 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.530$ should I have a lumpectomy?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695
- 00:22:35.530 --> 00:22:36.950 Should I have a mastectomy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695
- 00:22:36.950 --> 00:22:38.630 should I do one side,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695
- $00:22:38.630 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.690$ should I do both sides?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695
- $00{:}22{:}39.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}43.389$ I mean I can see where this kind of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695
- $00{:}22{:}43.389 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}46.700$ modeling would be helpful across diseases.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716
- $00{:}22{:}48{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}51{.}180$ Yes. And it has been utilized
- NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716
- $00:22:51.180 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.568$ in other disease areas not
- NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716
- 00:22:53.568 --> 00:22:55.280 yet in classical hematology,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716
- $00:22:55.280 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.002$ but I'm really glad you brought
- NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716
- $00:22:57.002 \rightarrow 00:22:59.039$ up the example of breast cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716
- 00:22:59.040 --> 00:23:00.832 The United States Preventative
- NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716
- 00:23:00.832 --> 00:23:02.176 Services Task Force,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716
- $00:23:02.180 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.824$ their recommendation is actually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716
- $00:23:03.824 \rightarrow 00:23:05.879$ based on micro simulation modeling,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716
- $00:23:05.880 \rightarrow 00:23:08.118$ which is a different kind of

 $00:23:08.118 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.640$ decision analytic modeling for

NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716

 $00{:}23{:}09{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}11{.}240$ patients with breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716

 $00{:}23{:}11{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}13{.}224$ Micro simulations have also

NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716

 $00:23:13.224 \rightarrow 00:23:15.496$ been employed to inform the care

NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716

 $00:23:15.496 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.091$ of patients with lung cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716

 $00:23:17.091 \rightarrow 00:23:18.848$ and lung cancer screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716

 $00:23:18.850 \rightarrow 00:23:20.926$ So there's a very real opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716

 $00{:}23{:}20{.}926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}23{.}693$ here to be able to apply to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716

 $00{:}23{:}23.693 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}25.649$ field where we have diseases that

NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716

00:23:25.650 --> 00:23:28.614 are also rare and also quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716

 $00:23:28.614 \rightarrow 00:23:30.590$ consequential for our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716

 $00{:}23{:}30{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}32{.}886$ And that's the exciting part of it too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716

 $00:23:32.890 \rightarrow 00:23:34.865$ And the exciting bit specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716

 $00{:}23{:}34.865 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}36.445$ is the fact that

NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716

 $00{:}23{:}36{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}38{.}590$ the decision science methodologists

 $00{:}23{:}40.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}43.537$ have been pushing that field forward for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8935044416666667

 $00{:}23{:}43{.}537 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}46{.}583$ many decades now and the opportunity to

NOTE Confidence: 0.893504441666667

 $00{:}23{:}46{.}583 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}49{.}181$ then take the clinical knowledge that

NOTE Confidence: 0.893504441666667

 $00{:}23{:}49{.}258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}51{.}208$ that we've accumulated as physicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.893504441666667

 $00{:}23{:}51{.}208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}54{.}464$ and to be able to try and fuse those

NOTE Confidence: 0.893504441666667

 $00:23:54.464 \rightarrow 00:23:56.777$ areas of expertise that is what drove NOTE Confidence: 0.893504441666667

 $00:23:56.777 \longrightarrow 00:23:59.073$ me to this point because it gives me

NOTE Confidence: 0.893504441666667

 $00:23:59.073 \rightarrow 00:24:01.738$ a unique opportunity to work with some

NOTE Confidence: 0.893504441666667

 $00{:}24{:}01{.}738 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}03{.}848$ of the brightest minds and decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.8935044416666667

 $00{:}24{:}03{.}848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}05{.}552$ science and some of the brightest

NOTE Confidence: 0.893504441666667

 $00{:}24{:}05{.}552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}08{.}014$ minds in clinical medicine to try and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8935044416666667

 $00:24:08.014 \rightarrow 00:24:09.286$ conceptualize these problems and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8935044416666667

 $00{:}24{:}09{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}11{.}906$ capture them in a way that actually can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8935044416666667

 $00:24:11.906 \rightarrow 00:24:14.598$ inform one health policy and then second,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893504441666667

 $00:24:14.600 \rightarrow 00:24:16.124$ individualized treatment decisions

NOTE Confidence: 0.893504441666667

 $00:24:16.124 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.140$ for patients.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.891656825714286
- $00:24:18.580 \rightarrow 00:24:20.638$ So a couple of questions on that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891656825714286
- $00:24:20.640 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.950$ So the first question is why hasn't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891656825714286
- 00:24:22.950 --> 00:24:25.492 it found its way into clinical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891656825714286
- $00:24:25.492 \rightarrow 00:24:27.460$ practice in clinical hematology?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891656825714286
- $00{:}24{:}27{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}29{.}724$ I mean, at the outset you made a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891656825714286
- $00{:}24{:}29{.}724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}32{.}249$ very nice case for using decision
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891656825714286
- $00:24:32.249 \rightarrow 00:24:34.137$ science in classical hematology,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891656825714286
- $00:24:34.140 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.402$ that being that we don't have large
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891656825714286
- $00:24:37.402 \rightarrow 00:24:39.878$ randomized control trials for what are,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891656825714286
- 00:24:39.880 --> 00:24:42.540 you know, often rare diseases,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891656825714286
- $00:24:42.540 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.177$ one would think that this would be an ideal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891656825714286
- $00{:}24{:}45.177 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}47.158$ platform for the classical hematology.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891656825714286
- $00{:}24{:}47.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}48.312$ So why hasn't it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891656825714286
- $00:24:48.312 \rightarrow 00:24:50.310$ found its way into clinical practice yet?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426
- $00:24:51.800 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.760$ I think 2 reasons, probably one
- NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00:24:55.760 \rightarrow 00:24:58.400$ decision science methodologically is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00{:}24{:}58{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}00{.}308$ I've been told a few times, one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

00:25:00.308 - 00:25:03.970 the most niche, if not the most niche,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00:25:03.970 \rightarrow 00:25:08.082$ area speaking methodologically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00{:}25{:}08{.}082 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}11{.}519$ there's just not a lot of decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00:25:11.519 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.179$ scientists in this country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00:25:13.180 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.899$ There's a little bit of a hub on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

00:25:14.899 --> 00:25:16.638 West Coast, a little bit in the Midwest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00{:}25{:}16{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}18{.}818$ and one here in the Northeast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00:25:18.820 \rightarrow 00:25:20.998$ And that's kind of mostly it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00{:}25{:}21.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}26.320$ And all of them are at the very least,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

00:25:26.320 --> 00:25:30.774 of course Doctors of philosophy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

00:25:30.774 --> 00:25:33.374 So PHD's, but MD's and MD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00{:}25{:}33{.}374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}35{.}450$ PhDs and MD's who do decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00{:}25{:}35{.}532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}38{.}164$ science are far and few in between.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

00:25:38.170 --> 00:25:39.890 In the United States specifically,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426
- $00:25:39.890 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.660$ this is different in Europe

00:25:41.660 -> 00:25:43.076 and different in Canada.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00:25:43.080 \rightarrow 00:25:45.588$ And that ties into point #2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00{:}25{:}45{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}47{.}498$ which is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00:25:47.500 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.232$ in general, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00{:}25{:}49{.}232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}50{.}964$ the decision science umbrella

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00:25:50.964 \rightarrow 00:25:53.249$ includes so many different aspects

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00{:}25{:}53{.}249 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}55{.}484$ where you can do simulations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00{:}25{:}55{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}56{.}870$ where you can weigh decisions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

00:25:56.870 --> 00:25:58.736 But if you want to completely

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00:25:58.736 \rightarrow 00:25:59.669$ separate from that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00{:}25{:}59{.}670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}02{.}526$ you can also layer in costs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00{:}26{:}02{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}06{.}096$ And I think that is especially here

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00{:}26{:}06.096 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}09.000$ in the United States when you start to

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00:26:09.068 \rightarrow 00:26:11.690$ talk about those two concepts together,

- $00:26:11.690 \rightarrow 00:26:14.350$ costs and effectiveness, right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426
- 00:26:14.350 --> 00:26:16.345 So cost effectiveness,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426
- $00:26:16.350 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.618$ especially during
- NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426
- $00:26:17.618 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.788$ the period here in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426
- $00:26:20.790 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.359$ Mid 2000s and the early twenty 10s
- NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426
- $00{:}26{:}23.359 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}25.793$ with the Affordable Care Act and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426
- $00{:}26{:}25{.}793 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}27{.}898$ this conversation about who makes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426
- 00:26:27.898 --> 00:26:29.969 decisions about your health care,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426
- $00{:}26{:}29{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}32{.}328$ who makes decisions about how much
- NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426
- $00:26:32.328 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.709$ is too expensive to pay right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426
- $00{:}26{:}34{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}38{.}376$ These are discussions that in some
- NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426
- $00:26:38.376 \rightarrow 00:26:42.509$ ways shaped and morphed the discussion
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84159787375
- $00:26:44.840 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.430$ unwillingly in a way about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84159787375
- $00:26:47.430 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.984$ about decision analytics,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84159787375
- $00:26:48.990 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.245$ but we're in a period where now
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84159787375
- 00:26:52.250 --> 00:26:56.726 our President has signed into law

 $00{:}26{:}56{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}01{.}050$ an act that will go forward

NOTE Confidence: 0.84159787375

 $00{:}27{:}01.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}04.264$ in 2026 and give CMS an opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.84159787375

 $00{:}27{:}04{.}264 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}06{.}770$ to start negotiating drug prices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84159787375

 $00:27:06.770 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.002$ So I think reason #2 has to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.84159787375

 $00{:}27{:}09{.}002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}11{.}243$ with this thorny issue of costs

NOTE Confidence: 0.84159787375

 $00:27:11.243 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.258$ and who makes those decisions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84159787375

 $00:27:13.260 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.817$ The reality is, at the end of the day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84159787375

 $00:27:14.820 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.164$ cost also matters, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84159787375

 $00{:}27{:}16.164 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}19.517$ And we need to be able to account for it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84159787375

 $00{:}27{:}19.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}21.896$ Now, whether we make decisions on it or not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84159787375

 $00:27:21.900 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.210$ it's totally up to us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851627563181818

 $00:27:25.180 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.288$ I mean, one would think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.851627563181818

 $00{:}27{:}28{.}288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}30{.}827$ decision analytics plays such a key

NOTE Confidence: 0.851627563181818

 $00{:}27{:}30{.}827 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}33{.}083$ role in terms of actually grounding

NOTE Confidence: 0.851627563181818

 $00{:}27{:}33.083 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}37.280$ the cost decision in data and on risks

 $00:27:37.280 \rightarrow 00:27:41.060$ at each decision point along the way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851627563181818

 $00{:}27{:}41.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}43.220$ You mentioned that you're interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.851627563181818

 $00:27:43.220 \rightarrow 00:27:45.839$ in public policy and using decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.851627563181818

 $00:27:45.839 \rightarrow 00:27:48.179$ analytics to guide public policy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.851627563181818

 $00{:}27{:}48.179 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}51.139$ at the same time individualized care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851627563181818

00:27:51.140 --> 00:27:53.700 Can you talk a little bit in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.851627563181818

 $00{:}27{:}53.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}55.867$ last minute about how those two

NOTE Confidence: 0.851627563181818

 $00:27:55.870 \rightarrow 00:27:58.669$ are either at odds or how they come together?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7821816525

00:27:59.750 --> 00:28:01.864 Well, I think they can fuse beautifully

NOTE Confidence: 0.7821816525

 $00:28:01.864 \rightarrow 00:28:03.050$ together, but methodologically

NOTE Confidence: 0.7821816525

 $00:28:03.050 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.250$ they need to stay separate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7821816525

 $00:28:05.250 \rightarrow 00:28:08.298$ There are definitely ways that we can help

NOTE Confidence: 0.7821816525

 $00:28:08.298 \rightarrow 00:28:10.110$ individuals personalize their treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7821816525

 $00{:}28{:}10{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}11{.}930$ And one of the avenues that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.7821816525

00:28:11.930 --> 00:28:13.716 going to expand out into is looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.7821816525

00:28:13.716 --> 00:28:15.790 at out of pocket costs in this realm,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7821816525
- $00:28:15.790 \rightarrow 00:28:18.580$ which hasn't really been done a lot at all.

 $00:28:18.580 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.270$ And then separate from that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7821816525

 $00:28:20.270 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.147$ keep the health system policy issues separate

NOTE Confidence: 0.7821816525

 $00:28:23.147 \rightarrow 00:28:25.628$ and the stakeholders are very different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7821816525

 $00:28:25.630 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.446$ So you need to be able to cater

NOTE Confidence: 0.7821816525

 $00{:}28{:}27.446 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}28.685$ to those specific stakeholders

NOTE Confidence: 0.7821816525

 $00{:}28{:}28{.}685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}30{.}857$ and I think we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.7821816525

 $00:28:30.860 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.838$ going to be able to do both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88804451944445

00:28:31.850 --> 00:28:34.394 Doctor George Goshua is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.88804451944445

 $00{:}28{:}34{.}394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}36{.}530$ assistant professor of medicine in

NOTE Confidence: 0.88804451944445

 $00:28:36.530 \rightarrow 00:28:39.134$ hematology at the Yale School of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888044519444445

 $00{:}28{:}39{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}41{.}196$ If you have questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88804451944445

 $00{:}28{:}41.196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}43.197$ the address is canceranswers@yale.edu,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88804451944445

 $00{:}28{:}43.197 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}45.939$ and past editions of the program

NOTE Confidence: 0.88804451944445

 $00{:}28{:}45{.}939 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}48{.}313$ are available in audio and written

 $00:28:48.313 \rightarrow 00:28:49.238$ form at yalecancercenter.org.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88804451944445

 $00:28:49.238 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.622$ We hope you'll join us next week to

NOTE Confidence: 0.88804451944445

 $00{:}28{:}51{.}622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}53{.}437$ learn more about the fight against

NOTE Confidence: 0.88804451944445

 $00{:}28{:}53{.}437 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}55{.}240$ cancer here on Connecticut Public Radio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88804451944445

 $00{:}28{:}55{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}57{.}688$ Funding for Yale Cancer Answers is

NOTE Confidence: 0.88804451944445

00:28:57.688 --> 00:29:00.000 provided by Smilow Cancer Hospital.