WEBVTT

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:03.162$ Funding for Yale Cancer Answers is

NOTE Confidence: 0.800554309090909

 $00:00:03.162 \dashrightarrow 00:00:06.150$ provided by Smilow Cancer Hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800554309090909

 $00:00:06.150 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.235$ Welcome to Yale Cancer Answers

NOTE Confidence: 0.800554309090909

 $00:00:08.235 \longrightarrow 00:00:09.903$ with Doctor Anees Chappar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800554309090909

00:00:09.910 --> 00:00:11.686 Yale Cancer Answers features the

NOTE Confidence: 0.800554309090909

 $00{:}00{:}11.686 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}13.431$ latest information on cancer care

NOTE Confidence: 0.800554309090909

00:00:13.431 --> 00:00:14.898 by welcoming oncologists and

NOTE Confidence: 0.800554309090909

 $00:00:14.898 \longrightarrow 00:00:17.022$ specialists who are on the forefront

NOTE Confidence: 0.800554309090909

 $00:00:17.022 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.907$ of the battle to fight cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800554309090909

00:00:18.910 --> 00:00:20.914 This week it's a conversation about

NOTE Confidence: 0.800554309090909

 $00{:}00{:}20.914 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}22.742$ the field of classical hematology

NOTE Confidence: 0.800554309090909

00:00:22.742 --> 00:00:24.450 with Doctor George Goshua.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800554309090909

 $00{:}00{:}24.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}26.592$ Dr Goshua is an assistant professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.800554309090909

00:00:26.592 --> 00:00:28.380 of medicine and hematology at

NOTE Confidence: 0.800554309090909

00:00:28.380 --> 00:00:29.865 the Yale School of Medicine,

 $00:00:29.870 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.640$ where Doctor Chagpar is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.800554309090909

 $00{:}00{:}31.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}33.056$ professor of surgical oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908400801818182

00:00:34.180 --> 00:00:35.888 George, maybe we can start off by

NOTE Confidence: 0.908400801818182

 $00:00:35.888 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.628$ you telling us a little bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.908400801818182

 $00:00:37.628 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.470$ about yourself and what it is you do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871379075714286

00:00:39.600 --> 00:00:41.518 Of course, it would be my pleasure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871379075714286

00:00:41.520 --> 00:00:44.575 I am a classical hematologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.871379075714286

00:00:44.575 --> 00:00:46.608 by training and methodologically

NOTE Confidence: 0.871379075714286

 $00{:}00{:}46.608 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}00{:}48.628$ I'm trained in decision science,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871379075714286

 $00{:}00{:}48.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}50.658$ so I'm also a decision scientist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871379075714286

 $00{:}00{:}50.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}53.488$ And on faculty here at Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.871379075714286

00:00:53.488 --> 00:00:55.480 University School of Medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871379075714286

 $00:00:55.480 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.720$ I run the Goshua lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871379075714286

 $00:00:56.720 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.164$ which is a quantitative decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.871379075714286

 $00:00:59.164 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.997$ analytic modeling lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871379075714286

 $00:01:01.000 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.412$ the first in the country to

 $00{:}01{:}03.412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}05.020$ focus on classical hematology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871379075714286

 $00:01:05.020 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.204$ And I have the privilege of working

NOTE Confidence: 0.871379075714286

 $00{:}01{:}07.204 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}08.296$ with undergraduate students,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871379075714286

00:01:08.300 --> 00:01:10.110 graduate students at the School

NOTE Confidence: 0.871379075714286

00:01:10.110 --> 00:01:11.196 of Public Health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871379075714286

00:01:11.200 --> 00:01:13.928 the School of Medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87137907571428600:01:13.930 --> 00:01:14.860 and beyond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92811733375

00:01:14.950 --> 00:01:18.686 Many of us have heard about hematology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92811733375

00:01:18.690 --> 00:01:22.170 but what exactly is classical hematology?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92811733375

 $00{:}01{:}22.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}24.830$ It seems to remind me about classical

NOTE Confidence: 0.92811733375

 $00:01:24.830 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.450$ music as opposed to music in general.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92811733375

 $00:01:27.450 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.922$ So tell us more about what exactly is

NOTE Confidence: 0.92811733375

 $00{:}01{:}30.922 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}33.509$ classical hematology and how that varies

NOTE Confidence: 0.92811733375

 $00{:}01{:}33.509 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}35.975$ from all other forms of hematology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:01:36.650 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.597$ I'm really glad you asked that question.

 $00:01:39.600 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.568$ And that's because the field has really

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:01:42.568 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.737$ struggled with its name until very recently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

00:01:45.740 --> 00:01:48.800 The American Society of Hematology

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:01:48.800 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.838$ has put forward a campaign to

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:01:52.840 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.540$ unify the field and call

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:01:55.540 \longrightarrow 00:01:57.160$ it classical hematology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:01:57.160 \longrightarrow 00:01:59.688$ And the way that it differs from other

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:01:59.688 \longrightarrow 00:02:02:353$ hematology is that we take care of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:02:02.353 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.340$ with non cancerous blood disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:02:04.340 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.772$ And the reason why the naming matters in

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:02:06.772 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.125$ particular is the other names for the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:02:09.130 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.120$ There's two. There is non

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

00:02:11.120 --> 00:02:11.916 malignant hematology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:02:11.920 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.586$ so non cancerous and then benign

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:02:15.586 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.490$ hematology which is quite common and

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:02:18.490 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.780$ that latter term is particularly problematic

 $00:02:23.864 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.379$ because as we probably will discuss here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:02:27.380 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.080$ a lot of our patients have

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:02:30.080 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.021$ life altering diseases that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:02:32.021 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.269$ have to live with and in some cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:02:35.270 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.042$ very deadly diseases that can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:02:38.042 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.910$ deadly without appropriate treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:02:39.910 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.982$ And so for that reason there has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:02:41.982 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.185$ also a lot of frustration on our

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

00:02:44.185 --> 00:02:46.197 patients part with regards to being

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:02:46.197 \longrightarrow 00:02:48.165$ labeled as quote unquote benign.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:02:48.170 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.322$ And so for that reason the field has

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00:02:50.322 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.366$ moved forward now just this year

NOTE Confidence: 0.807841352857143

 $00{:}02{:}52.366 \to 00{:}02{:}53.818$ actually with classical hematology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860865417777778

 $00{:}02{:}54.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}56.710$ So give us some examples of

NOTE Confidence: 0.860865417777778

 $00:02:56.710 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.730$ some of the not malignant

 $00:03:00.730 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.820$ hematologic disorders that you treat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

 $00{:}03{:}03.350 --> 00{:}03{:}05.140$ Of course, there's a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

 $00:03:05.140 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.930$ of rare diseases in here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

 $00:03:06.930 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.338$ but there's also less rare diseases too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

 $00:03:09.340 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.426$ And so maybe I'll start with diseases

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

00:03:11.426 --> 00:03:13.588 that folks might be more familiar with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

 $00{:}03{:}13.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}15.406$ even though some of them are still rare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

00:03:15.410 --> 00:03:17.563 Sickle cell disease in particular, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

 $00:03:17.563 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.747$ I think a lot of us know individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

 $00{:}03{:}19.747 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}21.829$ who live with sickle cell disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

 $00:03:21.830 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.888$ But then as we move forward,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

 $00:03:23.890 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.590$ think about all of your

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

 $00:03:26.590 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.210$ auto immune conditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

 $00:03:28.210 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.065$ so conditions where the immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

 $00:03:30.065 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.178$ system is dysregulated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

 $00{:}03{:}31.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}33.260$ And then that causes derangements

 $00:03:33.260 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.924$ in the blood parameters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

 $00:03:34.930 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.050$ And so these are diseases in the realm of one

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

 $00:03:38.119 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.170$ to three in a million in terms of incidence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

00:03:41.170 --> 00:03:43.902 And examples include paroxysmal

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

00:03:43.902 --> 00:03:45.268 nocturnal hemoglobinuria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

00:03:45.270 --> 00:03:48.526 immune thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

00:03:48.526 --> 00:03:50.968 chronic immune thrombocytopenia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

 $00:03:50.970 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.314$ porphyrias.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

 $00:03:51.314 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.034$ And then when you think

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

 $00:03:53.034 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.410$ about more common things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

 $00:03:54.410 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.985$ venous thromboembolism which affects hundreds

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

 $00:03:56.985 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.970$ of thousands of Americans every year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

 $00{:}03{:}59.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}01.800$ iron deficiency anemia

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

00:04:01.800 --> 00:04:04.840 which affects a lot of our men and women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

 $00:04:04.840 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.220$ and in particular pregnant

 $00:04:06.220 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.080$ women as well in this country.

 $00:04:08.381 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.187$ So that's a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

 $00{:}04{:}10.187 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}12.353$ of a sampling of the more rare

NOTE Confidence: 0.875279113

 $00:04:12.353 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.848$ and then the more common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847119621818182

 $00:04:15.360 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.456$ It really seems to be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.847119621818182

 $00:04:18.456 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.510$ wide spectrum of disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847119621818182

 $00:04:21.510 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.334$ And is the only linkage

NOTE Confidence: 0.847119621818182

 $00:04:23.334 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.256$ between all of them that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.847119621818182

 $00:04:25.256 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.158$ have to have something to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.847119621818182

 $00:04:27.158 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.159$ with blood and blood disorders?

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

 $00:04:29.370 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.589$ I think that's very fair to say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

00:04:31.590 --> 00:04:34.887 Yeah, it's interesting because at least in

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

 $00{:}04{:}34.887 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}39.097$ the case of let's say autoimmune disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

 $00{:}04{:}39.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}41.900$ Sometimes in some of them if

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

 $00:04:41.900 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.400$ you want to think about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

 $00:04:43.400 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.812$ this is how I think about it with my

 $00:04:45.812 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.603$ patients when we talk together

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

00:04:47.603 --> 00:04:49.838 in clinic, you can think about it as

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

 $00:04:49.840 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.700$ the disease spilling over into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

 $00:04:51.700 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.039$ blood and the blood is very sensitive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

 $00:04:54.040 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.404$ We have multiple cell lines

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

 $00:04:56.404 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.980$ that can be affected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

 $00:04:57.980 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.215$ We have multiple proteins floating

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

 $00{:}05{:}00.215 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}03.000$ in there and our immune system

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

 $00{:}05{:}03.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}05.436$ that has been so finely tuned over

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

 $00{:}05{:}05.436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}07.590$ over millennia and any of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

 $00{:}05{:}07.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}09.315$ parameters can be thrown off.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

 $00:05:09.320 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.184$ And so I think it's very fair to

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

 $00:05:11.184 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.195$ say that the commonality here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

 $00{:}05{:}13.195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}15.045$ that there's some underlying issue

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

 $00:05:15.045 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.189$ that's happening to one of or more

NOTE Confidence: 0.737516091428572

 $00{:}05{:}17.189 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}18.950$ of those parameters in the blood.

 $00:05:19.700 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.778$ Because it also seems that

NOTE Confidence: 0.820449326

 $00{:}05{:}22.778 \to 00{:}05{:}25.412$ when you're thinking about things as

NOTE Confidence: 0.820449326

00:05:25.412 --> 00:05:30.530 diverse as ITP versus sickle cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820449326

 $00:05:30.530 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.710$ anemia versus thromboembolism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820449326

 $00{:}05{:}33.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}36.350$ the treatments are very different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820449326

 $00:05:36.350 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.530$ The patient populations are very different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820449326

 $00:05:39.530 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.564$ Even the blood cells that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.820449326

 $00:05:41.564 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.920$ affected are very different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8878559425

 $00:05:44.070 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.987$ That's exactly correct.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8878559425

 $00{:}05{:}45.987 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}05{:}49.182$ There's a beautiful diversity and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8878559425

 $00:05:49.182 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.370$ heterogeneity within the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8878559425

 $00:05:51.370 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.280$ There are classical hematologists who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8878559425

 $00{:}05{:}54.280 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>} 00{:}05{:}56.250$ particularly focus or sub-specialize

NOTE Confidence: 0.8878559425

 $00:05:56.250 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.250$ further even within that field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8878559425

 $00:05:58.250 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.049$ That is part of the reason why,

 $00:06:00.049 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.809$ because there is such a diversity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8878559425

 $00:06:01.810 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.090$ And then there are other classical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8878559425

 $00:06:04.090 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.010$ hematologists who are more generalists

NOTE Confidence: 0.8878559425

 $00{:}06{:}06.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}08.698$ as they would be in any specialty

NOTE Confidence: 0.8878559425

 $00:06:08.698 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.853$ that kind of see the full spectrum

NOTE Confidence: 0.8878559425

 $00:06:10.853 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.850$ and then if there are complications

NOTE Confidence: 0.8878559425

 $00:06:12.850 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.490$ or there's a particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8878559425

00:06:14.490 --> 00:06:16.095 high risk situation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8878559425

 $00:06:16.095 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.700$ in those circumstances,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8878559425

 $00:06:17.700 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.820$ they will often refer to a tertiary

NOTE Confidence: 0.8878559425

 $00:06:21.820 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.120$ academic Center for further evaluation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866562610714286

 $00:06:24.920 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.408$ George, many of us may

NOTE Confidence: 0.866562610714286

 $00{:}06{:}28.408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}31.140$ be familiar with some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.866562610714286

 $00:06:31.140 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.220$ blood disorders that you mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866562610714286

 $00:06:33.220 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.842$ but you also mentioned that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.866562610714286

 $00{:}06{:}35.842 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}38.794$ have a laboratory that focuses on

 $00:06:38.794 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.030$ quantitative modeling and decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.866562610714286

 $00:06:41.030 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.238$ analytics that seems to be very

NOTE Confidence: 0.866562610714286

 $00:06:44.238 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.372$ different from what we would

NOTE Confidence: 0.866562610714286

 $00:06:46.372 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.856$ normally think of as a hematologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866562610714286

 $00{:}06{:}48.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}52.038$ Tell us more about how those two

NOTE Confidence: 0.866562610714286

00:06:52.038 --> 00:06:54.449 areas of interest and expertise

NOTE Confidence: 0.866562610714286

 $00:06:54.449 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.844$ kind of merged for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:06:58.440 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.224$ Well, I think it has a lot to

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:07:00.224 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.308$ do with advocacy. By definition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00{:}07{:}02.308 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}06.420$ a lot of our diseases are rare in

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:07:06.535 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.564$ our field all across the spectrum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:07:08.564 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.160$ When you combine them all together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

00:07:10.160 --> 00:07:12.445 you really get very

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:07:12.445 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.273$ significant numbers of individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:07:14.280 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.600$ But within each bin,

00:07:15.600 --> 00:07:18.519 if we want to think about it that way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00{:}07{:}18.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}21.257$ some of the diseases are particularly rare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00{:}07{:}21.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}23.609$ And it is for that reason that you start

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:07:23.609 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.832$ to think more and more about decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:07:25.832 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.678$ making in an area where there are a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:07:28.680 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.704$ diseases that are rare and in an

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:07:31.704 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.730$ area where there are, let's say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:07:34.730 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.930$ less prospective randomized clinical trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:07:37.930 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.580$ perhaps more of a dependence

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:07:41.580 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.770$ on observational data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

00:07:43.770 --> 00:07:46.994 you start to think about trying to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:07:46.994 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.371$ decisions with your patients in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:07:49.371 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.510$ clinic and in the hospital in some cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:07:52.510 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.408$ some of which have very significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:07:55.408 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.789$ consequences or can have very significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00{:}07{:}58.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}00.799$ consequences on the rest of their lives.

 $00{:}08{:}00.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}03.940$ We use strong immunosuppressive agents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:08:03.940 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.328$ We use anticoagulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:08:06.328 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.225$ blood thinners that can predispose

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

00:08:09.225 --> 00:08:11.400 people if using correctly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:08:11.400 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.930$ unnecessarily to a risk of bleeding and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:08:16.930 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.675$ it feels very natural to try and

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

00:08:20.680 --> 00:08:23.084 quantitatively try to approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00{:}08{:}23.084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}27.389$ these decisions and put them in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

00:08:27.389 --> 00:08:30.379 framework that matters to patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:08:30.380 \longrightarrow 00:08:31.364$ to physicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:08:31.364 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.808$ to payers and then try to push

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

00:08:34.808 --> 00:08:37.919 the care of patients forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00{:}08{:}37.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}40.224$ And decision science is really nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00{:}08{:}40.224 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}42.599$ because one of the very wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:08:42.599 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.903$ and unique things about it is

 $00:08:44.903 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.879$ it's very explicit in its

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:08:46.880 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.766$ measurement and reporting of uncertainty and

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:08:49.766 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.316$ so any decision that we make in our lives,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:08:53.320 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.063$ anytime you think of a trade off

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00{:}08{:}55.063 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}56.925$ and I think about trade-offs all

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

00:08:56.925 --> 00:08:59.037 of the time, decision scientists do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:08:59.040 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.150$ but everyone does beyond decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:09:01.150 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.994$ scientists too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273 00:09:02.000 --> 00:09:02.322 right? NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

00:09:02.322 --> 00:09:04.576 It doesn't have to apply to medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:09:04.576 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.767$ every time you think of a trade off.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

00:09:06.770 --> 00:09:10.410 And the downstream effects thereof,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:09:10.410 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.498$ all of that can be captured and that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00{:}09{:}12.498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}14.253$ the really exciting part because I

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:09:14.253 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.362$ think we have an opportunity to move

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:09:16.362 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.682$ the care of these patients forward and help improve

 $00:09:19.165 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.015$ the areas of our health system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918185292727273

 $00:09:22.020 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.309$ and there are many that need improvement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913294190333333

 $00:09:26.000 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.688$ And so it sounds like you know this

NOTE Confidence: 0.913294190333333

 $00:09:28.688 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.845$ whole area of decision science

NOTE Confidence: 0.913294190333333

00:09:30.845 --> 00:09:32.717 would have broad applicability

NOTE Confidence: 0.913294190333333

 $00:09:32.717 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.562$ to all fields of medicine really

NOTE Confidence: 0.913294190333333

 $00:09:35.562 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.286$ where we're balancing as you say

NOTE Confidence: 0.913294190333333

 $00:09:38.286 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.672$ trade-offs between risks and benefits

NOTE Confidence: 0.913294190333333

 $00{:}09{:}40.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}43.570$ and how each patient might value

NOTE Confidence: 0.913294190333333

 $00{:}09{:}43.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}46.356$ each of those things differently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913294190333333

00:09:46.360 --> 00:09:49.648 Talk a little bit more about kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.913294190333333

 $00:09:49.648 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.814$ the practical examples of how you

NOTE Confidence: 0.913294190333333

 $00{:}09{:}52.814 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}55.900$ applied decision science in your clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.913294190333333 00:09:55.900 --> 00:09:56.480 endeavors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:09:58.010 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.570$ Of course. We'll start with an

 $00:10:02.570 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.133$ earlier example

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00{:}10{:}05.133 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}08.346$ and then I'll work my way forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:10:08.350 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.966$ So anytime you think of a decision problem,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:10:10.970 \longrightarrow 00:10:12.698$ and you think of trade-offs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:10:12.700 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.850$ you want to be able to make sure that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

00:10:14.911 --> 00:10:17.062 have it laid out clearly in front of you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:10:17.070 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.398$ And so I'm going to use a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:10:20.398 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.454$ interesting problem because it employs

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00{:}10{:}22.454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}24.902$ 3 different strategies in a disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

00:10:24.902 --> 00:10:27.348 where your platelet counts are low,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00{:}10{:}27.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}28.661$ chronic immune thrombocytopenia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

00:10:28.661 --> 00:10:31.283 When your platelet counts are low,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:10:31.290 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.467$ you're at an increased risk of bleeding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:10:33.470 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.290$ And for that reason

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:10:36.290 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.170$ there are treatment options and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:10:38.170 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.537$ treatments that we do pursue for

 $00{:}10{:}40.537 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}42.637$ individuals whose platelet

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00{:}10{:}42.637 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}44.681$ counts are particularly low because

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:10:44.681 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.073$ we don't want them to have a bleed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:10:47.080 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.696$ especially if it's a bleed in the head,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:10:49.700 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.860$ sometimes a bleed in the gut,

 $00:10:52.628 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.164$ the bleeding can really happen anywhere,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:10:54.170 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.837$ but there are certain higher risk areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

00:10:56.840 --> 00:10:59.336 And so in thinking through that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:10:59.340 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.116$ by the time an individual has,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:11:01.120 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.016$ let's say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

00:11:02.016 --> 00:11:04.256 a diagnosis of immune thrombocytopenia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

00:11:04.260 --> 00:11:05.814 by the time they reach 12 months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:11:05.820 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.060$ it's defined as chronic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:11:07.060 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.221$ It's done that way because there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:11:09.221 \longrightarrow 00:11:11.197$ subset of individuals who will never go on

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:11:11.197 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.597$ to develop chronic immune thrombocytopenia.

00:11:13.600 --> 00:11:15.810 Their platelet counts will improve,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

00:11:15.810 --> 00:11:16.966 sometimes even spontaneously and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

00:11:16.966 --> 00:11:19.210 sometimes with a little bit of treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:11:19.210 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.090$ and they will no longer need any treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:11:22.090 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.980$ But for the vast majority of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:11:23.980 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.192$ individuals who do get to the stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

00:11:26.192 --> 00:11:28.264 of having one year of this disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:11:28.270 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.358$ now they have a chronic disease and within

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:11:31.358 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.999$ we know the Natural History of that disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

00:11:35.000 --> 00:11:35.840 at that point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

00:11:35.840 --> 00:11:37.240 it's much less likely that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:11:37.240 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.559$ it's going to dissipate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:11:38.560 \longrightarrow 00:11:40.192$ And so often these

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

00:11:40.192 --> 00:11:41.416 individuals need treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:11:41.420 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.020$ And so the treatment decision

 $00:11:43.020 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.980$ here is fascinating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00{:}11{:}43.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}46.409$ And this is 1 classic example where

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

00:11:46.409 --> 00:11:48.171 a randomized control trial will

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:11:48.171 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.139$ never be done for reasons that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:11:50.139 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.980$ will become clear in a moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:11:51.980 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.300$ And that is the fact that our treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:11:55.300 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.640$ options include three options here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

00:11:57.640 --> 00:11:59.986 And they include a surgical approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00{:}11{:}59.986 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}02.358$ splenectomy to try and remove the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:12:02.358 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.934$ spleen and remove a site of production.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00{:}12{:}04.940 \longrightarrow 00{:}12{:}07.684$ Of all of these auto antibodies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:12:07.684 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.797$ are in part driving the disease process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:12:10.800 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.094$ And we know that about 60% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:12:13.094 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.656$ individuals will then never have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:12:15.656 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.200$ think or worry about this disease again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:12:19.200 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.668$ At the same time,

 $00{:}12{:}20.668 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}12{:}22.136$ splenectomy carries the risks

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:12:22.136 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.038$ of infection that are lifelong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:12:24.040 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.560$ Although they are time variant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:12:25.560 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.380$ they change over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00{:}12{:}27.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}30.396$ It carries a risk of developing a

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

00:12:30.396 --> 00:12:32.236 blood clot overtime going forward

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:12:32.236 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.470$ and that's also time variant that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:12:34.470 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.538$ changes with time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:12:35.540 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.030$ And separately anytime you perform surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:12:38.030 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.478$ there is a risk of having complications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:12:41.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.966$ And even deaths from the surgery itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:12:44.970 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.147$ And so you think about a strategy

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00{:}12{:}47.147 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}49.341$ like that versus thinking about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:12:49.341 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.326$ two other options which include

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:12:51.330 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.593$ thrombopoietin receptor agonists,

 $00:12:52.593 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.277$ which are these therapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:12:54.277 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.290$ that are taken chronically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:12:56.290 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.538$ either intravenously or by

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:12:58.538 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.050$ mouth as tablets and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

 $00:13:02.050 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.145$ technically have been studied going

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

00:13:04.145 --> 00:13:06.240 forward and thinking about using

NOTE Confidence: 0.92623335

00:13:06.308 --> 00:13:08.527 them for a prolonged period of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00{:}13{:}08.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}11.095$ so not just a few weeks or a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:13:11.095 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.289$ months with the idea being that

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:13:13.290 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.862$ you might have to be on

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

00:13:14.862 --> 00:13:15.648 this therapy lifelong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:13:15.650 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.074$ There are certain very

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

00:13:17.074 --> 00:13:18.498 expensive costs of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

00:13:18.500 --> 00:13:19.910 that accrue with this therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:13:19.910 \longrightarrow 00:13:23.206$ both to the health system and to patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:13:23.210 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.622$ And about 1/3 of patients at a median of

 $00:13:25.622 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.450 \ 2 \ 1/2$ years can come off of therapy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:13:28.450 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.738$ probably be successful

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

00:13:30.740 --> 00:13:32.420 though we don't have enough follow

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:13:32.420 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.697$ up time to know for sure and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00{:}13{:}34.697 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}36.341$ separate from that in the last third

 $00:13:38.310 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.515$ is an immunosuppressive agent called

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:13:40.515 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.720$ Rituximab that depletes those cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:13:42.790 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.875$ that produce those troublesome auto

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:13:44.875 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.960$ antibodies and you have response

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:13:47.024 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.930$ in about 50% of individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:13:48.930 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.270$ at about a year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00{:}13{:}51.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}53.916$ And then that response starts to degrade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:13:53.920 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.480$ it starts to decrease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:13:55.480 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.430$ and people will have relapses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

00:13:57.430 --> 00:13:58.810 And so if you can imagine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:13:58.810 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.290$ you have these three options.

 $00:14:00.290 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.481$ But in truth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:14:01.481 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.863$ you can also sequence these options.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:14:03.870 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.656$ And if you look at the American

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

00:14:06.656 --> 00:14:08.760 Society of Hematology guidelines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:14:08.760 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.380$ there's this inherent struggle with

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

00:14:10.380 --> 00:14:12.738 how do you actually rank these options

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:14:12.738 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.778$ when they have not been compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

00:14:14.778 --> 00:14:17.058 head-to-head and who is going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:14:17.058 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.598$ randomizing people to receive surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:14:18.600 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.965$ splenectomy versus not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00{:}14{:}19.965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}22.240$ that's not going to happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00{:}14{:}22.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}24.994$ But we do have 20 years of follow-up data

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:14:24.994 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.038$ with this modality with surgery specifically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:14:28.040 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.436$ And in the clinics

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:14:29.436 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.719$ we can see that over the last 20 years,

 $00:14:32.720 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.294$ the utilization of surgery has significantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

00:14:35.294 --> 00:14:38.698 gone down in part because of these newer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:14:38.700 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.224$ more expensive therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667 00:14:40.224 --> 00:14:41.681 not because NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:14:41.681 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.768$ a splenectomy is not an effective option.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

00:14:44.770 --> 00:14:49.018 And so that is a perfect setup then and

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:14:49.018 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.274$ framework to start thinking about how

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

00:14:51.274 --> 00:14:54.048 do we actually accurately model this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:14:54.050 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.730$ how do we show what the benefit is

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:14:55.730 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.686$ on a population level and then can

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:14:57.686 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.710$ we also make it covariate specific?

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:14:59.710 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.678$ Meaning if you look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

00:15:01.678 --> 00:15:02.334 specific comorbidities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:15:02.340 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.122$ IE the diseases that the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:15:04.122 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.631$ have and their likeliness to

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00{:}15{:}05.631 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}07.227$ respond to one of these therapies,

 $00:15:07.230 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.036$ can we build that in further than

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00{:}15{:}09.036 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}11.630$ to try and make it an individualized

NOTE Confidence: 0.916680930666667

 $00:15:11.630 \longrightarrow 00:15:13.820$ personalized treatment decision for them?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81267582

00:15:14.580 --> 00:15:16.880 We'll pick up that conversation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81267582

 $00:15:16.880 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.581$ but first we need to take a

NOTE Confidence: 0.81267582

 $00:15:18.581 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.339$ short break for a medical minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81267582

 $00:15:20.340 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.189$ Please stay tuned to learn more about

NOTE Confidence: 0.81267582

 $00{:}15{:}23.189 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}25.060$ classical hematology with my guest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81267582

00:15:25.060 --> 00:15:26.470 Doctor George Goshua.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00:15:26.960 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.980$ Funding for Yale Cancer Answers

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00{:}15{:}28.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}31.000$ comes from Smilow Cancer Hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00{:}15{:}31.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}33.170$ where their Center for Gastroint estinal

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00{:}15{:}33.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}34.906$ Cancers provides patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

00:15:34.906 --> 00:15:36.738 gastric cancers a comprehensive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

00:15:36.740 --> 00:15:37.880 multidisciplinary approach to

 $00:15:37.880 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.780$ the treatment of their cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00{:}15{:}39.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}42.540$ including clinical trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00:15:42.540 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.780$ Smilowcancerhospital.org.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00:15:45.780 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.085$ Over 230,000 Americans will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

00:15:48.085 --> 00:15:50.840 diagnosed with lung cancer this year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00:15:50.840 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.515$ and in Connecticut alone there

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00:15:52.515 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.092$ will be over 2700 new cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00:15:55.092 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.772$ More than 85% of lung cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00{:}15{:}57.772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}59.812$ diagnosis are related to smoking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

00:15:59.820 --> 00:16:02.298 and quitting even after decades of use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00{:}16{:}02.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}04.405$ can significantly reduce your risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00:16:04.405 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.840$ of developing lung cancer each day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00:16:06.840 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.156$ Patients with lung cancer are surviving

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00:16:09.156 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.601$ thanks to increased access to advanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00:16:11.601 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.277$ therapies and specialized care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00{:}16{:}13.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}14.648$ New treatment options and

00:16:14.648 --> 00:16:16.016 surgical techniques are giving

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

00:16:16.020 --> 00:16:17.610 lung cancer survivors more hope

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00:16:17.610 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.670$ than they have ever had before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

00:16:19.670 --> 00:16:22.215 Clinical trials are currently underway

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00{:}16{:}22.215 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}24.251$ at federally designated Comprehensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00{:}16{:}24.251 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}26.392$ cancer centers such as the battle

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

00:16:26.392 --> 00:16:28.645 two trial at Yale Cancer Center and

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00{:}16{:}28.645 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}30.836$ Smilow Cancer Hospital to learn if a

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00:16:30.836 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.210$ drug or combination of drugs based

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00:16:33.210 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.708$ on personal biomarkers can help to

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

00:16:35.708 --> 00:16:37.910 control non small cell lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00{:}16{:}37.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}40.294$ More information is available

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00{:}16{:}40.294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}41.311$ at yale cancercenter.org.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77595533

 $00:16:41.311 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.837$ You're listening to Connecticut public radio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333

00:16:44.740 --> 00:16:46.798 Welcome back to Yale Cancer Answers.

 $00:16:46.800 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.380$ This is doctor Anees Chagpar

NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333

 $00:16:48.380 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.515$ and I'm joined tonight by my guest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333

 $00:16:50.520 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.753$ Doctor George Goshua.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333

 $00:16:51.753 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.630$ We're talking about the field of classical

NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333

00:16:54.699 --> 00:16:56.819 hematology and more specifically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333

 $00:16:56.820 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.440$ Doctor Goshua has a special

NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333

 $00:16:59.440 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.536$ expertise in decision science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333

 $00:17:01.540 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.200$ And right before the break,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333

 $00:17:03.200 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.053$ he was starting to tell us about how he

NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333

 $00:17:06.053 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.718$ brings decision science into the clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333

 $00:17:08.720 \dashrightarrow 00:17:10.890$ So George, maybe you can pick up

NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333

 $00:17:10.890 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.500$ the conversation where we left it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333

 $00:17:12.500 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.380$ So as I understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333

 $00:17:14.380 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.545$ we were talking about ITP and how there

NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333

 $00:17:18.545 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.283$ are three different options for treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333

 $00{:}17{:}22.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}24.678$ surgical versus non surgical

 $00:17:24.678 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.663$ and these can be sequenced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333

 $00:17:27.670 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.058$ We really don't have a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333

00:17:30.058 --> 00:17:31.650 of clinical trial data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333

 $00:17:31.650 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.608$ but you were about to tell us kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.831071828333333

 $00{:}17{:}34.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}37.236$ of how you use decision analytics

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:17:37.410 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.698$ as we come back to this decision of

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:17:40.698 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.350$ splenectomy versus the medication options.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:17:43.350 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.974$ We know what the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:17:45.974 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.501$ looks like at least observationally

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:17:48.501 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.020$ for splenectomy, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:17:50.020 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.620$ We know it's risk profile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

00:17:51.620 --> 00:17:54.292 We know that over the last 20 years

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00{:}17{:}54.292 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}56.826$ we've kind of moved away from it and

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:17:56.826 \longrightarrow 00:17:59.617$ I think in some ways for good reason.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:17:59.620 \longrightarrow 00:18:01.830$ But the question then becomes

 $00:18:01.830 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.040$ what is that good reason,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:18:04.040 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.427$ the good reason being that it's often

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00{:}18{:}06.427 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}09.019$ assumed I think by us as physicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:18:09.019 \longrightarrow 00:18:11.263$ that our patients prefer therapies and

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:18:11.328 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.648$ the rapeutics that are less invasive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

00:18:13.650 --> 00:18:15.290 And more often than not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:18:15.290 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.414$ that is correct.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00{:}18{:}17.414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}20.954$ But sometimes there are circumstances

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:18:20.954 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.682$ where patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:18:22.682 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.930$ their values and preferences

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:18:23.930 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.178$ of course are paramount.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:18:25.180 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.805$ And so sometimes there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:18:26.805 \longrightarrow 00:18:28.105$ circumstances where you actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:18:28.105 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.202$ will have an individual who is

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

00:18:30.202 --> 00:18:31.578 interested in pursuing splenectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00{:}18{:}31.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}33.060$ In this particular context,

 $00:18:33.060 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.280$ but will not because of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:18:35.347 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.227$ counseling that they receive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:18:37.230 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.982$ And so we wanted to take a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

00:18:39.982 --> 00:18:42.473 objective look at this and to model

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

00:18:42.473 --> 00:18:44.650 what would your life look like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769 00:18:44.650 --> 00:18:45.272 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

00:18:45.272 --> 00:18:47.449 if you can simulate a thousands of

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:18:47.449 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.815$ times making one decision or another

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00{:}18{:}49.815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}51.805$ decision or yet another decision.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:18:51.810 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.886$ And that is the beauty of

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

00:18:53.886 --> 00:18:54.924 decision analytic modeling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:18:54.930 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.628$ It allows us to quantify that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00{:}18{:}56.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}59.297$ It allows us to run those simulations

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

00:18:59.297 --> 00:19:02.269 to make sure that we have addressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:19:02.270 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.100$ all of the concerns and so

00:19:04.100 --> 00:19:05.320 putting that all together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:19:05.320 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.140$ what we showed was that

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:19:08.140 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.928$ utilizing splenectomy early is

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:19:09.928 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.610$ absolutely fine and in fact the

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:19:12.683 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.975$ quality adjusted life years that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

00:19:14.975 --> 00:19:18.249 accrue if you as the patient make a

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:19:18.249 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.339$ decision to pursue splenectomy at

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:19:20.339 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.430$ least on a population level that

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00{:}19{:}22.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}25.703$ is just as fine of a decision as

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:19:25.703 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.739$ pursuing the medication therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:19:27.740 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.425$ And so for those individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:19:29.425 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.110$ for whom it makes sense,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:19:31.110 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.630$ they shouldn't be dissuaded for

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:19:32.630 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.459$ pursuing a therapy that is going

NOTE Confidence: 0.845594269230769

 $00:19:34.459 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.244$ to be just as effective for them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879992665

 $00:19:36.300 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.858$ if the two options are equivalent,

 $00:19:39.860 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.945$ patients may still be left

NOTE Confidence: 0.879992665

 $00:19:41.945 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.613$ in this decisional conundrum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879992665

00:19:43.620 --> 00:19:46.140 And so how do you help patients with that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:19:46.700 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.164$ That drives back to one

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:19:49.164 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.014$ approach that my lab takes is to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:19:52.014 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.217$ sure that whenever we build models

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

00:19:54.217 --> 00:19:56.629 that try to approximate real life

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:19:56.629 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.923$ and that's what they are, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00{:}19{:}58.923 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}00.367$ There are only approximations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:20:00.370 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.610$ We always take the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:20:02.610 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.506$ conservative assumptions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:20:03.510 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.090$ And so for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:20:05.090 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.670$ in that particular study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00{:}20{:}06.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}08.795$ although we show equivalence where

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

00:20:08.795 --> 00:20:11.759 in the past the thought has been

00:20:11.759 --> 00:20:14.045 or the clinical practice has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

00:20:14.045 --> 00:20:16.569 to pursue the medication therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

00:20:16.570 --> 00:20:18.518 Although we show equivalence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:20:18.518 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.440$ in fact if you use assumptions

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:20:21.522 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.470$ that are more realistic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:20:23.470 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.966$ i.e do not downplay the benefits

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

00:20:25.966 --> 00:20:28.641 of splenectomy and do not over

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

00:20:28.641 --> 00:20:31.080 exaggerate the risks, which is what

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:20:31.080 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.830$ we did in this model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:20:32.830 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.680$ then you'll find that the splenectomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00{:}20{:}35.680 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}20{:}38.803$ option becomes a little bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:20:38.803 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.087$ favorable in certain circumstances.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:20:41.090 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.220$ But separate from that because we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:20:43.220 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.384$ talking on a population level and

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:20:45.384 \longrightarrow 00:20:47.424$ the really exciting bit is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:20:47.430 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.446$ we can take that and then we

00:20:49.446 --> 00:20:50.597 can personalize it, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

00:20:50.597 --> 00:20:52.606 Because this is on a population level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:20:52.610 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.118$ this is all comers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

00:20:54.118 --> 00:20:56.910 If you're a 30 year old woman

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

00:20:56.910 --> 00:20:59.110 versus if you're a 55 year old man,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:20:59.110 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.520$ there's a very real difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

00:21:01.520 --> 00:21:02.940 in your actual responses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

00:21:02.940 --> 00:21:05.525 a 30 year old woman will have

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:21:05.525 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.057$ a much better outcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:21:07.060 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.852$ typically with splenectomy than

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:21:08.852 \longrightarrow 00:21:11.328$ a 55 year old man as compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:21:11.328 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.680$ to the medication therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:21:12.680 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.500$ And so the next steps for

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00{:}21{:}15.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}17.380$ that particular question are

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:21:17.467 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.727$ to personalize and

 $00:21:19.730 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.930$ not just to see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00{:}21{:}20.930 \to 00{:}21{:}22.730$ but to actually give an opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

00:21:22.791 --> 00:21:24.347 for physicians right through

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

00:21:24.347 --> 00:21:25.903 an easy visual interface,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

00:21:25.910 --> 00:21:27.680 essentially where they can plug

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:21:27.680 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.450$ in the parameters of importance

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:21:29.511 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.197$ like age and gender and other

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:21:31.197 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.223$ diseases that may be at play that

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:21:33.223 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.628$ we know affect these risks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:21:34.630 \longrightarrow 00:21:36.604$ To then in their clinic calculate

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00{:}21{:}36.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}38.298$ and simulate what actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

00:21:38.298 --> 00:21:40.200 happened the vast majority of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:21:40.200 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.483$ time and to be able to provide

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:21:42.483 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.068$ those estimates to patients so

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:21:44.068 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.350$ they can make a decision that

NOTE Confidence: 0.858376937333333

 $00:21:45.350 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.550$ makes the most sense for them.

00:21:48.420 --> 00:21:51.198 And that sounds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695

 $00:21:51.200 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.146$ you know, really quite wonderful if

NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695

00:21:54.146 --> 00:21:57.260 you're able to take all of the data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695

00:21:57.260 --> 00:22:00.116 put it into an analytic model that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695

 $00:22:00.116 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.418$ be personalized so that people can say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695

00:22:02.420 --> 00:22:05.669 OK, tell me what's best for me and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695

 $00:22:05.669 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.780$ can put in all of those parameters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695

 $00:22:08.780 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.960$ That sounds really quite wonderful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695

 $00:22:10.960 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.298$ Has that found its way into the clinic in

NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695

 $00{:}22{:}15.298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}19.672$ he matology specifically, but then if it

NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695

00:22:19.672 --> 00:22:22.856 has, where are we going in terms of taking

NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695

00:22:22.856 --> 00:22:25.456 that into the clinic for many, many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695

 $00{:}22{:}25.456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}28.732$ many other diseases where patients still

NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695

00:22:28.732 --> 00:22:31.474 struggle with well, what should I do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695

 $00:22:31.474 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.410$ Should I, if I have breast cancer,

 $00:22:33.410 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.530$ should I have a lumpectomy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695

 $00{:}22{:}35.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}36.950$ Should I have a mastectomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695

 $00:22:36.950 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.630$ should I do one side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695

00:22:38.630 --> 00:22:39.690 should I do both sides?

NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695

 $00{:}22{:}39.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}43.389$ I mean I can see where this kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.906339695

 $00{:}22{:}43.389 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}46.700$ modeling would be helpful across diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716

 $00:22:48.240 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.180$ Yes. And it has been utilized

NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716

 $00:22:51.180 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.568$ in other disease areas not

NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716

00:22:53.568 --> 00:22:55.280 yet in classical hematology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716

00:22:55.280 --> 00:22:57.002 but I'm really glad you brought

NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716

 $00{:}22{:}57.002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}59.039$ up the example of breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716

00:22:59.040 --> 00:23:00.832 The United States Preventative

NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716

 $00:23:00.832 \longrightarrow 00:23:02.176$ Services Task Force,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716

 $00:23:02.180 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.824$ their recommendation is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716

00:23:03.824 --> 00:23:05.879 based on micro simulation modeling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716

 $00:23:05.880 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.118$ which is a different kind of

00:23:08.118 --> 00:23:09.640 decision analytic modeling for

NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716

 $00{:}23{:}09.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}11.240$ patients with breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716

 $00:23:11.240 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.224$ Micro simulations have also

NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716

 $00:23:13.224 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.496$ been employed to inform the care

NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716

00:23:15.496 --> 00:23:17.091 of patients with lung cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716

 $00:23:17.091 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.848$ and lung cancer screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716

00:23:18.850 --> 00:23:20.926 So there's a very real opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716

 $00{:}23{:}20.926 \to 00{:}23{:}23.693$ here to be able to apply to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716

00:23:23.693 --> 00:23:25.649 field where we have diseases that

NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716

 $00:23:25.650 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.614$ are also rare and also quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716

 $00:23:28.614 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.590$ consequential for our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716

 $00:23:30.590 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.886$ And that's the exciting part of it too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716

 $00{:}23{:}32.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}34.865$ And the exciting bit specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716

 $00:23:34.865 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.445$ is the fact that

NOTE Confidence: 0.920919716

 $00:23:36.450 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.590$ the decision science methodologists

 $00:23:40.660 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.537$ have been pushing that field forward for

NOTE Confidence: 0.893504441666667

00:23:43.537 --> 00:23:46.583 many decades now and the opportunity to

NOTE Confidence: 0.893504441666667

 $00{:}23{:}46.583 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}49.181$ then take the clinical knowledge that

NOTE Confidence: 0.893504441666667

 $00:23:49.258 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.208$ that we've accumulated as physicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.893504441666667

 $00:23:51.208 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.464$ and to be able to try and fuse those

NOTE Confidence: 0.893504441666667

 $00:23:54.464 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.777$ areas of expertise that is what drove

NOTE Confidence: 0.893504441666667

 $00:23:56.777 \longrightarrow 00:23:59.073$ me to this point because it gives me

NOTE Confidence: 0.893504441666667

00:23:59.073 --> 00:24:01.738 a unique opportunity to work with some

NOTE Confidence: 0.893504441666667

00:24:01.738 --> 00:24:03.848 of the brightest minds and decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.893504441666667

 $00:24:03.848 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.552$ science and some of the brightest

NOTE Confidence: 0.893504441666667

 $00{:}24{:}05.552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}08.014$ minds in clinical medicine to try and

NOTE Confidence: 0.893504441666667

 $00:24:08.014 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.286$ conceptualize these problems and

NOTE Confidence: 0.893504441666667

 $00:24:09.290 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.906$ capture them in a way that actually can

NOTE Confidence: 0.893504441666667

00:24:11.906 --> 00:24:14.598 inform one health policy and then second,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893504441666667

 $00:24:14.600 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.124$ individualized treatment decisions

NOTE Confidence: 0.893504441666667 00:24:16.124 --> 00:24:17.140 for patients.

 $00:24:18.580 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.638$ So a couple of questions on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891656825714286

 $00:24:20.640 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.950$ So the first question is why hasn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.891656825714286

00:24:22.950 --> 00:24:25.492 it found its way into clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.891656825714286

 $00:24:25.492 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.460$ practice in clinical hematology?

NOTE Confidence: 0.891656825714286

00:24:27.460 --> 00:24:29.724 I mean, at the outset you made a

NOTE Confidence: 0.891656825714286

00:24:29.724 --> 00:24:32.249 very nice case for using decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.891656825714286

00:24:32.249 --> 00:24:34.137 science in classical hematology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891656825714286

 $00{:}24{:}34.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}37.402$ that being that we don't have large

NOTE Confidence: 0.891656825714286

00:24:37.402 --> 00:24:39.878 randomized control trials for what are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891656825714286

 $00:24:39.880 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.540$ you know, often rare diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891656825714286

 $00:24:42.540 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.177$ one would think that this would be an ideal

NOTE Confidence: 0.891656825714286

 $00:24:45.177 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.158$ platform for the classical hematology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891656825714286

00:24:47.160 --> 00:24:48.312 So why hasn't it

NOTE Confidence: 0.891656825714286

00:24:48.312 --> 00:24:50.310 found its way into clinical practice yet?

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

00:24:51.800 --> 00:24:55.760 I think 2 reasons, probably one

 $00:24:55.760 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.400$ decision science methodologically is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00{:}24{:}58.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}00.308$ I've been told a few times, one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

00:25:00.308 --> 00:25:03.970 the most niche, if not the most niche,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00:25:03.970 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.082$ area speaking methodologically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00:25:08.082 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.519$ there's just not a lot of decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

00:25:11.519 --> 00:25:13.179 scientists in this country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00:25:13.180 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.899$ There's a little bit of a hub on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

00:25:14.899 --> 00:25:16.638 West Coast, a little bit in the Midwest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00:25:16.640 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.818$ and one here in the Northeast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

00:25:18.820 --> 00:25:20.998 And that's kind of mostly it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00:25:21.000 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.320$ And all of them are at the very least,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

00:25:26.320 --> 00:25:30.774 of course Doctors of philosophy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00:25:30.774 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.374$ So PHD's, but MD's and MD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00:25:33.374 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.450$ PhDs and MD's who do decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00:25:35.532 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.164$ science are far and few in between.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00:25:38.170 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.890$ In the United States specifically,

 $00:25:39.890 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.660$ this is different in Europe

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00:25:41.660 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.076$ and different in Canada.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00:25:43.080 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.588$ And that ties into point #2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00:25:45.590 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.498$ which is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

00:25:47.500 --> 00:25:49.232 in general, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00:25:49.232 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.964$ the decision science umbrella

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00:25:50.964 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.249$ includes so many different aspects

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00{:}25{:}53.249 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}55.484$ where you can do simulations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00{:}25{:}55.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}56.870$ where you can weigh decisions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00:25:56.870 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.736$ But if you want to completely

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00:25:58.736 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.669$ separate from that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

00:25:59.670 --> 00:26:02.526 you can also layer in costs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00{:}26{:}02.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}06.096$ And I think that is especially here

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00:26:06.096 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.000$ in the United States when you start to

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

00:26:09.068 --> 00:26:11.690 talk about those two concepts together,

00:26:11.690 --> 00:26:14.350 costs and effectiveness, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

00:26:14.350 --> 00:26:16.345 So cost effectiveness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

00:26:16.350 --> 00:26:17.618 especially during

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00:26:17.618 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.788$ the period here in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00:26:20.790 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.359$ Mid 2000s and the early twenty 10s

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00:26:23.359 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.793$ with the Affordable Care Act and

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

00:26:25.793 --> 00:26:27.898 this conversation about who makes

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

00:26:27.898 --> 00:26:29.969 decisions about your health care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

00:26:29.970 --> 00:26:32.328 who makes decisions about how much

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00:26:32.328 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.709$ is too expensive to pay right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00:26:34.710 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.376$ These are discussions that in some

NOTE Confidence: 0.821558426

 $00:26:38.376 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.509$ ways shaped and morphed the discussion

NOTE Confidence: 0.84159787375

 $00:26:44.840 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.430$ unwillingly in a way about

NOTE Confidence: 0.84159787375

00:26:47.430 --> 00:26:48.984 about decision analytics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84159787375

 $00:26:48.990 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.245$ but we're in a period where now

NOTE Confidence: 0.84159787375

 $00:26:52.250 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.726$ our President has signed into law

 $00:26:56.730 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.050$ an act that will go forward

NOTE Confidence: 0.84159787375

 $00:27:01.050 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.264$ in 2026 and give CMS an opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.84159787375

 $00{:}27{:}04.264 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}06.770$ to start negotiating drug prices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84159787375

 $00:27:06.770 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.002$ So I think reason #2 has to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.84159787375

 $00:27:09.002 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.243$ with this thorny issue of costs

NOTE Confidence: 0.84159787375

 $00:27:11.243 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.258$ and who makes those decisions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84159787375

 $00:27:13.260 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.817$ The reality is, at the end of the day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84159787375

 $00:27:14.820 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.164$ cost also matters, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84159787375

 $00:27:16.164 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.517$ And we need to be able to account for it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84159787375

 $00:27:19.520 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.896$ Now, whether we make decisions on it or not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84159787375

 $00:27:21.900 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.210$ it's totally up to us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851627563181818

00:27:25.180 --> 00:27:28.288 I mean, one would think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.851627563181818

 $00{:}27{:}28.288 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}27{:}30.827$ decision analytics plays such a key

NOTE Confidence: 0.851627563181818

00:27:30.827 --> 00:27:33.083 role in terms of actually grounding

NOTE Confidence: 0.851627563181818

 $00:27:33.083 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.280$ the cost decision in data and on risks

 $00:27:37.280 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.060$ at each decision point along the way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851627563181818

 $00{:}27{:}41.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}43.220$ You mentioned that you're interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.851627563181818

00:27:43.220 --> 00:27:45.839 in public policy and using decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.851627563181818

00:27:45.839 --> 00:27:48.179 analytics to guide public policy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.851627563181818

 $00:27:48.179 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.139$ at the same time individualized care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851627563181818

00:27:51.140 --> 00:27:53.700 Can you talk a little bit in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.851627563181818

 $00:27:53.700 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.867$ last minute about how those two

NOTE Confidence: 0.851627563181818

 $00:27:55.870 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.669$ are either at odds or how they come together?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7821816525

00:27:59.750 --> 00:28:01.864 Well, I think they can fuse beautifully

NOTE Confidence: 0.7821816525

 $00:28:01.864 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.050$ together, but methodologically

NOTE Confidence: 0.7821816525

 $00{:}28{:}03.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}05.250$ they need to stay separate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7821816525

 $00:28:05.250 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.298$ There are definitely ways that we can help

NOTE Confidence: 0.7821816525

 $00{:}28{:}08.298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}10.110$ individuals personalize their treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7821816525

 $00:28:10.110 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.930$ And one of the avenues that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.7821816525

00:28:11.930 --> 00:28:13.716 going to expand out into is looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.7821816525

00:28:13.716 --> 00:28:15.790 at out of pocket costs in this realm,

00:28:15.790 --> 00:28:18.580 which hasn't really been done a lot at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7821816525

 $00:28:18.580 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.270$ And then separate from that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7821816525

 $00:28:20.270 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.147$ keep the health system policy issues separate

NOTE Confidence: 0.7821816525

 $00:28:23.147 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.628$ and the stakeholders are very different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7821816525

 $00:28:25.630 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.446$ So you need to be able to cater

NOTE Confidence: 0.7821816525

 $00:28:27.446 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.685$ to those specific stakeholders

NOTE Confidence: 0.7821816525

 $00:28:28.685 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.857$ and I think we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.7821816525

 $00:28:30.860 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.838$ going to be able to do both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888044519444445

00:28:31.850 --> 00:28:34.394 Doctor George Goshua is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.888044519444445

 $00{:}28{:}34.394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}36.530$ assistant professor of medicine in

NOTE Confidence: 0.888044519444445

 $00:28:36.530 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.134$ hematology at the Yale School of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888044519444445

00:28:39.140 --> 00:28:41.196 If you have questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888044519444445

 $00{:}28{:}41.196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}43.197$ the address is canceranswers@yale.edu,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888044519444445

 $00{:}28{:}43.197 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}45.939$ and past editions of the program

NOTE Confidence: 0.888044519444445

00:28:45.939 --> 00:28:48.313 are available in audio and written

 $00:28:48.313 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.238$ form at yale cancercenter.org.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888044519444445

 $00:28:49.238 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.622$ We hope you'll join us next week to

NOTE Confidence: 0.888044519444445

 $00:28:51.622 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.437$ learn more about the fight against

NOTE Confidence: 0.888044519444445

 $00{:}28{:}53.437 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}55.240$ cancer here on Connecticut Public Radio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888044519444445

 $00{:}28{:}55.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}57.688$ Funding for Yale Cancer Answers is

NOTE Confidence: 0.888044519444445

 $00:28:57.688 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.000$ provided by Smilow Cancer Hospital.