## WEBVTT

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:02.148 Funding for Yale Cancer Answers is NOTE Confidence: 0.801837488181818  $00{:}00{:}02{.}148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}04{.}180$  provided by Smilow Cancer Hospital. NOTE Confidence: 0.693781148888889  $00:00:06.290 \rightarrow 00:00:08.565$  Welcome to Yale Cancer Answers NOTE Confidence: 0.693781148888889 00:00:08.565 - 00:00:10.385 with Doctor Anees Chappar. NOTE Confidence: 0.693781148888889  $00:00:10.390 \rightarrow 00:00:12.170$  Yale Cancer Answers features the NOTE Confidence: 0.693781148888889 00:00:12.170 --> 00:00:13.570 latest information on cancer NOTE Confidence: 0.693781148888889  $00:00:13.570 \rightarrow 00:00:15.401$  care by welcoming oncologists and NOTE Confidence: 0.693781148888889  $00:00:15.401 \rightarrow 00:00:17.537$  specialists who are on the forefront NOTE Confidence: 0.693781148888889  $00:00:17.537 \longrightarrow 00:00:19.430$  of the battle to fight cancer. NOTE Confidence: 0.693781148888889 00:00:19.430 --> 00:00:21.450 This week, it's a conversation NOTE Confidence: 0.693781148888889  $00:00:21.450 \rightarrow 00:00:23.066$  about breast reconstruction after NOTE Confidence: 0.693781148888889 00:00:23.066 --> 00:00:24.947 cancer with Doctor Paris Butler. NOTE Confidence: 0.693781148888889  $00{:}00{:}24.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}26.834$  Doctor Butler is an associate professor NOTE Confidence: 0.693781148888889 00:00:26.834 --> 00:00:28.781 in the division of Plastic Surgery NOTE Confidence: 0.693781148888889  $00:00:28.781 \rightarrow 00:00:30.689$  at the Yale School of Medicine, NOTE Confidence: 0.693781148888889

 $00:00:30.690 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.500$  where Doctor Chagpar is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.693781148888889

 $00{:}00{:}32.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}33.948$  professor of surgical on cology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86462364

00:00:35.290 --> 00:00:37.442 Paris, maybe we can start off by you

NOTE Confidence: 0.86462364

 $00{:}00{:}37.442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}39.389$  telling us a little bit more about

NOTE Confidence: 0.86462364

 $00:00:39.389 \rightarrow 00:00:41.200$  yourself and what it is you do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868893317222222

 $00:00:41.350 \dashrightarrow 00:00:43.758$  The vast majority of my practice as a NOTE Confidence: 0.868893317222222

 $00:00:43.758 \dashrightarrow 00:00:45.838$  plastic and reconstructive surgery is

NOTE Confidence: 0.868893317222222

 $00:00:45.838 \dashrightarrow 00:00:48.183$  in the breast reconstruction space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868893317222222

 $00:00:48.190 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.332$  The other majority of my practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.868893317222222

 $00:00:50.332 \rightarrow 00:00:53.010$  really is in in body contouring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868893317222222

 $00{:}00{:}53.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}55.674$  So I work pretty much from the clavicles

NOTE Confidence: 0.868893317222222

 $00:00:55.674 \rightarrow 00:00:58.148$  all the way down when it comes to

NOTE Confidence: 0.868893317222222

 $00:00:58.150 \dashrightarrow 00:00:59.750$  restoration of form and function,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868893317222222

 $00:00:59.750 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.440$  as we like to say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914734061

00:01:01.610 --> 00:01:04.326 So let's talk a little bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.914734061

 $00:01:04.326 \rightarrow 00:01:05.490$  about breast reconstruction,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.914734061
- $00{:}01{:}05{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}08{.}910$  particularly after a cancer diagnosis.

00:01:08.910 --> 00:01:10.940 You know, for many women who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.914734061

 $00:01:10.940 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.428$  faced with a breast cancer diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914734061

 $00:01:13.430 \rightarrow 00:01:15.509$  that's always a question that they have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914734061

 $00:01:15.510 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.774$  Especially if

NOTE Confidence: 0.914734061

 $00:01:18.774 \rightarrow 00:01:22.649$  they're faced with the loss of a breast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914734061

 $00:01:22.650 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.910$  what will that look like?

NOTE Confidence: 0.914734061

 $00:01:23.910 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.130$  What will that feel like?

NOTE Confidence: 0.914734061

 $00:01:25.130 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.328$  How will that impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.914734061

 $00:01:28.330 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.314$  their sense of femininity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914734061

 $00:01:30.314 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.258$  of sexuality, of body image.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914734061

 $00{:}01{:}32.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}34.470$  So talk a little bit about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.914734061

 $00:01:34.542 \rightarrow 00:01:37.446$  options that women have for breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.914734061

00:01:37.446 --> 00:01:39.382 reconstruction after a mastectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00:01:39.670 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.530$  It's a great question,

 $00:01:41.530 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.994$  and it's a broad one and I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00:01:42.994 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.029$  probably back up a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00:01:44.030 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.982$  So the goal of breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00:01:46.982 \rightarrow 00:01:49.467$  reconstruction as we say is to kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00{:}01{:}49{.}467 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}51{.}573$  of restore form and function as

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00:01:51.573 \dashrightarrow 00:01:53.745$  it pertains to the breast mound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00:01:53.750 \dashrightarrow 00:01:55.790$  We as plastic and reconstructive

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00:01:55.790 \rightarrow 00:01:58.630$  surgeons are at least from my purview,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00{:}01{:}58.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}02{:}02{:}162$  I love what I do because we get to

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00:02:02.162 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.412$  kind of bring some joy hopefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00:02:04.412 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.427$  to a difficult conversation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00{:}02{:}06{.}427 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}08{.}511$  particularly as it pertains to a recent

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

00:02:08.511 --> 00:02:10.500 diagnosis of breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00:02:10.500 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.448$  So we know in the US about 250,000 new

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00{:}02{:}13.448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}15.632$  breast cancers are diagnosed every year

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00:02:15.632 \rightarrow 00:02:17.552$  that results in about 100,000 mastectomies.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333
- $00{:}02{:}17.552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}20.240$  So when the cancer is of a size
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333
- $00:02:20.304 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.248$  that it can't be removed locally
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333
- $00:02:22.248 \rightarrow 00:02:24.399$  through what we call a lumpectomy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333
- $00:02:24.400 \dashrightarrow 00:02:26.290$  then removal of the entire breast
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333
- $00{:}02{:}26.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}27.887$  is indicated or sometimes the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333
- $00:02:27.887 \longrightarrow 00:02:29.267$  patient says,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333
- $00:02:29.270 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.950$  I've had a cancer in this breast,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333
- $00:02:30.950 \rightarrow 00:02:33.722$  I don't want the chance of a recurrence,
- $00{:}02{:}36{.}734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}38{.}992$  so I'll have the entire breast removed and a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333
- $00:02:38.992 \rightarrow 00:02:40.630$  prophylactic master on the other side,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333
- $00:02:40.630 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.846$  prophylactic means that there's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333
- $00:02:41.846 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.670$  no cancer in that other breast,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333
- $00{:}02{:}43.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}45.644$  but they're removing it to prevent a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333
- $00:02:45.644 \rightarrow 00:02:47.830$  cancer from ever occurring in the future,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333
- $00:02:47.830 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.038$  or at least that's the hope.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333
- $00:02:50.040 \rightarrow 00:02:51.816$  As a plastic and reconstructive surgeon

 $00:02:51.816 \rightarrow 00:02:54.398$  that does a lot of breast reconstruction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00:02:54.400 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.415$  our goal is to reconstruct

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00:02:56.415 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.030$  a breast mound. We do about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00:02:59.030 \rightarrow 00:03:01.787$  in this country, almost 140,000

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00:03:01.787 \rightarrow 00:03:03.518$  breast reconstruction procedures

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00:03:03.518 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.826$  per year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00:03:05.830 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.026$  That's a big number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00{:}03{:}08{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}13{.}080$  We think that about 65% of the time in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00:03:13.080 \rightarrow 00:03:14.950$  country when a mastectomy is performed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00:03:14.950 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.400$  a patient will opt for some kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00:03:17.400 \rightarrow 00:03:19.130$  of breast reconstruction procedure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00:03:19.130 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.818$  And that does come,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00{:}03{:}20{.}818 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}24{.}729$  as you alluded to, in various forms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

00:03:24.730 --> 00:03:27.327 75% of the time when we perform

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00:03:27.327 \rightarrow 00:03:28.970$  breast reconstruction in the US

 $00:03:28.970 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.305$  it's an implant based reconstruction

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00:03:31.305 \rightarrow 00:03:34.320$  where we use a prosthetic implant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00{:}03{:}34{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}36{.}042$  either saline or silicone that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00:03:36.042 \rightarrow 00:03:38.188$  implant into the chest wall

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00{:}03{:}38{.}188 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}39{.}753$  to reconstruct that breast mound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00{:}03{:}39{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}42{.}296$  And then about 25 to 30% of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00:03:42.296 \rightarrow 00:03:45.152$  time we do what we call autologous

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00:03:45.152 \rightarrow 00:03:47.030$  reconstruction where auto is self.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00:03:47.030 \rightarrow 00:03:49.379$  So we use a different part of the body,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00:03:49.380 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.228$  we remove a part of the body say

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00:03:51.228 \rightarrow 00:03:52.988$  from the abdomen, the thighs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00:03:52.988 \dashrightarrow 00:03:55.746$  the but tocks and we use that tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00:03:55.746 \rightarrow 00:03:57.107$  through microsurgical techniques

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00{:}03{:}57{.}107 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}00{.}229$  to create a a new breast mound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00{:}04{:}00{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}02{.}462$  The goal we say is to create a breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333

 $00:04:02.462 \rightarrow 00:04:05.017$  mound to get patients to look quote unquote,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333
- $00:04:05.020 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.040$  normal in clothes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333
- $00:04:06.040 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.811$  I think many of us are proud to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333
- $00:04:08.811 \rightarrow 00:04:10.988$  say that we can get our patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333
- $00:04:10.988 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.575$  to look normal in underwear and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333
- $00:04:13.575 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.460$  normal in a bathing suit.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333
- 00:04:15.460 00:04:15.674 However,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333
- $00:04:15.674 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.386$  as soon as the bathing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333
- $00:04:17.386 \rightarrow 00:04:19.158$  suits is removed or the underwear is removed,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333
- $00:04:19.160 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.468$  there's always going to be scars.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333
- $00:04:20.470 \rightarrow 00:04:22.528$  There's no such thing as scarless surgery
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333
- 00:04:22.528 --> 00:04:25.077 and I don't want to paint a grim picture,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333
- $00{:}04{:}25{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}26{.}949$  but I think it's important to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802477963333333
- $00{:}04{:}26{.}949 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}28{.}122$  have that realistic expectation.
- 00:04:29.020 --> 00:04:31.988 Ao let's dive a little bit
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857239067777778
- $00:04:31.988 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.818$  deeper into these options.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857239067777778
- $00:04:33.818 \rightarrow 00:04:36.605$  So what are the things that you consider

 $00:04:36.605 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.215$  or that patients could consider when

NOTE Confidence: 0.857239067777778

 $00:04:39.215 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.419$  they're thinking about first of all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857239067777778

00:04:41.420 --> 00:04:43.580 do I get reconstruction or not?

 $00:04:46.460 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.980$  It starts with that initial conversation

NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667

00:04:48.980 --> 00:04:51.906 with the breast surgeon or the surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667

00:04:51.906 --> 00:04:54.264 on cologist pertaining to what kind of NOTE Confidence: 0.9183792016666667

 $00:04:54.264 \rightarrow 00:04:56.696$  cancer surgery they're going to need.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9183792016666667

00:04:56.700 --> 00:04:58.684 You know, breast reconstruction

NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667

 $00:04:58.684 \rightarrow 00:05:02.192$  in my opinion and many others is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9183792016666667

 $00:05:02.192 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.427$  a full continuum of offerings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667

 $00{:}05{:}04{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}05{.}900$  As an example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667

 $00{:}05{:}05{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}08{.}498$  I mentioned the fact that about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9183792016666667

 $00{:}05{:}08{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}09{.}718$ 65% of the time a woman will

NOTE Confidence: 0.9183792016666667

 $00{:}05{:}09{.}718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}10{.}900$  opt for breast reconstruction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9183792016666667

 $00:05:10.900 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.196$  That means 35% of the time in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667

 $00:05:13.196 \rightarrow 00:05:15.590$  US when a woman has a mastectomy.

 $00:05:15.590 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.165$  They don't have formal breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667

 $00{:}05{:}17.165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}19.200$  reconstruction for one reason or another.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667

 $00:05:19.200 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.718$  They're either too sick or have

NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667

 $00:05:20.718 \rightarrow 00:05:22.134$  too many other medical challenges

NOTE Confidence: 0.9183792016666667

 $00:05:22.134 \dashrightarrow 00:05:23.904$  that would preclude them from

NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667

00:05:23.904 --> 00:05:24.966 getting additional surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9183792016666667

00:05:24.970 --> 00:05:26.510 Or they just say, you know what,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667

 $00:05:26.510 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.942$  I don't want to go through any additional

NOTE Confidence: 0.9183792016666667

 $00:05:28.942 \rightarrow 00:05:31.050$  operations to reconstruct breast mounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667

 $00:05:31.050 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.550$  I'm fine with being closed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9183792016666667

 $00{:}05{:}33{.}412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}35{.}998$  So our continuum as plastic and

NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667

 $00{:}05{:}35{.}998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}37{.}556$  reconstructive surgeons that work

NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667

 $00{:}05{:}37{.}556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}39{.}747$  and live in this space spans from

NOTE Confidence: 0.9183792016666667

 $00{:}05{:}39{.}747 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}42{.}158$  what I call aesthetic flap closures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667

 $00:05:42.160 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.528$  that's for the woman who says,

 $00:05:43.530 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.905$  listen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667

00:05:43.905 --> 00:05:46.155 I don't want reconstructed breast mounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667

00:05:46.160 --> 00:05:47.632 But I don't want to be left behind

NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667

 $00:05:47.632 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.332$  with a lot of redundant skin that

NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667

 $00{:}05{:}49{.}332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}50{.}612$  can get rashes and irritation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667

 $00{:}05{:}50{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}52{.}608$  So can you help the breast surgeon

NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667

 $00:05:52.608 \rightarrow 00:05:54.806$  in just closing things flat so I can

NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667

 $00:05:54.806 \rightarrow 00:05:56.427$  either be fitted with an external

NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667

 $00{:}05{:}56{.}427 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}58{.}380$  prosthesis or so I can get tattoos

NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667

 $00{:}05{:}58{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}01{.}832$  or no tattoos or just once again to

NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667

 $00{:}06{:}01.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}05.318$  avoid that redundancy with excess skin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9183792016666667

 $00:06:05.320 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.574$  Then you move to more

NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667

 $00{:}06{:}07{.}574 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}09{.}845$  formal things like implant based

NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667

 $00{:}06{:}09{.}845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}11{.}805$  reconstruction to flap surgery as we

NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667

00:06:11.805 --> 00:06:14.190 like to call it or autologous surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667

 $00:06:14.190 \rightarrow 00:06:16.600$  to something that I'm actually

- NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667
- 00:06:16.600 --> 00:06:18.916 fairly excited about of late where
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667
- 00:06:18.916 --> 00:06:21.455 a patient will have a lumpectomy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667
- 00:06:21.455 --> 00:06:22.829 and they've always
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9183792016666667
- $00:06:22.830 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.120$  had larger breasts and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9183792016666667
- $00{:}06{:}24.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}25.410$  they've always wanted a breast
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667
- $00{:}06{:}25{.}456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}26{.}548$  reduction or breast lift,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9183792016666667
- $00:06:26.550 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.090$  and the silver lining of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667
- $00:06:28.090 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.322$  their cancer diagnosis is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667
- $00{:}06{:}29{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}31{.}143$  the fact that the breast surgeon
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667
- $00:06:31.143 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.685$  can do the lumpectomy and then
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667
- $00:06:32.685 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.837$  I can come in and do a formal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667
- $00{:}06{:}34.837 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}36.593$  breast reduction or oncoplastic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667
- $00{:}06{:}36{.}593 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}38{.}788$  reconstruction and in this circumstance
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667
- $00:06:38.790 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.320$  actually make their breast maybe
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667
- $00:06:40.320 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.544$  aesthetically more pleasing than
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9183792016666667

 $00:06:41.544 \rightarrow 00:06:43.207$  they were prior to their diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667

 $00:06:43.210 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.778$  So the continuum of breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667

00:06:45.778 --> 00:06:46.634 reconstruction offerings

NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667

 $00:06:46.640 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.139$  that many of us have in

NOTE Confidence: 0.918379201666667

 $00:06:49.139 \rightarrow 00:06:50.999$  our toolkit continues to expand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932746268

 $00:06:51.870 \rightarrow 00:06:53.770$  And so as you mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932746268

 $00{:}06{:}53.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}55.936$  you know the discussion about whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.932746268

 $00:06:55.936 \dashrightarrow 00:06:58.606$  or not to reconstruct often has to

NOTE Confidence: 0.932746268

 $00{:}06{:}58.606 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}00{.}146$  do with patients comorbidities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932746268

 $00:07:00.150 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.509$  it might have to do with their

NOTE Confidence: 0.932746268

 $00{:}07{:}02.509 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}04.860$  cancer with whether or not radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.932746268

 $00{:}07{:}04.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}06.970$  is expected after the mastectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932746268

00:07:06.970 --> 00:07:09.539 Can you talk a little bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.932746268

 $00:07:09.540 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.090$  that interface between

NOTE Confidence: 0.932746268

 $00{:}07{:}12.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}14.640$  radiation and reconstruction and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.932746268

 $00:07:14.721 \rightarrow 00:07:17.864$  that kind of plays into your decision

- NOTE Confidence: 0.932746268
- $00:07:17.864 \dashrightarrow 00:07:20.296$  to either reconstruct versus not
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932746268
- $00:07:20.296 \rightarrow 00:07:22.806$  reconstruct immediately versus in a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932746268
- $00:07:22.806 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.524$  delayed fashion and or the type of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932746268
- $00:07:25.524 \rightarrow 00:07:27.029$  reconstruction that you might choose.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649
- 00:07:27.850 --> 00:07:30.170 It's a very good question,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649
- $00:07:30.170 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.510$  and one that could easily
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649
- $00:07:32.510 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.210$  go for an hour or more in response.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649
- 00:07:36.210 --> 00:07:38.170 I will say, radiation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649
- $00{:}07{:}38{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}39{.}346$  does complicate things.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649
- 00:07:39.350 --> 00:07:41.485 I tell my patients because I'm very
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649
- $00:07:41.485 \rightarrow 00:07:43.249$  also proudly boarded in general surgery
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649
- 00:07:43.249 --> 00:07:45.630 and speak a lot of the cancer language
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649
- 00:07:45.630 --> 00:07:47.238 although I'd never overstep
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649
- $00{:}07{:}47.238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}48.846$  my surgical on cology colleagues.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649
- 00:07:48.850 --> 00:07:51.040 But I understand the magnitude
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649

 $00:07:51.040 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.322$  of radiation therapy and as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649

 $00{:}07{:}54.322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}56.338$  plastic and reconstructive surgeon

NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649

 $00{:}07{:}56{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}58{.}860$  I understand the fact that radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649

 $00:07:58.860 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.356$  is necessary many times for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649

 $00:08:01.356 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.206$  on cologic or the cancer care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649

 $00:08:03.210 \dashrightarrow 00:08:05.378$  but it's tough on skin and soft tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649

 $00:08:05.380 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.820$  That's just the reality of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649

 $00:08:06.820 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.996$  But in light of that we still

NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649

 $00{:}08{:}08{.}996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}09{.}540$  move forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649

 $00:08:09.540 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.200$  So it is my practice that as

NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649

00:08:13.200 --> 00:08:15.300 it pertains to radiation needs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649

 $00:08:15.300 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.385$  we still will offer patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649

00:08:17.385 --> 00:08:18.219 reconstructive options.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649

 $00:08:18.220 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.920$  Now sometimes that will depend upon

NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649

 $00:08:20.920 \dashrightarrow 00:08:23.620$  when the radiation needs to be given,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649

 $00:08:23.620 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.330$  that impacts the kind of reconstruction

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649
- $00:08:26.330 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.702$  that we are offering.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649
- $00:08:27.702 \rightarrow 00:08:29.074$  So as an example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649
- $00:08:29.080 \longrightarrow 00:08:31.192$  if a patient has never had
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649
- $00:08:31.192 \rightarrow 00:08:33.125$  radiation before but is going
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649
- 00:08:33.125 -> 00:08:35.355 to need radiation after surgery,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649
- $00:08:35.360 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.264$  in my opinion they can still be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649
- $00{:}08{:}37{.}264 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}39{.}180$  offered either an implant based
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649
- $00{:}08{:}39{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}40.756$  reconstruction or an autologous
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649
- $00:08:40.756 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.108$  reconstruction.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649
- $00:08:41.108 \dashrightarrow 00:08:44.118$  And many times we still do that up front,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649
- $00:08:44.120 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.432$  we still do that at the time
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649
- $00{:}08{:}46{.}432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}48{.}657$  of their initial operation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649
- $00{:}08{:}48.657 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}50.679$  There have been an increase in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649
- $00{:}08{:}50.679 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}53.001$  amounts of of studies that have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649
- $00:08:53.001 \rightarrow 00:08:55.220$  shown that a woman waking up with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649

 $00{:}08{:}55{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}56{.}340$  a breast mound

NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649

00:08:56.340 --> 00:08:58.556 has significantly improved psychological,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649

00:08:58.556 --> 00:08:59.110 social,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649

 $00{:}08{:}59{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}00{.}834$  emotional and functional improvement

NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649

 $00:09:00.834 \dashrightarrow 00:09:02.989$  rather than being closed flat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649

 $00:09:02.990 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.310$  going through the process and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649

 $00:09:04.310 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.260$  trying to get a delayed reconstruction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649

 $00:09:06.260 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.382$  That being said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649

 $00{:}09{:}07{.}382 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}10{.}000$  we do have a subset of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649

 $00{:}09{:}10.083 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}13.030$  that have to get radiation very

NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649

00:09:13.030 --> 00:09:15.339 quickly after their mastectomy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649

 $00{:}09{:}15{.}339 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}18{.}237$  in those instances we would almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649

 $00:09:18.237 \rightarrow 00:09:20.152$  always delay their reconstruction

NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649

 $00:09:20.152 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.507$  until they are have completed

NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649

00:09:22.507 --> 00:09:23.920 their oncologic care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649

 $00:09:23.920 \rightarrow 00:09:25.830$  which would be both chemotherapy

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649
- $00{:}09{:}25.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}26.976$  and radiation the rapy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649
- $00{:}09{:}26{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}28{.}915$  We can't do the reconstruction
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649
- 00:09:28.915 --> 00:09:31.419 until they are at a minimum a year,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649
- $00:09:31.420 \rightarrow 00:09:33.548$  some would say a year and a half
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649
- $00:09:33.548 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.168$  to two years out from their last
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649
- $00:09:36.168 \dashrightarrow 00:09:38.183$  radiation dose, once again because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649
- $00:09:38.253 \rightarrow 00:09:40.545$  that surrounding area is so
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649
- 00:09:40.545 00:09:42.848 fibrous and sometimes still so,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649
- 00:09:42.848 --> 00:09:44.384 so inflamed and recovering
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649
- $00:09:44.384 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.536$  from the radiation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649
- $00{:}09{:}45{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}47{.}275$  I hope I somewhat answered,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86345649
- $00{:}09{:}47.275 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}48.663$  it's a complex question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837940465875
- $00:09:48.720 \rightarrow 00:09:51.432$  Yeah it is a complex question and I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837940465875
- $00{:}09{:}51{.}432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}54{.}088$  I wanted our audience to kind of get
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837940465875
- $00:09:54.088 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.129$  a sense of the nuances that play
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837940465875

 $00:09:57.130 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.720$  into the decisions that go

NOTE Confidence: 0.837940465875

 $00:09:59.720 \longrightarrow 00:10:01.274$  into breast reconstruction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837940465875

 $00{:}10{:}01{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}03{.}792$  The next decision point of course is do

NOTE Confidence: 0.837940465875

 $00:10:03.792 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.495$  I do an implant based reconstruction or

NOTE Confidence: 0.837940465875

 $00{:}10{:}06{.}495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}09{.}640$  do I do an autologous reconstruction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837940465875

 $00:10:09.640 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.554$  Can you talk us through

NOTE Confidence: 0.837940465875

 $00:10:11.554 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.730$  how you talk to patients about

NOTE Confidence: 0.837940465875

 $00:10:13.795 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.063$  that in terms of the advantages and

NOTE Confidence: 0.837940465875

 $00{:}10{:}16{.}063 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}18{.}180$  disadvantages of each and which might be

NOTE Confidence: 0.837940465875

 $00:10:18.180 \rightarrow 00:10:20.220$  best suited for which kind of patient?

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

 $00:10:21.480 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.490$  Yes, it's a another very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

 $00:10:23.490 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.750$  very good question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

00:10:24.750 --> 00:10:26.718 And I'm kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

 $00{:}10{:}26.718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}28.106$  of putting a plug in for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

00:10:28.106 --> 00:10:29.476 American Board of Plastic Surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

00:10:29.480 --> 00:10:30.615 But I think it's really

00:10:30.615 - 00:10:32.130 important and it can't be missed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

 $00:10:32.130 \dashrightarrow 00:10:33.768$  And I get these calls from loved

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

 $00{:}10{:}33.768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}35.726$  ones and friends of loved ones

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

 $00:10:35.726 \rightarrow 00:10:37.532$  around the country about finding and

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

 $00{:}10{:}37{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}39{.}410$  identifying a plastic surgeon to

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

 $00{:}10{:}39{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}41{.}230$  carry out their reconstructive needs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

 $00:10:41.230 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.952$  And I would say ensuring that

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

 $00{:}10{:}42.952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}44.801$  you have a board certified

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

00:10:44.801 --> 00:10:46.205 plastic surgeon is really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

 $00{:}10{:}46{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}49{.}018$  really important and once again

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

 $00{:}10{:}49.018 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}50.554$  that cannot be over emphasized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

 $00{:}10{:}50{.}554 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}52{.}150$  Just go to the American Board

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

 $00:10:52.204 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.488$  of Plastic Surgery website.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

 $00{:}10{:}53{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}55{.}219$  You can type in the surgeon's name

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

 $00{:}10{:}55{.}219 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}57{.}082$  just to ensure that they've

 $00:10:57.082 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.852$  gone through the appropriate

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

00:10:58.852 --> 00:11:00.016 rather rigorous accreditation

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

 $00:11:00.016 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.036$  process to become board certified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

 $00:11:02.040 \rightarrow 00:11:04.640$  Next I would say when you meet with

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

 $00{:}11{:}04.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}06.908$  that plastic and reconstructive surgeon

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

00:11:06.908 --> 00:11:09.776 making sure he or she is willing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

00:11:09.776 --> 00:11:11.854 have the conversation of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

 $00:11:11.854 \rightarrow 00:11:13.819$  full array of reconstructive options.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

00:11:13.820 --> 00:11:15.431 If you happen to go into an office and

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

 $00{:}11{:}15{.}431 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}16{.}907$  the plastic and reconstructive

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

 $00{:}11{:}16{.}907 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}18{.}467$  surgeon is immediately pointing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

00:11:18.467 --> 00:11:19.915 implant based reconstruction and doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

00:11:19.915 --> 00:11:21.907 talk about flap surgery or vice versa,

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

00:11:21.907 --> 00:11:23.989 just wants to talk about flap

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

 $00{:}11{:}23{.}989 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}26{.}117$  surgery and not implant based

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

 $00:11:26.117 \rightarrow 00:11:28.647$  reconstruction without giving the full

- NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312
- 00:11:28.650 --> 00:11:30.698 menu as I like to say
- NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312
- $00{:}11{:}30.698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}33.077$  and then having a real shared
- NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312
- 00:11:33.077 --> 00:11:34.427 decision making experience,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312
- $00{:}11{:}34{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}37{.}430$  I think that can be problematic and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312
- $00:11:37.430 \rightarrow 00:11:40.487$  it's likely a time to get a second opinion.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312
- $00{:}11{:}40{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}42{.}178$  So when it comes to the different options,
- $00{:}11{:}42.702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}44.529$  I've kind of given the two buckets
- NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312
- $00:11:44.529 \rightarrow 00:11:46.129$  of implant based reconstruction
- NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312
- $00{:}11{:}46{.}129 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}47{.}407$  and flap surgery.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312
- $00:11:47.410 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.260$  I give the patients the good,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312
- $00{:}11{:}50{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}52{.}404$  the bad and the indifferent on both and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312
- $00:11:52.404 \rightarrow 00:11:54.480$  there are pluses and minuses to both.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312
- $00:11:54.480 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.270$  So for implant based reconstruction
- NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312
- $00:11:56.270 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.672$  it tends to be a little bit of an
- NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312
- $00:11:59.672 \dashrightarrow 00:12:01.982$  easier faster recovery for the patient.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312
- $00{:}12{:}01{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}04{.}025$  That initial operation with

00:12:04.025 --> 00:12:05.653 implant based reconstruction more

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

 $00{:}12{:}05{.}653 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}07{.}811$  times than not it's done in two

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

 $00:12:07.811 \rightarrow 00:12:09.743$  stages where the breast surgeon or

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

 $00:12:09.743 \rightarrow 00:12:11.608$  the surgical oncologist performs a

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

 $00:12:11.608 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.918$  mastectomy and then we put in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

 $00{:}12{:}13{.}918 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}15{.}403$  device called a tissue expander.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

 $00:12:15.410 \rightarrow 00:12:17.426$  That tissue expander is a kind of a place holder

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

 $00:12:17.430 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.894$  for a couple weeks and then as

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

 $00{:}12{:}19.894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}22.400$  the patient starts to heal in the office,

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

 $00:12:22.400 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.338$  the patient returns every other week

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

 $00:12:24.338 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.556$  and we slowly start to fill that

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

 $00:12:26.556 \rightarrow 00:12:28.284$  tissue expander to get the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

 $00:12:28.284 \rightarrow 00:12:30.612$  to the size that they desire and

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

 $00:12:30.612 \rightarrow 00:12:32.323$  as surgeons are comfortable with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312

 $00{:}12{:}32{.}323 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}34{.}681$  And then about once we've gotten

- $00:12:34.681 \rightarrow 00:12:36.400$  them to size about,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312
- $00{:}12{:}36{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}38{.}738$  I would say that takes about two
- NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312
- $00:12:38.738 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.740$  to three months,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312
- $00{:}12{:}39{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}41{.}900$  we go back for a second operation which
- NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312
- $00:12:41.900 \rightarrow 00:12:43.720$  is actually a pretty quick operation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312
- $00:12:43.720 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.866$  maybe an hour and a half,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312
- $00{:}12{:}44.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}46.712$  two hour operation where we take
- NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312
- $00:12:46.712 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.940$  out that tissue expander.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312
- $00{:}12{:}47{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}50{.}600$  When we put in the soft implant.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312
- $00:12:50.600 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.480$  It's a process.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312
- $00:12:52.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.768$  It takes two to three months to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312
- $00{:}12{:}53.768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}54.570$  to go through that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312
- 00:12:54.570 --> 00:12:55.767 But once again,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312
- $00:12:55.767 \rightarrow 00:12:57.363$  we've gotten outstanding results
- NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312
- $00{:}12{:}57{.}363 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}00{.}323$  and we have a lot of control in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312
- $00:13:00.323 \rightarrow 00:13:02.018$  that setting with flap surgery.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.809729312
- $00:13:02.020 \rightarrow 00:13:04.156$  The up front is rather significant.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205
- $00:13:04.160 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.280$  So instead of that initial
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205
- $00:13:06.280 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.400$  3 to 4 hour operation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205
- $00:13:08.400 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.407$  this is more like a 8 to 10 hour
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205
- $00{:}13{:}10{.}407 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}12{.}409$  operation if not longer where we take
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205
- $00:13:12.409 \rightarrow 00:13:13.941$  tissue frequently from the abdomen
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205
- $00{:}13{:}13{.}941 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}15{.}586$  because that's where most Americans
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205
- $00{:}13{:}15{.}586 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}18{.}246$  have the tissue to donate and we use
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205
- $00:13:18.246 \rightarrow 00:13:21.210$  that abdominal tissue and we do microsurgery
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205
- $00{:}13{:}21{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}22{.}770$  to connect the small little blood
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205
- $00:13:22.770 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.772$  vessels in order to make that tissue
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205
- $00{:}13{:}24.772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}26.292$  live because the tissue couldn't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205
- $00:13:26.292 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.590$  live without blood supply.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205
- $00{:}13{:}27{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}29{.}522$  So that process of moving tissue
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205
- $00{:}13{:}29{.}522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}31{.}825$  from the abdomen or the buttocks of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205

 $00{:}13{:}31.825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}34.037$  the gluteal region up to the breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205

00:13:34.103 --> 00:13:36.497 once again 8 to to 10 hours and

NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205

 $00{:}13{:}36{.}497 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}38{.}774$  requires about three to four days in NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205

 $00:13:38.774 \rightarrow 00:13:40.985$  the hospital just for recovery and

NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205

 $00{:}13{:}40.985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}43.280$  comes with the risk of, we

NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205

 $00:13:43.346 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.866$  use the term or the phrase

NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205

 $00:13:45.866 \rightarrow 00:13:47.826$  you're robbing Peter to pay Paul.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205

 $00:13:47.830 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.606$  So if we're taking tissue from

NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205

00:13:49.606 --> 00:13:51.600 the abdomen we do worry about

NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205

 $00:13:51.600 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.055$  the potential of developing a

NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205

 $00{:}13{:}53.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}55.108$  hernia or a bulge at the abdomen

NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205

 $00{:}13{:}55{.}108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}57{.}252$  because we have to take a strip of

NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205

 $00{:}13{:}57{.}312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}59{.}580$  not only the abdominal skin and

NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205

 $00{:}13{:}59{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}01{.}379$  underlying subcutaneous tissue or fat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205

 $00{:}14{:}01{.}379 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}03{.}053$  but we're also frequently taking a

NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205

 $00:14:03.053 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.885$  small amount of the muscle

- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205
- $00{:}14{:}04.885 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}06.594$  or the fascia that holds the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205
- $00:14:06.594 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.118$  muscle in place in the abdomen.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205
- $00:14:08.120 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.976$  So once again it's a longer operation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205
- 00:14:10.980 --> 00:14:13.570 We do worry about the donor site
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205
- 00:14:13.570 --> 00:14:16.300 when it comes to flap surgery, so
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205
- $00:14:16.300 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.382$  speaking at length with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205
- $00:14:18.382 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.458$  the patient I'm looking at their
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205
- 00:14:20.458 --> 00:14:22.318 body habitus because if it's a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205
- $00:14:22.320 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.450$  fairly thin patient,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205
- $00:14:24.450 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.655$  they may not have enough tissue
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205
- $00{:}14{:}26.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}27.932$  to appropriately recreate breast
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205
- 00:14:27.932 --> 00:14:29.570 mounds and implants is where we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205
- $00{:}14{:}29{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}31{.}682$  would kind of do our best to once
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205
- 00:14:31.682 --> 00:14:33.384 again give them the options but kind
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205
- $00{:}14{:}33{.}384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}34{.}776$  of steer them in that direction.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205

- $00:14:34.780 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.072$  And then patients who
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205
- $00{:}14{:}37{.}072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}38{.}600$  are more robust, we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205
- 00:14:38.600 --> 00:14:40.790 don't have really large implants
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205
- $00:14:40.790 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.283$  and that's where we kind of steer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205
- $00{:}14{:}43.283 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}45.197$  them a little bit more towards
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205
- $00:14:45.197 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.850$  the flap option.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205
- 00:14:46.850 --> 00:14:49.720 I'm doing my best to give you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205
- $00:14:49.720 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.234$  a short answer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205
- $00{:}14{:}51{.}234 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}53{.}626$  but there's no short answer when it comes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900645205
- $00:14:53.626 \rightarrow 00:14:55.654$  to the extent of reconstructive options.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.684258702
- $00:14:55.870 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.630$  Yeah, no, that was great.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.684258702
- $00:14:57.630 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.464$  So we're going to pick up the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.684258702
- $00{:}14{:}59{.}464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}00{.}997$  conversation right after we take a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.684258702
- $00{:}15{:}00{.}997 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}02{.}389$  short break for a medical minute.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.684258702
- $00:15:02.390 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.412$  Please stay tuned to learn more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.684258702
- $00:15:04.412 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.423$  about reconstruction after

- NOTE Confidence: 0.684258702
- 00:15:05.423 --> 00:15:06.907 breast cancer with my guest,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.684258702
- $00:15:06.910 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.029$  doctor Paris Butler.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219
- 00:15:08.490 --> 00:15:10.490 Funding for Yale Cancer Answers
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219
- 00:15:10.490 --> 00:15:12.490 comes from Smilow Cancer Hospital,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219
- $00{:}15{:}12{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}14{.}320$  where their liver cancer program
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219
- 00:15:14.320 --> 00:15:16.150 brings together a dedicated group
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219
- $00:15:16.206 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.866$  of specialists whose focus is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219
- $00:15:17.870 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.694$  determining the best personalized
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219
- $00{:}15{:}19.694 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}21.974$  treatment plan for each patient.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219
- 00:15:21.980 --> 00:15:26.900 Learn more at smilowcancerhospital.org.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219
- $00:15:26.900 \rightarrow 00:15:28.784$  Genetic testing can be useful for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219
- 00:15:28.784 --> 00:15:30.632 people with certain types of cancer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219
- $00:15:30.632 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.494$  that seem to run in their families.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219
- $00{:}15{:}32{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}34{.}405$  Genetic counseling is a process
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219
- $00:15:34.405 \rightarrow 00:15:36.310$  that includes collecting a detailed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219

00:15:36.368 --> 00:15:37.960 personal and family history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219

00:15:37.960 --> 00:15:39.298 a risk assessment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219

 $00:15:39.298 \rightarrow 00:15:42.420$  and a discussion of genetic testing options.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219

00:15:42.420 --> 00:15:44.704 Only about 5 to 10% of all

NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219

 $00{:}15{:}44.704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}45.700$  cancers are inherited,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219

 $00:15:45.700 \rightarrow 00:15:48.370$  and genetic testing is not recommended

NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219

 $00{:}15{:}48.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}50.998$  for every one. Individuals who have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219

 $00:15:50.998 \rightarrow 00:15:53.314$  personal and or family history that

NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219

 $00:15:53.314 \rightarrow 00:15:55.749$  includes cancer at unusually early ages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219

 $00:15:55.750 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.426$  multiple relatives

NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219

 $00{:}15{:}56{.}426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}58{.}454$  on the same side of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219

 $00:15:58.454 \rightarrow 00:16:00.180$  family with the same cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219

 $00:16:00.180 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.050$  more than one diagnosis of

NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219

00:16:02.050 --> 00:16:03.920 cancer in the same individual,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219

00:16:03.920 --> 00:16:04.720 rare cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219

 $00{:}16{:}04.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}07.520$  or family history of a known altered

- NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219
- $00:16:07.520 \rightarrow 00:16:10.022$  cancer predisposing gene could be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219
- $00{:}16{:}10.022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}12.054$  candidates for genetic testing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219
- 00:16:12.060 --> 00:16:14.040 Resources for genetic counseling and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219
- $00:16:14.040 \rightarrow 00:16:16.020$  testing are available at federally
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219
- 00:16:16.084 --> 00:16:18.320 designated comprehensive cancer centers,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219
- 00:16:18.320 --> 00:16:20.150 such as Yale Cancer Center
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219
- 00:16:20.150 --> 00:16:21.980 and Smilow Cancer Hospital.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219
- $00:16:21.980 \longrightarrow 00:16:24.372$  More information is available
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219
- $00:16:24.372 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.404$  at yale cancercenter.org.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219
- 00:16:25.404 --> 00:16:27.140 You're listening to Connecticut.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772849219
- $00:16:27.140 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.990$  Public radio.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8216680766666667
- $00{:}16{:}28.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}30.848$  Welcome back to Yale Cancer Answers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8216680766666667
- $00{:}16{:}30{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}32{.}590$  This is doctor Anees Chagpar and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8216680766666667
- $00{:}16{:}32{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}34{.}290$  I'm joined to night by my guest,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8216680766666667
- $00:16:34.290 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.772$  Doctor Paris Butler.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8216680766666667

 $00:16:35.772 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.748$  We are discussing breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.8216680766666667

00:16:37.748 --> 00:16:39.230 reconstruction options after

NOTE Confidence: 0.8216680766666667

 $00:16:39.299 \rightarrow 00:16:41.567$  cancer and right before the break.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8216680766666667

 $00{:}16{:}41.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}44.006$  Doctor Butler was telling us about how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8216680766666667

00:16:44.010 --> 00:16:45.810 reconstruction might

NOTE Confidence: 0.8216680766666667

 $00:16:45.810 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.510$  not be right for every patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8216680766666667

 $00{:}16{:}48.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}50.590$  And even for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8216680766666667

 $00{:}16{:}50{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}53{.}252$  the 65% of American women who after

NOTE Confidence: 0.8216680766666667

 $00{:}16{:}53.252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}55.690$  mast ectomy choose to have reconstruction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8216680766666667

 $00{:}16{:}55{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}57{.}457$  there are options.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8216680766666667

 $00{:}16{:}57{.}457 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}00{.}402$  So implant based reconstruction versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.8216680766666667

00:17:00.402 --> 00:17:02.369 autologous reconstruction and Paris,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8216680766666667

00:17:02.370 --> 00:17:04.288 I was hoping that in this half

NOTE Confidence: 0.8216680766666667

 $00:17:04.288 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.173$  we could delve a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8216680766666667

 $00{:}17{:}06{.}173 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}07{.}843$  more deeply into those options.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8216680766666667

 $00:17:07.850 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.217$  So one thing when it comes to

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8216680766666667
- 00:17:11.217 --> 00:17:12.660 implant based reconstruction,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8216680766666667
- $00:17:12.660 \rightarrow 00:17:14.488$  some people have concerns
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8216680766666667
- 00:17:14.488 --> 00:17:16.773 about the safety of implants,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8216680766666667
- $00{:}17{:}16.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}18.085$  whether they leak,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8216680766666667
- $00:17:18.085 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.260$  whether they need to be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8216680766666667
- 00:17:20.260 --> 00:17:22.260 changed out periodically,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8216680766666667
- $00:17:22.260 \rightarrow 00:17:25.239$  whether they need to be followed with an MRI,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8216680766666667
- $00:17:25.240 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.419$  whether they can in fact cause cancers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8216680766666667
- 00:17:29.420 --> 00:17:31.756 Can you speak a little bit to those
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8216680766666667
- 00:17:31.756 --> 00:17:33.765 concerns and how you advise your
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8216680766666667
- $00:17:33.765 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.460$  patients with regards to that?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125
- $00{:}17{:}35{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}37{.}780$  Yes, absolutely it's a great question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125
- $00{:}17{:}37{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}40{.}670$  So a lot of folks don't realize the fact that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125
- $00{:}17{:}40.743 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}43.319$  breast implants are one of the most
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125
- $00:17:43.320 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.574$  studied implantable medical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

 $00{:}17{:}44.574 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}47.082$  devices ever known to human beings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

 $00{:}17{:}47.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}48.725$  They've been studied more than

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

00:17:48.725 --> 00:17:50.695 pacemakers and hip prostheses and knee

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

 $00{:}17{:}50.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}52.351$  prosthesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

00:17:54.210 --> 00:17:56.190 I think the reason for this is because the

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

 $00{:}17{:}56{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}57{.}774$  same implants we use for reconstruction NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

 $00{:}17{:}57{.}774 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}59{.}971$  are the ones that are used for cosmetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

 $00:17:59.971 \rightarrow 00:18:01.633$  purposes and anytime you put devices

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

 $00{:}18{:}01.633 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}05.130$  into celebrities to enhance their look,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

 $00{:}18{:}05{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}06{.}498$  it comes with a fair amount

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

 $00:18:06.498 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.410$  of scrutiny and attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

 $00{:}18{:}07{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}09{.}246$  So the interesting thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

 $00{:}18{:}09{.}246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}10{.}993$  breast implants have been out for

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

 $00:18:10.993 \rightarrow 00:18:11.925$  a really long time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

 $00{:}18{:}11{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}13{.}856$  There was a moratorium on them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

 $00{:}18{:}13{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}15{.}778$  Before even my coming into practice

 $00:18:15.778 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.074$  to study them to make sure that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

00:18:18.074 --> 00:18:19.933 did not cause additional breast cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

 $00{:}18{:}19{.}933 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}21{.}828$  or connective tissue disorders and

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

 $00:18:21.828 \rightarrow 00:18:23.641$  they identified the Institute of

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

 $00:18:23.641 \rightarrow 00:18:25.678$  Medicine that they do not and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

00:18:25.678 --> 00:18:28.330 FDA we are now on our fifth

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

 $00:18:28.330 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.928$  generation of silicone breast implants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

 $00:18:29.928 \rightarrow 00:18:31.932$  The first generation once they ruptured

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

00:18:31.932 --> 00:18:34.315 I say it's something like

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

00:18:34.315 --> 00:18:36.289 Ghostbusters eco slime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

00:18:36.290 --> 00:18:37.985 This fifth generation of breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

 $00{:}18{:}37{.}985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}40{.}534$  implants are much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

 $00:18:40.534 \rightarrow 00:18:42.499$  sturdy and stable they're actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

 $00:18:42.499 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.160$  given the terminology formed

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

 $00:18:44.160 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.892$  Stable breast implant.

 $00{:}18{:}44{.}892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}46{.}932$  So I kind of equate it when I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

 $00{:}18{:}46{.}932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}48{.}600$  speaking with the patient that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

00:18:48.600 --> 00:18:50.370 new implants are like a gummy bear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

 $00{:}18{:}50{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}51{.}959$  And they sometimes are even advertised as

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

00:18:51.959 --> 00:18:53.906 such that if you cut a gummy bear in half,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

 $00:18:53.910 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.792$  nothing leaks out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

 $00{:}18{:}54{.}792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}57{.}269$  It kind of stays formed and that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

 $00:18:57.269 \rightarrow 00:18:59.789$  what these new silicone implants are like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

00:18:59.790 --> 00:19:02.220 The saline implants have a silicone

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

 $00:19:02.220 \dashrightarrow 00:19:05.350$  shell and they are filled with saline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

00:19:05.350 --> 00:19:07.390 The silicone implants have a silicone

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

 $00{:}19{:}07{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}09{.}490$  shell and then are filled with

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

 $00{:}19{:}09{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}11{.}815$  this form stable silicone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

 $00{:}19{:}11.815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}14.425$  When it comes to risks of the implants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

 $00:19:14.430 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.834$  we've proven they do not cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125

 $00{:}19{:}15.834 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}16.536$  connective tissue disorder.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125
- 00:19:16.540 --> 00:19:17.728 They do not cause breast cancer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125
- $00:19:17.730 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.180$  but the textured implants,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125
- 00:19:20.180 --> 00:19:21.608 which I don't put in patients and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125
- 00:19:21.608 --> 00:19:23.430 many of my colleagues don't anymore,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125
- $00{:}19{:}23{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}25{.}524$  have been associated with a very
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125
- $00:19:25.524 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.920$  rare type of lymphoma,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125
- $00:19:26.920 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.084$  anaplastic large cell lymphoma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125
- $00:19:29.084 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.048$  It occurred in about 1 in 2700 women.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125
- 00:19:33.048 --> 00:19:33.766 For context,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125
- $00:19:33.766 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.920$  there are about 10 million women
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125
- $00:19:35.986 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.798$  in the world that have implants
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125
- 00:19:37.798 --> 00:19:40.064 and once again a very, very,
- $00:19:40.401 \rightarrow 00:19:42.423$  very small percentage of women with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125
- $00:19:42.423 \rightarrow 00:19:44.428$  those textured implants developed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125
- $00:19:44.430 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.980$  that rare type of lymphoma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125
- $00:19:45.980 \rightarrow 00:19:48.152$  The other implants are smooth, round

- NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125
- $00:19:48.152 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.935$  implants that the majority of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125
- $00:19:49.935 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.295$  us have currently put in.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125
- $00{:}19{:}51{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}52{.}269$  Patients are safe.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125
- $00:19:52.269 \rightarrow 00:19:55.651$  I'd put them in a family member if it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125
- $00{:}19{:}55{.}651 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}57{.}759$  necessitated for reconstructive purposes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125
- $00:19:57.760 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.560$  So they're very good questions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125
- $00{:}19{:}59{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}01{.}018$  I have an in depth conversation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125
- $00{:}20{:}01{.}018 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}02{.}180$  with my patients about it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74930312125
- $00{:}20{:}02{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}03{.}708$  but that's a little bit of the history.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.54994138
- $00:20:04.000 \rightarrow 00:20:07.420$  What about for autologous reconstruction?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.54994138
- $00:20:07.420 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.356$  So you mentioned that these can be very
- NOTE Confidence: 0.54994138
- $00{:}20{:}11.356 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}15.256$  long operations, 8 to 10 hours in fact.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.54994138
- $00{:}20{:}15{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}18{.}077$  That you're in the hospital for a few days,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.54994138
- $00{:}20{:}18.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}21.376$  so some patients kind of wonder about the NOTE Confidence: 0.54994138
- $00:20:21.376 \rightarrow 00:20:25.210$  risks of the surgery itself. What are the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.54994138

 $00:20:25.210 \rightarrow 00:20:27.460$  complication rates like?

NOTE Confidence: 0.54994138

 $00{:}20{:}27{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}29{.}604$  Can you speak a little bit to that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00{:}20{:}31{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}33{.}620$  Another very good option is autologous

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

00:20:33.620 --> 00:20:35.495 surgery or autologous, we'll call

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00{:}20{:}35{.}495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}37{.}481$  flap surgery, or taking tissue most

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:20:37.481 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.338$  frequently from the abdomen to

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00{:}20{:}39{.}338 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}40{.}946$  reconstruct these breast mounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:20:40.950 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.732$  Now it is much more involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00{:}20{:}42.732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}44.160$  and I don't sugarcoat it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:20:44.160 \rightarrow 00:20:46.536$  I have a thorough conversation with

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00{:}20{:}46.536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}48.939$  the patient about what it entails.

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

00:20:48.940 --> 00:20:49.688 As I said before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:20:49.688 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.080$  in order for the flap to live,

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:20:51.080 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.238$  it has to have blood flow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:20:52.240 \longrightarrow 00:20:53.440$  and that blood flow comes

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:20:53.440 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.179$  from when we take the flap,

 $00{:}20{:}55{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}57{.}232$  we take it with a blood vessel that goes

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:20:57.232 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.235$  into the top part of the thigh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:20:59.240 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.668$  and we actually connect that to blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:21:00.668 \rightarrow 00:21:02.007$  vessels that are deep in the chest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00{:}21{:}02{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}03{.}240$  Right under the breast plate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00{:}21{:}03{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}07{.}200$  And when we do that what we call an astomosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00{:}21{:}07{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}08{.}958$  the connection of the small blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00{:}21{:}08{.}958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}10{.}582$  vessels with our high magnification

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00{:}21{:}10.582 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}12.577$  glasses or with our microscope,

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:21:12.580 \rightarrow 00:21:14.028$  sometimes the connection doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00{:}21{:}14.028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}16.200$  work and the blood vessels clot

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00{:}21{:}16.259 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}17.897$  off and that's a failed flap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00{:}21{:}17{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}19{.}412$  Now thankfully that doesn't happen that

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

00:21:19.412 --> 00:21:21.716 often maybe 1 to 2% of the time in

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

00:21:21.716 --> 00:21:23.450 the country that occurs because we've

 $00:21:23.513 \rightarrow 00:21:26.015$  been blessed to get so skilled with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00{:}21{:}26.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}27.808$  But it is something that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:21:27.808 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.000$  talk to patients about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:21:29.000 \rightarrow 00:21:31.196$  The other is the donor site,

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:21:31.200 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.136$  one you're taking tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:21:32.136 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.306$  from another part of the body

 $00{:}21{:}34.506 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}36.300$  there's sometimes ramifications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:21:36.300 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.908$  there can be infections,

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:21:37.908 \rightarrow 00:21:41.100$  there can be wound separation at the belly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:21:41.100 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.206$  We don't perform this operation on

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00{:}21{:}43.206 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}45.042$  smokers because of that increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:21:45.042 \rightarrow 00:21:47.220$  risk of wound separation in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00{:}21{:}47{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}49{.}091$  abdomen because of that increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:21:49.091 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.227$  rate of infection in smokers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

00:21:51.230 --> 00:21:53.862 So I would say it's a much

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:21:53.862 \rightarrow 00:21:54.990$  more involved operation.

 $00:21:54.990 \rightarrow 00:21:57.240$  You have to worry about implants

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00{:}21{:}57{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}59{.}958$  for the duration of some one's life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

00:21:59.960 --> 00:22:01.300 They have their own tissue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:22:01.300 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.890$  but once again it does come

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:22:02.890 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.950$  with a much longer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:22:03.950 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.350$  more involved operation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:22:05.350 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.822$  a much longer recovery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:22:06.822 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.729$  a good four to six weeks and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:22:09.730 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.746$  the risk at the donor site.

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:22:11.750 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.860$  One thing I failed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00{:}22{:}12.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}13.748$  mention about the implants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:22:13.750 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.858$  Implants are not forever as it

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00{:}22{:}16.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}19.970$  pertains to the lifespan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:22:19.970 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.154$  There are three kinds of main

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00{:}22{:}22{.}154 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}23.610$  implant manufacturers all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:22:23.677 \rightarrow 00:22:25.525$  them say at the 10 year mark,

 $00:22:25.530 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.228$  we should be proactive rather than

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:22:27.228 \rightarrow 00:22:29.289$  reactive and have those implants replaced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00{:}22{:}29{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}32{.}128$  So it's a rather short operation.

 $00:22:34.420 \rightarrow 00:22:35.620$  We go through an existing

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00{:}22{:}35{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}36{.}580$  incision on the breast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00{:}22{:}36{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}38{.}396$  We take out the old implants and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

00:22:38.396 --> 00:22:41.292 put in new implants to swap them out

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

00:22:41.292 --> 00:22:43.457 before the likelihood of rupture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00{:}22{:}43.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}44.864$  spontaneous rupture would happen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:22:44.864 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.140$  which is about 1%

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:22:47.140 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.575$  per year for the 1st 10 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:22:48.580 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.400$  After 10 years it goes up to

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00{:}22{:}50{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}52{.}408$  about 10 to 15% and then after 15

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:22:52.408 \rightarrow 00:22:54.117$  years it goes up to about 30-40%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:22:54.117 \rightarrow 00:22:56.920$  So I try to get my patients in that window,

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:22:56.920 \rightarrow 00:22:59.240$  the 10 to 15 year mark to say, hey,

 $00:22:59.240 \rightarrow 00:23:01.800$  when the time is right in your life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:23:01.800 \longrightarrow 00:23:02.920$  it's not an urgency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00:23:02.920 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.600$  but we should have those implants

NOTE Confidence: 0.723323326769231

 $00{:}23{:}04.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}05.210$  replaced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890576768

 $00:23:06.040 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.810$  Is that covered by insurance?

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

 $00{:}23{:}08{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}10{.}500$  It is. And I'm glad you brought that up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

00:23:10.500 -> 00:23:13.124 So I go into the community a fair

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

 $00{:}23{:}13{.}124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}15{.}307$  amount to kind of talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

 $00{:}23{:}15{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}17{.}670$  and help to help raise awareness regarding

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

 $00{:}23{:}17.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}19.558$  breast reconstruction options and

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

 $00{:}23{:}19.558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}21.285$  there are two subsets of the community

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

 $00{:}23{:}21{.}285 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}22{.}557$  that don't tend to get breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

 $00{:}23{:}22{.}557 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}24{.}223$  reconstruction at the same rate as others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

 $00{:}23{:}24{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}25{.}994$  Those are our ladies of color and

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

 $00{:}23{:}25{.}994 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}27{.}670$  then our more seasoned ladies.

 $00:23:27.670 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.368$  I'd say our ladies over 50.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

 $00{:}23{:}29{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}31{.}125$  And one of the things that many

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

00:23:31.125 --> 00:23:32.598 of these underserved communities tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

 $00:23:32.598 \rightarrow 00:23:34.858$  me is that they're concerned that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

 $00{:}23{:}34.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}36.306$  can't afford breast reconstruction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

 $00:23:36.310 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.201$  And I very quickly inform them the NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

 $00:23:39.201 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.419$  fact that our country did a really

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

 $00:23:42.419 \rightarrow 00:23:45.257$  great thing, our legislators in DC

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

00:23:45.260 --> 00:23:47.931 signed into law back in 1998,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

 $00{:}23{:}47{.}931 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}49{.}686$  it's called the Women's Health

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

00:23:49.686 --> 00:23:51.578 and Cancer Rights Act of 1998,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

 $00{:}23{:}51{.}578$  -->  $00{:}23{:}53{.}664$  where it mandates that if a woman

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

 $00:23:53.664 \rightarrow 00:23:55.498$  has insurance and she is diagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

 $00:23:55.498 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.678$  with a breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

 $00:23:56.680 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.080$  that requires a mastectomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

 $00:23:58.080 \rightarrow 00:23:59.830$  that insurance company is also

 $00:23:59.830 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.800$  required to pay for their breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

 $00{:}24{:}01{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}03{.}365$  reconstruction for their duration of

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

 $00{:}24{:}03{.}424 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}05{.}762$  their life and that would be either

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

 $00{:}24{:}05.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}07.862$  implant based reconstruction or flap

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

 $00:24:07.862 \rightarrow 00:24:10.046$  surgery or autologous reconstruction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

 $00:24:10.050 \rightarrow 00:24:11.737$  The other very good thing is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

00:24:11.737 -> 00:24:13.537 fact that say a patient has cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

 $00:24:13.537 \rightarrow 00:24:15.433$  on one side and they're only getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

 $00{:}24{:}15{.}433 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}17{.}227$  cancer surgery on that one side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

 $00{:}24{:}17{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}19{.}030$  The Women's Health and Cancer Rights

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

 $00{:}24{:}19{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}21{.}605$  Act of 98' also mandates and allows for

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

 $00:24:21.605 \rightarrow 00:24:24.108$  a plastic surgeon to do a balancing

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

 $00:24:24.108 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.998$  operation on that other side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

00:24:26.000 - 00:24:27.744 So say they have a left sided cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

 $00{:}24{:}27.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}29.064$  we do breast reconstruction on the

 $00{:}24{:}29.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}30.820$  left side and then we do a breast lift.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

00:24:30.820 --> 00:24:32.515 Or breast reduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

00:24:32.515 --> 00:24:34.210 or augmentation on the opposite

NOTE Confidence: 0.846808651111111

 $00:24:34.268 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.858$  side to help enhance symmetry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850208055

 $00{:}24{:}37{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}39{.}152$  And so that pertains to what

NOTE Confidence: 0.850208055

 $00{:}24{:}39{.}152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}41{.}171$  you were talking about earlier

NOTE Confidence: 0.850208055

00:24:41.171 --> 00:24:43.751 in terms of oncoplastic surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.850208055

00:24:43.751 -> 00:24:45.519 after lumpectomies, is that right

NOTE Confidence: 0.82466795125

 $00{:}24{:}45.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}48.906$  that that also pertains to that as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82466795125

00:24:48.910 - 00:24:50.230 Now some insurance companies

NOTE Confidence: 0.82466795125

 $00:24:50.230 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.472$  try to push back and say, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82466795125

 $00{:}24{:}52{.}472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}53{.}782$  the Women's Health and Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.82466795125

 $00:24:53.782 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.230$  Rights Act was really only

NOTE Confidence: 0.82466795125

 $00:24:55.230 \rightarrow 00:24:56.630$  intended for mastectomy patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82466795125

 $00{:}24{:}56{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}58{.}744$  But thank fully with the

 $00{:}24{:}58{.}744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}01{.}149$  help of our surgical on cology colleagues, we have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.82466795125

 $00:25:01.149 \rightarrow 00:25:04.005$  speaking to insurance companies to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82466795125

 $00:25:04.010 \rightarrow 00:25:06.896$  listen these patients who have lumpectomies

NOTE Confidence: 0.82466795125

 $00:25:06.900 \rightarrow 00:25:09.012$  who are left with rather significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.82466795125

 $00{:}25{:}09{.}012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}11{.}179$  asymmetries and deficits should be entitled

NOTE Confidence: 0.82466795125

 $00:25:11.179 \rightarrow 00:25:13.279$  to some reconstructive procedures as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866272503333334

00:25:14.560 - 00:25:16.576 Now the other question, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866272503333334

 $00{:}25{:}16.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}18.806$  before the break you were mentioning

NOTE Confidence: 0.866272503333334

 $00:25:18.806 \rightarrow 00:25:21.584$  that the prime goal is really the

NOTE Confidence: 0.866272503333334

 $00{:}25{:}21.584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}23.614$  reconstruction of the breast mound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866272503333334

 $00{:}25{:}23.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}26.049$  Many women are concerned about the nipple.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866272503333334

00:25:26.050 - 00:25:28.304 Can you talk a little bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.866272503333334

 $00{:}25{:}28{.}304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}30{.}504$  the options that women have for

NOTE Confidence: 0.866272503333334

 $00:25:30.504 \rightarrow 00:25:32.434$  either keeping their own nipple

NOTE Confidence: 0.866272503333334

 $00:25:32.440 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.438$  versus nipple reconstruction?

 $00:25:36.210 \rightarrow 00:25:38.142$  Yeah, so another very good question

NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889

 $00{:}25{:}38{.}142 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}40{.}820$  and I would not want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889

 $00:25:40.820 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.530$  overstep my breast oncology or

NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889

 $00:25:42.605 \rightarrow 00:25:44.231$  my breast surgery contemporaries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889

 $00:25:44.231 \rightarrow 00:25:46.536$  Nipple sparing mastectomy has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889

 $00:25:46.536 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.029$  in existence for about 25 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889

 $00{:}25{:}49{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}50{.}960$  The initial mast ectomies were

NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889

 $00:25:50.960 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.254$  quite a morbid operation where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889

 $00:25:53.254 \rightarrow 00:25:55.072$  removed all of the breasts inclusive

NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889

 $00:25:55.072 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.169$  of the skin and even in muscle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889

 $00:25:57.170 \rightarrow 00:25:59.178$  We have now gone as far as being

NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889

 $00:25:59.178 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.006$  able to remove the entire breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889

 $00:26:01.006 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.337$  but leave all of the skin and

NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889

 $00{:}26{:}03{.}337 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}05{.}406$  including the nipple areola complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889

 $00:26:05.406 \rightarrow 00:26:08.682$  behind and have it just as a

 $00:26:11.509 \rightarrow 00:26:13.920$  cancer appropriate and safe operation.

 $00:26:13.920 \rightarrow 00:26:15.880$  So they will have a conversation with

NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889

 $00{:}26{:}15.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}17.630$  their breast surgeon or the surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889

 $00:26:17.630 \rightarrow 00:26:19.340$  colleague regarding whether or not

NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889

 $00:26:19.340 \rightarrow 00:26:21.308$  they are a nipple sparing candidate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889

00:26:21.308 --> 00:26:22.880 If it is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889

 $00{:}26{:}22{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}25{.}022$  then there's no need for us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889

 $00:26:25.022 \rightarrow 00:26:26.764$  reconstruct a nipple areola complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889

00:26:26.764 --> 00:26:27.176 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889

 $00{:}26{:}27.176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}30.060$  certain cancers don't allow for that if

NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889

 $00:26:30.133 \rightarrow 00:26:34.570$  the cancer is too close to the nipple areola.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889

00:26:34.570 --> 00:26:36.770 If the cancer is too great in size,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889

 $00:26:36.770 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.108$  or the patient is just too large

NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889

00:26:39.108 --> 00:26:41.229 breasted or too toxic or saggy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889

 $00{:}26{:}41{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}43{.}295$  then the nipple areola complex must be

NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889

 $00{:}26{:}43.295 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}45.810$  removed as a part of the cancer surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889

 $00:26:45.810 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.908$  If that happens,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889
- $00:26:46.908 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.738$  we reconstruct that breast mound
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889
- $00{:}26{:}48.738 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}51.052$  and then six months to a year
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889
- $00{:}26{:}51.052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}52.567$  after we're done with their
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889
- $00:26:52.632 \rightarrow 00:26:54.588$  breast reconstruction formally,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889
- $00{:}26{:}54{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}55{.}678$  we can go back.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889
- $00{:}26{:}55{.}678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}57{.}310$  And then we have special techniques
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889
- $00:26:57.363 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.153$  to reconstruct a niplle areola
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889
- $00:26:59.153 \rightarrow 00:27:01.968$  complex using that native tissue.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889
- $00:27:01.970 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.680$  Something else that has really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889
- $00:27:04.680 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.753$  enhanced our field is the capacity for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889
- $00:27:07.753 \rightarrow 00:27:11.095$  us to perform or to send to an artist a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889
- $00{:}27{:}11{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}13{.}660$  3D nipple areola tattooing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889
- $00{:}27{:}13.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}17.564$  We have a nurse practitioner here at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889
- $00{:}27{:}17.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}20.044$  Yale plastic surgery that performs
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889
- $00{:}27{:}20.044 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}21.941$  nipple areola tattooing after
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889

 $00:27:21.941 \rightarrow 00:27:24.276$  we've recreated that breast mound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889

 $00{:}27{:}24.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}27.295$  So lots of options on that front as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889

 $00:27:27.300 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.968$  But the conversation really should be

NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889

 $00{:}27{:}28.968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}30.830$  with the breast surgeon as it pertains

NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889

 $00{:}27{:}30{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}32{.}499$  to whether the nipple can be spared

NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889

 $00{:}27{:}32{.}499 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}34{.}590$  or not as a component of their

NOTE Confidence: 0.853033948888889

 $00:27:34.590 \rightarrow 00:27:35.580$  cancer surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877624424166667

 $00:27:36.290 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.318$  And then the other question that

NOTE Confidence: 0.877624424166667

 $00:27:38.318 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.215$  I think a lot of people have is

NOTE Confidence: 0.877624424166667

 $00{:}27{:}41{.}215 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}43{.}417$  what is their function and their

NOTE Confidence: 0.877624424166667

 $00{:}27{:}43.497 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}45.629$  sensation after a mastectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877624424166667

 $00:27:45.630 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.888$  So does the nipple really work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.877624424166667

 $00:27:48.890 \rightarrow 00:27:52.746$  Do they lose sensation in the breast area?

NOTE Confidence: 0.877624424166667

 $00:27:52.750 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.130$  Are there new techniques

NOTE Confidence: 0.877624424166667

 $00:27:54.130 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.510$  that can address that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.877624424166667

 $00:27:55.510 \rightarrow 00:27:56.918$  Can you speak a little bit about that?

 $00:27:58.050 \rightarrow 00:28:00.708$  I think that's another good question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495801466666667

 $00:28:00.710 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.908$  Once again a lot of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495801466666667

 $00:28:02.908 \rightarrow 00:28:04.850$  is about setting expectations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495801466666667

 $00:28:04.850 \rightarrow 00:28:07.447$  In my experience I've been in practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495801466666667

 $00:28:07.447 \rightarrow 00:28:09.850$  over eight years after training for 12

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495801466666667

 $00{:}28{:}09{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}12.672$  and what I have seen is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495801466666667

 $00:28:12.672 \rightarrow 00:28:15.271$  most of my patients say even when they

NOTE Confidence: 0.849580146666667

 $00:28:15.271 \rightarrow 00:28:17.376$  aren't nipple sparing candidates

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495801466666667

 $00{:}28{:}17.376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}20.200$  the sensations not the same and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495801466666667

 $00:28:20.200 \rightarrow 00:28:22.348$  should prepare our patients for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495801466666667

 $00{:}28{:}22{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}24{.}522$  There are some techniques out there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495801466666667

 $00:28:24.522 \rightarrow 00:28:26.850$  where we are doing nerve graphs

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495801466666667

 $00{:}28{:}26.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}28.194$  but a lot of it's in it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495801466666667

00:28:28.200 --> 00:28:30.450 infancy, and we're studying to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495801466666667

 $00{:}28{:}30{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}32{.}240$  how effective those techniques are.

 $00{:}28{:}32{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}34{.}482$  Doctor Paris Butler is an associate

NOTE Confidence: 0.90578141

 $00{:}28{:}34{.}482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}36{.}599$  professor in the division of Plastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.90578141

 $00{:}28{:}36{.}599 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}38{.}846$  Surgery at the Yale School of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90578141

 $00:28:38.850 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.906$  If you have questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90578141

 $00{:}28{:}40.906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}42.916$  the address is canceranswers@yale.edu,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90578141

 $00{:}28{:}42.916 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}45.712$  and past editions of the program

NOTE Confidence: 0.90578141

 $00{:}28{:}45.712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}48.131$  are available in audio and written

NOTE Confidence: 0.90578141

 $00{:}28{:}48{.}131 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}49{.}068$  form at yale cancercenter.org.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90578141

 $00{:}28{:}49{.}068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}51{.}452$  We hope you'll join us next week to

NOTE Confidence: 0.90578141

 $00{:}28{:}51{.}452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}53{.}267$  learn more about the fight against

NOTE Confidence: 0.90578141

 $00{:}28{:}53{.}267 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}55{.}070$  cancer here on Connecticut Public Radio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90578141

00:28:55.070 --> 00:28:56.478 Funding for Yale Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.90578141

 $00{:}28{:}56{.}478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}58{.}238$  Answers is provided by Smilow

NOTE Confidence: 0.90578141

 $00:28:58.240 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.000$  Cancer Hospital.