## WEBVTT

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.130$  Funding for Yale Cancer Answers is

NOTE Confidence: 0.78577991

 $00:00:02.130 \longrightarrow 00:00:04.150$  provided by Smilow Cancer Hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8296801275

 $00:00:06.420 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.400$  Welcome to Yale Cancer Answers with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8296801275

00:00:08.400 --> 00:00:10.395 your host, Doctor Anees Chappar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8296801275

 $00{:}00{:}10.395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}12.620$  Yale Cancer Answers features the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8296801275

00:00:12.620 --> 00:00:14.728 latest information on cancer care

NOTE Confidence: 0.8296801275

00:00:14.728 --> 00:00:16.264 by welcoming oncologists and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8296801275

 $00:00:16.264 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.436$  specialists who are on the forefront

NOTE Confidence: 0.8296801275

 $00:00:18.436 \longrightarrow 00:00:20.344$  of the battle to fight cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8296801275

 $00{:}00{:}20.350 \longrightarrow 00{:}00{:}22.588$  This week, it's a conversation about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8296801275

 $00:00:22.588 \longrightarrow 00:00:24.481$  innovations in the treatment of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8296801275

 $00{:}00{:}24.481 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}26.527$  prostate cancer with Doctor Isaac Kim.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8296801275

 $00{:}00{:}26.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}28.931$  Doctor Kim is professor and chair of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8296801275

 $00:00:28.931 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.288$  Urology at Yale School of Medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8296801275

 $00:00:31.290 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.320$  where Doctor Chagpar is a professor

 $00:00:34.320 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.410$  of surgical oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938323965789474

00:00:36.460 --> 00:00:37.951 Maybe we can start off by you

NOTE Confidence: 0.938323965789474

 $00:00:37.951 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.443$  telling us a little bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.938323965789474

 $00:00:39.443 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.033$  about yourself and what you do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714770545714286

00:00:42.290 --> 00:00:46.084 I am a urologic oncologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.714770545714286

 $00:00:46.090 \longrightarrow 00:00:49.840$  with my clinical practice and research

NOTE Confidence: 0.714770545714286

 $00:00:49.840 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.010$  specifically focused around prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714770545714286

 $00:00:53.010 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.014$  And to that end my surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.714770545714286

00:00:55.014 --> 00:00:56.912 expertise is robotic surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.714770545714286

 $00:00:56.912 \longrightarrow 00:00:58.868$  or minimally invasive surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714770545714286

 $00:00:58.870 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.160$  This is where surgeries are performed

NOTE Confidence: 0.714770545714286

 $00:01:01.160 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.450$  through very small or multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.714770545714286

00:01:03.521 --> 00:01:05.309 or single hole incisions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.714770545714286

 $00:01:05.310 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.912$  The advantage is the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.714770545714286

 $00:01:06.912 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.624$  recover faster and they they go home

NOTE Confidence: 0.714770545714286

 $00{:}01{:}08.624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}10.278$  a lot quicker and as a result are

 $00:01:10.278 \longrightarrow 00:01:11.909$  able to get back to activity

NOTE Confidence: 0.714770545714286

 $00{:}01{:}11.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}13.494$  a lot quicker as a result of the surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922072886428571

 $00:01:14.560 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.688$  So we're going to dive into a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.922072886428571

00:01:16.688 --> 00:01:18.939 bit more of the surgical innovations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922072886428571

 $00:01:18.940 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.928$  but maybe we can take a step

NOTE Confidence: 0.922072886428571

00:01:20.928 --> 00:01:23.381 back and talk a little bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.922072886428571

00:01:23.381 --> 00:01:25.296 about prostate cancer in general.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922072886428571

 $00:01:25.300 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.202$  Tell us a little bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.922072886428571

 $00:01:27.202 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.153$  about prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922072886428571

00:01:28.160 --> 00:01:30.656 I mean, it seems to be pretty common,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922072886428571

 $00:01:30.660 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.970$  but these days not everybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.922072886428571

 $00:01:33.970 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.956$  is getting screened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922072886428571

 $00{:}01{:}35.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}38.012$  There are different screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.922072886428571

 $00:01:38.012 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.577$  modalities and not every body who

NOTE Confidence: 0.922072886428571

 $00{:}01{:}40.577 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}43.542$  even gets diagnosed with prostate

 $00:01:43.542 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.914$  cancer needs surgical management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922072886428571

 $00:01:45.920 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.502$  So can you kind of lay the groundwork for

NOTE Confidence: 0.922072886428571

 $00:01:49.502 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.300$  us on what exactly is prostate cancer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.922072886428571

 $00:01:52.300 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.608$  How common is it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.922072886428571

00:01:53.608 --> 00:01:55.710 Who should get screened, and how?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

00:01:56.380 --> 00:01:57.931 Yes, silver again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00{:}01{:}57.931 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}01.550$  that is actually a a loaded question

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00:02:01.651 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.376$  because as you've alluded to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00{:}02{:}04.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}06.378$  There is not an agreement amongst

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00:02:06.378 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.419$  all the experts in the field,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00{:}02{:}08.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}09.964$  so I'll just be able to go over

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00:02:09.964 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.538$  some of the general guidelines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00{:}02{:}11.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}14.116$  but at the end the most important

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00:02:14.116 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.449$  thing for any men with any

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00:02:16.449 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.359$  sort of a prostate issues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00{:}02{:}18.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}21.228$  it's really important to have an

 $00{:}02{:}21.228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}23.140$  established relationship with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00{:}02{:}23.216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}25.364$  urologist to discuss these issues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00:02:25.364 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.212$  and this is also called the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

00:02:27.212 --> 00:02:28.675 concept of shared decision making

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00{:}02{:}28.675 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}31.108$  where the patients do have a say in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

00:02:31.108 --> 00:02:32.803 whether they're going to undergo

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00:02:32.803 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.493$  prostate cancer screening or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

00:02:34.493 --> 00:02:37.490 Or not, but just to give you an overall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

00:02:37.490 --> 00:02:39.938 you know like a 10,000 feet

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00:02:39.938 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.570$  view of prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

00:02:41.570 --> 00:02:45.430 it is the most common form of cancer in men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00{:}02{:}45.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}48.850$  Besides skin lesions or skin tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00:02:48.850 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.076$  The estimated number of men who

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00{:}02{:}51.076 \longrightarrow 00{:}02{:}53.499$  are going to be diagnosed with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00:02:53.499 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.502$  prostate cancer in this year or in

 $00:02:56.510 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.208$  2022 is a little less than 300,000

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00:02:59.208 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.924$  men and all of these men,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00{:}03{:}01.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}04.079$  or of the men who are diagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00:03:04.079 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.000$  with prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00:03:05.000 \longrightarrow 00:03:07.112$  Approximately 34,000 men are

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

00:03:07.112 --> 00:03:10.280 expected to die from the disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00:03:10.280 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.686$  so just based on the statistics

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00:03:12.686 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.298$  of 30,000 men is not a very.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00:03:15.300 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.460$  It's not a small number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00:03:16.460 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.040$  In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

00:03:17.040 --> 00:03:18.490 that's a very large number

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

00:03:18.490 --> 00:03:20.666 compared to the number of men who

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00{:}03{:}20.666 \longrightarrow 00{:}03{:}22.216$  are diagnosed with the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

00:03:22.220 --> 00:03:24.852 The death rate is quite low and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00:03:24.852 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.047$  and herein lies the controversies

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00{:}03{:}27.047 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}29.981$  or debate around whether every man

 $00:03:29.981 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.655$  needs to be needs to be screened for

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00:03:33.655 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.732$  prostate cancer. And this is true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

00:03:35.732 --> 00:03:37.370 Most men who are diagnosed with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00:03:37.430 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.170$  prostate cancer at all will not

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00{:}03{:}39.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}40.971$  die from the disease because our

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00:03:40.971 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.723$  human is very good these days,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00:03:42.730 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.250$  as well as a lot of the prostate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

00:03:45.250 --> 00:03:48.484 Cancers are indolent or they will not

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00{:}03{:}48.484 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}51.670$  hurt the patient if leave them alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00:03:51.670 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.262$  The controversy is in that you know we don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00:03:54.270 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.827$  As doctors we don't have that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00:03:57.827 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.369$  hindsight right when you have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00{:}03{:}59.369 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}00.778$ benefit behind so you can always

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00:04:00.778 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.416$  go back and take a look and say

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00:04:02.416 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.694$  whether this is good or not.

 $00:04:03.700 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.048$  Good for the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00:04:05.048 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.396$  When a patient is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00:04:06.400 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.620$  Is contemplating whether we're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00:04:08.620 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.318$  get a prostate cancer workup done or not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286 00:04:11.320 --> 00:04:11.615 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00:04:11.615 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.680$  They have to make the best decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00:04:13.680 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.458$  based upon the current literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00:04:15.458 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.338$  and what the recommendation is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00:04:17.340 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.524$  And that guideline is going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00:04:19.524 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.540$  different based on the patient's age

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00{:}04{:}21.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}23.580$  as well as general health status.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00:04:23.580 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.270$  So again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00:04:24.270 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.340$  This is why it's important for

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00{:}04{:}26.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}28.716$  the patients to have an engaged

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

00:04:28.716 --> 00:04:30.644 relationship with a urologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7877952286

 $00{:}04{:}30.644 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}32.676$  say well trained urologist.

 $00:04:33.710 \longrightarrow 00:04:35.740$  So, so let's dive in a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.841479376923077

 $00:04:35.740 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.629$  bit more into the age issue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841479376923077

00:04:37.630 --> 00:04:40.283 because I mean certainly your point of

NOTE Confidence: 0.841479376923077

 $00:04:40.283 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.573$  their general health status makes sense

NOTE Confidence: 0.841479376923077

 $00:04:42.573 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.093$  and is something that we apply across

NOTE Confidence: 0.841479376923077

 $00:04:45.162 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.478$  various cancer screening modalities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841479376923077

00:04:47.480 --> 00:04:51.965 So if you know you are in a physical

NOTE Confidence: 0.841479376923077

 $00{:}04{:}51.965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}54.395$  health condition such that finding a

NOTE Confidence: 0.841479376923077

 $00:04:54.395 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.265$  very small cancer at its earliest stages

NOTE Confidence: 0.841479376923077

 $00:04:57.265 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.110$  would be the least of your worries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841479376923077

 $00:05:00.110 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.638$  you may not want to have be screened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841479376923077

 $00:05:03.640 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.518$  But age is a different issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841479376923077

 $00{:}05{:}05.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}08.136$  So we've found that, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841479376923077

 $00{:}05{:}08.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}10.667$  for some cancers now we are beginning

NOTE Confidence: 0.841479376923077

 $00:05:10.667 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.159$  to screen people earlier and earlier.

 $00:05:13.160 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.555$  Colorectal cancer is a a classic example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841479376923077

 $00:05:16.560 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.351$  For other cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841479376923077

 $00:05:18.351 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.933$  we're stopping screening at particular ages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841479376923077

00:05:21.940 --> 00:05:24.676 What's the story with prostate cancer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.841479376923077

 $00:05:24.680 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.720$  When should men start having a

NOTE Confidence: 0.841479376923077

 $00:05:26.720 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.435$  conversation with their doctor about

NOTE Confidence: 0.841479376923077

 $00:05:28.435 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.763$  whether or not they need to get screened?

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:05:32.280 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.115$  So this is where I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:05:34.115 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.400$  have a 2 answer for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:05:36.400 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.482$  One is what our national guideline

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00{:}05{:}38.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}40.839$  says and then just based on my

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

00:05:40.839 --> 00:05:42.946 experience what I prefer or when I

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:05:43.014 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.304$  have this shared decision making

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00{:}05{:}45.304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}47.136$  conversation with my patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

00:05:47.140 --> 00:05:49.596 What I suggest or or or you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

00:05:49.600 --> 00:05:51.238 my inclination. My opinion on this.

 $00:05:51.240 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.350$  So I'll give you 2 answers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:05:52.350 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.115$  Again the guideline and in my opinion

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:05:55.120 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.288$  so you know this all goes back to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:05:58.288 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.799$  clinical trials that were conducted

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00{:}06{:}00.799 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}03.394$  to assess whether prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:06:03.400 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.492$  Screening makes sense or

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:06:04.492 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.584$  not for our patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

00:06:05.590 --> 00:06:08.790 our men and this is based on a a really

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00{:}06{:}08.877 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}11.978$  a critical blood test or or called

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00{:}06{:}11.978 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}14.630$  a prostate specific antigen or PSA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:06:14.630 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.030$  It's a simple blood test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00{:}06{:}16.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}18.382$  You get drawn in the doctor's office and

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00{:}06{:}18.382 \to 00{:}06{:}20.614$  then you get a number and based upon

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:06:20.614 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.050$  that we can risk stratify the patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

00:06:23.050 --> 00:06:24.592 Say what's your risk of having

 $00:06:24.592 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.588$  a prostate cancer is and in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:06:26.588 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.103$  past this number has traditionally

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:06:28.103 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.751$  been somewhere around 3:00 to 4:00

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:06:29.751 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.233$  cut off and then patients are

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:06:31.240 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.100$  asked to undergo biopsies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636 00:06:34.100 --> 00:06:34.784 But again, NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

00:06:34.784 --> 00:06:37.178 this is based on many laboratory findings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:06:37.180 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.623$  so in order to assess whether this

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

00:06:39.623 --> 00:06:41.838 screening method is effective or not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:06:41.840 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.606$  a a large scale or clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:06:44.606 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.450$  trials have been conducted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:06:46.450 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.172$  one in the United States and

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:06:48.172 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.830$  the other one in Europe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

00:06:49.830 --> 00:06:52.746 And what this study at the end suggested was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:06:52.750 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.710$  is that prostate cancer screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:06:54.710 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.490$  may not be all that effective,

 $00:06:57.490 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.314$  but in the at the end it turns

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:06:59.314 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.148$  out that the studies on some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:07:01.148 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.172$  the studies of the one that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:07:03.172 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.104$  conducted in the states were had

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:07:05.104 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.342$  a significant contamination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:07:06.342 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.894$  There's some questions about the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:07:09.894 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.190$  scientific validity or the OR the

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:07:13.190 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.260$  rigorous in which the study design

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:07:16.260 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.528$  was followed. So at the end, the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:07:19.528 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.218$  Consensus was that prostate cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

00:07:22.218 --> 00:07:25.280 screening still is pretty effective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

00:07:25.280 --> 00:07:26.372 and that's, again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00{:}07{:}26.372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}29.380$  that's what the European data has shown.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75644367636363600:07:29.380 --> 00:07:29.729 Nevertheless,

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:07:29.729 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.521$  you know the issue with that is that

00:07:32.521 --> 00:07:34.339 the studies were were conducted

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

00:07:34.339 --> 00:07:36.700 in men over age older than 55.

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:07:36.700 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.280$  So in medicine, as you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:07:39.280 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.116$  we have different levels of evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636 $00:07:41.116 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.034$  in a house. NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

00:07:42.040 --> 00:07:43.744 Strong on the level of evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:07:43.744 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.776$  is and the level that we aspire

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:07:45.776 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.432$  to is a level one evidence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00{:}07{:}47.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}49.618$  So currently the level on evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

00:07:49.618 --> 00:07:51.070 suggests a prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00{:}07{:}51.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}53.240$  During his effective for men

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:07:53.240 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.410$  over the age of 55.

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:07:55.410 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.306$  That said then that doesn't preclude

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:07:57.306 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.987$  the fact that prostate cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:07:58.987 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.782$  screening is still effective or

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:08:00.782 \longrightarrow 00:08:02.548$  not effective medium younger than

 $00:08:02.548 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.459$  age 55 simply because they are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00{:}08{:}04.459 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}06.240$  included in the clinical trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636 00:08:06.240 --> 00:08:07.060 All right. NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:08:07.060 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.085$  So I think they're in lies the dilemma now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:08:09.090 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.115$  So the national guidelines right

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:08:11.115 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.057$  now still say for most part that

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:08:14.057 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.157$  prostate cancer screening should be

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:08:16.157 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.288$  in men over the age of 55 to 865.

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:08:19.288 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.760$  So again based on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:08:21.760 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.127$  On these guidelines, that's what we use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00:08:25.130 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.978$  but also the question about them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

00:08:26.980 --> 00:08:28.480 What about the younger patients, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

 $00{:}08{:}28.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}30.000$  What about the men who are at a

NOTE Confidence: 0.756443676363636

00:08:30.000 --> 00:08:31.296 higher risk on such as you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85063324

 $00:08:31.300 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.816$  fathers, brothers having prostate

 $00:08:32.816 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.870$  cancer as well as the the minorities?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85063324

 $00{:}08{:}35.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}37.658$  You know the the black community

NOTE Confidence: 0.85063324

00:08:37.658 --> 00:08:39.294 does have a significant higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.85063324

 $00:08:39.294 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.830$  incidence of prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85063324

 $00:08:40.830 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.547$  So in general, based on the data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85063324

 $00:08:44.550 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.007$  what I say what I see is is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85063324

00:08:47.007 --> 00:08:48.870 prostate specific antigen PSA?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85063324

 $00:08:48.870 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.320$  Still a very very effective tool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85063324

 $00{:}08{:}52.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}54.392$  So I use that as the initial entry

NOTE Confidence: 0.85063324

 $00{:}08{:}54.392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}56.850$  of I would like to establish a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85063324

 $00{:}08{:}56.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}59.061$  baseline level semi around age 40

NOTE Confidence: 0.85063324

 $00:08:59.061 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.014$  and based on that level that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.85063324

 $00:09:01.014 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.385$  think we can start guiding in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.85063324

 $00:09:03.385 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.006$  of how intense that follow up or

NOTE Confidence: 0.85063324

00:09:06.006 --> 00:09:07.856 the screening process should be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85063324

00:09:07.860 --> 00:09:09.876 So for instance if you're if

 $00:09:09.876 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.559$  you're at age 40 and you have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85063324

 $00:09:12.559 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.820$  PSA level that is less than one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85063324

00:09:14.820 --> 00:09:17.921 chances of you of that men having

NOTE Confidence: 0.85063324

 $00:09:17.921 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.382$  a lethal prostate cancer over

NOTE Confidence: 0.85063324

 $00:09:20.382 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.987$  its lifetime is essentially 0.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85063324

 $00:09:22.990 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.069$  So for that patient then the in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85063324

 $00:09:25.069 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.660$  terms of the of the screen intensity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85063324

 $00:09:27.660 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.436$  you don't have to be as as intense

NOTE Confidence: 0.85063324

 $00:09:30.436 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.566$  as somebody at the age of 40

NOTE Confidence: 0.85063324

 $00:09:32.566 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.610$  with a PSA of three or four.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85063324

00:09:34.610 --> 00:09:37.137 So This is why this shared decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.85063324

 $00:09:37.137 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.950$  making becomes very important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85063324

 $00:09:38.950 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.732$  And again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85063324

00:09:39.732 --> 00:09:41.687 I suggest that the baseline

NOTE Confidence: 0.85063324

00:09:41.687 --> 00:09:43.591 should be assuming around age

 $00:09:43.591 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.887$  40 for the reasons that I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85063324

 $00:09:45.890 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.129$  Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85063324

00:09:46.129 --> 00:09:47.563 that's a very good guiding policy

NOTE Confidence: 0.85063324

 $00:09:47.563 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.262$  in terms of how intense the future

NOTE Confidence: 0.85063324

 $00:09:49.262 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.900$  follow up should be for that man.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856368352857143

 $00:09:52.330 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.950$  When you say intensity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856368352857143

 $00:09:53.950 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.023$  do you mean that if somebody at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.856368352857143

 $00:09:57.023 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.660$  age of 40 has a PSA less than one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856368352857143

 $00{:}09{:}59.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}01.625$  that they should never get

NOTE Confidence: 0.856368352857143

00:10:01.625 --> 00:10:03.985 another PSA and somebody who has

NOTE Confidence: 0.856368352857143

 $00{:}10{:}03.985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}06.232$ a PSA of three or four should

NOTE Confidence: 0.856368352857143

 $00:10:06.232 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.240$  be screened at least annually?

NOTE Confidence: 0.856368352857143

 $00:10:08.240 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.710$  Or is it more frequent?

NOTE Confidence: 0.578253579

 $00:10:11.090 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.309$  Well, that's why I soap all again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.578253579

 $00:10:13.310 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.030$  These are all retrospective data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.578253579

00:10:15.030 --> 00:10:16.824 That said, you know, for someone

 $00:10:16.824 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.099$  whose PSA is less than what age 40.

NOTE Confidence: 0.578253579

00:10:19.100 --> 00:10:20.474 I recommend getting another check up

NOTE Confidence: 0.578253579

 $00:10:20.474 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.358$  at age 50 and a 10 year follow-up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.578253579

 $00:10:22.360 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.445$  So for someone who's PSA

NOTE Confidence: 0.578253579

00:10:24.445 --> 00:10:26.113 between 2:00 and 3:00,

NOTE Confidence: 0.578253579

 $00:10:26.120 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.152$  or I recommend a PSA check every five years

NOTE Confidence: 0.578253579

 $00:10:30.152 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.576$  age 404550 over the age of PSA of three.

NOTE Confidence: 0.578253579

00:10:33.580 --> 00:10:35.592 I recommend annual checkups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.578253579

 $00{:}10{:}35.592 \rightarrow 00{:}10{:}39.045$  so again, these are just based upon

NOTE Confidence: 0.578253579

 $00:10:39.045 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.490$  the retrospective data large body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.578253579

00:10:41.490 --> 00:10:43.090 Suspected that and that they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.578253579

00:10:43.090 --> 00:10:44.370 not prospectively or they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.578253579

 $00{:}10{:}44.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}46.320$  not have been validated in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.578253579

00:10:46.320 --> 00:10:47.584 rigorous clinical trial setting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.578253579

 $00:10:47.590 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.866$  but my take is,

 $00:10:48.866 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.350$  is that really the harm of using

NOTE Confidence: 0.578253579

 $00{:}10{:}51.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}53.815$  this approach is not significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.578253579

 $00:10:53.820 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.060$  That was the original concern with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.578253579

 $00:10:56.060 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.168$  mass screening of of prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.578253579

 $00:10:58.170 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.690$  Is is that the aggressive intervention?

NOTE Confidence: 0.578253579

00:11:00.690 --> 00:11:02.778 That would be a result of the screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.578253579

 $00:11:02.778 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.689$  may not be helping the patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.578253579

00:11:04.690 --> 00:11:06.293 but I think by using this more

NOTE Confidence: 0.578253579

 $00:11:06.293 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.718$  of a creative approach and

NOTE Confidence: 0.578253579

00:11:07.718 --> 00:11:09.428 really working with the patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.578253579

00:11:09.430 --> 00:11:11.726 I think that concern is is mitigated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.578253579

 $00:11:11.730 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.293$  There's a lot less, so I do think again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.578253579

 $00:11:16.300 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.304$  Tailoring the the follow up resin

NOTE Confidence: 0.578253579

 $00{:}11{:}18.304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}20.096$  based upon the patient's age as

NOTE Confidence: 0.578253579

 $00:11:20.096 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.668$  well as the baseline levels or

NOTE Confidence: 0.578253579

00:11:21.668 --> 00:11:23.068 the last previous levels.

00:11:23.070 --> 00:11:24.636 I think it's a good approach to do so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87246478125

 $00:11:26.230 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.505$  One other question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87246478125

 $00:11:27.505 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.630$  So in terms of screening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87246478125

00:11:29.630 --> 00:11:31.410 what role does Bridget

NOTE Confidence: 0.87246478125

00:11:31.410 --> 00:11:33.190 digital rectal exam play?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87246478125

 $00:11:33.190 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.166$  I mean, are we good with a PSA

NOTE Confidence: 0.87246478125

 $00:11:35.166 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.473$  alone or or do we still need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87246478125

 $00:11:37.473 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.055$  have rectal exams which many

NOTE Confidence: 0.87246478125

 $00:11:39.055 \longrightarrow 00:11:40.795$  men might not really prefer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00:11:42.450 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.436$  There's a. There's another very important

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00:11:45.436 \longrightarrow 00:11:48.366$  critical question that you're raising,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00:11:48.370 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.568$  and again, that is a question that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00:11:50.568 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.395$  I faced not only from Mike and our

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00:11:53.395 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.620$  medical students that we teach here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

00:11:55.620 --> 00:11:57.170 but also from our colleagues

 $00:11:57.170 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.170$  across in our in our field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

00:11:59.170 --> 00:12:01.788 I say this at the end though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00:12:01.790 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.710$  or the in terms of statistics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00:12:03.710 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.086$  You know how many prostate cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00:12:06.086 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.170$  can be picked up by a rectal exam on

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00:12:09.170 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.430$  that could not be picked up by PSA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00:12:11.430 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.798$  That number is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

00:12:12.798 --> 00:12:14.906 Quite low, it's going to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

00:12:14.906 --> 00:12:16.700 to be in the single digits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00:12:16.700 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.516$  so because of that again the question is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00{:}12{:}19.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}21.818$  is that for those patients whose

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

00:12:21.818 --> 00:12:23.851 PSA or prostate cancer restricted

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00:12:23.851 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.233$  by our digital rectal exam that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

00:12:26.233 --> 00:12:27.398 if you left them alone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00:12:27.400 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.736$  eventually the PSA would

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00:12:28.736 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.738$  have declared itself?

 $00:12:29.740 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.604$  So what is really the utility of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00{:}12{:}32.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}35.637$  rectal exam is the question at hand there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

00:12:35.640 --> 00:12:37.052 and you know, again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00:12:37.052 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.762$  we don't know the answer 100% for sure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00:12:39.762 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.320$  but really at the end what it was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

00:12:42.320 --> 00:12:44.308 Your Mendez is it really is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00:12:44.308 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.030$  a difficult or painful exam,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00{:}12{:}46.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}47.640$  it's just that it's it deals with

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00:12:47.640 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.930$  the private parts, you know it has.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00:12:49.930 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.130$  You know that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

00:12:51.130 --> 00:12:52.780 The private issues on some

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00:12:52.780 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.770$  patients are reluctant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00{:}12{:}53.770 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}12{:}54.898$  especially men are reluctant

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

00:12:54.898 --> 00:12:56.308 to undergo such an exam,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00:12:56.310 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.001$  but it's not a anything that has a a

00:12:59.001 --> 00:13:01.682 long term complications or any other

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00{:}13{:}01.682 \to 00{:}13{:}04.430$  long term consequences of their exam.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00:13:04.430 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.306$  It's is a simple of physical exam.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00:13:06.310 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.808$  I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00:13:06.808 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.551$  you know my wife has to go

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00{:}13{:}08.551 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}10.555$  into a gynecologist and has to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00{:}13{:}10.555 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}12.245$  get her annual pelvic exam.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00:13:12.250 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.177$  In that sense,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00:13:13.177 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.722$  it's not all that different

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00:13:14.722 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.650$  here in terms of complexity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00{:}13{:}16.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}17.168$  In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00:13:17.168 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.981$  in the pelvic exam would be even

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00:13:18.981 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.754$  more complex, so it's not a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

00:13:21.754 --> 00:13:23.257 Difficult exempt conduct,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00:13:23.260 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.004$  so I think once you can overcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00:13:26.004 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.180$  that psychological component,

00:13:27.180 --> 00:13:28.580 a patient has been very good at it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00{:}13{:}28.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}31.829$  So at the end I do stress that rectal

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

00:13:31.829 --> 00:13:35.423 exam still is a important part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

00:13:35.423 --> 00:13:37.519 monitoring patients or screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

00:13:37.612 --> 00:13:39.299 patients who are potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.83571471

 $00:13:39.299 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.964$  at risk for prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9009155925

00:13:42.110 --> 00:13:44.399 Well, we're going to pick up the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9009155925

 $00{:}13{:}44.399 \rightarrow 00{:}13{:}45.808$  conversation about prostate cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9009155925

 $00:13:45.808 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.628$  and what happens after screening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9009155925

 $00:13:47.630 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.617$  and what happens?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9009155925

 $00{:}13{:}48.617 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}50.591$  Who needs a biopsy and who

NOTE Confidence: 0.9009155925

 $00:13:50.591 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.068$  gets treated and how?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9009155925

 $00:13:52.070 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.630$  Right after we take a short

NOTE Confidence: 0.9009155925

 $00{:}13{:}53.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}55.120$  break for a medical minute,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9009155925

00:13:55.120 --> 00:13:57.160 please stay tuned to learn more

 $00:13:57.160 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.520$  about prostate cancer treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.9009155925

 $00{:}13{:}58.583 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}00.257$  with my guest Doctor Isaac Kim.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758080827

 $00{:}14{:}01.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}03.150$  Funding for Yale Cancer answers

NOTE Confidence: 0.758080827

00:14:03.150 --> 00:14:05.140 comes from Smilow Cancer Hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.758080827

 $00:14:05.140 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.436$  where you can view videos from their

NOTE Confidence: 0.758080827

 $00:14:07.436 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.709$  survivorship team by searching for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.758080827

 $00:14:09.709 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.759$  smilo survivorship playlist on YouTube.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956460857272727

 $00:14:13.770 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.546$  Breast cancer is one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.956460857272727

00:14:15.546 --> 00:14:17.150 most common cancers in women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956460857272727

00:14:17.150 --> 00:14:18.461 In Connecticut alone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956460857272727

00:14:18.461 --> 00:14:20.646 approximately 3500 women will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.956460857272727

 $00:14:20.646 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.108$  diagnosed with breast cancer this year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956460857272727

 $00:14:23.110 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.470$  But there is hope.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956460857272727

00:14:24.470 --> 00:14:25.830 Thanks to earlier detection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956460857272727

 $00:14:25.830 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.654$  noninvasive treatments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956460857272727

 $00:14:26.654 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.714$  and the development of novel

 $00:14:28.714 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.830$  therapies to fight breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956460857272727

 $00{:}14{:}30.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}32.655$  women should schedule a baseline

NOTE Confidence: 0.956460857272727

 $00{:}14{:}32.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}34.870$  mammogram beginning at age 40 or

NOTE Confidence: 0.956460857272727

 $00:14:34.870 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.844$  earlier if they have risk factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.956460857272727

 $00:14:36.844 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.370$  associated with the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956460857272727

00:14:38.370 --> 00:14:40.078 With screening, early detection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956460857272727

 $00:14:40.078 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.786$  and a healthy lifestyle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956460857272727

00:14:41.790 --> 00:14:43.960 breast cancer can be defeated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956460857272727

 $00:14:43.960 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.916$  Clinical trials are currently

NOTE Confidence: 0.956460857272727

 $00:14:45.916 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.872$  underway at federally designated

NOTE Confidence: 0.956460857272727

 $00:14:47.872 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.554$  Comprehensive cancer centers such

NOTE Confidence: 0.956460857272727

 $00{:}14{:}49.554 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}51.780$  as Yale Cancer Center and its Milo

NOTE Confidence: 0.956460857272727

 $00:14:51.780 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.868$  Cancer Hospital to make innovative

NOTE Confidence: 0.956460857272727

 $00:14:53.868 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.998$  new treatments available to patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956460857272727

00:14:56.000 --> 00:14:57.710 Digital breast tomosynthesis,

00:14:57.710 --> 00:14:59.420 or 3D mammography,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956460857272727

 $00{:}14{:}59.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}01.560$  is also transforming breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.956460857272727

 $00:15:01.560 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.700$  cancer screening by significantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.956460857272727

 $00:15:03.700 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.288$  reducing unnecessary procedures

NOTE Confidence: 0.956460857272727

 $00:15:05.288 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.518$  while picking up more cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956460857272727

00:15:07.520 --> 00:15:09.856 More information is available

NOTE Confidence: 0.956460857272727

 $00:15:09.856 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.872$  at yalecancer center.org.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956460857272727

00:15:10.872 --> 00:15:13.464 You're listening to Connecticut public radio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800351121666667

 $00:15:14.390 \longrightarrow 00:15:16.406$  Welcome back to Yale Cancer answers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800351121666667

00:15:16.410 --> 00:15:18.954 I'm doctor Anish Treg Park and I'm joined

NOTE Confidence: 0.800351121666667

 $00{:}15{:}18.954 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}21.247$  to night by my guest Doctor Isaac Kim.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800351121666667

 $00:15:21.250 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.930$  We're talking about prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.800351121666667

 $00:15:22.930 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.030$  cancer treatment and right before

NOTE Confidence: 0.800351121666667

 $00:15:25.030 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.390$  the break we were learning that

NOTE Confidence: 0.800351121666667

00:15:27.390 --> 00:15:29.265 prostate cancer is very common,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800351121666667

 $00{:}15{:}29.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}31.590$  but often times is pretty indolent.

 $00{:}15{:}31.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}34.494$  We went over who needs a a digital

NOTE Confidence: 0.800351121666667

 $00{:}15{:}34.494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}36.888$  rectal exam and who needs a PSA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800351121666667

00:15:36.890 --> 00:15:38.348 But my next question for you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800351121666667

00:15:38.350 --> 00:15:40.294 Doctor Kim, is this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.800351121666667

00:15:40.294 --> 00:15:44.899 At what point do you move on to a biopsy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.800351121666667

00:15:44.900 --> 00:15:47.672 I mean, at what point is a PSA number

NOTE Confidence: 0.800351121666667

00:15:47.672 --> 00:15:50.067 or a digital rectal examination

NOTE Confidence: 0.800351121666667

 $00:15:50.067 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.572$  finding so concerning that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.800351121666667

 $00:15:52.572 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.465$  time to start looking for a cancer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

 $00:15:56.980 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.204$  Yes, so so again that is a question

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

 $00:15:59.204 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.208$  or the answer to that is not a

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

 $00{:}16{:}02.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}03.399$  simple straightforward answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

 $00{:}16{:}03.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}07.224$  It really depends on the patient's age as

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

00:16:07.224 --> 00:16:11.882 well as his general health status. That said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

 $00:16:11.882 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.599$  in general the standard cutoff for PSA,

00:16:15.600 --> 00:16:17.916 which will trigger a prostate biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

 $00{:}16{:}17.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}20.080$  which I'd recommend on prostate biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

 $00:16:20.080 \longrightarrow 00:16:24.100$  is generally around PS 4.0.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

 $00:16:24.100 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.388$  For patients or younger than age 50 on

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

 $00:16:26.388 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.354$  PSAT of 3.0 or I will start monitoring

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

 $00:16:29.354 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.950$  those patients very intensely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

 $00:16:30.950 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.791$  And if there's any sign of their

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

00:16:32.791 --> 00:16:34.339 PSA's rising for those of you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

 $00:16:34.340 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.004$  I would actually trigger the biopsy or react.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

00:16:37.010 --> 00:16:41.066 Recommend a biopsy at a much earlier point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

 $00:16:41.070 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.414$  On the other hand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

 $00:16:42.414 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.092$  if the man is older than age 6570,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

00:16:45.092 --> 00:16:47.828 then you can go up on the PSA

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

 $00:16:47.828 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.234$  to over 6 on 6 1/2 or so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

 $00:16:50.240 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.465$  The other sign that would

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

 $00{:}16{:}52.465 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}54.245$  trigger a prostate biopsy.

00:16:54.250 --> 00:16:55.252 In my mind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

 $00:16:55.252 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.256$  is an abnormal digital rectal exam.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

 $00:16:57.260 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.232$  It comes to mind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

 $00:16:58.232 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.040$  A patient that I saw a couple of months ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

00:17:01.040 --> 00:17:04.526 I actually was seen by a primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

00:17:04.526 --> 00:17:06.839 doctor just monitoring his PSA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

00:17:06.840 --> 00:17:11.128 but again this patients PSA remained low but

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

00:17:11.128 --> 00:17:13.576 he started having difficulty with urination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

00:17:13.580 --> 00:17:15.610 That's why he's referring to my clinic

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

 $00:17:15.610 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.243$  and on a rectal exam was pretty profound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

 $00:17:18.243 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.272$  What he had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

 $00:17:19.272 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.644$  So for this patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

 $00{:}17{:}20.650 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}17{:}22.420$  clearly not having a rectal

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

 $00:17:22.420 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.194$  exam was an issue so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

 $00{:}17{:}24.194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}25.964$  I do recommend again annual

 $00:17:25.964 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.950$  rectal exam for patients at risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

 $00{:}17{:}27.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}30.022$  And one more thing I think more

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

00:17:30.022 --> 00:17:31.690 nuanced approaches is that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

 $00:17:31.690 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.485$  something called a PSA velocity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

 $00:17:33.490 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.054$  So over the dynamics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

00:17:35.054 --> 00:17:38.270 or how PSA changes over year over year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

 $00{:}17{:}38.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}40.130$  It's another parameter that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

 $00:17:40.130 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.990$  doctor should pay attention to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

 $00:17:41.990 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.640$  But then again there is more

NOTE Confidence: 0.847608885263158

 $00:17:43.640 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.740$  of a nuanced approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63857399

00:17:45.090 --> 00:17:47.370 The next question, of course is you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63857399

 $00:17:47.370 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.375$  PSA is a blood test, so the PSA rising or

NOTE Confidence: 0.63857399

 $00:17:51.375 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.910$  being beyond a certain level tells you that

NOTE Confidence: 0.63857399

 $00{:}17{:}54.910 \to 00{:}17{:}56.920$  something's going on with your prostate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63857399

00:17:56.920 --> 00:17:59.545 But it doesn't necessarily tell you where

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

00:17:59.600 --> 00:18:01.539 right, and I think that is probably

 $00:18:01.539 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.040$  the one of the areas in which the

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

 $00:18:04.040 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.278$  prostate cancer field has made a huge

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

 $00:18:06.278 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.294$  progress over the last decade or so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

 $00:18:08.300 \longrightarrow 00:18:11.020$  It's so-called the targeted biopsies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

00:18:11.020 --> 00:18:14.260 And really, what this technique involves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

00:18:14.260 --> 00:18:18.124 is imaging of the prostate using the MRI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

00:18:18.130 --> 00:18:21.426 and based on the MRI findings and we'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

 $00{:}18{:}21.426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}24.775$  often find lesions that are not normal and

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

 $00{:}18{:}24.775 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}27.699$  the radiologist using his or her expertise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

 $00:18:27.700 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.052$  Will grade the the appearance of the OR

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

 $00:18:31.052 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.787$  how abnormal that particular lesion is

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

 $00{:}18{:}33.787 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}37.838$  from a Group One through Group 5 and these

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

 $00{:}18{:}37.838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}40.771$  grouping area categories does tell a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

 $00:18:40.780 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.467$  about the potential risk of the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

00:18:42.470 --> 00:18:44.966 They actually having a a clinically

00:18:44.966 --> 00:18:46.214 significant prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

 $00:18:46.220 \longrightarrow 00:18:48.324$  And here what I mean by clinical significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

 $00:18:48.324 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.440$  is is that if you leave this alone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

 $00:18:50.440 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.522$  this prostate cancer proton life is

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

00:18:52.522 --> 00:18:54.759 going to be progressing and actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

00:18:54.759 --> 00:18:56.335 ultimately compromising the survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

 $00:18:56.335 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.149$  or the quality of life of the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

 $00:18:59.150 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.155$  So in general,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

00:19:00.155 --> 00:19:01.830 based on the MRI findings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

 $00:19:01.830 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.133$  if the category is 3 or higher is when

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

 $00:19:05.133 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.307$  the prostate biopsy is recommended.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

 $00:19:08.310 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.176$  What the more recent studies have

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

 $00:19:10.176 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.020$  shown there's a little more sobering

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

 $00:19:12.020 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.196$  in the sense that it turns out that

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

 $00:19:14.252 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.849$  the MRI is not 100% accurate in

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

00:19:15.849 --> 00:19:18.090 predicting as you as you are fully over.

 $00:19:18.090 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.567$  Also, is this anything that we do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

 $00{:}19{:}19.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}21.579$  All this image and still have some

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

 $00:19:21.579 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.905$  sort of a a technical engineering

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

 $00:19:23.905 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.769$  type of limitations there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

 $00:19:25.770 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.732$  so it's it's apparent this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

 $00{:}19{:}27.732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}29.550$  true also for prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

 $00:19:29.550 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.510$  The MRI is not able to pick up

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

 $00:19:31.510 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.590$  all the lesions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

 $00:19:32.590 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.655$  So what the current recommendation

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

00:19:34.655 --> 00:19:36.720 for from most urological oncologist?

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

 $00:19:36.720 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.216$  So we do the so-called the

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

 $00:19:39.216 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.048$  systematic biopsies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

 $00{:}19{:}40.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}42.927$  We actually divide up the process that

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

00:19:42.927 --> 00:19:45.464 into different grids and take the

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

 $00:19:45.464 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.924$  systematic biopsies in addition to that.

 $00:19:47.930 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.135$  These targeted biopsies that they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345

 $00:19:50.135 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.628$  based on, what the MRI shows,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847844189310345 00:19:52.628 --> 00:19:53.834 is carried out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.730317708833333

00:19:53.950 --> 00:19:55.726 That brings up the next issue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.730317708833333

 $00:19:55.730 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.765$  which is what is quote

NOTE Confidence: 0.730317708833333

 $00:19:58.765 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.979$  clinically significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.730317708833333

00:19:59.980 --> 00:20:02.855 Enough, UN quote, to actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.730317708833333

 $00{:}20{:}02.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}05.730$  warrant some form of treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.730317708833333

 $00:20:05.730 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.970$  Many men who get diagnosed with

NOTE Confidence: 0.730317708833333

 $00:20:08.970 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.172$  prostate cancer will embark upon a

NOTE Confidence: 0.730317708833333

00:20:12.172 --> 00:20:14.925 course of watchful waiting or kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.730317708833333

 $00:20:14.925 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.900$  of close observation rather than

NOTE Confidence: 0.730317708833333

 $00:20:16.900 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.386$  having any kind of active treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.730317708833333

 $00:20:19.386 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.882$  in terms of surgery or other

NOTE Confidence: 0.730317708833333

 $00:20:21.882 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.130$  modalities to treat their cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.730317708833333

 $00:20:24.130 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.296$  How do you make that determination?

 $00:20:26.370 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.491$  So that is also again a depends

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

 $00:20:28.491 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.060$  on there's two critical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

 $00{:}20{:}30.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}32.082$  Criteria that you have to assess

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

 $00:20:32.082 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.762$  one is a disease itself and 2nd

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

 $00:20:34.762 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.867$  is a patient's overall conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

00:20:36.870 --> 00:20:39.670 So I'll just cover the second part first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

 $00:20:39.670 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.286$  Basically, even if the patient has

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

 $00{:}20{:}42.286 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}44.030$  an aggressive prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

 $00{:}20{:}44.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}46.606$  If the patients, let's say 80 years old,

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

 $00:20:46.610 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.176$  probably it is unlikely that prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

00:20:48.176 --> 00:20:50.110 cancer is going to hurt that patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

 $00:20:50.110 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.750$  so more often than not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

00:20:51.750 --> 00:20:52.815 even if the prostate cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

 $00{:}20{:}52.815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}54.250$  aggressive in an 80 year old man,

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

00:20:54.250 --> 00:20:56.180 I would recommend that probably

00:20:56.180 --> 00:20:58.110 an observation or surveillance is

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

 $00:20:58.170 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.066$  probably the better route to go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

 $00:21:00.070 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.288$  So again, it really depends on

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

 $00:21:01.288 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.510$  the age of the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

00:21:02.510 --> 00:21:04.874 That said, if you're really looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

00:21:04.874 --> 00:21:06.960 at prostate cancer biology itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

 $00{:}21{:}06.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}08.845$  we divide prostate cancer into

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

00:21:08.845 --> 00:21:09.976 three different categories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

00:21:09.980 --> 00:21:12.440 The low risk, the intermediate risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

 $00:21:12.440 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.584$  and the high risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

00:21:13.584 --> 00:21:15.300 So for men with high risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

 $00:21:15.300 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.496$  there is no debate about whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

 $00:21:17.496 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.960$  these patients need interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

00:21:18.960 --> 00:21:19.337 Also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

00:21:19.337 --> 00:21:21.599 with patients who have a low

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

 $00{:}21{:}21.599 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}23.032$ risk disease surveillance,

 $00:21:23.032 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.720$  there's no debate there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

 $00:21:25.720 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.628$  So it's really the debate is

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

 $00:21:27.628 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.900$  in the intermediate category,

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

 $00:21:28.900 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.090$  and you know who would.

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

 $00:21:30.090 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.890$  Undergo a surveillance or not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

 $00:21:32.890 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.750$  and right now there's a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

 $00:21:34.750 \longrightarrow 00:21:36.366$  of scientific studies going on

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

 $00:21:36.366 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.630$  to address this question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

 $00{:}21{:}37.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}39.020$  Current standard right now is

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

 $00:21:39.020 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.469$  to use a lot of genomic testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

 $00:21:41.470 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.941$  so we have a couple of platforms

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

 $00:21:43.941 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.095$  out there that's been approved by

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

 $00{:}21{:}46.095 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}48.937$  the FDA that would like to use to

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

 $00{:}21{:}48.937 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}51.313$  assess the risk of patients having

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

 $00:21:51.313 \longrightarrow 00:21:53.356$  a potentially the cancers are

 $00:21:53.356 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.471$  progressing or spreading to other

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

 $00:21:55.471 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.210$  parts of the body in the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

00:21:58.210 --> 00:22:00.100 But again, these are sophisticated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

00:22:00.100 --> 00:22:00.740 Testing again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

 $00:22:00.740 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.980$  it should all be interpreted with an

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

 $00:22:02.980 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.259$  experts experience and experts perspective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

 $00:22:05.260 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.324$  so this should be all done in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.719463117272727

 $00:22:07.324 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.570$  consultation with the urologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.78992439875

 $00:22:09.210 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.906$  and so for those patients who either are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78992439875

00:22:14.910 --> 00:22:17.290 Advised to pursue more aggressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.78992439875

 $00:22:17.290 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.160$  treatment or choose to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78992439875

00:22:20.160 --> 00:22:21.510 What does that look like?

NOTE Confidence: 0.78992439875

00:22:21.510 --> 00:22:24.238 I mean, is surgery the mainstay of therapy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.78992439875

 $00:22:24.240 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.012$  Is there a role for neoadjuvant

NOTE Confidence: 0.78992439875

 $00:22:27.012 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.860$  therapy so people getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.78992439875

00:22:28.947 --> 00:22:31.218 chemotherapy before surgery?

 $00:22:31.220 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.388$  How does that work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

00:22:33.550 --> 00:22:35.056 I'll take your question in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:22:35.056 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.060$  in two different segments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

00:22:36.060 --> 00:22:37.920 Here one is, you know, just giving

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:22:37.920 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.020$  an overall perspective on treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:22:40.020 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.880$  What the available treatments were

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

00:22:41.880 --> 00:22:44.114 prostate cancer and then talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

00:22:44.114 --> 00:22:46.626 this new ads even or having sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:22:46.626 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.554$  chemotherapy as part of the region really

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:22:48.554 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.317$  is in the high risk and advanced disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00{:}22{:}51.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}53.518$  So in patients you know who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:22:53.518 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.846$  diagnosed with prostate cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00{:}22{:}54.846 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}56.760$  in terms of the decision making.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:22:56.760 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.311$  For potential options,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:22:58.311 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.413$  there are really 3 broad categories.

 $00:23:01.420 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.560$  One is even this alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

00:23:03.560 --> 00:23:04.860 Surveillance, by the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00{:}23{:}04.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}06.810$  surveillance does not mean that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:23:06.869 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.789$  actually do not monitor the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:23:08.790 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.812$  The intent of the surveillance is

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

00:23:10.812 --> 00:23:12.949 to continue to monitor the disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:23:12.950 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.392$  and when that ratio between the

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:23:15.392 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.504$  risk of intervention versus the

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00{:}23{:}17.504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}19.539$  benefit of intervention flips in

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

00:23:19.539 --> 00:23:22.165 the patient's favor is when you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:23:22.165 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.005$  going to actually intervene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

00:23:24.010 --> 00:23:25.646 So in surveillance context,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

00:23:25.646 --> 00:23:28.750 the patient is not just being ignored,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:23:28.750 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.006$  but he still has to pursue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:23:31.010 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.860$  And as you monitor continuously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:23:33.860 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.823$  That said, then,

 $00:23:34.823 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.749$  with the surveillance being one option,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00{:}23{:}36.750 \longrightarrow 00{:}23{:}38.860$  the second option is radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:23:38.860 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.200$  and third option is in surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:23:41.200 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.454$  If you look at the the overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:23:43.454 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.103$  landscape of treatments of being

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

00:23:45.103 --> 00:23:46.963 deployed or being utilized in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:23:46.963 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.720$  the United States these days,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

00:23:48.720 --> 00:23:51.500 surgeries are done in about 40%

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00{:}23{:}51.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}53.600$  of men diagnosed prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

00:23:53.600 --> 00:23:56.440 Radiation is about 3035% and

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:23:56.440 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.594$  surveillance about 25 to 30%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:23:58.594 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.960$  so it's a reasonable even split in

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00{:}24{:}01.043 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}04.427$  terms of the treatments are being used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:24:04.430 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.254$  Now then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:24:05.254 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.801$  to the point about the potential

 $00:24:07.801 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.630$  for a new age event or any sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:24:11.630 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.628$  of combination therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:24:13.630 \longrightarrow 00:24:14.662$  In the prostate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

00:24:14.662 --> 00:24:17.070 cancer is unique or is unique or

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

00:24:17.141 --> 00:24:20.045 different from other cancer that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00{:}24{:}20.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}21.981$  exquisitely sensitive to hormones

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:24:22.056 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.529$  or male testosterone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:24:23.530 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.279$  For this reason,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:24:25.279 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.194$  hormonal therapy or androgen deprivation

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

00:24:28.194 --> 00:24:31.310 therapy ADT has been contemplated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

00:24:31.310 --> 00:24:33.830 has been assessed in many trials to

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:24:33.830 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.790$  see if that can be used in combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:24:36.790 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.278$  with any of these as surgery or radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

00:24:40.280 --> 00:24:42.495 What the studies have demonstrated

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:24:42.495 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.796$  as is that in surgical patients it

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

00:24:45.796 --> 00:24:47.676 doesn't make a huge difference,

00:24:47.680 --> 00:24:50.968 although again where I work right

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:24:50.968 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.004$  now as an investigator really

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00{:}24{:}53.004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}54.859$  interested in this disease space,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:24:54.860 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.939$  I am still exploring the use of a a

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00{:}24{:}56.939 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}58.763$  more recent agents in the disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:24:58.763 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.214$  space and how that would help or

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

00:25:01.214 --> 00:25:02.926 potentially help patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:25:02.926 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.638$  advanced disease include metastatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:25:04.640 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.326$  prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:25:05.326 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.070$  But where did new ads were in or

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

00:25:08.140 --> 00:25:10.240 using hormonal therapy before?

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:25:10.240 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.310$  Intervention has really shown effectiveness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

00:25:12.310 --> 00:25:14.011 It's with radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:25:14.011 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.450$  The precise mechanism is not quite clear yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:25:18.450 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.560$  but multiple studies have suggested

 $00:25:21.560 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.083$  that the hormonal therapy itself can

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

00:25:25.083 --> 00:25:27.561 cause cells to die and potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:25:27.561 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.869$  immune response can be wrapped up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:25:29.870 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.187$  The other thing is that it can

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

00:25:32.187 --> 00:25:33.809 potentially a fragment DNA also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:25:33.810 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.932$  so that then can augment the effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:25:36.932 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.270$  of the radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:25:38.270 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.678$  So in general in patients who are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:25:40.680 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.420$  Contemplating radiation that hormonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:25:42.420 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.595$  therapy should be a significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

00:25:44.595 --> 00:25:46.737 part of the Truman armamentarium,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887202384

 $00:25:46.740 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.588$  as again the patients concerned radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75673930375

00:25:49.760 --> 00:25:52.936 So then the next question of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75673930375

 $00:25:52.940 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.890$  is what factors will prompt

NOTE Confidence: 0.75673930375

 $00:25:55.890 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.840$  somebody to opt for surgery?

NOTE Confidence: 0.75673930375

 $00{:}25{:}58.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}00.464$  And can you talk a little bit

 $00:26:00.464 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.028$  about some of the innovations in

NOTE Confidence: 0.75673930375

 $00:26:02.028 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.918$  surgery at the top of the show?

NOTE Confidence: 0.75673930375

 $00:26:03.920 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.524$  You mentioned that you were very

NOTE Confidence: 0.75673930375

 $00:26:06.524 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.260$  interested in robotic surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75673930375

 $00:26:08.260 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.308$  Tell us a little bit more about that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

00:26:10.600 --> 00:26:13.705 sure. So so in general, if you look

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

00:26:13.705 --> 00:26:16.673 at the the data and who would benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00:26:16.673 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.895$  the most from a surgical intervention?

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00{:}26{:}19.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}22.810$  These are patients who are relatively

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00:26:22.810 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.925$  younger who are in generally good

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00:26:24.925 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.320$  health who have long life expectancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00:26:27.320 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.038$  In my practice, I strongly recommend

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00{:}26{:}30.038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}32.820$  surgery to men with more than

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00:26:32.820 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.020$  15 years of life expectancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00:26:35.020 \longrightarrow 00:26:37.348$  Again, you have to take a look at

 $00:26:37.348 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.689$  assess the patients or general health

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00{:}26{:}39.689 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}42.548$  status and and calculate the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00:26:42.548 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.005$  potential of survival for that patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

00:26:45.005 --> 00:26:47.114 So it has to get done in conjunction

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00:26:47.114 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.514$  with in consultation with the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

00:26:49.520 --> 00:26:51.566 But in general. Or healthier patients?

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00{:}26{:}51.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}53.586$  I do think that they are gonna benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00:26:53.586 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.386$  a lot better from surgery because

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00:26:55.386 \longrightarrow 00:26:57.782$  in in this is in operation they can

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00:26:57.782 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.702$  go in and take the process it out

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00{:}26{:}59.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}01.570$  and then patient recovers and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00:27:01.570 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.071$  can go on through some of his normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

00:27:04.071 --> 00:27:06.279 life with really not much risk going

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00{:}27{:}06.279 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}08.750$  or or the the nose of significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00:27:08.750 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.182$  complications from the operation

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00:27:10.182 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.709$  long term provided that the in the

00:27:12.709 --> 00:27:15.427 near term he has recovered all his

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00{:}27{:}15.427 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}16.678$  functions and that is going.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

00:27:16.678 --> 00:27:18.302 That's a a statement that I am

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

00:27:18.302 --> 00:27:19.627 putting out the loaded statement

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00:27:19.627 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.400$  that I'm putting out there in that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00:27:21.400 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.525$  The recovery after operation is

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00:27:23.525 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.165$  where a lot of men fear on because

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00:27:27.165 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.021$  the prostate sits right outside are

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

00:27:30.021 --> 00:27:33.219 just external distal to the bladder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00:27:33.220 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.332$  and that is where a lot of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00:27:35.332 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.860$  important malfunctions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

00:27:35.860 --> 00:27:37.660 including erectile function,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

00:27:37.660 --> 00:27:40.060 the nerves responsible erectile

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

00:27:40.060 --> 00:27:41.860 function runs through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00:27:41.860 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.984$  So in this patients again the the

 $00:27:46.984 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.214$  chance to have the surgery is again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00:27:51.214 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.573$  Has to depend on the experience of

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00:27:53.573 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.029$  the operator operator to surgeon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00:27:56.030 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.060$  Where I come in is is that I do the

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00:27:58.123 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.279$  robotic surgery and really in my mind

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00:28:00.279 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.239$  this has really mitigated or address

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00{:}28{:}02.239 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}04.514$  a lot of this potential concerns in

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00:28:04.520 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.949$  terms of the complication for that patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00{:}28{:}06.950 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}28{:}08.948$  And again that's another area where

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00:28:08.948 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.584$  the last 10 years we have made a

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00{:}28{:}11.584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}13.432$  significant and a huge progress in

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00:28:13.502 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.767$  terms of technology and engineering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00:28:15.770 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.146$  And as you move forward on the paradigm

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00{:}28{:}18.146 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}20.377$  is shifting where we used to make it

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00:28:20.377 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.539$  big incision and the smaller incisions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00:28:22.540 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.320$  And the more recent technology

 $00:28:24.320 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.100$  is so-called the single port

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

00:28:26.168 --> 00:28:27.680 prostatectomy technology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00:28:27.680 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.978$  where now we're after this operation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00:28:29.980 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.359$  Patients are able to go home because

NOTE Confidence: 0.71324755

 $00:28:31.359 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.649$  on the same day essentially.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928780708571429

 $00:28:33.420 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.940$  Doctor Isaac Kim is professor and chair

NOTE Confidence: 0.928780708571429

00:28:35.940 --> 00:28:38.717 of Urology at Yale School of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928780708571429

 $00:28:38.720 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.764$  If you have questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928780708571429

 $00:28:40.764 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.762$  the address is canceranswers@yale.edu

NOTE Confidence: 0.928780708571429

 $00:28:42.762 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.534$  and past editions of the program

NOTE Confidence: 0.928780708571429

 $00:28:45.534 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.956$  are available in audio and written

NOTE Confidence: 0.928780708571429

 $00:28:47.956 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.924$  form at yale cancercenter.org.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928780708571429

 $00{:}28{:}48.924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}51.516$  We hope you'll join us next week to

NOTE Confidence: 0.928780708571429

 $00:28:51.516 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.491$  learn more about the fight against

NOTE Confidence: 0.928780708571429

 $00:28:53.491 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.086$  cancer here on Connecticut Public

 $00{:}28{:}55.141 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}56.959$  radio. Funding for Yale Cancer Answers

NOTE Confidence: 0.928780708571429

 $00{:}28{:}56.959 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}00.000$  is provided by Smilow Cancer Hospital.