WEBVTT

 $00:00:00.000 \dashrightarrow 00:00:03.120$ Funding for Yale Cancer Answers is

NOTE Confidence: 0.790414710909091

 $00{:}00{:}03.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}06.070$ provided by Smilow Cancer Hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790414710909091

 $00:00:06.070 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.990$ Welcome to Yale Cancer Answers with

NOTE Confidence: 0.790414710909091

00:00:07.990 --> 00:00:09.911 your host, Doctor Anees Chappar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790414710909091

 $00{:}00{:}09{.}911 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}12.046$ Yale Cancer Answers features the

NOTE Confidence: 0.790414710909091

 $00:00:12.046 \longrightarrow 00:00:14.440$ latest information on cancer care by

NOTE Confidence: 0.790414710909091

 $00:00:14.440 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.916$ welcoming oncologists and specialists

NOTE Confidence: 0.790414710909091

 $00:00:15.916 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.203$ who are on the forefront of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.790414710909091

00:00:18.203 --> 00:00:19.973 battle to fight cancer. This week,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790414710909091

 $00:00:19.973 \longrightarrow 00:00:21.611$ it's a conversation about the cost

NOTE Confidence: 0.790414710909091

00:00:21.611 --> 00:00:23.529 of cancer care in the United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790414710909091

 $00{:}00{:}23.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}25.366$ with Doctor Cary Gross and MD

NOTE Confidence: 0.790414710909091

 $00{:}00{:}25.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}26.770$ PhD student Ryan Chow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790414710909091

 $00{:}00{:}26.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}28.870$ Doctor Gross is a professor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.790414710909091

 $00:00:28.942 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.526$ medicine and of epidemiology

00:00:30.526 --> 00:00:32.902 at the Yale School of Medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790414710909091

00:00:32.910 --> 00:00:34.725 where Doctor Chagpar is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.790414710909091

 $00:00:34.725 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.177$ professor of surgical oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810325841428571

00:00:37.270 --> 00:00:39.006 Cary, maybe we'll start off with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810325841428571

 $00:00:39.010 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.116$ Why don't you tell us a little bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.810325841428571

00:00:41.116 --> 00:00:43.380 about yourself and what it is you do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

 $00:00:43.390 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.204$ I am a primary care doctor and a researcher

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

 $00:00:46.204 \longrightarrow 00:00:49.322$ in the area of cancer outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

 $00:00:49.322 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.758$ and cancer effectiveness research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

 $00:00:51.760 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.802$ So I was a chief resident at a major

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

 $00{:}00{:}54.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}57.970$ Cancer Center many years ago and was always,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

00:00:57.970 --> 00:00:59.610 always interested in primary care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

 $00:00:59.610 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.148$ not necessarily in being an oncologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

00:01:02.150 --> 00:01:05.282 But while I was there at the Cancer Center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

 $00:01:05.290 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.942$ I noticed that obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

 $00{:}01{:}06.942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}09.420$ all of the patients had cancer.

 $00:01:09.420 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.740 I$ knew where I was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

00:01:10.740 --> 00:01:13.964 but also many of the patients were being

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

 $00:01:13.970 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.858$ admitted in the context of a clinical trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

 $00:01:16.860 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.548$ and I noticed that many of the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

 $00:01:20.548 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.218$ did not have other health problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

00:01:24.220 --> 00:01:25.576 Most of the patients had cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

00:01:25.580 --> 00:01:27.176 but as a primary care DOC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

 $00{:}01{:}27.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}28.836$ I was looking around and asking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

 $00:01:28.840 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.875$ Geez, where are the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

 $00:01:30.875 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.910$ with diabetes and emphysema and?

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

00:01:32.910 --> 00:01:35.340 Other chronic health health issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765 00:01:35.340 --> 00:01:35.633 Similarly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

 $00{:}01{:}35.633 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}37.391$ it just seemed as though many

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

 $00:01:37.391 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.261$ of the patients who are aside

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

 $00:01:39.261 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.826$ from their cancer are relatively

 $00:01:40.826 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.558$ healthier and on the younger side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

00:01:42.560 --> 00:01:44.205 you know, many of our patients were,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765 00:01:44.210 --> 00:01:45.166 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

 $00:01:45.166 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.034$ 5060 years old and bottom line

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

 $00:01:48.034 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.219$ in the real world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

 $00:01:50.220 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.230$ patients with cancer are are often older

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

 $00{:}01{:}53.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}56.633$ and sicker than they are in in clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

 $00:01:56.633 \longrightarrow 00:01:59.360$ research studies and and that insight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

 $00:01:59.360 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.070$ Which occurred to me over the

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

00:02:01.070 --> 00:02:02.571 course of my clinical training

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

 $00{:}02{:}02{:}02{:}571 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}04.685$ has really spurred the rest of my

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

 $00:02:04.685 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.529$ research in the sense of trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

 $00:02:06.529 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.305$ to understand what happens in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

 $00:02:08.310 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.958$ real world when drugs or tests,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

00:02:11.960 --> 00:02:12.941 or, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

 $00:02:12.941 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.576$ kind of imaging modalities such

 $00:02:14.576 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.810$ as new mammograms or CAT scans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

 $00:02:16.810 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.150$ or what have you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

00:02:18.150 --> 00:02:20.160 What happens when things that look

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

 $00:02:20.227 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.352$ like they are really potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

 $00:02:22.352 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.052$ groundbreaking and helpful in

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

 $00:02:24.052 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.029$ the clinical trial setting?

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

 $00:02:26.030 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.375$ What happens when they're actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.832231949411765

 $00:02:27.375 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.720$ used in the real world?

NOTE Confidence: 0.871002256190476

 $00{:}02{:}29.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}31.470$ Great and you know, Carrie and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.871002256190476

 $00{:}02{:}31.470 \longrightarrow 00{:}02{:}33.245$ have been working together for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.871002256190476

 $00{:}02{:}33.245 \to 00{:}02{:}35.560$ number of years now and I know Kerry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871002256190476

 $00:02:35.560 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.300$ One of your particular interests

NOTE Confidence: 0.871002256190476

 $00:02:38.300 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.696$ is in the value of cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.871002256190476

 $00:02:41.696 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.960$ care and cost effectiveness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871002256190476

 $00:02:43.960 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.173$ And are we really getting the bang

 $00:02:47.173 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.800$ that we need for our buck and Ryan?

NOTE Confidence: 0.871002256190476

 $00{:}02{:}50.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}52.776$ I guess this is where you come in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871002256190476

 $00:02:52.780 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.020$ Tell us a little bit more about yourself

NOTE Confidence: 0.871002256190476

00:02:55.020 --> 00:02:56.844 and about how you met Carrie and

NOTE Confidence: 0.871002256190476

 $00:02:56.844 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.889$ and a bit more about your research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735389667333333

00:03:00.180 --> 00:03:02.448 From MDP PhD student at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.735389667333333

 $00{:}03{:}02.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}04.816$ I actually did my PhD recently in

NOTE Confidence: 0.735389667333333

00:03:04.816 --> 00:03:06.989 cancer genetics and tumor immunology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.735389667333333

 $00:03:06.990 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.078$ but throughout the course of my

NOTE Confidence: 0.735389667333333

 $00:03:09.078 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.340$ graduate education I kind of became

NOTE Confidence: 0.735389667333333

 $00{:}03{:}11.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}12.952$ more interested in understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.735389667333333

 $00:03:12.952 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.301$ the economics of cancer care and

NOTE Confidence: 0.735389667333333

00:03:15.301 --> 00:03:17.317 part of that stemmed from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.735389667333333

 $00:03:17.317 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.070$ realization that a lot of these new

NOTE Confidence: 0.735389667333333

 $00:03:19.070 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.150$ drugs that we're talking about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.735389667333333

00:03:20.150 --> 00:03:21.116 like immunotherapies,

 $00:03:21.116 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.497$ they have these incredibly large price tags,

NOTE Confidence: 0.735389667333333

 $00:03:24.500 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.964$ and so I just got interested in understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.735389667333333

 $00:03:26.964 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.910$ we're paying so much for these drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735389667333333

 $00:03:28.910 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.474$ But how much benefit?

NOTE Confidence: 0.735389667333333

 $00:03:30.474 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.429$ Patients actually getting from loan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735389667333333

 $00:03:32.430 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.453$ So I reached out to doctor Gross

NOTE Confidence: 0.735389667333333

 $00:03:34.453 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.128$ because I knew of his work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.735389667333333

 $00{:}03{:}36.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}37.978$ particularly with cancer outcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.735389667333333

 $00:03:37.978 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.750$ research and economics of cancer care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735389667333333 00:03:40.750 --> 00:03:41.094 So yeah, NOTE Confidence: 0.735389667333333

00:03:41.094 --> 00:03:42.803 I just reached out to him and we started

NOTE Confidence: 0.735389667333333

 $00:03:42.803 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.238$ working together on this project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841920093571429

 $00{:}03{:}44.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}46.706$ So Carrie, tell us a little bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.841920093571429

 $00{:}03{:}46.706 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}48.857$ about this recent project that just

NOTE Confidence: 0.841920093571429

 $00:03:48.857 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.095$ got published and that really made

 $00:03:51.160 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.304$ quite a quite a splash in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.841920093571429

 $00{:}03{:}53.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}55.644$ raising awareness about the cost of

NOTE Confidence: 0.841920093571429

 $00:03:55.644 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.982$ cancer care and and the relative

NOTE Confidence: 0.841920093571429

 $00:03:57.982 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.460$ bang that you get for your buck.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841920093571429

00:04:00.460 --> 00:04:02.448 Looks a bit more about the project,

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

00:04:02.640 --> 00:04:06.120 sure, so you know, as Warren Buffett says,

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

 $00:04:06.120 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.900$ Price is what you pay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

00:04:07.900 --> 00:04:11.635 The value is what you get and you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

 $00{:}04{:}11.635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}15.133$ it's well known that the overall price

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

00:04:15.133 --> 00:04:17.371 that we're paying for healthcare in

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

 $00:04:17.371 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.640$ the United States is is exorbitant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

 $00:04:19.640 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.878$ We're spending this for all care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

 $00:04:21.880 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.192$ not just cancer care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

00:04:23.192 --> 00:04:25.944 but we're spending over \$4 trillion per year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

00:04:25.944 --> 00:04:28.752 And in fact, our health expenses

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

 $00:04:28.752 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.552$ are about 1/5 of our overall.

 $00{:}04{:}31.552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}32.836$ Gross domestic product.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

 $00:04:32.840 \longrightarrow 00:04:35.222$ So you know there's the old

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

 $00{:}04{:}35.222 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}37.644$ saying that the what is it the

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

 $00:04:37.644 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.174$ business of America is business.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

00:04:39.180 --> 00:04:40.890 You could almost say nowadays the

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

 $00:04:40.890 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.800$ business of America is is healthcare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

 $00:04:42.800 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.096$ I mean it's a it's our largest

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

 $00:04:45.096 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.320$ industry and some by some measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

 $00:04:47.320 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.687$ So the question is when when we want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

 $00:04:49.687 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.119$ focus on cancer for this particular study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

 $00:04:52.120 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.916$ First we reached out to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

00:04:54.916 --> 00:04:56.314 long term collaborator,

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

00:04:56.320 --> 00:04:57.844 Doctor Elizabeth Bradley,

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

 $00:04:57.844 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.400$ who is now actually President of Astra.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

00:05:01.400 --> 00:05:01.756 Knowledge,

00:05:01.756 --> 00:05:04.248 but also as a health economist and

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

 $00{:}05{:}04.248 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}07.621$ has a long interest and expertise in

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

 $00:05:07.621 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.206$ international comparisons and and outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

 $00:05:10.210 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.048$ But we wanted to really explore

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

 $00:05:13.050 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.000$ how much are we spending on

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

00:05:15.000 --> 00:05:17.369 cancer care in the United States?

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

 $00:05:17.370 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.407$ How does that compare to other countries?

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

 $00:05:19.410 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.330$ And then that's the first half

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

00:05:21.330 --> 00:05:22.980 of the Warren Buffett part.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

 $00:05:22.980 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.190$ How much are we spending?

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

 $00:05:24.190 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.305$ But then more importantly, the value aspect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

 $00:05:27.310 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.666$ What are we getting in return?

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

 $00:05:28.670 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.350$ What were the?

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

 $00:05:30.350 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.470$ How does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

 $00:05:31.470 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.038$ Our cancer mortality and the population

NOTE Confidence: 0.780897603125

 $00:05:34.038 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.803$ level in the US compare to the cancer

00:05:37.803 --> 00:05:40.268 mortality rates in other countries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100677886

 $00:05:40.800 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.410$ and so Ryan tell us a little bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100677886

 $00:05:43.410 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.041$ about the design of this study and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100677886

 $00:05:46.041 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.569$ the the sources of data that you used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:05:49.060 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.952$ Yeah, definitely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:05:49.952 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.074$ So we were primarily interested in comparing

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

00:05:53.074 --> 00:05:56.030 the US with other high income countries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00{:}05{:}56.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}58.660$ so first talking about cost.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:05:58.660 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.757$ So it turns out there are quite a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:06:00.757 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.288$ organizations out there, such as the OCD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00{:}06{:}03.288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}05.523$ Which stands for Organization for

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:06:05.523 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.169$ Economic Cooperation and development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00{:}06{:}08.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}10.330$ and so there are these organizations

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:06:10.330 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.770$ that track total healthcare

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

00:06:11.831 --> 00:06:13.687 spending for different countries.

00:06:13.690 --> 00:06:15.346 But as Doctor Gross was mentioning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:06:15.350 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.786$ a lot of this data oftentimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:06:17.786 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.004$ isn't cancer specific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:06:19.010 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.350$ So to get to that question

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:06:21.350 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.689$ of how much cancer care is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

00:06:23.690 --> 00:06:25.290 you know how much countries

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:06:25.290 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.890$ are spending on cancer care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:06:26.890 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.810$ And we took a look through the literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

00:06:28.810 --> 00:06:31.280 We tried to find out in a given country what

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

00:06:31.344 --> 00:06:33.708 percentage of their total health spending.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:06:33.710 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.042$ Goes towards cancer care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:06:35.042 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.121$ So in the US it turns out we spend

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00{:}06{:}38.121 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}40.370$ around 5.3% of our total health care

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

00:06:40.370 --> 00:06:41.516 spending on cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:06:41.520 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.480$ but that varies quite a bit across country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:06:43.480 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.970$ So in Japan that's actually

 $00:06:45.970 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.734$ 7.5% towards cancer care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:06:47.734 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.939$ So bringing those two numbers

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:06:49.939 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.309$ together that allows us to estimate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

00:06:52.310 --> 00:06:53.558 you know how many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:06:53.558 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.196$ how much of our healthcare spending in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:06:56.196 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.320$ given country is dedicated towards cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:06:58.320 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.224$ So that's the cost part of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:07:00.230 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.702$ On the mortality side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

00:07:01.702 --> 00:07:03.910 it's a little bit more complicated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:07:03.910 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.826$ particularly because we're trying to compare

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:07:06.826 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.470$ cancer outcomes across different countries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:07:09.470 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.989$ So what I mean by that is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00{:}07{:}10.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}12.494$ clinicians will commonly refer

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00{:}07{:}12.494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}14.374$ to five year survival rates

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:07:14.374 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.370$ when their counseling patients.

 $00:07:16.370 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.895$ That basically means the percentage

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:07:17.895 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.731$ of patients that will still be

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

00:07:19.731 --> 00:07:21.267 alive five years after an initial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737244145187500:07:21.270 --> 00:07:21.688 Diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

00:07:21.688 --> 00:07:24.194 And so that's very useful, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:07:24.194 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.850$ It's great for informing

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:07:25.850 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.506$ patients of their prognosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:07:27.510 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.194$ The problem,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875 00:07:28.194 --> 00:07:28.536 though, NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:07:28.536 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.246$ is that it's really difficult

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00{:}07{:}30.246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}32.148$ to compare these five year

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

00:07:32.148 --> 00:07:33.688 survival rates across countries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00{:}07{:}33.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}35.990$ and that's because different countries

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:07:35.990 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.769$ have their own distinct approaches for

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:07:38.769 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.819$ cancer detection and for screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00{:}07{:}40.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}42.900$ So I guess it would be helpful to

 $00:07:42.900 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.400$ give like an example for this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:07:44.400 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.476$ but what I mean by this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:07:46.480 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.652$ So let's say there's this rare

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:07:48.652 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.531$ hypothetical disease that is untreatable

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00{:}07{:}50.531 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}52.739$ and all patients with this disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:07:52.739 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.318$ will die when they turn 50 years old.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

00:07:55.320 --> 00:07:57.939 If a patient is diagnosed when they turn 40,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:07:57.940 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.220$ then we would look at that and say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:07:59.220 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.418$ oh the survival time is 10 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

00:08:01.420 --> 00:08:02.860 But let's say we diagnose

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:08:02.860 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.300$ this disease as a kid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00{:}08{:}04.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}06.010$ then the survival might look

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00{:}08{:}06.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}07.720$ something like 30 years instead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:08:07.720 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.840$ But the key here is that the underlying

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:08:09.840 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.159$ disease really hasn't changed.

 $00:08:11.160 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.928$ All the only differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00{:}08{:}12.928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}14.696$ when we diagnosed it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:08:14.700 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.612$ So when we look at 5 year survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:08:16.612 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.160$ rates across different countries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:08:18.160 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.925$ a country that screens more

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00{:}08{:}19.925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}21.690$ aggressively is going to detect

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00{:}08{:}21.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}24.014$ cancers earlier and that'll lead us

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:08:24.014 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.942$ to artificially have higher five

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00{:}08{:}25.942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}28.253$ year survival rates even though the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

00:08:28.253 --> 00:08:29.897 underlying disease is unchanged.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00{:}08{:}29.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}32.228$ So instead of that in our study we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:08:32.228 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.191$ are looking at population level

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

00:08:34.191 --> 00:08:36.376 cancer mortality rates and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:08:36.376 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.925$ basically answers the question

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:08:37.925 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.053$ in a given year how many people

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:08:40.053 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.734$ are dying from cancer.

00:08:41.734 --> 00:08:43.566 In a particular country,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00{:}08{:}43.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}45.712$ so doctor Gross and I discussed

NOTE Confidence: 0.7372441451875

 $00:08:45.712 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.140$ this quite extensively and

NOTE Confidence: 0.866362996818182

 $00:08:47.204 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.269$ we we came to the conclusion that

NOTE Confidence: 0.866362996818182

00:08:49.269 --> 00:08:51.269 this metric of population level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866362996818182

00:08:51.270 --> 00:08:53.316 cancer mortality is much better at

NOTE Confidence: 0.866362996818182

 $00:08:53.316 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.153$ sort of encapsulating the effectiveness

NOTE Confidence: 0.866362996818182

 $00{:}08{:}55.153 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}57.228$ of all these different cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.866362996818182

 $00:08:57.228 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.230$ related interventions that we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866362996818182

00:08:59.230 --> 00:09:01.267 So you know, that would include prevention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866362996818182

00:09:01.270 --> 00:09:04.620 screening, and of course, treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866362996818182

 $00:09:04.620 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.742$ And so setting up now we have the costs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866362996818182

 $00{:}09{:}08.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}10.710$ How much do different countries spend on

NOTE Confidence: 0.866362996818182

 $00:09:10.710 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.948$ cancer Care now we're looking at mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866362996818182

 $00:09:12.950 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.430$ What is the population level?

 $00:09:14.430 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.765$ Cancer mortality rate that gave

NOTE Confidence: 0.866362996818182

00:09:15.765 --> 00:09:17.976 us all the data that we needed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.866362996818182

00:09:17.976 --> 00:09:19.336 start crunching the numbers and

NOTE Confidence: 0.866362996818182

 $00:09:19.336 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.277$ taking a look at that relationship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866362996818182

 $00:09:21.280 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.720$ If there is any relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.866362996818182

 $00:09:22.720 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.872$ between those two numbers?

NOTE Confidence: 0.810911815

 $00{:}09{:}25.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}27.624$ Very, you know, just digging into

NOTE Confidence: 0.810911815

 $00:09:27.624 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.269$ that a little bit more deeply.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810911815

 $00:09:30.270 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.382$ It's clear, right that the US spends more

NOTE Confidence: 0.810911815

00:09:33.382 --> 00:09:35.857 on healthcare than any other country,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810911815

00:09:35.860 --> 00:09:37.816 not only in the industrialized world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810911815

 $00:09:37.820 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.436$ but in the world period by by several.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810911815

 $00:09:42.440 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.072$ By quite a magnitude.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810911815

00:09:44.072 --> 00:09:47.006 And so it's not surprising to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.810911815

 $00:09:47.006 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.806$ that they spend more on cancer care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810911815

 $00:09:49.810 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.239$ but the one thing that was interesting

 $00:09:52.239 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.357$ in what Ryan was saying is that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.810911815

 $00{:}09{:}55.357 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}57.362$ percentage of that total expenditure

NOTE Confidence: 0.810911815

 $00{:}09{:}57.439 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}59.809$ of on healthcare that given countries

NOTE Confidence: 0.810911815

00:09:59.809 --> 00:10:02.404 spend on cancer care may be different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810911815

 $00{:}10{:}02.404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}04.770$ Can you talk a little bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.810911815

 $00:10:04.839 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.783$ how that fell when you compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.810911815

 $00:10:06.783 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.840$ the US to other countries?

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

00:10:10.250 --> 00:10:10.930 Interesting question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00{:}10{:}10.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}12.970$ and certainly something we want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00{:}10{:}12.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}14.585$ explore more and further research

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:10:14.585 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.355$ because there was some variation in

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:10:16.355 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.463$ how much of the overall health is being

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00{:}10{:}18.463 \to 00{:}10{:}20.333$ spent on cancer care across countries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:10:20.333 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.559$ but overall it was relatively stable

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:10:22.559 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.893$ so there was there was some variation

00:10:24.893 --> 00:10:27.360 and we expected to see some variation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:10:27.360 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.048$ for instance because it's well known that

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:10:30.048 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.205$ in the US we approve new cancer therapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

00:10:33.205 --> 00:10:35.980 more quickly than in other countries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:10:35.980 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.738$ Actually, there was just a study

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00{:}10{:}37.738 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}39.825$ published a couple of weeks ago comparing

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:10:39.825 \dashrightarrow 00:10:41.834$ the US and Europe and looking at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

00:10:41.840 --> 00:10:44.108 How quickly a new drugs were approved

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

00:10:44.108 --> 00:10:45.939 here as opposed to in Europe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:10:45.940 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.208$ and there's actually about

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

00:10:47.208 --> 00:10:48.476 a nine month delay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

00:10:48.480 --> 00:10:51.120 so they're approved after FDA approval

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:10:51.120 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.438$ of a new cancer therapy in the US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:10:54.440 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.507$ average delay was about nine months before

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:10:58.507 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.730$ typical European country had it approved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:11:01.730 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.160$ But so they're so good,

00:11:04.160 --> 00:11:05.904 but at least you know me so well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:11:05.910 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.314$ but they're.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

00:11:07.314 --> 00:11:12.930 Isn't necessarily A cause for a victory lap,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:11:12.930 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.086$ but only if if the only evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:11:15.086 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.365$ of our systems efficacy is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

00:11:17.365 --> 00:11:19.500 we're approving drugs more quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:11:19.500 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.890$ So the real question is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:11:20.890 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.890$ is whether patients are benefiting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00{:}11{:}22.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}27.018$ So we we expected there would be some

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:11:27.018 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.256$ variation in the percent of overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

00:11:30.256 --> 00:11:32.646 healthcare being spent on cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:11:32.650 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.864$ primarily because we know that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:11:34.864 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.716$ variation across countries and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:11:36.716 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.600$ quickly new drugs are being approved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:11:38.600 \longrightarrow 00:11:40.625$ There's also variation in how

 $00:11:40.625 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.650$ the different companies help us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

00:11:42.650 --> 00:11:45.034 Sorry, different Freudian slip.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

00:11:45.034 --> 00:11:47.418 How different countries health

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:11:47.418 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.170$ systems are established in the sense

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:11:50.170 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.510$ of allowing them to negotiate with

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:11:52.583 \longrightarrow 00:11:54.568$ pharmaceutical companies in the sense

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:11:54.568 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.403$ that in the US there's not really

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:11:57.403 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.743$ room for negotiation with with pharma

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00{:}11{:}59.743 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}03.800$ as opposed to in in other countries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:12:03.800 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.358$ Coverage of new cancer therapies is

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:12:07.358 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.790$ not necessarily mandated, for instance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:12:11.790 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.558$ Certain National Health systems

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:12:14.558 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.374$ could could just say no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:12:16.374 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.337$ If a new therapy is not thought to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

00:12:19.337 --> 00:12:21.749 producing high value for its population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

00:12:21.750 --> 00:12:24.702 they may not cover it and that leverage

 $00:12:24.702 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.989$ which does not exist in the US.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00{:}12{:}26.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}29.546$ The leverage can allow for lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:12:29.546 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.250$ prices in other countries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:12:31.250 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.114$ So yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:12:32.114 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.706$ that there's plenty of reasons for

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

00:12:34.706 --> 00:12:37.143 variation in how much is being

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:12:37.143 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.265$ spent on cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:12:38.270 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.530$ But at the end of the day, we're actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00{:}12{:}41.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}43.384$ A little surprised that there wasn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:12:43.384 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.561$ as much variation in the percent of

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

00:12:45.561 --> 00:12:47.319 healthcare on cancer as we thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:12:47.319 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.159$ that we would,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00{:}12{:}48.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}50.386$ and I think most of the variation

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:12:50.386 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.608$ that we've seen in the overall cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.862652956

 $00:12:53.608 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.443$ spending probably relates strongly to

 $00:12:56.443 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.768$ simply to the overall health spending.

NOTE Confidence: 0.392512453333333

 $00:13:00.380 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.320$ And did you control for Ryan?

NOTE Confidence: 0.392512453333333

 $00:13:03.320 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.848$ Did you control for the fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.392512453333333

 $00:13:06.848 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.969$ that different countries may

NOTE Confidence: 0.392512453333333

 $00:13:08.969 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.549$ have a different cancer burden?

NOTE Confidence: 0.392512453333333

00:13:11.550 --> 00:13:14.370 In other words, you would expect

NOTE Confidence: 0.392512453333333

 $00:13:14.370 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.079$ that countries that have a higher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.392512453333333

 $00:13:17.080 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.130$ Cancer burden who are diagnosing

NOTE Confidence: 0.3925124533333333

00:13:20.130 --> 00:13:21.886 patients more frequently with

NOTE Confidence: 0.392512453333333

 $00:13:21.886 \longrightarrow 00:13:23.414$ cancer for whatever reason?

NOTE Confidence: 0.3925124533333333

 $00:13:23.420 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.256$ Whether it's you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.392512453333333

00:13:25.256 --> 00:13:28.010 Levels of obesity or smoking or

NOTE Confidence: 0.392512453333333

00:13:28.098 --> 00:13:30.648 alcohol or other risk factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.392512453333333

 $00:13:30.650 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.032$ or whether there are particular genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.392512453333333

 $00:13:33.032 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.350$ predispositions in a given population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.392512453333333

 $00:13:35.350 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.090$ Did you control for the incidence

 $00:13:37.090 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.820$ of cancer across these countries?

NOTE Confidence: 0.904456768

 $00{:}13{:}39.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}40.820$ Yeah, that's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904456768

00:13:40.820 --> 00:13:42.518 Umm, that's also something Doctor Gross,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904456768

 $00:13:42.520 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.972$ and I debated quite a bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.904456768

00:13:43.972 --> 00:13:45.200 when we were starting out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904456768

 $00:13:45.200 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.986$ So in short, we looked at population

NOTE Confidence: 0.904456768

 $00:13:47.986 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.001$ level cancer mortality rates rather

NOTE Confidence: 0.904456768

00:13:50.001 --> 00:13:51.886 than adjusting for the incidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.904456768

 $00{:}13{:}51.886 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}54.709$ of a given cancer because of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.904456768

 $00{:}13{:}54.709 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}56.729$ reason I was discussing earlier

NOTE Confidence: 0.904456768

 $00:13:56.729 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.772$ where countries that screen more

NOTE Confidence: 0.904456768

 $00:13:58.772 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.812$ aggressively may be detecting cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.904456768

 $00{:}14{:}00.812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}03.296$ that are indolent or not so aggressive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904456768

 $00:14:03.300 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.406$ So purely taking or adjusting for

NOTE Confidence: 0.904456768

 $00:14:05.406 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.264$ the incidence of cancer across

 $00:14:07.264 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.586$ countries was something that we felt.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904456768

 $00{:}14{:}09.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}11.120$ That would introduce more bias

NOTE Confidence: 0.904456768

 $00:14:11.120 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.038$ than we wanted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904456768

00:14:12.040 --> 00:14:14.462 and so we ultimately decided to purely

NOTE Confidence: 0.904456768

00:14:14.462 --> 00:14:16.619 look at cancer mortality rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904456768

00:14:16.620 --> 00:14:17.672 I will say, though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904456768

00:14:17.672 --> 00:14:19.250 that we did adjust for smoking

NOTE Confidence: 0.904456768

00:14:19.304 --> 00:14:21.040 rates across different countries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904456768

 $00:14:21.040 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.532$ so countries that smoke less will have

NOTE Confidence: 0.904456768

 $00:14:23.532 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.235$ lower cancer incidence and mortality

NOTE Confidence: 0.904456768

 $00:14:25.235 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.523$ and so that it is something that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.904456768

 $00:14:27.585 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.629$ try to adjust for within our study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909672573571429

 $00:14:31.090 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.141$ The thing that I was getting at

NOTE Confidence: 0.909672573571429

 $00:14:33.141 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.270$ was really in terms of the cost.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909672573571429

 $00:14:35.270 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.840$ The higher the incidence you

NOTE Confidence: 0.909672573571429

 $00:14:36.840 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.811$ would expect that the higher the

 $00:14:38.811 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.521$ proportion of the healthcare budget

NOTE Confidence: 0.909672573571429

 $00:14:40.521 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.330$ would be going towards cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909672573571429

00:14:42.330 --> 00:14:44.458 We're going to have to pick up on

NOTE Confidence: 0.909672573571429

 $00:14:44.458 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.022$ this conversation right after we take

NOTE Confidence: 0.909672573571429

 $00{:}14{:}46.022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}47.910$ a short break for a medical minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909672573571429

00:14:47.910 --> 00:14:49.618 Please stay tuned to learn more about

NOTE Confidence: 0.909672573571429

00:14:49.618 --> 00:14:51.696 the cost of cancer care and how that

NOTE Confidence: 0.909672573571429

 $00{:}14{:}51.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}53.390$ relates to outcomes with my guests.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909672573571429

 $00{:}14{:}53.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}55.226$ Doctor Terry Gross and Ryan Chow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768329041272727

 $00{:}14{:}56.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}58.176$ Funding for Yale Cancer Answers is

NOTE Confidence: 0.768329041272727

00:14:58.176 --> 00:15:00.230 provided by Smilow Cancer Hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768329041272727

 $00:15:00.230 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.240$ where you can view videos from their

NOTE Confidence: 0.768329041272727

 $00{:}15{:}03.240 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}15{:}05.615$ integrative medicine team by searching

NOTE Confidence: 0.768329041272727

 $00{:}15{:}05.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}07.639$ Yale Cancer Center Integrative

NOTE Confidence: 0.768329041272727

00:15:07.639 --> 00:15:09.663 Medicine playlist on YouTube.

 $00:15:09.670 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.155$ There are over 16.9 million

NOTE Confidence: 0.768329041272727

 $00:15:12.155 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.101$ cancer survivors in the US and

NOTE Confidence: 0.768329041272727

00:15:15.101 --> 00:15:17.022 over 240,000 here in Connecticut.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768329041272727

 $00:15:17.022 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.574$ Completing treatment for cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.768329041272727

 $00:15:18.574 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.849$ is a very exciting milestone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768329041272727

 $00{:}15{:}20.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}22.818$ but cancer and its treatment can

NOTE Confidence: 0.768329041272727

 $00:15:22.818 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.910$ be a life changing experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768329041272727

 $00{:}15{:}24.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}27.292$ The return to normal activities and

NOTE Confidence: 0.768329041272727

 $00{:}15{:}27.292 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}29.299$ relationships may be difficult and

NOTE Confidence: 0.768329041272727

 $00:15:29.299 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.044$ cancer survivors may face other

NOTE Confidence: 0.768329041272727

00:15:31.044 --> 00:15:33.109 long term side effects of cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768329041272727

00:15:33.110 --> 00:15:34.868 including heart problems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768329041272727

 $00:15:34.868 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.454$ osteoporosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768329041272727

 $00:15:35.454 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.384$ fertility issues and an increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.768329041272727

 $00:15:38.384 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.400$ risk of second cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768329041272727

 $00{:}15{:}40.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}43.005$ Resources for cancer survivors are

 $00:15:43.005 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.089$ available at federally designated

NOTE Confidence: 0.768329041272727

 $00{:}15{:}45.089 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}46.346$ Comprehensive cancer centers

NOTE Confidence: 0.768329041272727

 $00:15:46.346 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.362$ such as the Yale Cancer Center

NOTE Confidence: 0.768329041272727

00:15:48.362 --> 00:15:50.438 and Smilow Cancer Hospital

NOTE Confidence: 0.768329041272727

 $00{:}15{:}50.440 {\: -->\:} 00{:}15{:}52.440$ to keep cancer survivors well

NOTE Confidence: 0.768329041272727

00:15:52.440 --> 00:15:54.440 and focused on healthy living,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768329041272727

 $00:15:54.440 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.284$ the Smilow Cancer Hospital

NOTE Confidence: 0.768329041272727

 $00{:}15{:}56.284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}58.128$ Survivorship clinic focuses on

NOTE Confidence: 0.768329041272727

 $00{:}15{:}58.128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}59.839$ providing guidance and direction

NOTE Confidence: 0.768329041272727

 $00:15:59.839 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.659$ to empower survivors to take

NOTE Confidence: 0.768329041272727

 $00{:}16{:}01.659 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}03.599$ steps to maximize their health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768329041272727

 $00:16:03.600 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.960$ quality of life and longevity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768329041272727

 $00{:}16{:}05.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}08.296$ More information is available

NOTE Confidence: 0.768329041272727

 $00{:}16{:}08.296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}09.748$ at yale cancercenter.org. You're

NOTE Confidence: 0.768329041272727

00:16:09.748 --> 00:16:11.050 listening to Connecticut.

 $00:16:11.050 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.080$ Public radio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794949426666667

 $00:16:12.650 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.050$ Welcome back to Yale Cancer Answers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794949426666667

 $00{:}16{:}15.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}17.584$ This is doctor Anees Chappar and I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.794949426666667

00:16:17.584 --> 00:16:19.850 joined tonight by my guests doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.794949426666667

00:16:19.850 --> 00:16:22.433 Cary Gross and Ryan Chow. We're talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.794949426666667

 $00{:}16{:}22.503 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}25.095$ about the cost of cancer care in the US

NOTE Confidence: 0.794949426666667

 $00{:}16{:}25.095 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}27.861$ and so right before the break we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.794949426666667

00:16:27.861 --> 00:16:30.318 talking about the study that Cary

NOTE Confidence: 0.794949426666667

 $00:16:30.318 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.523$ and Ryan just published recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.794949426666667

 $00:16:32.530 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.188$ looking at the US healthcare

NOTE Confidence: 0.794949426666667

 $00:16:35.188 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.072$ system compared to other high income

NOTE Confidence: 0.794949426666667

 $00:16:38.072 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.450$ countries and the cost of care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794949426666667

 $00:16:40.450 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.390$ particularly the cost of cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.794949426666667

 $00:16:42.390 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.906$ care and how that really relates

NOTE Confidence: 0.79494942666666700:16:45.906 --> 00:16:48.164 to mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794949426666667

 $00:16:48.164 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.376$ Cary, in terms of the cost of care you

00:16:52.376 --> 00:16:55.288 you're finding really was that the US

NOTE Confidence: 0.794949426666667

 $00{:}16{:}55.288 \rightarrow 00{:}16{:}58.089$ spends more per capita than any other

NOTE Confidence: 0.794949426666667

 $00:16:58.089 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.919$ country on the face of the planet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794949426666667

00:17:00.920 --> 00:17:03.836 And when you multiply the proportion

NOTE Confidence: 0.794949426666667

 $00:17:03.836 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.302$ of that overall healthcare budget

NOTE Confidence: 0.794949426666667

 $00:17:06.302 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.942$ at times the proportion spent on

NOTE Confidence: 0.794949426666667

 $00:17:08.942 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.223$ cancer care which was relatively

NOTE Confidence: 0.794949426666667

 $00:17:11.223 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.428$ equal amongst all of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.794949426666667

 $00{:}17{:}13.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}16.466$ countries that you compared the US

NOTE Confidence: 0.794949426666667

 $00:17:16.466 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.620$ still spends more. Is that right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8200174525

00:17:18.970 --> 00:17:21.756 Yeah, and quite a bit more so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8200174525

00:17:21.756 --> 00:17:23.990 we're spending about \$200 billion

NOTE Confidence: 0.8200174525

 $00{:}17{:}23.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}26.550$ per year on cancer care in the US

NOTE Confidence: 0.8200174525

 $00{:}17{:}26.550 \to 00{:}17{:}29.120$ and on the average per person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8200174525

00:17:29.120 --> 00:17:30.548 That's not per person with cancer,

 $00:17:30.550 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.769$ but just per person in the US.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8200174525

 $00:17:32.770 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.332$ You know that comes out to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8200174525

 $00:17:35.332 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.990$ around \$600.00 per person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8200174525

 $00:17:36.990 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.040$ We're spending on cancer care

NOTE Confidence: 0.8200174525

 $00:17:39.040 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.701$ and this compares to the average

NOTE Confidence: 0.8200174525

 $00:17:41.701 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.469$ amongst the other wealthy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8200174525

 $00:17:43.470 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.294$ These are all wealthy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8200174525

 $00:17:45.294 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.662$ industrialized countries in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8200174525

 $00:17:46.662 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.500$ the global global north.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8200174525

 $00:17:48.500 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.690$ In our sample the average

NOTE Confidence: 0.8200174525

 $00{:}17{:}50.690 --> 00{:}17{:}52.473$ was about \$300.00 per person

NOTE Confidence: 0.8200174525

 $00:17:52.473 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.978$ being spent on cancer care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8200174525

 $00{:}17{:}53.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}56.116$ and some of these countries were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8200174525

00:17:56.116 --> 00:17:58.208 were down to 200 per person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8200174525

00:17:58.208 --> 00:17:59.878 So when we're thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8200174525

00:17:59.878 --> 00:18:02.218 the \$200 billion per year being

00:18:02.218 --> 00:18:04.894 spent on cancer in the US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8200174525

 $00{:}18{:}04.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}07.068$ and the fact that that's you know three

NOTE Confidence: 0.8200174525

 $00:18:07.068 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.380$ times per capita seen in other countries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8200174525

 $00:18:09.380 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.840$ it's also really important to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8200174525

 $00:18:10.840 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.300$ think about the experience of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8200174525

 $00:18:12.353 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.788$ patients with cancer and how.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8200174525

 $00:18:13.790 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.094$ For many of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8200174525

00:18:15.094 --> 00:18:15.746 they're struggling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8200174525

 $00:18:15.750 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.815$ struggling to pay for these new therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8200174525

00:18:17.820 --> 00:18:18.728 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8200174525

 $00:18:18.728 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.004$ in some studies you know up to 1/4 of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8200174525

 $00:18:22.004 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.460$ patients with cancer are going into debt,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772522478

 $00{:}18{:}24.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}27.498$ so but just to clarify that when

NOTE Confidence: 0.772522478

00:18:27.498 --> 00:18:31.026 you talk about \$600.00 per capita,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772522478

 $00:18:31.026 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.610$ 2 questions, first of all,

 $00:18:33.610 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.050$ that's an annual expense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772522478

00:18:35.050 --> 00:18:42.920 Correct? And 2nd, is that \$600?

NOTE Confidence: 0.772522478

 $00:18:42.920 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.360$ Borne by the healthcare system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772522478

 $00:18:46.360 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.215$ in other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772522478

 $00:18:47.215 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.210$ is that the amount that the government

NOTE Confidence: 0.772522478

 $00{:}18{:}49.264 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}51.056$ is paying out as part of Medicare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772522478

 $00:18:51.060 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.520$ or is that the total amount

NOTE Confidence: 0.772522478

 $00:18:53.520 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.100$ in terms of what industry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772522478

 $00{:}18{:}56.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}58.260$ is paying what pharma is paying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772522478

 $00:18:58.260 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.328$ what hospitals are paying?

NOTE Confidence: 0.772522478

 $00:19:00.328 \longrightarrow 00:19:01.879$ Or is it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.772522478

 $00:19:01.880 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.728$ What individuals are paying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772522478

 $00:19:03.728 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.500$ and if it is the latter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772522478

 $00:19:06.500 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.288$ does it include all of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.772522478

 $00:19:08.288 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.289$ ancillary costs so you know when

NOTE Confidence: 0.772522478

 $00:19:10.289 \dashrightarrow 00:19:12.154$ you think about healthcare costs?

 $00:19:12.160 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.488$ Certainly there are the costs of your copays.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772522478

 $00:19:14.490 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.354$ When you're deductibles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772522478

 $00:19:15.354 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.700$ but then there's also the other cost right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.772522478

 $00:19:17.700 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.140$ The time off of work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772522478

 $00:19:19.140 \longrightarrow 00:19:21.720$ the childcare and and everything else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772522478

 $00:19:21.720 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.570$ So what really were the

NOTE Confidence: 0.772522478

 $00:19:23.570 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.420$ costs that were looked at?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7398874708

 $00:19:25.860 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.060$ Yeah, that's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7398874708

 $00:19:27.060 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.309$ So for this study we focused on the big

NOTE Confidence: 0.7398874708

 $00:19:30.309 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.708$ picture of global cost of basically all

NOTE Confidence: 0.7398874708

 $00{:}19{:}33.708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}36.300$ interactions with the health care system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7398874708

 $00:19:36.300 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.876$ Whether somebody was Medicare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7398874708

00:19:38.876 --> 00:19:40.318 Medicaid, private insurance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7398874708

 $00:19:40.318 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.111$ But when somebody went and received some

NOTE Confidence: 0.7398874708

 $00:19:43.111 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.508$ form of care that relates to cancer.

 $00:19:45.510 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.987$ What did the costs come out to?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7398874708

 $00:19:46.990 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.250$ And just to be clear,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7398874708

 $00:19:48.250 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.680$ that \$600.00 per person that's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.7398874708

 $00:19:50.680 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.810$ \$600.00 per patient with cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7398874708

 $00:19:52.810 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.987$ That's \$600.00 for each and every one

NOTE Confidence: 0.7398874708

 $00:19:54.987 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.608$ of the 300 million people in the US.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7398874708

00:19:57.610 --> 00:20:00.146 So everyone if you if you were to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7398874708

 $00{:}20{:}00.146 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}02.720$ spread out the the investment in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7398874708

 $00:20:02.720 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.045$ cancer across the entire population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7398874708

 $00:20:05.050 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.850$ that's what it comes out to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7398874708

 $00:20:06.850 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.446$ And as far as the yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7398874708

 $00:20:08.450 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.970$ the time costs the out of pocket costs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7398874708

00:20:10.970 --> 00:20:12.620 Saw one study that just published

NOTE Confidence: 0.7398874708

 $00:20:12.620 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.990$ a couple of years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7398874708

 $00:20:13.990 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.934$ They estimated that the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7398874708

 $00:20:15.934 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.878$ Out of pocket costs.

00:20:17.880 --> 00:20:20.724 After insurance and everything

NOTE Confidence: 0.7398874708

 $00:20:20.724 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.840$ was covering as much as it could,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7398874708

 $00:20:23.840 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.570$ or over \$16 billion per year for

NOTE Confidence: 0.7398874708

00:20:26.570 --> 00:20:29.443 patients with cancer and the same study

NOTE Confidence: 0.7398874708

00:20:29.443 --> 00:20:31.873 estimated the time cost with cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7398874708

 $00:20:31.880 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.720$ meaning the cost of actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.7398874708

 $00:20:33.720 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.560$ going to and from treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7398874708

 $00:20:35.560 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.060$ Cost of missed work, etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7398874708

 $00:20:38.060 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.232$ We're about 5 billion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7398874708

 $00:20:39.232 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.697$ I think that's a gross

NOTE Confidence: 0.7398874708

 $00:20:40.697 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.140$ underestimate to be honest with you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7398874708

00:20:42.140 --> 00:20:45.296 I think what we're not properly

NOTE Confidence: 0.7398874708

 $00{:}20{:}45.296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}46.874$ understanding or measuring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7398874708

 $00:20:46.880 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.253$ The the time cost that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7398874708

 $00:20:49.253 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.668$ takes to to deal with cancer.

00:20:52.420 --> 00:20:54.740 And so you know, Ryan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.566975974

 $00:20:54.740 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.399$ when we think about cost and we'll,

NOTE Confidence: 0.566975974

 $00{:}20{:}56.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}57.856$ we'll get to benefits in a minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.566975974

00:20:57.860 --> 00:21:00.065 But I, I really want to dig into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.566975974

 $00:21:00.065 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.220$ the cost side of things because I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.566975974

00:21:02.220 --> 00:21:04.230 you know, there's a difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.566975974

00:21:04.230 --> 00:21:06.240 between Kerry when you quoted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.566975974

00:21:06.240 --> 00:21:09.176 I think you quoted it was \$200 billion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.566975974

00:21:09.180 --> 00:21:11.950 right? \$600.00 per person spread

NOTE Confidence: 0.566975974

 $00:21:11.950 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.980$ out over the population so you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.566975974

 $00{:}21{:}13.980 \to 00{:}21{:}15.718$ imagine for each cancer patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.566975974

 $00:21:15.720 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.372$ given the fact that cancer doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.566975974

 $00{:}21{:}18.372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}20.140$ affect every single individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.566975974

 $00{:}21{:}20.140 --> 00{:}21{:}22.380$ for a given cancer patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.566975974

 $00:21:22.380 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.880$ That \$600.00 is probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.566975974

 $00:21:24.880 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.630$ more like you know 2 grand.

 $00:21:28.630 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.492$ When we think about the number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.566975974

 $00{:}21{:}30.492 \to 00{:}21{:}32.628$ people who get cancer in this country,

NOTE Confidence: 0.566975974

00:21:32.630 --> 00:21:35.110 uh, individually, but so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.566975974

 $00:21:35.110 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.590$ So the cost Ryan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.566975974

 $00:21:37.590 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.848$ Just to clarify that is not just the out

NOTE Confidence: 0.566975974

00:21:40.848 --> 00:21:44.167 of pocket cost for these individuals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.566975974

 $00:21:44.170 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.864$ but it also includes the costs

NOTE Confidence: 0.566975974

 $00:21:46.864 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.974$ that are borne by other sectors

NOTE Confidence: 0.566975974

 $00:21:49.974 \longrightarrow 00:21:52.406$ of the healthcare system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.566975974

 $00:21:52.410 \longrightarrow 00:21:53.265$ Is that right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.566975974

 $00:21:53.265 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.260$ Or is it only the out of

NOTE Confidence: 0.566975974

00:21:55.334 --> 00:21:57.378 pocket cost for individuals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7944658226

 $00{:}21{:}58.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}00.390$ right? So it. It does reflect

NOTE Confidence: 0.7944658226

 $00:22:00.390 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.910$ the total healthcare spending,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7944658226

 $00:22:01.910 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.226$ not just out of pocket costs,

 $00:22:04.230 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.676$ so that would include a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.7944658226

 $00{:}22{:}05.676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}07.394$ of these drugs do have some

NOTE Confidence: 0.7944658226

00:22:07.394 --> 00:22:08.786 degree of insurance coverage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7944658226

 $00:22:08.790 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.490$ and so the total cost of those drugs is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7944658226

00:22:11.490 --> 00:22:13.607 factored into our our cost estimates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7944658226

 $00:22:13.610 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.885$ not just what the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.7944658226

00:22:14.885 --> 00:22:16.360 pays out of pocket. Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.762933818695652

 $00:22:16.450 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.365$ because certainly you know the

NOTE Confidence: 0.762933818695652

 $00{:}22{:}18.365 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}20.660$ the insurance company has a cost

NOTE Confidence: 0.762933818695652

00:22:20.660 --> 00:22:22.520 and and the patient not only

NOTE Confidence: 0.762933818695652

00:22:22.520 --> 00:22:24.578 has their out of pocket costs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.762933818695652

 $00:22:24.580 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.098$ but they also have their deductibles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762933818695652

 $00:22:26.100 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.428$ And so on and so forth, and so Carrie

NOTE Confidence: 0.762933818695652

 $00{:}22{:}28.428 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}30.144$ before we transition back to Ryan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762933818695652

 $00:22:30.150 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.830$ Talk more about the benefits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.762933818695652

 $00:22:31.830 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.590$ just to clarify as well,

 $00:22:33.590 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.540$ this was not just about medications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762933818695652

 $00:22:35.540 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.950$ This was about hospital stays.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762933818695652

 $00:22:37.950 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.054$ It was about surgeries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762933818695652

 $00:22:40.054 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.684$ It was about radiation treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762933818695652

 $00:22:42.690 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.366$ It was about was it about

NOTE Confidence: 0.762933818695652

00:22:45.366 --> 00:22:47.150 things like physical therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.762933818695652

 $00:22:47.150 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.114$ occupational therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.762933818695652

 $00:22:48.114 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.488$ which are also often part of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.762933818695652

 $00{:}22{:}51.488 \to 00{:}22{:}52.829$ multidisciplinary cancer care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91194515

 $00:22:54.040 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.330$ Yeah, that's the key question

NOTE Confidence: 0.91194515

 $00:22:56.330 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.612$ is what is the what are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.91194515

 $00{:}22{:}58.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}00.617$ contributors to the overall cost

NOTE Confidence: 0.91194515

 $00{:}23{:}00.617 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}02.997$ and the variation across countries?

NOTE Confidence: 0.91194515

 $00:23:03.000 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.666$ And we're not able to answer that

NOTE Confidence: 0.91194515

 $00:23:04.666 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.468$ in this study because we we focused

 $00:23:06.468 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.956$ on the big picture. Overall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91194515

 $00{:}23{:}07.956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}11.524$ how much is being spent on cancer care?

NOTE Confidence: 0.91194515

00:23:11.530 --> 00:23:13.654 And one thing I've noticed over

NOTE Confidence: 0.91194515

 $00:23:13.654 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.670$ the course of my career.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91194515

00:23:15.670 --> 00:23:17.455 Well, a lot of finger pointing happens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91194515

 $00{:}23{:}17.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}19.145$ I've noticed that the Pharmaceutical

NOTE Confidence: 0.91194515

00:23:19.145 --> 00:23:21.199 industry loves to point out that

NOTE Confidence: 0.91194515

 $00:23:21.199 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.779$ how expensive hospitals are and

NOTE Confidence: 0.91194515

 $00{:}23{:}22.779 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}24.779$ hospitals love to point out how much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91194515

 $00:23:24.780 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.690$ how expensive the drugs are

NOTE Confidence: 0.91194515

 $00:23:26.690 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.218$ and then radiation oncologists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91194515

 $00:23:28.220 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.894$ They they compare their their cost to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91194515

 $00{:}23{:}30.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}32.760$ You know other non radiation treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91194515

 $00:23:32.760 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.994$ So I mean all of these different

NOTE Confidence: 0.91194515

00:23:35.994 --> 00:23:38.420 components add up substantially and

NOTE Confidence: 0.91194515

 $00:23:38.420 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.380$ they're each important contributors.

 $00:23:40.380 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.572$ One thing I'm hoping is to a further

NOTE Confidence: 0.91194515

 $00:23:43.572 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.644$ clarify how these vary across countries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91194515

 $00:23:46.650 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.410$ The different contributors to cancer costs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91194515

 $00:23:49.410 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.042$ but B.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91194515

 $00:23:50.042 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.938$ Hopefully we'll one day be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.91194515

 $00:23:51.938 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.245$ to get away from their finger

NOTE Confidence: 0.91194515

 $00:23:54.245 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.645$ pointing idea because I think it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.91194515

00:23:56.723 --> 00:23:58.586 very easy to point out how,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91194515

 $00:23:58.586 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.482$ how important it is to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.91194515

 $00:24:00.482 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.150$ for cost savings elsewhere,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91194515

 $00:24:02.150 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.064$ and we could encourage more of

NOTE Confidence: 0.91194515

 $00{:}24{:}04.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}05.964$ the different sectors to roll up

NOTE Confidence: 0.91194515

 $00:24:05.964 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.638$ their sleeves and try to dial

NOTE Confidence: 0.91194515

 $00:24:07.638 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.359$ down costs in their own areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.721321204

 $00:24:10.010 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.150$ And so Ryan, you know.

 $00:24:12.150 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.710$ Transitioning now to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.721321204

 $00:24:13.710 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.270$ thinking about the benefits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.721321204

 $00:24:15.270 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.400$ we know that the US spends.

NOTE Confidence: 0.721321204

00:24:17.400 --> 00:24:19.344 An inordinate amount of money on

NOTE Confidence: 0.721321204

 $00:24:19.344 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.175$ health care and and proportionately

NOTE Confidence: 0.721321204

 $00{:}24{:}21.175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}23.959$ an inordinate amount on cancer care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.721321204

 $00:24:23.960 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.571$ So what did you find in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.721321204

 $00:24:26.571 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.819$ of the actual benefit our,

NOTE Confidence: 0.721321204

00:24:28.820 --> 00:24:31.418 our, our outcomes in the US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.721321204

 $00:24:31.420 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.421$ substantially better than

NOTE Confidence: 0.721321204

00:24:33.421 --> 00:24:36.089 other high income countries?

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

 $00:24:36.500 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.780$ So the short answer is no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

 $00:24:38.780 \longrightarrow 00:24:41.332$ the US is not necessarily doing that much

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

 $00{:}24{:}41.332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}43.717$ better than other high income countries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

 $00:24:43.720 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.616$ So to give you more of the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

 $00:24:45.616 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.276$ data behind that, so across these.

00:24:47.276 --> 00:24:48.968 Income countries that we looked at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

 $00:24:48.970 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.370$ the median mortality rate is about 91 deaths

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

00:24:52.370 --> 00:24:55.250 from cancer per 100,000 people in a year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

 $00:24:55.250 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.610$ and so in the US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

00:24:56.610 --> 00:25:00.370 that was 86 deaths from cancer per 100,000,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

 $00:25:00.370 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.850$ and so that put the US at about

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

 $00:25:02.850 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.966$ seventh lowest out of 22 countries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

 $00:25:04.970 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.466$ So it's doing better than the median country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

 $00:25:08.470 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.199$ But there is a caveat here and

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

 $00:25:10.199 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.948$ that has to do with smoking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

 $00:25:11.950 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.086$ So as I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

00:25:13.086 --> 00:25:14.506 smoking is a major risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

00:25:14.506 --> 00:25:16.069 factor for cancer mortality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

00:25:16.070 --> 00:25:17.735 and this is an area that the US has

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

 $00:25:17.735 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.928$ actually done a really good job.

00:25:18.930 --> 00:25:19.608 And historically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

 $00{:}25{:}19.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}22.320$ where the US smoking rate is much lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

 $00:25:22.387 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.949$ than a lot of other industrialized nations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

 $00:25:24.950 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.902$ and so if we account for that variation

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

00:25:26.902 --> 00:25:28.570 in smoking rates across countries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

 $00:25:28.570 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.649$ we actually find that the US cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

 $00:25:30.649 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.888$ mortality rate is then only tenth lowest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

00:25:32.890 --> 00:25:35.038 and it's actually pretty much comparable

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

 $00{:}25{:}35.038 \to 00{:}25{:}37.750$ to the median high high income country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

 $00:25:37.750 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.670$ So bringing together what we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

 $00{:}25{:}39.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}41.377$ talking about with costs and

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

00:25:41.377 --> 00:25:42.857 now thinking about mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

 $00:25:42.860 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.023$ US spending twice as much on cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

 $00:25:45.023 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.858$ care as the average high income country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

00:25:47.860 --> 00:25:48.748 But you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

 $00:25:48.748 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.820$ cancer mortality rates in the US are

00:25:50.887 --> 00:25:53.437 pretty basically comparable to the average,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

 $00:25:53.440 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.416$ so that tells us that you know there

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

 $00:25:55.416 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.372$ are still a lot of opportunities in

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

00:25:57.372 --> 00:25:59.166 areas for improving the US cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

00:25:59.166 --> 00:26:01.200 care ecosystem that can really help

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

00:26:01.200 --> 00:26:02.890 patients live longer and better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

 $00:26:02.890 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.900$ and ideally at a more affordable

NOTE Confidence: 0.732441406666667

 $00:26:04.900 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.950$ price as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.727194586666667

 $00:26:06.820 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.118$ Cary, then the obvious question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.727194586666667

 $00{:}26{:}09.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}13.314$ right is who was the winner in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.727194586666667

 $00:26:13.320 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.370$ outcomes versus cost?

NOTE Confidence: 0.727194586666667

 $00:26:16.270 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.966$ So yeah, there are several in that domain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

00:26:19.966 --> 00:26:22.414 Korea, Finland, Iceland,

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

 $00{:}26{:}22.414 --> 00{:}26{:}24.610$ Spain, Sweden. You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

 $00:26:24.610 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.910$ countries with National Health systems,

00:26:26.910 --> 00:26:29.406 countries that have good,

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

 $00{:}26{:}29.406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}33.150$ you know prevention and screening efforts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

 $00:26:33.150 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.520$ but also some of these countries

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

 $00:26:34.520 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.590$ that they might have had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

00:26:36.590 --> 00:26:38.090 Some of them have higher smoking

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

00:26:38.090 --> 00:26:40.110 rates than the US, and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

 $00:26:40.110 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.150$ many of these countries benefited from

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

 $00:26:42.150 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.688$ the fact that the US has such a robust.

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

00:26:44.690 --> 00:26:47.810 Research, infrastructure and and many of

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

 $00:26:47.810 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.779$ the new cancer treatments used across

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

00:26:50.779 --> 00:26:53.600 the world are generated in the US.

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

 $00:26:53.600 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.134$ So you know by these important metrics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

00:26:56.140 --> 00:26:58.020 Looking at cost and survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

 $00:26:58.020 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.248$ there are several countries

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

 $00:26:59.248 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.476$ that are doing better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

00:27:00.480 --> 00:27:01.665 but also it's important to

00:27:01.665 --> 00:27:03.100 understand what we're doing so well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

 $00:27:03.100 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.618$ Here you know what we are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

 $00:27:04.620 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.678$ We have a low smoking rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

 $00:27:06.680 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.510$ We have excellent Cancer Research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

 $00:27:08.510 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.236$ We have good cancer screening rates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

 $00:27:12.240 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.664$ so I think we can all learn from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

00:27:15.670 --> 00:27:16.041 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

 $00{:}27{:}16.041 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}18.638$ each other countries are doing and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

00:27:18.638 --> 00:27:21.387 know I'm just concerned that some to

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

 $00:27:21.387 \dashrightarrow 00:27:23.443$ be honest and I haven't said this yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

 $00:27:23.450 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.192$ The the origin of this particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

 $00:27:26.192 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.878$ study is from dates back to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

 $00{:}27{:}28.878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}30.513$ different study that was roughly

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

00:27:30.513 --> 00:27:33.542 10 years ago that was just a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

 $00:27:33.542 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.707$ Pollyannaish study that looked at

00:27:35.707 --> 00:27:37.866 survival after a cancer diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

 $00{:}27{:}37.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}39.806$ and Ryan described earlier why that

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

 $00:27:39.806 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.480$ can be problematic that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

00:27:41.480 --> 00:27:43.965 some countries have higher cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

 $00:27:43.965 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.953$ screening rates than others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

00:27:45.960 --> 00:27:47.640 That, uh, it could be deceptive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

00:27:47.640 --> 00:27:49.299 It could make it look like maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

 $00:27:49.299 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.859$ you have better cancer outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

 $00{:}27{:}50.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}53.247$ but this old study looked at cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

 $00:27:53.247 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.975$ survival rates and and said that the US

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

 $00{:}27{:}55.975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}58.000$ was doing better than every body else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

 $00:27:58.000 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.338$ So the higher costs are worth it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

00:28:00.340 --> 00:28:01.376 And as you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

 $00:28:01.376 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.356$ they all this data kept coming in

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

 $00:28:03.356 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.211$ about financial toxicity and concerns

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

 $00:28:05.211 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.384$ about whether drugs are effective in

 $00:28:07.384 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.358$ the real world as they were in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

 $00:28:10.358 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.792$ initial studies and other studies coming in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

 $00:28:12.792 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.008$ showing that maybe other

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

 $00:28:14.008 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.310$ countries are doing better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

00:28:15.310 --> 00:28:17.118 With their population level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

00:28:17.118 --> 00:28:19.378 mortality really just kept driving

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

 $00:28:19.378 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.943$ home this question that we have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

00:28:21.943 --> 00:28:24.450 really learn from from different

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

00:28:24.450 --> 00:28:27.150 national approaches to to healthcare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

00:28:27.150 --> 00:28:29.070 to Wellness and to, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.620602892857143

 $00:28:29.070 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.790$ payment reform.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869464982727273

 $00{:}28{:}30.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}32.524$ Doctor Cary Gross is a professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.869464982727273

 $00{:}28{:}32.524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}34.610$ of medicine and of epidemiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869464982727273

 $00{:}28{:}34.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}36.954$ and Ryan Chow is an MD PhD student

NOTE Confidence: 0.869464982727273

 $00:28:36.954 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.330$ at the Yale School of Medicine.

 $00:28:39.330 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.318$ If you have questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869464982727273

 $00{:}28{:}41.318 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}43.261$ the address is canceranswers@yale.edu

NOTE Confidence: 0.869464982727273

 $00{:}28{:}43.261 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}45.967$ and past editions of the program

NOTE Confidence: 0.869464982727273

 $00:28:45.967 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.323$ are available in audio and written

NOTE Confidence: 0.869464982727273

 $00:28:48.323 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.265$ form at yalecancercenter.org.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869464982727273

 $00{:}28{:}49.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}51.785$ We hope you'll join us next week to

NOTE Confidence: 0.869464982727273

 $00{:}28{:}51.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}53.707$ learn more about the fight against

NOTE Confidence: 0.869464982727273

 $00:28:53.707 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.257$ cancer here on Connecticut Public

NOTE Confidence: 0.869464982727273

 $00:28:55.314 \longrightarrow 00:28:57.084$ radio. Funding for Yale Cancer Answers

NOTE Confidence: 0.869464982727273

 $00{:}28{:}57.084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}00.000$ is provided by Smilow Cancer Hospital.