WEBVTT

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:02.160 Funding for Yale Cancer Answers is NOTE Confidence: 0.896560937272727 $00{:}00{:}02{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}04{.}200$ provided by Smilow Cancer Hospital. NOTE Confidence: 0.846107055384615 $00:00:06.420 \rightarrow 00:00:08.580$ Welcome to Yale Cancer Answers with NOTE Confidence: 0.846107055384615 00:00:08.580 --> 00:00:10.879 your host doctor Anees Chagpar. NOTE Confidence: 0.846107055384615 $00:00:10.880 \rightarrow 00:00:12.750$ Yale Cancer Answers features the NOTE Confidence: 0.846107055384615 $00:00:12.750 \rightarrow 00:00:15.045$ latest information on cancer care by NOTE Confidence: 0.846107055384615 00:00:15.045 --> 00:00:16.517 welcoming oncologists and specialists NOTE Confidence: 0.846107055384615 00:00:16.517 -> 00:00:18.963 who are on the forefront of the NOTE Confidence: 0.846107055384615 $00:00:18.963 \longrightarrow 00:00:20.820$ battle to fight cancer. This week, NOTE Confidence: 0.846107055384615 $00:00:20.820 \rightarrow 00:00:22.720$ it's a conversation about radiation NOTE Confidence: 0.846107055384615 $00:00:22.720 \rightarrow 00:00:24.679$ therapy for breast cancer patients NOTE Confidence: 0.846107055384615 00:00:24.679 - 00:00:26.199 with Doctor Meena Moran. NOTE Confidence: 0.846107055384615 00:00:26.200 --> 00:00:28.198 Doctor Moran is a professor of NOTE Confidence: 0.846107055384615 $00:00:28.198 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.530$ the rapeutic radiology at the NOTE Confidence: 0.846107055384615 00:00:29.593 --> 00:00:30.949 Yale School of Medicine, NOTE Confidence: 0.846107055384615

00:00:30.950 -> 00:00:32.805 where Doctor Chagpar is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.846107055384615

00:00:32.805 --> 00:00:34.289 professor of surgical oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920128631578947

 $00:00:36.410 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.808$ Maybe you can start off

NOTE Confidence: 0.920128631578947

 $00:00:37.808 \rightarrow 00:00:39.532$ by telling us a little bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.920128631578947

 $00:00:39.532 \rightarrow 00:00:41.014$ yourself and what it is you do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367647166666667

 $00{:}00{:}41.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}43.550$ I wear many hats.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367647166666667

00:00:43.550 --> 00:00:45.728 But first and foremost I identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367647166666667

 $00{:}00{:}45.728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}48.110$ myself as a radiation on cologist who

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367647166666667

 $00:00:48.110 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.684$ takes care of breast cancer patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367647166666667

 $00{:}00{:}50{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}54{.}698$ And then I hold a lot of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367647166666667

 $00{:}00{:}54.698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}57.661$ administrative and roles on committees

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367647166666667

 $00:00:57.661 \rightarrow 00:01:01.330$ and and organizations that basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367647166666667

 $00:01:01.330 \rightarrow 00:01:06.478$ are organizing standards and policies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367647166666667

00:01:06.478 --> 00:01:09.046 For breast care across the country,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881431213333333

 $00:01:09.430 \dashrightarrow 00:01:12.020$ so let's start with what I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.881431213333333

 $00:01:12.020 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.197$ is oftentimes a confusing issue

- NOTE Confidence: 0.881431213333333
- 00:01:14.197 00:01:17.892 for many people, and that is what's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881431213333333
- $00:01:17.892 \rightarrow 00:01:20.104$ the difference between radiology
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881431213333333
- $00{:}01{:}20{.}104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}23{.}706$ like a radiologist and the rapeutic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881431213333333
- $00:01:23.706 \rightarrow 00:01:26.398$ radiology or radiation oncologist.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881431213333333
- $00:01:26.400 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.900$ I find that sometimes people
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881431213333333
- $00:01:27.900 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.400$ get those two terms confused.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881431213333333
- $00:01:29.400 \longrightarrow 00:01:31.997$ Can you help to help us to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881431213333333
- 00:01:31.997 > 00:01:33.110 understand the differences?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.813387604
- $00{:}01{:}33.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}35.080$ Sure, that's an excellent question,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.813387604
- $00:01:35.080 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.126$ actually, and it is true that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.813387604
- 00:01:37.130 --> 00:01:40.088 Even my patients will say radiology
- NOTE Confidence: 0.813387604
- $00:01:40.088 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.530$ on cologists or variations of that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.813387604
- $00{:}01{:}42.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}47.282$ So a radiologist is someone who
- NOTE Confidence: 0.813387604
- 00:01:47.282 --> 00:01:50.520 does diagnostic imaging and that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.813387604
- 00:01:50.520 --> 00:01:52.850 can include mammograms, Mris,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.813387604

00:01:52.850 --> 00:01:56.256 CAT scans, PET CT's bone scans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813387604

 $00{:}01{:}56.256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}57.628$ that kind of thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813387604

00:01:57.630 --> 00:02:00.830 A radiation oncologist is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.813387604

 $00:02:00.830 \rightarrow 00:02:04.030$ someone who delivers high energy

NOTE Confidence: 0.813387604

 $00{:}02{:}04{.}129 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}06{.}709$ X rays which are radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813387604

 $00{:}02{:}06{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}08{.}010$ but they're at a much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813387604

 $00:02:08.010 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.070$ Higher level of radiation than

NOTE Confidence: 0.813387604

 $00{:}02{:}12{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}14{.}254$ with the the diagnostic levels of

NOTE Confidence: 0.813387604

00:02:14.254 --> 00:02:17.688 X rays and and what we do is we

NOTE Confidence: 0.813387604

 $00:02:17.688 \dashrightarrow 00:02:19.583$ use that for the rapeutic purposes

NOTE Confidence: 0.813387604

 $00{:}02{:}19.667 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}21.907$ and treat primarily cancer but

NOTE Confidence: 0.813387604

 $00:02:21.907 \rightarrow 00:02:24.400$ also some benign diseases as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936264834210526

 $00{:}02{:}24{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}27{.}388$ So the other question that I want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.936264834210526

 $00{:}02{:}27.388 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}29.966$ kind of get off the table right at

NOTE Confidence: 0.936264834210526

 $00:02:29.966 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.226$ the outset is many patients also are

NOTE Confidence: 0.936264834210526

 $00:02:33.226 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.121$ confused about the differences between

 $00:02:36.121 \rightarrow 00:02:38.659$ radiation and chemotherapy often.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936264834210526

 $00:02:38.660 \rightarrow 00:02:40.820$ Thinking that these are the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936264834210526

 $00:02:40.820 \rightarrow 00:02:43.124$ can you clarify the differences between

NOTE Confidence: 0.936264834210526

 $00:02:43.124 \rightarrow 00:02:46.482$ the two and maybe a little bit about how

NOTE Confidence: 0.936264834210526

 $00:02:46.482 \rightarrow 00:02:49.530$ they're different in terms of first of all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936264834210526

 $00:02:49.530 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.540$ what the objective of the modality is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936264834210526

 $00{:}02{:}52{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}55{.}249$ and 2nd the side effects that each of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

00:02:55.260 --> 00:03:00.448 carry? Sure, so chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

00:03:00.450 --> 00:03:03.540 Or systemic therapy, generally speaking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00{:}03{:}03{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}06{.}360$ is when when something is administered

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00{:}03{:}06{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}10{.}107$ either by mouth or through the vein and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00:03:10.107 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.849$ actually goes throughout your whole body,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00:03:12.850 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.095$ your whole system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00{:}03{:}14.095 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}16.585$ And that's why it's called systemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00:03:16.585 \rightarrow 00:03:18.308$ chemotherapy or systemic therapy.

 $00:03:18.310 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.620$ It also includes the broader

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00:03:20.620 \dashrightarrow 00:03:22.930$ umbrella of these targeted agents

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

00:03:23.012 -> 00:03:25.550 and and endocrine therapy as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00{:}03{:}25{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}28{.}926$ All of them have the ability of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

00:03:28.926 --> 00:03:31.110 circulating throughout your bloodstream.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00{:}03{:}31{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}36{.}682$ And affecting any cells that might be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

00:03:36.682 --> 00:03:39.916 anywhere where blood transverses in the body,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00:03:39.920 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.430$ which is pretty much you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00{:}03{:}41{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}42{.}638$ know throughout the body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00:03:42.640 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.992$ Radiation, on the other hand is a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00{:}03{:}45{.}992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}49{.}386$ focused high energy X ray beam and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00:03:49.386 \rightarrow 00:03:52.598$ purpose of the radiation is really just to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

00:03:52.600 -> 00:03:56.758 uh, primarily eradicate or to kill

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

00:03:56.758 --> 00:04:01.110 off any microscopic or macroscopic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00{:}04{:}01{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}03{.}282$ Disease in the area where the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00:04:03.282 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.368$ beam is targeted.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175
- $00:04:04.370 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.218$ So, for example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175
- 00:04:06.218 --> 00:04:08.420 for breast radiation after,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175
- $00:04:08.420 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.120$ for example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175
- $00:04:09.120 \rightarrow 00:04:11.220$ when someone has a lumpectomy or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175
- $00:04:11.220 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.032$ breast conserving surgery and their
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175
- $00:04:13.032 \rightarrow 00:04:15.670$ primary tumor in the breast is removed,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175
- 00:04:15.670 00:04:18.766 we will target the whole breast
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175
- $00{:}04{:}18.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}23.150$ area so that the radiation can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175
- $00{:}04{:}23.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}25.783$ eradicate any microscopic cells that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175
- 00:04:25.783 --> 00:04:28.129 might be left behind after surgery.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175
- $00{:}04{:}28{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}31{.}906$ And that has been shown to diminish the.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175
- $00{:}04{:}31{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}33{.}860$ The chances of the cancer coming
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175
- $00{:}04{:}33.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}35.160$ back within the breast.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8655085324
- $00{:}04{:}36{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}39{.}270$ So so that leads us to this whole for a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8655085324
- $00{:}04{:}39{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}41{.}988$ of of the discussion that we'll have in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8655085324

 $00:04:41.988 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.790$ terms of radiation oncology as it plays

NOTE Confidence: 0.954637094

 $00:04:44.800 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.180$ a role in breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890393947407407

 $00:04:46.900 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.329$ So one of the areas in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.890393947407407

 $00:04:49.329 \rightarrow 00:04:51.210$ radiation plays prominently in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.890393947407407

 $00:04:51.210 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.135$ treatment of breast cancer patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.890393947407407

 $00:04:53.135 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.169$ is after lumpectomy or breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.890393947407407

 $00:04:55.169 \rightarrow 00:04:57.209$ conserving surgery as you mentioned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890393947407407

 $00{:}04{:}57{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}59{.}394$ And I find that another question that

NOTE Confidence: 0.890393947407407

 $00{:}04{:}59{.}394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}02{.}134$ often comes up for patients, is this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890393947407407

 $00:05:02.134 \rightarrow 00:05:04.544$ Why do I need radiation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.890393947407407

 $00:05:04.550 \dashrightarrow 00:05:06.625$ If the surgeon already removed

NOTE Confidence: 0.890393947407407

 $00{:}05{:}06.625 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}09.582$ the cancer and got a nice clean

NOTE Confidence: 0.890393947407407

 $00:05:09.582 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.368$ rim of tissue all the way around,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890393947407407

 $00:05:12.370 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.610$ isn't the cancer gone?

NOTE Confidence: 0.890393947407407

 $00:05:13.610 \rightarrow 00:05:15.160$ Why would I need radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.890393947407407

 $00:05:15.160 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.030$ to presumably normal tissue?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658
- $00{:}05{:}17.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}19.140$ Yeah, that's that's a very,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658
- $00{:}05{:}19{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}21{.}312$ very good question that patients do
- NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658
- $00:05:21.312 \dashrightarrow 00:05:25.180$ ask a lot, and so it's not intuitive.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658
- $00:05:25.180 \dashrightarrow 00:05:28.645$ But despite the fact that the primary
- NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658
- $00{:}05{:}28.645 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}32.892$ tumor has been removed with a 3
- NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658
- $00{:}05{:}32.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}35.556$ dimensional circumference of normal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658
- $00:05:35.556 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.419$ unaffected breast tissue as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658
- $00:05:38.420 \rightarrow 00:05:42.711$ What we know from looking at mastectomy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658
- $00{:}05{:}42.711 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}45.225$ specimens from patients years ago that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658
- $00:05:45.225 \rightarrow 00:05:47.680$ have passed away of breast cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658
- $00:05:47.680 \rightarrow 00:05:50.080$ Is that the primary tumor?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658
- $00{:}05{:}50{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}53{.}040$ Has little tiny microscopic tentacles of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658
- $00:05:53.040 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.174$ disease that can extend as far as 3/4
- NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658
- $00:05:57.174 \rightarrow 00:06:00.138$ centimeters away from the primary tumor.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658
- $00:06:00.140 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.038$ So despite the fact that the surgeon
- NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658

 $00:06:03.038 \rightarrow 00:06:05.220$ is removing the primary tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658

 $00{:}06{:}05{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}07{.}176$ with a margin there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658

00:06:07.176 --> 00:06:11.838 there is a high chance of having

NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658

 $00:06:11.838 \rightarrow 00:06:14.911$ microscopic disease in about 30 to

NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658

 $00{:}06{:}14{.}911 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}17{.}400$ 40% of all breast cancer patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658

 $00{:}06{:}17{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}19{.}100$ and that's what the radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658

00:06:19.100 --> 00:06:20.228 Is actually targeting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936399211666667

 $00:06:20.940 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.418$ Which then brings up the question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9363992116666667

 $00{:}06{:}23.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}27.660$ Well, if there are these tentacles of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9363992116666667

 $00:06:27.660 \rightarrow 00:06:29.735$ disease or the possibility of microscopic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9363992116666667

 $00:06:29.735 \dashrightarrow 00:06:32.160$ disease in the rest of the breast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9363992116666667

00:06:32.160 --> 00:06:33.700 wouldn't I just be better

NOTE Confidence: 0.9363992116666667

 $00:06:33.700 \rightarrow 00:06:35.240$ off to have a mastectomy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9363992116666667

00:06:35.240 --> 00:06:38.993 I mean, how can it be that breast conserving,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9363992116666667

00:06:39.000 --> 00:06:41.772 surgery and mastectomy are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9363992116666667

 $00:06:41.772 \rightarrow 00:06:45.237$ equivalent in terms of survival?

 $00:06:45.240 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.410$ When there still is potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.9363992116666667

 $00:06:48.410 \rightarrow 00:06:50.440$ for disease, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9363992116666667

 $00:06:50.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.968$ So, uhm, so they've done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:06:54.020 \rightarrow 00:06:57.535$ You know several large randomized

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

00:06:57.535 --> 00:07:01.050 studies where they've taken women

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00{:}07{:}01{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}03{.}690$ with breast cancer with early stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00{:}07{:}03.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}05.800$ breast cancer and randomize them

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00{:}07{:}05{.}869 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}08{.}077$ to either a lumpectomy or breast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

00:07:08.080 --> 00:07:11.520 conserving surgery or lumpectomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:07:11.520 \dashrightarrow 00:07:15.492$ plus radiation versus mastectomy. And.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:07:15.492 \rightarrow 00:07:18.152$ What you consistently see throughout

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00{:}07{:}18.152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}21.760$ all of these studies is that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:07:21.760 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.070$ survival outcomes are the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00{:}07{:}24.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}26.959$ but that when you do the lumpectomy alone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00{:}07{:}26.959 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}30.312$ that the risk of the cancer coming

 $00:07:30.312 \rightarrow 00:07:34.052$ back is significantly greater, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:07:34.052 \dashrightarrow 00:07:37.706$ Whether you choose to do a mastectomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:07:37.706 \rightarrow 00:07:39.851$ or breast conservation really is

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:07:39.851 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.420$ just it is a personal choice and

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:07:42.496 \rightarrow 00:07:44.770$ it's up to the individual patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00{:}07{:}44.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}46.406$ but a lot of women think it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:07:46.406 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.186$ better to do a mastectomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:07:48.190 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.128$ and that's just not the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

00:07:50.130 - 00:07:52.395 Outcomes ultimately are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

00:07:52.395 - 00:07:55.550 same in terms of of survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00{:}07{:}55{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}57{.}920$ and the issue for an individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:07:57.920 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.435$ patient would be do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:07:59.435 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.385$ I want to conserve my breast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:08:01.390 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.469$ Do I want to keep my breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00{:}08{:}03{.}469 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}05{.}078$ doing a mastectomy is a much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:08:05.080 \rightarrow 00:08:07.720$ Larger surgery there's the issue of

 $00:08:07.720 \rightarrow 00:08:10.699$ asymmetry and and then thinking about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

00:08:10.700 --> 00:08:12.214 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00{:}08{:}12.214 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}14.485$ reconstruction and contralateral

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

00:08:14.485 --> 00:08:15.999 prophylactic mastectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00{:}08{:}16{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}17{.}812$ So there's a lot of additional

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:08:17.812 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.796$ issues that need to be thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:08:19.796 \rightarrow 00:08:21.566$ about in the mastectomy realm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:08:21.570 \rightarrow 00:08:23.976$ and I think that that's something

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:08:23.976 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.588$ that patients struggle with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:08:25.588 \rightarrow 00:08:28.012$ especially when they're in given a

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:08:28.012 \rightarrow 00:08:30.110$ new diagnosis of a breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

00:08:30.110 -> 00:08:32.114 So I think it's just important

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00{:}08{:}32.114 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}33.949$ that patients know that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00{:}08{:}33{.}949 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}35{.}248$ ultimate survival rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00{:}08{:}35{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}38{.}197$ Are the same whether you have the

 $00:08:38.197 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.384$ entire breast removed or whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00{:}08{:}40{.}384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}43{.}331$ you have the tumor removed and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:08:43.331 \dashrightarrow 00:08:45.832$ received the radiation to the breast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:08:45.832 \rightarrow 00:08:48.170$ The difference being that if you just

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:08:48.238 \rightarrow 00:08:50.807$ remove the tumor and don't do radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:08:50.810 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.196$ then your risk of it coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:08:52.196 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.680$ back in the breast is higher,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85478886

 $00:08:54.580 \rightarrow 00:08:57.706$ which then leads us to OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85478886

 $00{:}08{:}57{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}00{.}806$ So tell me about the radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85478886

 $00:09:00.810 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.930$ How how is it delivered?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85478886

 $00:09:02.930 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.870$ How much is it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85478886

00:09:03.870 --> 00:09:05.280 How often do I have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85478886

 $00:09:05.344 \rightarrow 00:09:06.828$ come for the treatments?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85478886

 $00:09:06.830 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.590$ How long are the treatments?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85478886

 $00:09:08.590 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.988$ And what are the side effects?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85478886

 $00:09:09.990 \rightarrow 00:09:12.110$ So oftentimes people will ask,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.85478886
- 00:09:12.110 --> 00:09:13.748 you know, will my hair fall out?

00:09:13.750 --> 00:09:15.062 Will I get sick?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85478886

 $00:09:15.062 \rightarrow 00:09:17.030$ What about all of those questions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85478886

00:09:17.030 --> 00:09:17.680 Sure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00:09:17.710 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.098$ sure. So uhm again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00:09:19.098 \dashrightarrow 00:09:21.910$ radiation is a high energy X ray beam.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00:09:21.910 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.750$ Not only do we use it in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

00:09:23.750 --> 00:09:24.650 breast conservation setting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00{:}09{:}24.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}26.636$ but we also use it after

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00:09:26.636 \rightarrow 00:09:28.630$ mastectomy in higher risk patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00{:}09{:}28.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}32.502$ For example those that have involved lymph

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00{:}09{:}32.502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}35.298$ nodes to eradicate microscopic disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00:09:35.298 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.160$ It you know along the chest

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00:09:38.242 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.588$ wall and in the nodal regions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00:09:40.590 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.873$ So what it does is it affects

00:09:43.873 - 00:09:46.887 the rapidly dividing cells or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

00:09:46.887 --> 00:09:49.407 DNA of rapidly dividing cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00:09:49.410 \dashrightarrow 00:09:50.958$ and that's what cancer cells are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

00:09:50.960 --> 00:09:52.396 They're they're rapidly dividing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00{:}09{:}52{.}396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}55{.}540$ and so it has the ability to affect the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00:09:55.540 \rightarrow 00:09:58.200$ cancer cells more than it does normal tissue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00{:}09{:}58{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}00{.}930$ and that and that's how it works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00:10:00.930 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.645$ I like to tell patients that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00{:}10{:}02.645 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}04.499$ kind of like taking a jackhammer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00{:}10{:}04{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}07{.}158$ opening up a perfect looking car,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00:10:07.160 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.470$ and just basically, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00{:}10{:}10{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}12{.}584$ Kind of trashing it and the engine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

00:10:12.584 --> 00:10:14.872 and you know you wouldn't notice and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00:10:14.872 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.680$ then if you close close the the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

00:10:17.680 --> 00:10:19.610 engine up you wouldn't necessarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00{:}10{:}19{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}21{.}986$ know that there's an issue with the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775
- $00:10:21.986 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.309$ car until you try to turn it on.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775
- $00:10:24.310 \rightarrow 00:10:25.920$ And that's basically what happens
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775
- $00:10:25.920 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.886$ with the radiation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775
- $00{:}10{:}26.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}29.578$ It affects the DNA of the cancer cells
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775
- $00:10:29.578 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.070$ more than it does the normal cells,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775
- $00:10:32.070 \rightarrow 00:10:35.349$ and so if the cells try to reproduce
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775
- $00:10:35.349 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.061$ at any point down the road you realize
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775
- $00:10:38.061 \rightarrow 00:10:40.690$ the engine is damaged and they're not.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775
- $00{:}10{:}40.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}42.014$ Able to do that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775
- $00:10:42.014 \rightarrow 00:10:43.973$ and that's how the radiation decreases
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775
- $00:10:43.973 \rightarrow 00:10:46.094$ the chance of the cancer coming back.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.915864652142857
- 00:10:47.190 --> 00:10:49.390 So just to clarify, are you saying that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.915864652142857
- $00{:}10{:}49{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}51{.}948$ if a patient gets radiation the rapy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.915864652142857
- $00{:}10{:}51{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}54{.}848$ they can never get a recurrence?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.915864652142857
- $00{:}10{:}54.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}56.938$ Well, there's always. There are always
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89220581

 $00:10:56.950 \rightarrow 00:10:58.760$ ways in which you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935141428

 $00{:}11{:}01{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}03{.}180$ It's never 100% in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.935141428

 $00:11:03.180 \longrightarrow 00:11:05.020$ of how efficacious it is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935141428

 $00:11:05.020 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.268$ but it but it it does diminish the

NOTE Confidence: 0.935141428

00:11:08.268 --> 00:11:10.310 recurrence rate significantly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935141428

 $00{:}11{:}10{.}310$ --> $00{:}11{:}12{.}885$ And and particularly these days NOTE Confidence: 0.935141428

 $00{:}11{:}12.885 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}16.124$ with the use of additional agents

NOTE Confidence: 0.935141428

 $00:11:16.124 \rightarrow 00:11:18.790$ such as endocrine therapy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.935141428

 $00{:}11{:}18.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}20.740$ some patients that are getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.935141428

 $00{:}11{:}20.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}21.876$ hormone getting chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935141428

 $00{:}11{:}21.876 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}24.368$ we see that the that the recurrence

NOTE Confidence: 0.935141428

 $00{:}11{:}24.368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}26.418$ rates are in the single digits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935141428

 $00:11:26.420 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.710$ so it's it's pretty low.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233994916666667

 $00:11:29.700 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.238$ So tell us about what there's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233994916666667

 $00:11:32.240 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.172$ There's always a price to pay in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233994916666667

 $00:11:34.172 \rightarrow 00:11:35.739$ terms of getting any benefit,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233994916666667
- $00:11:35.740 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.596$ and I think all of us know that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233994916666667
- 00:11:37.596 --> 00:11:39.738 just in terms of not just medicine,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233994916666667
- $00:11:39.740 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.720$ but but life in general.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233994916666667
- $00{:}11{:}41.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}44.597$ So tell us about the side effects
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233994916666667
- 00:11:44.597 --> 00:11:46.528 of radiation. How often do you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233994916666667
- $00:11:46.528 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.913$ need to get these treatments?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233994916666667
- 00:11:47.920 --> 00:11:50.500 How many treatments are there?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823399491666667
- 00:11:50.500 --> 00:11:53.660 Is it painful? Do I lose my hair?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233994916666667
- 00:11:53.660 --> 00:11:55.252 Do I get sick?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8233994916666667
- $00:11:55.252 \rightarrow 00:11:56.844$ What can I expect?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00:11:57.220 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.430$ Sure, so as far as.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00:11:59.430 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.720$ The way radiation is delivered,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00:12:02.720 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.826$ it's delivered on a daily basis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00:12:04.830 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.638$ It's fractionated,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00{:}12{:}05.638 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}08.466$ so it's delivered on a daily basis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:12:08.466 \rightarrow 00:12:11.280$ over a period of time and the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:12:11.280 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.442$ biology behind that is that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00{:}12{:}13.442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}15.252$ allows the normal tissue cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00{:}12{:}15{.}252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}17{.}572$ to recover and the cancer cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:12:17.572 \rightarrow 00:12:19.960$ don't have the ability to recover,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

00:12:19.960 --> 00:12:24.770 so it's given over a period of days or weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00{:}12{:}24.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}26.440$ Now, typically in the breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:12:26.440 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.776$ conservation setting it's given

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00{:}12{:}27.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}29.608$ over 5 weeks to the whole breast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00{:}12{:}29.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}31.180$ and then sometimes we deliver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00{:}12{:}31{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}33{.}588$ A what we call a boost a smaller

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:12:33.588 \rightarrow 00:12:38.470$ area to where the lump was removed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

00:12:38.470 --> 00:12:38.997 Nowadays,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00{:}12{:}38{.}997 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}42{.}159$ with the newer studies that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:12:42.159 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.661$ being done in in an effort to try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

00:12:46.661 --> 00:12:49.500 reduce treatment burden on patients,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00:12:49.500 \rightarrow 00:12:52.846$ we are actually shortening that and they're.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00{:}12{:}52.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}54.858$ They're ongoing investigations to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00{:}12{:}54.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}57.368$ shorten that course of radiation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00:12:57.368 \rightarrow 00:13:00.046$ from 5 to 6 1/2 weeks down to,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00{:}13{:}00{.}046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}01{.}012$ you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00:13:01.012 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.648$ anywhere from 2 to 3-4 weeks
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00:13:03.648 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.091$ and and also down to one week
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00:13:06.091 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.580$ depending on the patient.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00:13:07.580 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.099$ So you have to qualify for it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00:13:09.100 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.676$ But but there is some promising data that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- 00:13:11.676 00:13:14.739 we can even do it in as short as one week.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00{:}13{:}14.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}16.156$ So as far as side effects,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00{:}13{:}16{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}18{.}100$ generally the side effects are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00:13:18.100 \dashrightarrow 00:13:20.040$ related to where we're targeting.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00:13:20.040 \rightarrow 00:13:23.160$ So for the breast or the chest wall,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

- $00:13:23.160 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.060$ it's primarily just that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00{:}13{:}25{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}27{.}910$ localized area and they will have.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- 00:13:27.910 --> 00:13:30.025 Patients will have most commonly
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00:13:30.025 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.717$ fatigue and skin reaction,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00{:}13{:}31{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}33{.}706$ and the skin reaction is kind
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- 00:13:33.706 --> 00:13:36.170 of like a sunburn as turn as as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00:13:36.170 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.880$ far as long term side effects.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00:13:37.880 \rightarrow 00:13:41.240$ Again, it's related to where the beam.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00{:}13{:}41{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}42{.}880$ Actually intersects with the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00:13:42.880 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.930$ body in the normal tissue,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00:13:44.930 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.932$ and so,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00:13:45.932 \rightarrow 00:13:48.437$ besides having chronic changes in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00:13:48.437 \rightarrow 00:13:51.784$ the skin or scar tissue there are,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00{:}13{:}51{.}784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}54{.}600$ there is a small chance that they can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00:13:54.681 \rightarrow 00:13:57.399$ have problems with their wound there.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- 00:13:57.400 --> 00:13:59.926 There's a small chance of having

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00{:}13{:}59{.}926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}01{.}189$ a lung issues.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- 00:14:01.190 --> 00:14:04.214 Most commonly it's something
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- 00:14:04.214 --> 00:14:05.726 called pneumonitis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00:14:05.730 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.201$ where the lung can get a little
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00:14:08.201 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.440$ inflamed just in the area where
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00{:}14{:}10.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}13.758$ that portion of Lung sees radiation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- 00:14:13.760 --> 00:14:15.086 not life threatening,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00{:}14{:}15.086 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}17.296$ usually treated with a short
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00:14:17.296 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.920$ course of steroids,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00:14:18.920 \rightarrow 00:14:22.640$ often asymptomatic and then the heart.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- 00:14:22.640 --> 00:14:24.920 Obviously for left sided patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00{:}14{:}24{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}26{.}641$ in particular is sometimes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00{:}14{:}26.641 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}28.747$ in the path of the beam,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00:14:28.750 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.054$ and so we have to be very careful
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255
- $00{:}14{:}31{.}054 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}33{.}563$ to make sure that we minimize the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:14:33.563 \rightarrow 00:14:35.841$ radiation dose to the heart and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:14:35.841 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.887$ we have techniques to do that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00{:}14{:}37{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}40{.}865$ and so the long term heart issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

00:14:40.870 --> 00:14:42.013 Have significantly diminished

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00{:}14{:}42.013 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}43.918$ over the last several decades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930827104

00:14:44.470 --> 00:14:46.745 Alright, well we're gonna pick up

NOTE Confidence: 0.930827104

 $00{:}14{:}46{.}745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}48{.}528$ this conversation right after we take

NOTE Confidence: 0.930827104

 $00{:}14{:}48.528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}50.292$ a short break for a medical minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930827104

 $00{:}14{:}50{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}52{.}379$ Please stay tuned to learn more about NOTE Confidence: 0.930827104

 $00{:}14{:}52{.}379 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}53{.}901$ radiation the rapy for breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.930827104

 $00{:}14{:}53{.}901 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}55{.}635$ with my guest doctor Meena Moran.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00{:}14{:}56{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}58{.}135$ Funding for Yale Cancer Answers

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

00:14:58.135 --> 00:15:00.040 comes from Smilow Cancer Hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00{:}15{:}00{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}02{.}254$ where a wide spectrum of advanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00:15:02.254 \rightarrow 00:15:04.660$ strategies for the diagnosis and treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00:15:04.660 \rightarrow 00:15:06.830$ of gynecological cancers are offered.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014
- 00:15:06.830 --> 00:15:11.605 To learn more, visit yalecancercenter.org.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014
- 00:15:11.605 --> 00:15:15.952 The American Cancer Society
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014
- 00:15:15.952 --> 00:15:18.288 estimates that nearly 150,000 people
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014
- $00:15:18.288 \rightarrow 00:15:20.906$ in the US will be diagnosed with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014
- $00:15:20.906 \rightarrow 00:15:22.837$ colorectal cancer this year alone.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014
- $00{:}15{:}22.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}24.990$ When detected, early colorectal cancer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014
- 00:15:24.990 --> 00:15:27.720 is easily treated and highly curable,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014
- $00{:}15{:}27.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}29.784$ and men and women over the age of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014
- 00:15:29.784 --> 00:15:31.551 45 should have regular colonoscopies
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014
- $00:15:31.551 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.536$ to screen for the disease.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014
- $00:15:33.540 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.996$ Patients with colorectal cancer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014
- $00{:}15{:}34.996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}37.180$ have more hope than ever before,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014
- $00{:}15{:}37{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}40{.}012$ thanks to increased access to advanced
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014
- $00{:}15{:}40.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}41.900$ the rapies and specialized care.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014
- $00:15:41.900 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.752$ Clinical trials are currently
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00:15:43.752 \rightarrow 00:15:45.604$ underway at federally designated

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00{:}15{:}45{.}604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}47{.}040$ Comprehensive Cancer Centers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00{:}15{:}47.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}49.920$ Such as Yale Cancer Center and Smilow NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00{:}15{:}49{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}52{.}513$ Cancer Hospital to test innovative new

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00{:}15{:}52{.}513$ --> $00{:}15{:}54{.}785$ treatments for colorectal cancer tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00{:}15{:}54.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}57.110$ Gene analysis has helped improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00{:}15{:}57{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}58{.}970$ management of colorectal cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00:15:59.037 \rightarrow 00:16:01.262$ by identifying the patients most

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00{:}16{:}01{.}262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}03{.}487$ likely to benefit from chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00:16:03.557 \rightarrow 00:16:05.257$ and newer targeted agents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00:16:05.260 \rightarrow 00:16:08.098$ resulting in more patient specific treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00{:}16{:}08{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}11{.}160$ More information is available at

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

00:16:11.160 --> 00:16:12.456 yalecancercenter.org you're listening

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00{:}16{:}12.456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}14.184$ to Connecticut Public Radio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333

 $00{:}16{:}15{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}17{.}096$ Welcome back to Yale Cancer Answers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333

 $00:16:17.100 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.372$ This is doctor Anees Chagpar

- NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333
- 00:16:18.372 --> 00:16:20.280 and I'm joined tonight
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333
- 00:16:20.344 --> 00:16:22.180 by my guest doctor Meena Moran.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333
- $00{:}16{:}22.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}23.840$ We're talking about radiation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333
- $00:16:23.840 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.915$ therapy for breast cancer patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333
- 00:16:25.915 -> 00:16:28.096 and right before the break Meena,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333
- $00:16:28.100 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.711$ you had mentioned some of the side
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333
- $00:16:30.711 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.980$ effects that people can get with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333
- $00:16:32.980 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.450$ radiation in terms of skin toxicities.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333
- 00:16:36.450 --> 00:16:37.940 A little bit of dryness,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333
- $00:16:37.940 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.176$ a little bit of redness, it might
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333
- 00:16:40.176 --> 00:16:43.452 interfere with the wound a little bit.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333
- $00{:}16{:}43{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}46{.}180$ You had mentioned things.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333
- $00:16:46.180 \rightarrow 00:16:49.464$ Like pneumonitis, and avoiding the heart,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333
- $00{:}16{:}49{.}464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}52{.}840$ some of those sound not so bad.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333
- $00:16:52.840 \dashrightarrow 00:16:55.584$ Some of those sound a little scary.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333

 $00:16:55.590 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.166$ Tell us about how you as

NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333

00:16:59.166 --> 00:17:01.152 radiation on cologists try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333

00:17:01.152 --> 00:17:02.736 minimize those side effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333

 $00:17:02.740 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.356$ particularly in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333

 $00:17:04.356 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.376$ avoiding the the lung in

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00{:}17{:}06{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}07{.}990$ the heart and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

00:17:07.990 --> 00:17:10.825 Sure, so we've actually come

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:17:10.825 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.820$ a really long way in terms of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00{:}17{:}14.820$ --> $00{:}17{:}16.452$ Minimizing the amount of heart and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00{:}17{:}16.452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}18.430$ lung in the field years ago when

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00{:}17{:}18{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}20{.}092$ when patients were treated it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00{:}17{:}20.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}22.093$ just a tangential beam that kind of NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00{:}17{:}22.093 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}23.553$ skimmed the chest wall encompassed NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

00:17:23.553 -> 00:17:25.954 the whole breast or the chest wall

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00{:}17{:}25{.}954 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}27{.}930$ and and whatever was underneath

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:17:27.930 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.960$ was inevitably in the beam.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917
- $00:17:29.960 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.770$ Now we have the ability to.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917
- 00:17:33.770 --> 00:17:35.730 Actually plan and modulate the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917
- $00:17:35.730 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.890$ beam so that it is tailored for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917
- $00:17:38.890 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.610$ each individual patient's body.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917
- 00:17:40.610 --> 00:17:43.679 So what we do is we get a CAT scan
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917
- $00{:}17{:}43.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}45.330$ at the time of treatment planning
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917
- $00:17:45.330 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.087$ and we call that a treatment
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917
- 00:17:47.087 --> 00:17:48.869 planning CAT scan and the whole
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917
- $00{:}17{:}48.869 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}50.628$ process is called a simulation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917
- $00:17:50.630 \rightarrow 00:17:52.190$ We have the patient come in,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917
- $00:17:52.190 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.150$ we kind of outline the areas that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917
- $00{:}17{:}54{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}56{.}555$ we we want to cover and the patient
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917
- $00{:}17{:}56.555 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}58.949$ will put their arms up on the table,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917
- $00:17:58.950 \rightarrow 00:18:00.390$ which will be exactly how they'll
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917
- $00:18:00.390 \longrightarrow 00:18:01.810$ be in the treatment position,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

- $00{:}18{:}01{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}03{.}778$ will put them through the scanner.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917
- 00:18:03.780 --> 00:18:06.420 And then we use that scan,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917
- 00:18:06.420 --> 00:18:08.640 which is not a diagnostic scan,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917
- $00:18:08.640 \rightarrow 00:18:11.336$ but is purely just for treatment planning.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917
- 00:18:11.340 --> 00:18:11.911 Well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917
- $00{:}18{:}11{.}911 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}15{.}337$ actually outline the the breast tissue
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917
- $00:18:15.337 \rightarrow 00:18:19.659$ or the chest wall and the lymph nodes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917
- 00:18:19.660 --> 00:18:23.590 Every incremental 3 millimeter slice
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917
- $00{:}18{:}23.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}28.650$ in order to then change the the.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917
- 00:18:28.650 --> 00:18:30.942 Way the beam actually intersects with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917
- $00{:}18{:}30{.}942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}33{.}328$ normal tissue so that we're blocking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917
- $00:18:33.328 \rightarrow 00:18:35.704$ as much normal tissue as possible,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917
- $00:18:35.710 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.420$ so that's one thing that has
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917
- $00:18:37.420 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.990$ been a major advancement for us.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917
- $00:18:38.990 \rightarrow 00:18:40.740$ Is 3 dimensional treatment planning
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917
- $00:18:40.740 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.260$ and the use of beam modulation.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917
- 00:18:43.260 00:18:45.956 The second thing is that we use deep

00:18:45.956 --> 00:18:47.609 inspiration breath hold technique,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:18:47.610 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.118$ which is a very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:18:49.118 \rightarrow 00:18:52.295$ very precise way of for us to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:18:52.295 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.767$ the patient take a deep breath

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00{:}18{:}54{.}767 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}57{.}347$ when you take a deep breath.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00{:}18{:}57{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}59{.}120$ What happens is that your chest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00{:}18{:}59{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}01{.}444$ Ball moves away from your heart and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00{:}19{:}01{.}444 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}03{.}572$ that creates a space between the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00{:}19{:}03.572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}06.443$ heart and the chest wall and allows us

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00{:}19{:}06{.}443 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}08{.}767$ to get those tangential beams to go

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00{:}19{:}08.767 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}11.456$ through and minimize the dose to the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00{:}19{:}11{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}15{.}160$ The machine only turns on when the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00{:}19{:}15{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}17{.}909$ patient is in that breath hold position,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00{:}19{:}17{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}21{.}040$ and there are multiple multiple

 $00:19:21.040 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.525$ lasers on the patient's skin 3

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00{:}19{:}24.525 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}26.665$ dimensionally that monitor exactly

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00{:}19{:}26.665 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}29.749$ when that patient is in the precise. NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:19:29.750 \rightarrow 00:19:31.898$ Breath hold position which has to NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:19:31.898 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.935$ be within a 3 millimeters of the NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

00:19:34.935 --> 00:19:37.590 position they were in at the time NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

00:19:37.590 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:19:40.690 of the CT scan so it takes longer

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00{:}19{:}40.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}42.081$ to deliver that treatment because

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

00:19:42.081 --> 00:19:43.587 the patient can only hold their

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

00:19:43.587 --> 00:19:45.224 breath for 20 seconds at a time

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00{:}19{:}45{.}224$ --> $00{:}19{:}47{.}041$ and then they take a break and then NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:19:47.041 \rightarrow 00:19:48.326$ they hold their breath again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:19:48.330 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.886$ but it ensures that the that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00{:}19{:}51{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}53{.}840$ radiation is delivered in such a

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:19:53.840 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.085$ way that that the heart is away

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00{:}19{:}56.085 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}58.129$ from the chest wall and then we

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917
- $00:19:58.203 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.959$ have other techniques also.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917
- $00:19:59.960 \rightarrow 00:20:01.548$ That we've been using,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917
- 00:20:01.548 --> 00:20:04.880 such as cardiac blocks and prone positioning.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917
- $00:20:04.880 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.126$ Those are other kind of technical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917
- $00{:}20{:}08{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}10{.}932$ tricks that we've used to also
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917
- $00{:}20{:}10.932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}13.690$ minimize the amount of heart dose
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917
- $00:20:13.690 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.469$ and the good news from all of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917
- $00:20:16.469 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.247$ that is that based on our data,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92431504
- $00{:}20{:}19.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}21.959$ the the progress that we've made from
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92431504
- $00:20:21.959 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.824$ a technical standpoint in minimizing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92431504
- $00:20:23.824 \rightarrow 00:20:26.036$ the amount of heart and lung in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92431504
- $00{:}20{:}26.036 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}28.648$ the field has really benefited in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92431504
- $00{:}20{:}28.648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}30.428$ decreasing the cardiac toxicity.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92431504
- $00{:}20{:}30{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}32{.}992$ In the long toxicity that breast cancer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92431504
- $00{:}20{:}32{.}992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}34{.}978$ patients experience in the long term,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92431504

00:20:34.980 --> 00:20:37.238 so that is data that is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92431504

 $00{:}20{:}37{.}238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}39{.}856$ a well known and has been established

NOTE Confidence: 0.913583413333333

 $00{:}20{:}40.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}41.741$ so mean a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913583413333333

 $00:20:41.741 \rightarrow 00:20:43.823$ I mean that really sounds incredible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913583413333333

 $00{:}20{:}43.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}46.746$ And for people who are listening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913583413333333

 $00{:}20{:}46.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}49.025$ it may sound really technologically

NOTE Confidence: 0.913583413333333

 $00{:}20{:}49.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}51.754$ quite advanced in terms of how

NOTE Confidence: 0.913583413333333

 $00:20:51.754 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.372$ you can plan this and have lasers

NOTE Confidence: 0.913583413333333

 $00{:}20{:}54{.}372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}56{.}138$ that identify precise landmarks

NOTE Confidence: 0.913583413333333

 $00{:}20{:}56{.}138 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}58{.}946$ on a patient within 3 millimeters

NOTE Confidence: 0.913583413333333

 $00{:}20{:}58{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}00{.}538$ and delivered the dose precisely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913583413333333

 $00:21:00.538 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.506$ One question that people who may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.913583413333333

 $00:21:03.506 \rightarrow 00:21:05.466$ listening may be asking themselves

NOTE Confidence: 0.913583413333333

 $00:21:05.466 \rightarrow 00:21:07.440$ is is that widely available?

NOTE Confidence: 0.913583413333333

 $00:21:07.440 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.260$ I, I can't say that it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873773615714286

 $00:21:10.000 \rightarrow 00:21:14.158$ Available at small remote centers that are,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.873773615714286
- 00:21:14.160 --> 00:21:16.188 you know, private, necessarily.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873773615714286
- 00:21:16.188 --> 00:21:19.540 I think most academic centers have it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873773615714286
- $00{:}21{:}19{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}22{.}036$ and especially now that so many
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873773615714286
- 00:21:22.036 --> 00:21:23.700 institutions are requiring smaller
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873773615714286
- $00{:}21{:}23.763 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}25.779$ hospitals and smaller practices.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873773615714286
- $00{:}21{:}25.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}27.528$ It's being standardized so
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873773615714286
- $00:21:27.528 \rightarrow 00:21:29.713$ that it is recommended,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873773615714286
- $00:21:29.720 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.311$ for example by the NCCN as a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873773615714286
- $00{:}21{:}33{.}311 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}36{.}280$ method to strongly consider for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873773615714286
- $00:21:36.280 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.312$ decreasing the cardiac dose.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873773615714286
- 00:21:37.312 --> 00:21:39.270 So I think it it is becoming
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873773615714286
- $00{:}21{:}39{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}40{.}690$ more and more prevalent.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940231618333333
- $00:21:40.940 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.845$ OK, so patients should ask their radiation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940231618333333
- $00:21:43.845 \rightarrow 00:21:45.980$ oncologist wherever they're being treated.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940231618333333
- $00:21:45.980 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.030$ Whether these techniques are available
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98186203

00:21:48.040 --> 00:21:49.790 to them is that right? Sure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939549514285714

 $00:21:50.040 \longrightarrow 00:21:52.693$ so the other question that I have

NOTE Confidence: 0.939549514285714

 $00:21:52.693 \rightarrow 00:21:56.294$ for you is before the break you were

NOTE Confidence: 0.939549514285714

 $00:21:56.294 \rightarrow 00:21:59.620$ mentioning that the dosage of radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939549514285714

 $00:21:59.620 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.362$ how it's delivered, how long that

NOTE Confidence: 0.939549514285714

 $00{:}22{:}02{.}362 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}05{.}639$ treatment is has really morphed overtime,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939549514285714

 $00{:}22{:}05{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}08{.}961$ and what used to be 5 and a half six weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.939549514285714

 $00:22:08.961 \rightarrow 00:22:12.200$ can now be as little as. Even one week,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939549514285714

 $00:22:12.200 \rightarrow 00:22:14.930$ so a couple of questions on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939549514285714

 $00:22:14.930 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.450$ First of all, can you tell us a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.939549514285714

 $00{:}22{:}17.513 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}19.781$ more about the different the different

NOTE Confidence: 0.939549514285714

 $00{:}22{:}19.781 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}22.605$ treatment plans in terms of the one week

NOTE Confidence: 0.939549514285714

 $00:22:22.605 \rightarrow 00:22:24.477$ versus three weeks versus six weeks?

NOTE Confidence: 0.939549514285714

 $00:22:24.480 \rightarrow 00:22:25.824$ Are these equivalent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939549514285714

 $00{:}22{:}25{.}824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}28{.}512$ and are there specific patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.939549514285714

 $00:22:28.512 \rightarrow 00:22:30.889$ benefit more from one or the other?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.939549514285714
- 00:22:30.890 --> 00:22:32.660 I mean, because patients might
- NOTE Confidence: 0.939549514285714
- $00:22:32.660 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.430$ be listening to this thinking.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.939549514285714
- $00:22:34.430 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.250$ Why on Earth wouldn't anybody
- NOTE Confidence: 0.939549514285714
- $00:22:36.250 \rightarrow 00:22:37.706$ just do one week?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.939549514285714
- 00:22:37.710 --> 00:22:42.520 If it was as good as six weeks so?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- 00:22:42.520 --> 00:22:44.180 So as I mentioned earlier,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- $00:22:44.180 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.938$ the standard you know for the breast
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- $00{:}22{:}46{.}938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}49{.}220$ conservation trials and for the post
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- $00{:}22{:}49{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}51{.}272$ mastectomy trials was five weeks to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- 00:22:51.272 -> 00:22:53.915 the whole breast or to the chest wall,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- $00:22:53.920 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.964$ followed by a boost plus or minus
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- $00{:}22{:}55{.}964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}58{.}866$ a boost to the localized area and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- $00{:}22{:}58.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}04.158$ subsequent to that there have now been.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- $00{:}23{:}04{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}06{.}330$ More than four randomized trials
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- $00:23:06.330 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.780$ that have looked at using what we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:23:09.780 \rightarrow 00:23:11.577$ call hypofractionated radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:23:11.580 \rightarrow 00:23:15.689$ which means giving a larger daily dose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00{:}23{:}15{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}18{.}063$ So it then shortens the amount of

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:23:18.063 \rightarrow 00:23:20.778$ time the total dose is actually lower,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

00:23:20.780 --> 00:23:22.204 but because you're delivering

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

00:23:22.204 --> 00:23:23.628 a higher daily dose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:23:23.630 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.540$ you're able to shorten the

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

00:23:26.540 - 00:23:28.286 overall treatment duration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00{:}23{:}28{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}31{.}068$ and that those studies all looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

00:23:31.068 --> 00:23:33.990 at three weeks and have found.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:23:33.990 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.346$ Now we have long term data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00{:}23{:}35{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}38{.}850$ showing that three weeks is just as

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

00:23:38.850 --> 00:23:42.318 efficacious as the five weeks in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:23:42.318 \rightarrow 00:23:45.636$ not just of breast cancer control and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:23:45.640 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.640$ The ability to eradicate

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:23:47.640 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.140$ those microscopic cells,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- $00:23:49.140 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.160$ but also more,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- $00{:}23{:}50{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}53{.}220$ just as importantly in terms of the toxicity,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- $00:23:53.220 \rightarrow 00:23:55.122$ because the major concern is always
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- $00:23:55.122 \rightarrow 00:23:57.239$ been the toxicity of the treatment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- $00{:}23{:}57{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}59{.}304$ We don't want to do harm to the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- $00:23:59.304 \longrightarrow 00:23:59.820$ normal tissue.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- 00:23:59.820 --> 00:24:01.916 And if we're giving a higher daily dose,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- $00:24:01.920 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.450$ are we?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- $00:24:04.450 \rightarrow 00:24:06.094$ Going to damage the normal tissue
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- $00:24:06.094 \rightarrow 00:24:08.089$ to the point where we're not there.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- $00{:}24{:}08{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}10{.}146$ It's not going to be able to recover,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- $00{:}24{:}10.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}12.262$ and so these studies have shown
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- $00:24:12.262 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.266$ us that we can deliver the dose
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- $00{:}24{:}15.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}17.706$ in three weeks very safely.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- $00{:}24{:}17.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}20.398$ Now the in terms of the the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:24:20.398 \rightarrow 00:24:21.550$ slightly faster regimens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:24:21.550 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.890$ and they're ironically called the

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:24:23.890 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.230$ faster the Fast forward regimens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:24:26.230 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.390$ There are two of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00{:}24{:}27{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}30{.}054$ one of them is 5 fractions that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00{:}24{:}30.054 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}32.288$ delivered once a week for five weeks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00{:}24{:}32{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}34{.}778$ and then the other one is 5 fractions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:24:34.780 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.810$ Delivered every day for one

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00{:}24{:}37{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}40{.}460$ week and those also look very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:24:40.460 \longrightarrow 00:24:41.572$ very promising.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:24:41.572 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.360$ We are using them at Yale and

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00{:}24{:}45{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}47{.}440$ other institutions and places

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:24:47.440 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.299$ are also using them as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

00:24:50.300 --> 00:24:51.648 particularly with COVID and

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00{:}24{:}51{.}648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}53{.}670$ wanting to minimize the number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:24:53.725 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.426$ times that patient has to come in

- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- $00:24:55.426 \longrightarrow 00:24:57.260$ and out of a medical facility.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- $00:24:57.260 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.074$ But the the one week regimen
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- $00{:}25{:}00{.}074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}02{.}550$ only has five year data,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- $00{:}25{:}02{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}04{.}475$ and so that's one of the limitations.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- $00{:}25{:}04.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}05.965$ The other thing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- $00{:}25{:}05{.}965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}08{.}935$ As you asked about was was,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- $00:25:08.940 \rightarrow 00:25:10.800$ why wouldn't every patient quality,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- $00:25:10.800 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.676$ you know want to do this?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- $00:25:11.680 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.280$ If they qualified?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- 00:25:12.280 --> 00:25:12.680 Well, look.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- $00{:}25{:}12.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}14.696$ The issue is that they have to qualify,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- $00:25:14.700 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.450$ and so because the daily
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- $00:25:17.450 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.600$ dose is so much higher,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- $00{:}25{:}19.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}21.238$ we have to do it safely.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- $00{:}25{:}21{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}24{.}643$ And there are pretty stringent dose
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:25:24.643 \rightarrow 00:25:26.834$ constraints that we have to follow for

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:25:26.834 \rightarrow 00:25:29.150$ the normal tissue in terms of the lung,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:25:29.150 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.934$ the heart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:25:29.934 \rightarrow 00:25:31.110$ the chest wall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:25:31.110 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.060$ all those things end up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:25:33.060 \rightarrow 00:25:34.885$ particularly in the setting of

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00{:}25{:}34.885 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}36.345$ postmast ectomy or when there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00{:}25{:}36{.}345 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}38{.}078$ nodes involved those patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00{:}25{:}38.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}40.408$ Don't qualify because those studies didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:25:40.408 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.139$ really include a lot of those patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:25:43.140 \rightarrow 00:25:45.516$ so right now it's primarily for whole breast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:25:45.520 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.550$ but if you qualify, there's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

00:25:48.550 -> 00:25:52.266 no reason to not consider it as,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

00:25:52.266 --> 00:25:54.796 as you know, an alternative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00{:}25{:}54{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}55{.}478$ But again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:25:55.478 \rightarrow 00:25:56.156$ the data,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892
- $00:25:56.156 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.058$ the amount of data that we have is is,

00:25:59.060 --> 00:26:00.096 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00{:}26{:}00.096 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}04.240$ less robust than we do for the traditional

NOTE Confidence: 0.834731423

 $00:26:04.240 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.665$ three weeks or the five

NOTE Confidence: 0.834731423

00:26:05.665 --> 00:26:07.090 week regimens that we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870698359166667

 $00:26:07.860 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.292$ So let me just. To make sure that

NOTE Confidence: 0.870698359166667

00:26:10.292 --> 00:26:11.960 I understand this correctly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870698359166667

 $00:26:11.960 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.288$ we have long term data that the three

NOTE Confidence: 0.870698359166667

 $00{:}26{:}14.288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}16.459$ weeks is equivalent to six weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870698359166667

 $00:26:16.460 \rightarrow 00:26:19.548$ So is it safe to say that essentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.870698359166667

00:26:19.548 --> 00:26:21.530 everybody should be treated now

NOTE Confidence: 0.870698359166667

 $00{:}26{:}21{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}23{.}804$ with the three week regimen as

NOTE Confidence: 0.870698359166667

 $00:26:23.804 \rightarrow 00:26:26.430$ opposed to the six weeks? So

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

 $00{:}26{:}26{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}28{.}820$ that that's that's excellent question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

 $00:26:28.820 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.552$ because yes, in terms of breast

 $00:26:32.552 \rightarrow 00:26:36.300$ conservation in terms of the mastectomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

 $00{:}26{:}36{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}39{.}004$ they can be treated with the three week.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

 $00:26:39.010 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.866$ Course, the issue being that if

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

 $00:26:41.866 \rightarrow 00:26:45.210$ they're going to have reconstruction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

 $00{:}26{:}45{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}46{.}778$ there's very little data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

 $00{:}26{:}46.778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}48.738$ and there's ongoing studies now

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

 $00:26:48.738 \rightarrow 00:26:51.421$ looking at how these higher daily

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

 $00:26:51.421 \rightarrow 00:26:52.759$ fractions and hypofractionated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

00:26:52.759 --> 00:26:54.620 radiation effects reconstruction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

 $00:26:54.620 \rightarrow 00:26:56.606$ so that's a big question mark,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

 $00{:}26{:}56{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}58{.}858$ and that's why it hasn't become the standard

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

 $00:26:58.858 \rightarrow 00:27:01.107$ of care in the postmastectomy setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

 $00{:}27{:}01{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}02{.}310$ The other area where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

 $00:27:02.310 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.780$ don't have a lot of data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

 $00{:}27{:}03.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}05.868$ but I think you know enough that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

 $00:27:05.868 \rightarrow 00:27:08.004$ if the situation calls for it,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826
- $00:27:08.010 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.098$ we would do the three weeks.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826
- $00{:}27{:}10.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}13.180$ Is is when we're including regional nodes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826
- $00:27:13.180 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.776$ so that's just a discussion with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826
- $00:27:14.776 \rightarrow 00:27:16.420$ between the patient and the doctor.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826
- $00{:}27{:}16.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}17.928$ It's not the standard,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826
- 00:27:17.928 --> 00:27:20.286 it can be done it it is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826
- $00:27:20.286 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.696$ likely to be very safe,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826
- $00{:}27{:}21.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}23.728$ but there there's a lot of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826
- $00{:}27{:}23.728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}25.740$ variation in the practice for that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826
- $00:27:25.740 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.608$ if that makes sense.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.885835170625
- 00:27:26.980 --> 00:27:28.168 OK, so essentially,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.885835170625
- $00{:}27{:}28.168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}30.940$ if you're a patient and you had
- NOTE Confidence: 0.885835170625
- $00{:}27{:}31.026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}33.750$ lumpectomy and you are no negative.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.885835170625
- 00:27:33.750 --> 00:27:35.418 You should be doing three weeks
- NOTE Confidence: 0.885835170625
- $00{:}27{:}35{.}418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}37{.}090$ of radiation instead of six weeks.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.885835170625

- $00:27:37.090 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.798$ Is that fair?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921023732222222
- $00{:}27{:}38{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}40{.}218$ Yes, absolutely. And then
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921023732222222
- $00:27:40.218 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.953$ followed by a boost absolutely
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914868623076923
- $00{:}27{:}42.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}45.744$ and so and the one week we don't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914868623076923
- $00{:}27{:}45.744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}47.839$ have sufficient long term data.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914868623076923
- $00{:}27{:}47.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}50.736$ So are people being treated with the one
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914868623076923
- $00:27:50.736 \rightarrow 00:27:53.448$ week regimen as part of standard of care,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914868623076923
- $00{:}27{:}53.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}55.370$ or are there still clinical trials
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914868623076923
- $00{:}27{:}55{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}56{.}993$ ongoing that patient should be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914868623076923
- 00:27:56.993 > 00:27:58.934 asking their doctor about if they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914868623076923
- $00:27:58.934 \rightarrow 00:28:00.818$ want to participate in that one
- NOTE Confidence: 0.795659338
- 00:28:00.830 --> 00:28:04.080 week regimen so very quickly.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.795659338
- $00{:}28{:}04.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}06.952$ The NCCN has said it can be considered
- NOTE Confidence: 0.795659338
- $00:28:06.952 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.678$ as a modality for treatment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.795659338
- 00:28:09.680 --> 00:28:12.361 Right now it really we're using it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.795659338
- $00:28:12.361 \rightarrow 00:28:14.150$ selectively in patients who really

00:28:14.150 --> 00:28:16.802 need to have it done in one week more

NOTE Confidence: 0.795659338

 $00{:}28{:}16.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}19.282$ often than we're using the once a week

NOTE Confidence: 0.795659338

 $00:28:19.282 \rightarrow 00:28:23.100$ for five weeks with just just as easy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795659338

 $00:28:23.100 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.158$ because that has 10 year data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795659338

 $00{:}28{:}25{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}27{.}776$ So I think that they're both going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.795659338

00:28:27.776 --> 00:28:29.797 ultimately show to be very promising,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795659338

 $00:28:29.800 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.174$ but it's just about waiting for

NOTE Confidence: 0.795659338

 $00:28:31.174 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.729$ that data to mature a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814760803571429

00:28:33.440 --> 00:28:35.340 Doctor Meena Moran is professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.814760803571429

 $00:28:35.340 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.860$ of the rapeutic radiology at

NOTE Confidence: 0.814760803571429

 $00:28:36.860 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.779$ the Yale School of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814760803571429

 $00{:}28{:}38{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}40{.}904$ If you have questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814760803571429

 $00{:}28{:}40{.}904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}42{.}955$ the address is canceranswers@yale.edu

NOTE Confidence: 0.814760803571429

 $00{:}28{:}42.955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}45.685$ and past editions of the program

NOTE Confidence: 0.814760803571429

 $00{:}28{:}45.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}48.066$ are available in audio and written

 $00{:}28{:}48.066 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}49.019$ form at yale cancercenter.org.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814760803571429

00:28:49.019 --> 00:28:51.571 We hope you'll join us next week to

NOTE Confidence: 0.814760803571429

 $00{:}28{:}51{.}571 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}53{.}518$ learn more about the fight against

NOTE Confidence: 0.814760803571429

 $00{:}28{:}53.518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}55.445$ cancer here on Connecticut Public radio

NOTE Confidence: 0.814760803571429

 $00{:}28{:}55{.}445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}57{.}239$ funding for Yale Cancer Answers is

NOTE Confidence: 0.814760803571429

00:28:57.239 --> 00:29:00.000 provided by Smilow Cancer Hospital.