WEBVTT

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:02.160 Funding for Yale Cancer Answers is

NOTE Confidence: 0.896560937272727

 $00{:}02.160 --> 00{:}00{:}04.200$ provided by Smilow Cancer Hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846107055384615

 $00:00:06.420 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.580$ Welcome to Yale Cancer Answers with

NOTE Confidence: 0.846107055384615

00:00:08.580 --> 00:00:10.879 your host doctor Anees Chappar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846107055384615

00:00:10.880 --> 00:00:12.750 Yale Cancer Answers features the

NOTE Confidence: 0.846107055384615

 $00:00:12.750 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.045$ latest information on cancer care by

NOTE Confidence: 0.846107055384615

 $00:00:15.045 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.517$ welcoming oncologists and specialists

NOTE Confidence: 0.846107055384615

00:00:16.517 --> 00:00:18.963 who are on the forefront of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.846107055384615

 $00{:}00{:}18.963 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}20.820$ battle to fight cancer. This week,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846107055384615

 $00{:}00{:}20.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}22.720$ it's a conversation about radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.846107055384615

00:00:22.720 --> 00:00:24.679 therapy for breast cancer patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.846107055384615

00:00:24.679 --> 00:00:26.199 with Doctor Meena Moran.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846107055384615

 $00{:}00{:}26.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}28.198$ Doctor Moran is a professor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.846107055384615

00:00:28.198 --> 00:00:29.530 therapeutic radiology at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.846107055384615

00:00:29.593 --> 00:00:30.949 Yale School of Medicine,

00:00:30.950 --> 00:00:32.805 where Doctor Chagpar is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.846107055384615

 $00:00:32.805 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.289$ professor of surgical oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920128631578947

 $00:00:36.410 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.808$ Maybe you can start off

NOTE Confidence: 0.920128631578947

 $00:00:37.808 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.532$ by telling us a little bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.920128631578947

00:00:39.532 --> 00:00:41.014 yourself and what it is you do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.936764716666667

 $00:00:41.030 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.550$ I wear many hats.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936764716666667

 $00:00:43.550 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.728$ But first and foremost I identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.936764716666667

 $00{:}00{:}45.728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}48.110$ myself as a radiation oncologist who

NOTE Confidence: 0.936764716666667

 $00:00:48.110 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.684$ takes care of breast cancer patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936764716666667

 $00:00:50.690 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.698$ And then I hold a lot of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.936764716666667

 $00:00:54.698 \longrightarrow 00:00:57.661$ administrative and roles on committees

NOTE Confidence: 0.936764716666667

 $00:00:57.661 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.330$ and and organizations that basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.936764716666667

 $00:01:01.330 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.478$ are organizing standards and policies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936764716666667

 $00{:}01{:}06.478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}09.046$ For breast care across the country,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881431213333333

 $00:01:09.430 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.020$ so let's start with what I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.881431213333333

 $00{:}01{:}12.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}14.197$ is often times a confusing issue

 $00:01:14.197 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.892$ for many people, and that is what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.881431213333333

00:01:17.892 --> 00:01:20.104 the difference between radiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.881431213333333

 $00:01:20.104 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.706$ like a radiologist and the rapeutic

NOTE Confidence: 0.881431213333333

 $00:01:23.706 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.398$ radiology or radiation oncologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881431213333333

 $00:01:26.400 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.900$ I find that sometimes people

NOTE Confidence: 0.881431213333333

 $00:01:27.900 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.400$ get those two terms confused.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881431213333333

 $00:01:29.400 \longrightarrow 00:01:31.997$ Can you help to help us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.881431213333333

 $00{:}01{:}31.997 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}33.110$ understand the differences?

NOTE Confidence: 0.813387604

00:01:33.440 --> 00:01:35.080 Sure, that's an excellent question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813387604

 $00:01:35.080 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.126$ actually, and it is true that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813387604

00:01:37.130 --> 00:01:40.088 Even my patients will say radiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.813387604

 $00:01:40.088 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.530$ on cologists or variations of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813387604

 $00{:}01{:}42.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}47.282$ So a radiologist is someone who

NOTE Confidence: 0.813387604

 $00:01:47.282 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.520$ does diagnostic imaging and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.813387604

00:01:50.520 --> 00:01:52.850 can include mammograms, Mris,

00:01:52.850 --> 00:01:56.256 CAT scans, PET CT's bone scans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813387604

 $00:01:56.256 \longrightarrow 00:01:57.628$ that kind of thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813387604

00:01:57.630 --> 00:02:00.830 A radiation oncologist is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.813387604

 $00:02:00.830 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.030$ someone who delivers high energy

NOTE Confidence: 0.813387604

 $00:02:04.129 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.709 X$ rays which are radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813387604

 $00:02:06.710 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.010$ but they're at a much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813387604

 $00{:}02{:}08.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}12.070$ Higher level of radiation than

NOTE Confidence: 0.813387604

 $00:02:12.070 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.254$ with the diagnostic levels of

NOTE Confidence: 0.813387604

00:02:14.254 --> 00:02:17.688 X rays and and what we do is we

NOTE Confidence: 0.813387604

 $00:02:17.688 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.583$ use that for the apeutic purposes

NOTE Confidence: 0.813387604

00:02:19.667 --> 00:02:21.907 and treat primarily cancer but

NOTE Confidence: 0.813387604

 $00:02:21.907 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.400$ also some benign diseases as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936264834210526

 $00{:}02{:}24.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}27.388$ So the other question that I want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.936264834210526

 $00:02:27.388 \longrightarrow 00:02:29.966$ kind of get off the table right at

NOTE Confidence: 0.936264834210526

 $00:02:29.966 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.226$ the outset is many patients also are

NOTE Confidence: 0.936264834210526

 $00:02:33.226 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.121$ confused about the differences between

00:02:36.121 --> 00:02:38.659 radiation and chemotherapy often.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936264834210526

 $00:02:38.660 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.820$ Thinking that these are the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936264834210526

 $00:02:40.820 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.124$ can you clarify the differences between

NOTE Confidence: 0.936264834210526

 $00:02:43.124 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.482$ the two and maybe a little bit about how

NOTE Confidence: 0.936264834210526

00:02:46.482 --> 00:02:49.530 they're different in terms of first of all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936264834210526

 $00:02:49.530 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.540$ what the objective of the modality is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936264834210526

 $00:02:52.540 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.249$ and 2nd the side effects that each of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00:02:55.260 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.448$ carry? Sure, so chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00:03:00.450 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.540$ Or systemic therapy, generally speaking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00:03:03.540 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.360$ is when when something is administered

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00{:}03{:}06.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}10.107$ either by mouth or through the vein and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

00:03:10.107 --> 00:03:12.849 actually goes throughout your whole body,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00:03:12.850 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.095$ your whole system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00{:}03{:}14.095 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}16.585$ And that's why it's called systemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00:03:16.585 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.308$ chemotherapy or systemic therapy.

 $00:03:18.310 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.620$ It also includes the broader

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00:03:20.620 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.930$ umbrella of these targeted agents

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00:03:23.012 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.550$ and and endocrine therapy as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00:03:25.550 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.926$ All of them have the ability of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00:03:28.926 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.110$ circulating throughout your bloodstream.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

00:03:31.110 --> 00:03:36.682 And affecting any cells that might be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00:03:36.682 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.916$ anywhere where blood transverses in the body,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00:03:39.920 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.430$ which is pretty much you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00{:}03{:}41.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}42.638$ know throughout the body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00:03:42.640 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.992$ Radiation, on the other hand is a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

00:03:45.992 --> 00:03:49.386 focused high energy X ray beam and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00:03:49.386 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.598$ purpose of the radiation is really just to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

00:03:52.600 --> 00:03:56.758 uh, primarily eradicate or to kill

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00{:}03{:}56.758 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}01.110$ off any microscopic or macroscopic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00:04:01.110 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.282$ Disease in the area where the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00:04:03.282 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.368$ beam is targeted.

 $00:04:04.370 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.218$ So, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00:04:06.218 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.420$ for breast radiation after,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

00:04:08.420 --> 00:04:09.120 for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00:04:09.120 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.220$ when someone has a lumpectomy or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00:04:11.220 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.032$ breast conserving surgery and their

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

00:04:13.032 --> 00:04:15.670 primary tumor in the breast is removed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00:04:15.670 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.766$ we will target the whole breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00:04:18.770 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.150$ area so that the radiation can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00{:}04{:}23.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}25.783$ eradicate any microscopic cells that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00:04:25.783 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.129$ might be left behind after surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00:04:28.130 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.906$ And that has been shown to diminish the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00:04:31.910 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.860$ The chances of the cancer coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.8239666175

 $00{:}04{:}33.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}35.160$ back within the breast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655085324

 $00:04:36.580 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.270$ So so that leads us to this whole for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655085324

 $00:04:39.340 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.988$ of of the discussion that we'll have in

 $00:04:41.988 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.790$ terms of radiation oncology as it plays

NOTE Confidence: 0.954637094

 $00:04:44.800 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.180$ a role in breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890393947407407

 $00:04:46.900 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.329$ So one of the areas in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.890393947407407

 $00:04:49.329 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.210$ radiation plays prominently in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.890393947407407

 $00:04:51.210 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.135$ treatment of breast cancer patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.890393947407407

 $00:04:53.135 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.169$ is after lumpectomy or breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.890393947407407

 $00:04:55.169 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.209$ conserving surgery as you mentioned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890393947407407

 $00{:}04{:}57.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}59.394$ And I find that another question that

NOTE Confidence: 0.890393947407407

 $00{:}04{:}59.394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}02.134$ often comes up for patients, is this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890393947407407

 $00:05:02.134 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.544$ Why do I need radiation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.890393947407407

 $00:05:04.550 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.625$ If the surgeon already removed

NOTE Confidence: 0.890393947407407

 $00:05:06.625 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.582$ the cancer and got a nice clean

NOTE Confidence: 0.890393947407407

 $00:05:09.582 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.368$ rim of tissue all the way around,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890393947407407

 $00:05:12.370 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.610$ isn't the cancer gone?

NOTE Confidence: 0.890393947407407

 $00:05:13.610 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.160$ Why would I need radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.890393947407407

00:05:15.160 --> 00:05:17.030 to presumably normal tissue?

 $00:05:17.640 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.140$ Yeah, that's that's a very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658

 $00{:}05{:}19.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}21.312$ very good question that patients do

NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658

 $00:05:21.312 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.180$ ask a lot, and so it's not intuitive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658

 $00:05:25.180 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.645$ But despite the fact that the primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658

 $00{:}05{:}28.645 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}32.892$ tumor has been removed with a 3

NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658

 $00:05:32.892 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.556$ dimensional circumference of normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658

 $00:05:35.556 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.419$ unaffected breast tissue as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658

 $00:05:38.420 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.711$ What we know from looking at mastectomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658

 $00:05:42.711 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.225$ specimens from patients years ago that

NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658

 $00{:}05{:}45.225 \to 00{:}05{:}47.680$ have passed away of breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658

 $00:05:47.680 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.080$ Is that the primary tumor?

NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658

 $00:05:50.080 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.040$ Has little tiny microscopic tentacles of

NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658

 $00:05:53.040 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.174$ disease that can extend as far as 3/4

NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658

 $00:05:57.174 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.138$ centimeters away from the primary tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658

 $00{:}06{:}00.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}03.038$ So despite the fact that the surgeon

00:06:03.038 --> 00:06:05.220 is removing the primary tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658

 $00:06:05.220 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.176$ with a margin there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658

 $00:06:07.176 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.838$ there is a high chance of having

NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658

00:06:11.838 --> 00:06:14.911 microscopic disease in about 30 to

NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658

 $00:06:14.911 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.400 40\%$ of all breast cancer patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658

 $00:06:17.400 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.100$ and that's what the radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902270658

 $00:06:19.100 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.228$ Is actually targeting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936399211666667

 $00:06:20.940 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.418$ Which then brings up the question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936399211666667

 $00:06:23.420 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.660$ Well, if there are these tentacles of

NOTE Confidence: 0.936399211666667

 $00:06:27.660 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.735$ disease or the possibility of microscopic

NOTE Confidence: 0.936399211666667

 $00{:}06{:}29.735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}32.160$ disease in the rest of the breast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936399211666667

 $00:06:32.160 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.700$ wouldn't I just be better

NOTE Confidence: 0.936399211666667

 $00:06:33.700 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.240$ off to have a mastectomy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.936399211666667

00:06:35.240 --> 00:06:38.993 I mean, how can it be that breast conserving,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936399211666667

 $00:06:39.000 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.772$ surgery and mastectomy are

NOTE Confidence: 0.936399211666667

00:06:41.772 --> 00:06:45.237 equivalent in terms of survival?

 $00:06:45.240 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.410$ When there still is potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.936399211666667

00:06:48.410 --> 00:06:50.440 for disease, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.936399211666667

 $00:06:50.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.968$ So, uhm, so they've done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:06:54.020 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.535$ You know several large randomized

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00{:}06{:}57.535 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}01.050$ studies where they've taken women

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:07:01.160 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.690$ with breast cancer with early stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:07:03.690 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.800$ breast cancer and randomize them

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:07:05.869 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.077$ to either a lumpectomy or breast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:07:08.080 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.520$ conserving surgery or lumpectomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:07:11.520 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.492$ plus radiation versus mastectomy. And.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:07:15.492 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.152$ What you consistently see throughout

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:07:18.152 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.760$ all of these studies is that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00{:}07{:}21.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}24.070$ survival outcomes are the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:07:24.070 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.959$ but that when you do the lumpectomy alone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:07:26.959 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.312$ that the risk of the cancer coming

 $00:07:30.312 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.052$ back is significantly greater, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:07:34.052 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.706$ Whether you choose to do a mastectomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

00:07:37.706 --> 00:07:39.851 or breast conservation really is

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:07:39.851 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.420$ just it is a personal choice and

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:07:42.496 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.770$ it's up to the individual patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:07:44.770 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.406$ but a lot of women think it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:07:46.406 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.186$ better to do a mastectomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:07:48.190 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.128$ and that's just not the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:07:50.130 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.395$ Outcomes ultimately are are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:07:52.395 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.550$ same in terms of of survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00{:}07{:}55.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}57.920$ and the issue for an individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:07:57.920 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.435$ patient would be do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:07:59.435 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.385$ I want to conserve my breast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:08:01.390 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.469$ Do I want to keep my breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:08:03.469 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.078$ doing a mastectomy is a much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00{:}08{:}05.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}07.720$ Larger surgery there's the issue of

 $00:08:07.720 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.699$ asymmetry and and then thinking about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273 00:08:10.700 --> 00:08:12.214 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:08:12.214 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.485$ reconstruction and contralateral

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

00:08:14.485 --> 00:08:15.999 prophylactic mastectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:08:16.000 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.812$ So there's a lot of additional

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:08:17.812 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.796$ issues that need to be thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:08:19.796 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.566$ about in the mastectomy realm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

00:08:21.570 --> 00:08:23.976 and I think that that's something

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

00:08:23.976 --> 00:08:25.588 that patients struggle with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:08:25.588 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.012$ especially when they're in given a

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:08:28.012 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.110$ new diagnosis of a breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00{:}08{:}30.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}32.114$ So I think it's just important

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:08:32.114 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.949$ that patients know that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:08:33.949 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.248$ ultimate survival rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:08:35.250 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.197$ Are the same whether you have the

00:08:38.197 --> 00:08:40.384 entire breast removed or whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00{:}08{:}40.384 \longrightarrow 00{:}08{:}43.331$ you have the tumor removed and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:08:43.331 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.832$ received the radiation to the breast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

00:08:45.832 --> 00:08:48.170 The difference being that if you just

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

00:08:48.238 --> 00:08:50.807 remove the tumor and don't do radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:08:50.810 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.196$ then your risk of it coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.902484122727273

 $00:08:52.196 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.680$ back in the breast is higher,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85478886

 $00:08:54.580 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.706$ which then leads us to OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85478886

 $00:08:57.710 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.806$ So tell me about the radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85478886

 $00:09:00.810 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.930$ How how is it delivered?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85478886

 $00:09:02.930 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.870$ How much is it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85478886

 $00:09:03.870 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.280$ How often do I have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85478886

 $00:09:05.344 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.828$ come for the treatments?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85478886

 $00:09:06.830 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.590$ How long are the treatments?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85478886

 $00:09:08.590 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.988$ And what are the side effects?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85478886

 $00:09:09.990 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.110$ So oftentimes people will ask,

00:09:12.110 --> 00:09:13.748 you know, will my hair fall out?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85478886

00:09:13.750 --> 00:09:15.062 Will I get sick?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85478886

 $00:09:15.062 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.030$ What about all of those questions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85478886 00:09:17.030 --> 00:09:17.680 Sure, NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

00:09:17.710 --> 00:09:19.098 sure. So uhm again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

00:09:19.098 --> 00:09:21.910 radiation is a high energy X ray beam.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00:09:21.910 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.750$ Not only do we use it in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

00:09:23.750 --> 00:09:24.650 breast conservation setting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00:09:24.650 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.636$ but we also use it after

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00:09:26.636 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.630$ mastectomy in higher risk patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00:09:28.630 \dashrightarrow 00:09:32.502$ For example those that have involved lymph

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00{:}09{:}32.502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}35.298$ nodes to eradicate microscopic disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00{:}09{:}35.298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}38.160$ It you know along the chest

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00:09:38.242 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.588$ wall and in the nodal regions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00:09:40.590 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.873$ So what it does is it affects

 $00:09:43.873 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.887$ the rapidly dividing cells or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

00:09:46.887 --> 00:09:49.407 DNA of rapidly dividing cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00:09:49.410 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.958$ and that's what cancer cells are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

00:09:50.960 --> 00:09:52.396 They're they're rapidly dividing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00:09:52.396 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.540$ and so it has the ability to affect the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00:09:55.540 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.200$ cancer cells more than it does normal tissue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00:09:58.200 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.930$ and that and that's how it works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00:10:00.930 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.645$ I like to tell patients that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00{:}10{:}02.645 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}04.499$ kind of like taking a jackhammer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

00:10:04.500 --> 00:10:07.158 opening up a perfect looking car,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00{:}10{:}07.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}10.470$ and just basically, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

00:10:10.470 --> 00:10:12.584 Kind of trashing it and the engine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00:10:12.584 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.872$ and you know you wouldn't notice and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00:10:14.872 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.680$ then if you close close the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

00:10:17.680 --> 00:10:19.610 engine up you wouldn't necessarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00{:}10{:}19.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}21.986$ know that there's an issue with the

 $00:10:21.986 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.309$ car until you try to turn it on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

00:10:24.310 --> 00:10:25.920 And that's basically what happens

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00:10:25.920 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.886$ with the radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00:10:26.890 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.578$ It affects the DNA of the cancer cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00:10:29.578 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.070$ more than it does the normal cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00:10:32.070 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.349$ and so if the cells try to reproduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00:10:35.349 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.061$ at any point down the road you realize

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00:10:38.061 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.690$ the engine is damaged and they're not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00:10:40.690 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.014$ Able to do that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

 $00:10:42.014 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.973$ and that's how the radiation decreases

NOTE Confidence: 0.8490356775

00:10:43.973 --> 00:10:46.094 the chance of the cancer coming back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915864652142857

00:10:47.190 --> 00:10:49.390 So just to clarify, are you saying that

NOTE Confidence: 0.915864652142857

 $00{:}10{:}49.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}51.948$ if a patient gets radiation therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915864652142857

 $00{:}10{:}51.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}54.848$ they can never get a recurrence?

NOTE Confidence: 0.915864652142857

00:10:54.850 --> 00:10:56.938 Well, there's always. There are always

 $00:10:56.950 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.760$ ways in which you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935141428

00:11:01.340 --> 00:11:03.180 It's never 100% in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.935141428

00:11:03.180 --> 00:11:05.020 of how efficacious it is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935141428

 $00:11:05.020 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.268$ but it but it it does diminish the

NOTE Confidence: 0.935141428

00:11:08.268 --> 00:11:10.310 recurrence rate significantly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935141428

00:11:10.310 --> 00:11:12.885 And and particularly these days

NOTE Confidence: 0.935141428

 $00:11:12.885 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.124$ with the use of additional agents

NOTE Confidence: 0.935141428

 $00:11:16.124 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.790$ such as endocrine therapy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.935141428

 $00{:}11{:}18.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}20.740$ some patients that are getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.935141428

00:11:20.740 --> 00:11:21.876 hormone getting chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935141428

 $00:11:21.876 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.368$ we see that the that the recurrence

NOTE Confidence: 0.935141428

 $00{:}11{:}24.368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}26.418$ rates are in the single digits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935141428

 $00:11:26.420 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.710$ so it's it's pretty low.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823399491666667

 $00:11:29.700 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.238$ So tell us about what there's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823399491666667

00:11:32.240 --> 00:11:34.172 There's always a price to pay in

NOTE Confidence: 0.823399491666667

00:11:34.172 --> 00:11:35.739 terms of getting any benefit,

 $00:11:35.740 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.596$ and I think all of us know that

NOTE Confidence: 0.823399491666667

 $00{:}11{:}37.596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}39.738$ just in terms of not just medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823399491666667

 $00:11:39.740 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.720$ but but life in general.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823399491666667

 $00:11:41.720 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.597$ So tell us about the side effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.823399491666667

00:11:44.597 --> 00:11:46.528 of radiation. How often do you

NOTE Confidence: 0.823399491666667

 $00:11:46.528 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.913$ need to get these treatments?

NOTE Confidence: 0.823399491666667

00:11:47.920 --> 00:11:50.500 How many treatments are there?

NOTE Confidence: 0.823399491666667

 $00:11:50.500 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.660$ Is it painful? Do I lose my hair?

NOTE Confidence: 0.823399491666667

00:11:53.660 --> 00:11:55.252 Do I get sick?

NOTE Confidence: 0.823399491666667

 $00:11:55.252 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.844$ What can I expect?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:11:57.220 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.430$ Sure, so as far as.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:11:59.430 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.720$ The way radiation is delivered,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:12:02.720 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.826$ it's delivered on a daily basis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

00:12:04.830 --> 00:12:05.638 It's fractionated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

00:12:05.638 --> 00:12:08.466 so it's delivered on a daily basis

 $00:12:08.466 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.280$ over a period of time and the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:12:11.280 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.442$ biology behind that is that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00{:}12{:}13.442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}15.252$ allows the normal tissue cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:12:15.252 \longrightarrow 00:12:17.572$ to recover and the cancer cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:12:17.572 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.960$ don't have the ability to recover,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

00:12:19.960 --> 00:12:24.770 so it's given over a period of days or weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00{:}12{:}24.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}26.440$ Now, typically in the breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:12:26.440 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.776$ conservation setting it's given

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00{:}12{:}27.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}29.608$ over 5 weeks to the whole breast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:12:29.610 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.180$ and then sometimes we deliver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00{:}12{:}31.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}33.588$ A what we call a boost a smaller

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:12:33.588 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.470$ area to where the lump was removed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:12:38.470 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.997$ Nowadays,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:12:38.997 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.159$ with the newer studies that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:12:42.159 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.661$ being done in in an effort to try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:12:46.661 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.500$ reduce treatment burden on patients,

 $00:12:49.500 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.846$ we are actually shortening that and they're.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00{:}12{:}52.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}54.858$ They're ongoing investigations to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:12:54.858 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.368$ shorten that course of radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:12:57.368 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.046$ from 5 to 6 1/2 weeks down to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

00:13:00.046 --> 00:13:01.012 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:13:01.012 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.648$ anywhere from 2 to 3-4 weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:13:03.648 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.091$ and also down to one week

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

00:13:06.091 --> 00:13:07.580 depending on the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:13:07.580 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.099$ So you have to qualify for it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:13:09.100 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.676$ But but there is some promising data that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:13:11.676 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.739$ we can even do it in as short as one week.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:13:14.740 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.156$ So as far as side effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00{:}13{:}16.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}18.100$ generally the side effects are

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:13:18.100 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.040$ related to where we're targeting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:13:20.040 \longrightarrow 00:13:23.160$ So for the breast or the chest wall,

 $00:13:23.160 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.060$ it's primarily just that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00{:}13{:}25.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}27.910$ localized area and they will have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

00:13:27.910 --> 00:13:30.025 Patients will have most commonly

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:13:30.025 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.717$ fatigue and skin reaction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:13:31.720 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.706$ and the skin reaction is kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:13:33.706 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.170$ of like a sunburn as turn as as

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

00:13:36.170 --> 00:13:37.880 far as long term side effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:13:37.880 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.240$ Again, it's related to where the beam.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00{:}13{:}41.240 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}13{:}42.880$ Actually intersects with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:13:42.880 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.930$ body in the normal tissue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00{:}13{:}44.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}45.932$ and so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:13:45.932 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.437$ besides having chronic changes in

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:13:48.437 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.784$ the skin or scar tissue there are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00{:}13{:}51.784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}54.600$ there is a small chance that they can

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:13:54.681 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.399$ have problems with their wound there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

00:13:57.400 --> 00:13:59.926 There's a small chance of having

 $00:13:59.926 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.189$ a lung issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

00:14:01.190 --> 00:14:04.214 Most commonly it's something

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:14:04.214 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.726$ called pneumonitis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:14:05.730 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.201$ where the lung can get a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

00:14:08.201 --> 00:14:10.440 inflamed just in the area where

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

00:14:10.440 --> 00:14:13.758 that portion of Lung sees radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

00:14:13.760 --> 00:14:15.086 not life threatening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:14:15.086 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.296$ usually treated with a short

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:14:17.296 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.920$ course of steroids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:14:18.920 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.640$ often asymptomatic and then the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:14:22.640 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.920$ Obviously for left sided patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:14:24.920 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.641$ in particular is sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:14:26.641 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.747$ in the path of the beam,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00{:}14{:}28.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}31.054$ and so we have to be very careful

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:14:31.054 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.563$ to make sure that we minimize the

00:14:33.563 --> 00:14:35.841 radiation dose to the heart and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:14:35.841 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.887$ we have techniques to do that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:14:37.890 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.865$ and so the long term heart issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

00:14:40.870 --> 00:14:42.013 Have significantly diminished

NOTE Confidence: 0.84483255

 $00:14:42.013 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.918$ over the last several decades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930827104

00:14:44.470 --> 00:14:46.745 Alright, well we're gonna pick up

NOTE Confidence: 0.930827104

 $00:14:46.745 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.528$ this conversation right after we take

NOTE Confidence: 0.930827104

 $00{:}14{:}48.528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}50.292$ a short break for a medical minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930827104

 $00{:}14{:}50.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}52.379$ Please stay tuned to learn more about

NOTE Confidence: 0.930827104

 $00:14:52.379 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.901$ radiation therapy for breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.930827104

00:14:53.901 --> 00:14:55.635 with my guest doctor Meena Moran.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00:14:56.230 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.135$ Funding for Yale Cancer Answers

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

00:14:58.135 --> 00:15:00.040 comes from Smilow Cancer Hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00:15:00.040 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.254$ where a wide spectrum of advanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00:15:02.254 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.660$ strategies for the diagnosis and treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00:15:04.660 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.830$ of gynecological cancers are offered.

 $00:15:06.830 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.605$ To learn more, visit yalecancercenter.org.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00{:}15{:}11.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}15.952$ The American Cancer Society

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00:15:15.952 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.288$ estimates that nearly 150,000 people

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00:15:18.288 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.906$ in the US will be diagnosed with

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00:15:20.906 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.837$ colorectal cancer this year alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00:15:22.840 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.990$ When detected, early colorectal cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

00:15:24.990 --> 00:15:27.720 is easily treated and highly curable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00:15:27.720 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.784$ and men and women over the age of

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00{:}15{:}29.784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}31.551$ 45 should have regular colonoscopies

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00:15:31.551 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.536$ to screen for the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00:15:33.540 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.996$ Patients with colorectal cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00:15:34.996 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.180$ have more hope than ever before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00{:}15{:}37.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}40.012$ thanks to increased access to advanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00:15:40.012 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.900$ the rapies and specialized care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00:15:41.900 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.752$ Clinical trials are currently

00:15:43.752 --> 00:15:45.604 underway at federally designated

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00:15:45.604 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.040$ Comprehensive Cancer Centers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00{:}15{:}47.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}49.920$ Such as Yale Cancer Center and Smilow

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00:15:49.920 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.513$ Cancer Hospital to test innovative new

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00:15:52.513 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.785$ treatments for colorectal cancer tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

00:15:54.785 --> 00:15:57.110 Gene analysis has helped improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00:15:57.110 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.970$ management of colorectal cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

00:15:59.037 --> 00:16:01.262 by identifying the patients most

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00{:}16{:}01.262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}03.487$ likely to benefit from chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00:16:03.557 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.257$ and newer targeted agents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00:16:05.260 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.098$ resulting in more patient specific treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00:16:08.100 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.160$ More information is available at

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00:16:11.160 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.456$ yalecancercenter.org you're listening

NOTE Confidence: 0.863870014

 $00:16:12.456 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.184$ to Connecticut Public Radio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333

 $00:16:15.020 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.096$ Welcome back to Yale Cancer Answers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333

00:16:17.100 --> 00:16:18.372 This is doctor Anees Chagpar

 $00:16:18.372 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.280$ and I'm joined tonight

NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333

 $00{:}16{:}20.344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}22.180$ by my guest doctor Meena Moran.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333

 $00{:}16{:}22.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}23.840$ We're talking about radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333

 $00:16:23.840 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.915$ therapy for breast cancer patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333

00:16:25.915 --> 00:16:28.096 and right before the break Meena,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333

 $00:16:28.100 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.711$ you had mentioned some of the side

NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333

 $00:16:30.711 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.980$ effects that people can get with

NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333

 $00{:}16{:}32.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}36.450$ radiation in terms of skin toxicities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333

00:16:36.450 --> 00:16:37.940 A little bit of dryness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333

 $00:16:37.940 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.176$ a little bit of redness, it might

NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333

 $00:16:40.176 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.452$ interfere with the wound a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333

 $00:16:43.460 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.180$ You had mentioned things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333

00:16:46.180 --> 00:16:49.464 Like pneumonitis, and avoiding the heart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333

 $00:16:49.464 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.840$ some of those sound not so bad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333

 $00:16:52.840 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.584$ Some of those sound a little scary.

 $00:16:55.590 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.166$ Tell us about how you as

NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333

 $00{:}16{:}59.166 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}01.152$ radiation on cologists try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333

 $00:17:01.152 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.736$ minimize those side effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333

 $00:17:02.740 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.356$ particularly in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.866724558333333

 $00:17:04.356 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.376$ avoiding the the lung in

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:17:06.390 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.990$ the heart and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

00:17:07.990 --> 00:17:10.825 Sure, so we've actually come

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:17:10.825 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.820$ a really long way in terms of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00{:}17{:}14.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}16.452$ Minimizing the amount of heart and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

00:17:16.452 --> 00:17:18.430 lung in the field years ago when

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:17:18.430 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.092$ when patients were treated it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

00:17:20.092 --> 00:17:22.093 just a tangential beam that kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00{:}17{:}22.093 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}23.553$ skimmed the chest wall encompassed

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:17:23.553 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.954$ the whole breast or the chest wall

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:17:25.954 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.930$ and and whatever was underneath

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:17:27.930 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.960$ was inevitably in the beam.

 $00:17:29.960 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.770$ Now we have the ability to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00{:}17{:}33.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}35.730$ Actually plan and modulate the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:17:35.730 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.890$ beam so that it is tailored for

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:17:38.890 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.610$ each individual patient's body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

00:17:40.610 --> 00:17:43.679 So what we do is we get a CAT scan

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

00:17:43.680 --> 00:17:45.330 at the time of treatment planning

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:17:45.330 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.087$ and we call that a treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

00:17:47.087 --> 00:17:48.869 planning CAT scan and the whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00{:}17{:}48.869 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}50.628$ process is called a simulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:17:50.630 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.190$ We have the patient come in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:17:52.190 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.150$ we kind of outline the areas that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:17:54.150 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.555$ we we want to cover and the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00{:}17{:}56.555 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}58.949$ will put their arms up on the table,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:17:58.950 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.390$ which will be exactly how they'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:18:00.390 \longrightarrow 00:18:01.810$ be in the treatment position,

 $00:18:01.810 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.778$ will put them through the scanner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:18:03.780 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.420$ And then we use that scan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

00:18:06.420 --> 00:18:08.640 which is not a diagnostic scan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:18:08.640 \longrightarrow 00:18:11.336$ but is is purely just for treatment planning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917 00:18:11.340 --> 00:18:11.911 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:18:11.911 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.337$ actually outline the breast tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

00:18:15.337 --> 00:18:19.659 or the chest wall and the lymph nodes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

00:18:19.660 --> 00:18:23.590 Every incremental 3 millimeter slice

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:18:23.590 \longrightarrow 00:18:28.650$ in order to then change the the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:18:28.650 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.942$ Way the beam actually intersects with

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:18:30.942 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.328$ normal tissue so that we're blocking

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

00:18:33.328 --> 00:18:35.704 as much normal tissue as possible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:18:35.710 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.420$ so that's one thing that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:18:37.420 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.990$ been a major advancement for us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

00:18:38.990 --> 00:18:40.740 Is 3 dimensional treatment planning

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:18:40.740 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.260$ and the use of beam modulation.

 $00:18:43.260 \longrightarrow 00:18:45.956$ The second thing is that we use deep

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00{:}18{:}45.956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}47.609$ inspiration breath hold technique,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:18:47.610 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.118$ which is a very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

00:18:49.118 --> 00:18:52.295 very precise way of for us to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:18:52.295 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.767$ the patient take a deep breath

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:18:54.767 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.347$ when you take a deep breath.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:18:57.350 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.120$ What happens is that your chest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00{:}18{:}59.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}01.444$ Ball moves away from your heart and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00{:}19{:}01.444 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}03.572$ that creates a space between the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00{:}19{:}03.572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}06.443$ heart and the chest wall and allows us

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

00:19:06.443 --> 00:19:08.767 to get those tangential beams to go

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:19:08.767 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.456$ through and minimize the dose to the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:19:11.460 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.160$ The machine only turns on when the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00{:}19{:}15.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}17.909$ patient is in that breath hold position,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:19:17.910 \longrightarrow 00:19:21.040$ and there are multiple multiple

 $00:19:21.040 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.525$ lasers on the patient's skin 3

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

00:19:24.525 --> 00:19:26.665 dimensionally that monitor exactly

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:19:26.665 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.749$ when that patient is in the precise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:19:29.750 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.898$ Breath hold position which has to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:19:31.898 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.935$ be within a 3 millimeters of of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:19:34.935 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.590$ position they were in at the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

00:19:37.590 --> 00:19:40.690 of the CT scan so it takes longer

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:19:40.690 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.081$ to deliver that treatment because

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:19:42.081 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.587$ the patient can only hold their

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:19:43.587 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.224$ breath for 20 seconds at a time

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00{:}19{:}45.224 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}47.041$ and then they take a break and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

00:19:47.041 --> 00:19:48.326 they hold their breath again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:19:48.330 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.886$ but it ensures that the that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:19:51.890 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.840$ radiation is delivered in such a

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:19:53.840 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.085$ way that that the heart is away

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:19:56.085 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.129$ from the chest wall and then we

 $00:19:58.203 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.959$ have other techniques also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:19:59.960 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.548$ That we've been using,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:20:01.548 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.880$ such as cardiac blocks and prone positioning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:20:04.880 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.126$ Those are other kind of technical

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:20:08.130 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.932$ tricks that we've used to also

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

00:20:10.932 --> 00:20:13.690 minimize the amount of heart dose

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

 $00:20:13.690 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.469$ and the good news from all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86096917

00:20:16.469 --> 00:20:19.247 that is that based on our data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92431504

 $00:20:19.250 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.959$ the the progress that we've made from

NOTE Confidence: 0.92431504

 $00:20:21.959 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.824$ a technical standpoint in minimizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.92431504

 $00:20:23.824 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.036$ the amount of heart and lung in

NOTE Confidence: 0.92431504

 $00{:}20{:}26.036 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}28.648$ the field has really benefited in

NOTE Confidence: 0.92431504

 $00{:}20{:}28.648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}30.428$ decreasing the cardiac toxicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92431504

 $00:20:30.430 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.992$ In the long toxicity that breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.92431504

00:20:32.992 --> 00:20:34.978 patients experience in the long term,

00:20:34.980 --> 00:20:37.238 so that is data that is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92431504

 $00{:}20{:}37.238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}39.856$ a well known and has been established

NOTE Confidence: 0.913583413333333 $00:20:40.700 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.741$ so mean a. NOTE Confidence: 0.913583413333333

00:20:41.741 --> 00:20:43.823 I mean that really sounds incredible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913583413333333

00:20:43.830 --> 00:20:46.746 And for people who are listening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913583413333333

00:20:46.750 --> 00:20:49.025 it may sound really technologically

NOTE Confidence: 0.913583413333333

 $00:20:49.025 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.754$ quite advanced in terms of how

NOTE Confidence: 0.913583413333333

00:20:51.754 --> 00:20:54.372 you can plan this and have lasers

NOTE Confidence: 0.913583413333333

 $00{:}20{:}54.372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}56.138$ that identify precise landmarks

NOTE Confidence: 0.913583413333333

00:20:56.138 --> 00:20:58.946 on a patient within 3 millimeters

NOTE Confidence: 0.913583413333333

 $00{:}20{:}58.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}00.538$ and delivered the dose precisely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913583413333333

00:21:00.538 --> 00:21:03.506 One question that people who may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.913583413333333

 $00:21:03.506 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.466$ listening may be asking themselves

NOTE Confidence: 0.913583413333333

00:21:05.466 --> 00:21:07.440 is is that widely available?

NOTE Confidence: 0.913583413333333

 $00:21:07.440 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.260$ I, I can't say that it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873773615714286

00:21:10.000 --> 00:21:14.158 Available at small remote centers that are,

00:21:14.160 --> 00:21:16.188 you know, private, necessarily.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873773615714286

00:21:16.188 --> 00:21:19.540 I think most academic centers have it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873773615714286

 $00:21:19.540 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.036$ and especially now that so many

NOTE Confidence: 0.873773615714286

00:21:22.036 --> 00:21:23.700 institutions are requiring smaller

NOTE Confidence: 0.873773615714286

 $00:21:23.763 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.779$ hospitals and smaller practices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873773615714286

 $00:21:25.780 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.528$ It's being standardized so

NOTE Confidence: 0.873773615714286

 $00:21:27.528 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.713$ that it is recommended,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873773615714286

 $00:21:29.720 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.311$ for example by the NCCN as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.873773615714286

 $00{:}21{:}33.311 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}36.280$ method to strongly consider for

NOTE Confidence: 0.873773615714286

 $00:21:36.280 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.312$ decreasing the cardiac dose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873773615714286

00:21:37.312 --> 00:21:39.270 So I think it it is becoming

NOTE Confidence: 0.873773615714286

 $00:21:39.270 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.690$ more and more prevalent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940231618333333

 $00:21:40.940 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.845$ OK, so patients should ask their radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.940231618333333

 $00:21:43.845 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.980$ oncologist wherever they're being treated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940231618333333

 $00:21:45.980 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.030$ Whether these techniques are available

00:21:48.040 --> 00:21:49.790 to them is that right? Sure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939549514285714

 $00:21:50.040 \longrightarrow 00:21:52.693$ so the other question that I have

NOTE Confidence: 0.939549514285714

 $00:21:52.693 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.294$ for you is before the break you were

NOTE Confidence: 0.939549514285714

00:21:56.294 --> 00:21:59.620 mentioning that the dosage of radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939549514285714

 $00:21:59.620 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.362$ how it's delivered, how long that

NOTE Confidence: 0.939549514285714

 $00:22:02.362 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.639$ treatment is has really morphed overtime,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939549514285714

 $00:22:05.640 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.961$ and what used to be 5 and a half six weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.939549514285714

 $00:22:08.961 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.200$ can now be as little as. Even one week,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939549514285714

 $00:22:12.200 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.930$ so a couple of questions on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939549514285714

00:22:14.930 --> 00:22:17.450 First of all, can you tell us a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.939549514285714

 $00{:}22{:}17.513 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}19.781$ more about the different the different

NOTE Confidence: 0.939549514285714

 $00:22:19.781 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.605$ treatment plans in terms of the one week

NOTE Confidence: 0.939549514285714

 $00:22:22.605 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.477$ versus three weeks versus six weeks?

NOTE Confidence: 0.939549514285714

 $00:22:24.480 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.824$ Are these equivalent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939549514285714

 $00:22:25.824 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.512$ and are there specific patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.939549514285714

00:22:28.512 --> 00:22:30.889 benefit more from one or the other?

00:22:30.890 --> 00:22:32.660 I mean, because patients might

NOTE Confidence: 0.939549514285714

 $00:22:32.660 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.430$ be listening to this thinking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939549514285714

 $00{:}22{:}34.430 \longrightarrow 00{:}22{:}36.250$ Why on Earth wouldn't anybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.939549514285714

 $00:22:36.250 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.706$ just do one week?

NOTE Confidence: 0.939549514285714

 $00:22:37.710 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.520$ If it was as good as six weeks so?

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:22:42.520 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.180$ So as I mentioned earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:22:44.180 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.938$ the standard you know for the breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:22:46.938 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.220$ conservation trials and for the post

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00{:}22{:}49.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}51.272$ mastectomy trials was five weeks to

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:22:51.272 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.915$ the whole breast or to the chest wall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:22:53.920 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.964$ followed by a boost plus or minus

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

00:22:55.964 --> 00:22:58.866 a boost to the localized area and

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00{:}22{:}58.866 \to 00{:}23{:}04.158$ subsequent to that there have now been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

00:23:04.160 --> 00:23:06.330 More than four randomized trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:23:06.330 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.780$ that have looked at using what we

00:23:09.780 --> 00:23:11.577 call hypofractionated radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:23:11.580 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.689$ which means giving a larger daily dose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00{:}23{:}15.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}18.063$ So it then shortens the amount of

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

00:23:18.063 --> 00:23:20.778 time the total dose is actually lower,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

00:23:20.780 --> 00:23:22.204 but because you're delivering

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:23:22.204 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.628$ a higher daily dose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:23:23.630 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.540$ you're able to shorten the

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

00:23:26.540 --> 00:23:28.286 overall treatment duration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:23:28.290 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.068$ and that those studies all looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:23:31.068 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.990$ at three weeks and have found.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:23:33.990 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.346$ Now we have long term data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00{:}23{:}35.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}38.850$ showing that three weeks is just as

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00{:}23{:}38.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}42.318$ efficacious as the five weeks in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:23:42.318 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.636$ not just of breast cancer control and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:23:45.640 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.640$ The ability to eradicate

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:23:47.640 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.140$ those microscopic cells,

 $00:23:49.140 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.160$ but also more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00{:}23{:}50.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}53.220$ just as importantly in terms of the toxicity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:23:53.220 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.122$ because the major concern is always

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

00:23:55.122 --> 00:23:57.239 been the toxicity of the treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:23:57.240 \longrightarrow 00:23:59.304$ We don't want to do harm to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:23:59.304 \longrightarrow 00:23:59.820$ normal tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

00:23:59.820 --> 00:24:01.916 And if we're giving a higher daily dose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:24:01.920 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.450$ are we?

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:24:04.450 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.094$ Going to damage the normal tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:24:06.094 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.089$ to the point where we're not there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:24:08.090 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.146$ It's not going to be able to recover,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00{:}24{:}10.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}12.262$ and so these studies have shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:24:12.262 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.266$ us that we can deliver the dose

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:24:15.266 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.706$ in three weeks very safely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:24:17.710 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.398$ Now the in terms of the the

 $00:24:20.398 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.550$ slightly faster regimens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00{:}24{:}21.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}23.890$ and they're ironically called the

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:24:23.890 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.230$ faster the Fast forward regimens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:24:26.230 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.390$ There are two of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:24:27.390 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.054$ one of them is 5 fractions that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:24:30.054 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.288$ delivered once a week for five weeks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:24:32.290 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.778$ and then the other one is 5 fractions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:24:34.780 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.810$ Delivered every day for one

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:24:37.810 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.460$ week and those also look very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:24:40.460 \longrightarrow 00:24:41.572$ very promising.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:24:41.572 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.360$ We are using them at Yale and

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00{:}24{:}45.360 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}24{:}47.440$ other institutions and places

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:24:47.440 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.299$ are also using them as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

00:24:50.300 --> 00:24:51.648 particularly with COVID and

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

00:24:51.648 --> 00:24:53.670 wanting to minimize the number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:24:53.725 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.426$ times that patient has to come in

 $00:24:55.426 \longrightarrow 00:24:57.260$ and out of a medical facility.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:24:57.260 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.074$ But the one week regimen

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:25:00.074 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.550$ only has five year data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:25:02.550 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.475$ and so that's one of the limitations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:25:04.480 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.965$ The other thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:25:05.965 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.935$ As you asked about was was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

00:25:08.940 --> 00:25:10.800 why wouldn't every patient quality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:25:10.800 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.676$ you know want to do this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:25:11.680 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.280$ If they qualified?

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:25:12.280 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.680$ Well, look.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:25:12.680 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.696$ The issue is that they have to qualify,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:25:14.700 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.450$ and so because the daily

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

00:25:17.450 --> 00:25:19.600 dose is so much higher,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:25:19.600 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.238$ we have to do it safely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:25:21.240 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.643$ And there are pretty stringent dose

 $00:25:24.643 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.834$ constraints that we have to follow for

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

00:25:26.834 --> 00:25:29.150 the normal tissue in terms of the lung,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:25:29.150 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.934$ the heart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:25:29.934 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.110$ the chest wall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:25:31.110 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.060$ all those things end up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:25:33.060 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.885$ particularly in the setting of

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:25:34.885 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.345$ postmastectomy or when there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:25:36.345 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.078$ nodes involved those patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:25:38.080 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.408$ Don't qualify because those studies didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

00:25:40.408 --> 00:25:43.139 really include a lot of those patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:25:43.140 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.516$ so right now it's primarily for whole breast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

00:25:45.520 --> 00:25:48.550 but if you qualify, there's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:25:48.550 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.266$ no reason to not consider it as,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:25:52.266 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.796$ as you know, an alternative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

00:25:54.800 --> 00:25:55.478 But again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:25:55.478 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.156$ the data,

 $00:25:56.156 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.058$ the amount of data that we have is is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

00:25:59.060 --> 00:26:00.096 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916058892

 $00:26:00.096 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.240$ less robust than we do for the traditional

NOTE Confidence: 0.834731423

 $00:26:04.240 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.665$ three weeks or the five

NOTE Confidence: 0.834731423

00:26:05.665 --> 00:26:07.090 week regimens that we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870698359166667

 $00:26:07.860 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.292$ So let me just. To make sure that

NOTE Confidence: 0.870698359166667

00:26:10.292 --> 00:26:11.960 I understand this correctly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870698359166667

 $00:26:11.960 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.288$ we have long term data that the three

NOTE Confidence: 0.870698359166667

 $00{:}26{:}14.288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}16.459$ weeks is equivalent to six weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870698359166667

 $00{:}26{:}16.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}19.548$ So is it safe to say that essentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.870698359166667

 $00:26:19.548 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.530$ everybody should be treated now

NOTE Confidence: 0.870698359166667

 $00:26:21.530 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.804$ with the three week regimen as

NOTE Confidence: 0.870698359166667

 $00{:}26{:}23.804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}26.430$ opposed to the six weeks? So

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

00:26:26.470 --> 00:26:28.820 that that's that's excellent question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

 $00:26:28.820 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.552$ because yes, in terms of breast

 $00:26:32.552 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.300$ conservation in terms of the mastectomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

 $00:26:36.300 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.004$ they can be treated with the three week.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

 $00:26:39.010 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.866$ Course, the issue being that if

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

00:26:41.866 --> 00:26:45.210 they're going to have reconstruction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

 $00:26:45.210 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.778$ there's very little data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

 $00{:}26{:}46.778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}48.738$ and there's ongoing studies now

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

00:26:48.738 --> 00:26:51.421 looking at how these higher daily

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

 $00:26:51.421 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.759$ fractions and hypofractionated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

 $00:26:52.759 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.620$ radiation effects reconstruction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

 $00:26:54.620 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.606$ so that's a big question mark,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

 $00{:}26{:}56.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}58.858$ and that's why it hasn't become the standard

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

 $00:26:58.858 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.107$ of care in the postmastectomy setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

 $00:27:01.110 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.310$ The other area where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

 $00:27:02.310 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.780$ don't have a lot of data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

 $00:27:03.780 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.868$ but I think you know enough that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

 $00:27:05.868 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.004$ if the situation calls for it,

 $00:27:08.010 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.098$ we would do the three weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

 $00{:}27{:}10.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}13.180$ Is is when we're including regional nodes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

00:27:13.180 --> 00:27:14.776 so that's just a discussion with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

 $00:27:14.776 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.420$ between the patient and the doctor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

 $00:27:16.420 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.928$ It's not the standard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

 $00:27:17.928 \longrightarrow 00:27:20.286$ it can be done it it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

 $00:27:20.286 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.696$ likely to be very safe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

 $00:27:21.700 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.728$ but there there's a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

 $00:27:23.728 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.740$ variation in the practice for that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621826

 $00:27:25.740 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.608$ if that makes sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885835170625

 $00:27:26.980 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.168$ OK, so essentially,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885835170625

00:27:28.168 --> 00:27:30.940 if you're a patient and you had

NOTE Confidence: 0.885835170625

 $00{:}27{:}31.026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}33.750$ lumpectomy and you are no negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885835170625

00:27:33.750 --> 00:27:35.418 You should be doing three weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.885835170625

00:27:35.418 --> 00:27:37.090 of radiation instead of six weeks.

 $00:27:37.090 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.798$ Is that fair?

NOTE Confidence: 0.921023732222222

 $00{:}27{:}38.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}40.218$ Yes, absolutely. And then

NOTE Confidence: 0.921023732222222

 $00:27:40.218 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.953$ followed by a boost absolutely

NOTE Confidence: 0.914868623076923

 $00:27:42.600 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.744$ and so and the one week we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.914868623076923

 $00:27:45.744 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.839$ have sufficient long term data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914868623076923

 $00:27:47.840 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.736$ So are people being treated with the one

NOTE Confidence: 0.914868623076923

 $00:27:50.736 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.448$ week regimen as part of standard of care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914868623076923

 $00{:}27{:}53.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}55.370$ or are there still clinical trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.914868623076923

 $00:27:55.370 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.993$ ongoing that patient should be

NOTE Confidence: 0.914868623076923

 $00:27:56.993 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.934$ asking their doctor about if they

NOTE Confidence: 0.914868623076923

 $00:27:58.934 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.818$ want to participate in that one

NOTE Confidence: 0.795659338

 $00:28:00.830 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.080$ week regimen so very quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795659338

 $00{:}28{:}04.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}06.952$ The NCCN has said it can be considered

NOTE Confidence: 0.795659338

 $00{:}28{:}06.952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}09.678$ as a modality for treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795659338

00:28:09.680 --> 00:28:12.361 Right now it really we're using it

NOTE Confidence: 0.795659338

 $00:28:12.361 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.150$ selectively in patients who really

 $00:28:14.150 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.802$ need to have it done in one week more

NOTE Confidence: 0.795659338

 $00{:}28{:}16.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}19.282$ often than we're using the once a week

NOTE Confidence: 0.795659338

 $00:28:19.282 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.100$ for five weeks with just just as easy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795659338

 $00:28:23.100 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.158$ because that has 10 year data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795659338

 $00:28:25.160 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.776$ So I think that they're both going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.795659338

00:28:27.776 --> 00:28:29.797 ultimately show to be very promising,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795659338

 $00:28:29.800 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.174$ but it's just about waiting for

NOTE Confidence: 0.795659338

 $00:28:31.174 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.729$ that data to mature a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814760803571429

00:28:33.440 --> 00:28:35.340 Doctor Meena Moran is professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.814760803571429

 $00:28:35.340 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.860$ of the rapeutic radiology at

NOTE Confidence: 0.814760803571429

 $00:28:36.860 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.779$ the Yale School of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814760803571429

00:28:38.780 --> 00:28:40.904 If you have questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814760803571429

 $00{:}28{:}40.904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}42.955$ the address is canceranswers@yale.edu

NOTE Confidence: 0.814760803571429

 $00{:}28{:}42.955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}45.685$ and past editions of the program

NOTE Confidence: 0.814760803571429

 $00{:}28{:}45.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}48.066$ are available in audio and written

 $00{:}28{:}48.066 \to 00{:}28{:}49.019$ form at yale cancercenter.org.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814760803571429

 $00:28:49.019 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.571$ We hope you'll join us next week to

NOTE Confidence: 0.814760803571429

 $00{:}28{:}51.571 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}53.518$ learn more about the fight against

NOTE Confidence: 0.814760803571429

 $00:28:53.518 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.445$ cancer here on Connecticut Public radio

NOTE Confidence: 0.814760803571429

 $00{:}28{:}55.445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}57.239$ funding for Yale Cancer Answers is

NOTE Confidence: 0.814760803571429

 $00:28:57.239 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.000$ provided by Smilow Cancer Hospital.