## WEBVTT

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:02.148 Funding for Yale Cancer Answers is NOTE Confidence: 0.897060396363636  $00{:}00{:}02{.}148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}04{.}180$  provided by Smilow Cancer Hospital. NOTE Confidence: 0.809999667692308  $00:00:06.460 \rightarrow 00:00:08.668$  Welcome to Yale Cancer Answers with NOTE Confidence: 0.809999667692308 00:00:08.668 --> 00:00:11.019 your host doctor Anees Chagpar. NOTE Confidence: 0.809999667692308  $00:00:11.020 \rightarrow 00:00:12.870$  Yale Cancer Answers features the NOTE Confidence: 0.809999667692308  $00:00:12.870 \rightarrow 00:00:15.149$  latest information on cancer care by NOTE Confidence: 0.809999667692308  $00:00:15.149 \rightarrow 00:00:16.609$  welcoming oncologists and specialists NOTE Confidence: 0.809999667692308 00:00:16.609 - 00:00:19.033 who are on the forefront of the NOTE Confidence: 0.809999667692308 00:00:19.033 --> 00:00:20.836 battle to fight cancer. This week, NOTE Confidence: 0.809999667692308  $00:00:20.836 \rightarrow 00:00:22.984$  it's a conversation about liver toxic NOTE Confidence: 0.809999667692308  $00:00:22.984 \rightarrow 00:00:25.160$  medications and cancer risk with doctors, NOTE Confidence: 0.809999667692308 00:00:25.160 --> 00:00:27.420 Amy Justice and Vin Lo Rey. NOTE Confidence: 0.809999667692308 00:00:27.420 --> 00:00:29.611 Dr Justice is the CNH Long professor NOTE Confidence: 0.809999667692308  $00{:}00{:}29.611 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}31.820$  of medicine in general Medicine and NOTE Confidence: 0.809999667692308  $00:00:31.820 \rightarrow 00:00:34.220$  Professor of public health and health

 $00:00:34.220 \rightarrow 00:00:36.590$  policy at the Yale School of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809999667692308

00:00:36.590 --> 00:00:38.978 Doctor Lo Rey is an associate professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.809999667692308

00:00:38.978 --> 00:00:41.047 of medicine and epidemiology at

NOTE Confidence: 0.809999667692308

 $00{:}00{:}41.047 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}42.687$  the University of Pennsylvania,

NOTE Confidence: 0.809999667692308

 $00{:}00{:}42.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}44.742$  and Doctor Chagpar is a professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.809999667692308

 $00{:}00{:}44.742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}46.480$  of surgical on cology at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831807995714286

 $00:00:47.650 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.688$  Maybe I'll start with you doctor Justice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831807995714286

 $00:00:50.690 \rightarrow 00:00:53.444$  Can you tell us a little bit about yourself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831807995714286

00:00:53.450 - 00:00:56.216 your research, and what you do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.91792579444444

 $00:00:56.730 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.940$  So I'm a general internist and

NOTE Confidence: 0.91792579444444

 $00:00:59.940 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.545$  a clinical epidemiologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91792579444444

 $00:01:01.550 \dashrightarrow 00:01:04.539$  and I have spent my career using

NOTE Confidence: 0.91792579444444

00:01:04.539 --> 00:01:06.869 VA electronic health record data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91792579444444

 $00:01:06.870 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.618$  which is the paperless electronic health

NOTE Confidence: 0.917925794444444

 $00:01:09.618 \dashrightarrow 00:01:13.089$  record that the VA keeps nationally on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91792579444444

 $00:01:13.090 \rightarrow 00:01:15.515$  Everyone who gets care in the system to

 $00:01:15.515 \rightarrow 00:01:17.705$  try to study important chronic diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91792579444444

 $00:01:17.710 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.074$  including HIV and cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91792579444444

 $00:01:20.074 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.256$  Among others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91792579444444

 $00:01:21.260 \rightarrow 00:01:23.136$  and I've had the great pleasure of

NOTE Confidence: 0.917925794444444

 $00:01:23.136 \rightarrow 00:01:24.852$  being able to collaborate closely

NOTE Confidence: 0.91792579444444

 $00:01:24.852 \rightarrow 00:01:27.408$  with a number of wonderful investigators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91792579444444

 $00:01:27.410 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.797$  Vin Lo Rey being one of them so

NOTE Confidence: 0.91792579444444

 $00:01:29.797 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.479$  that we can really leverage that

NOTE Confidence: 0.91792579444444

 $00{:}01{:}32{.}479 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}35{.}020$  data to understand how best to

NOTE Confidence: 0.91792579444444

00:01:35.020 --> 00:01:37.130 care for people using real-world

NOTE Confidence: 0.91792579444444

 $00:01:37.130 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.605$  data in real world settings.

 $00:01:40.270 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.896$  That's a very nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.842264167777778

00:01:41.896 --> 00:01:43.424 segue for you, Doctor Lo Rey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842264167777778

00:01:43.424 --> 00:01:45.566 Tell us a little bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.842264167777778

 $00:01:45.566 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.552$  yourself and what it is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.842264167777778

 $00:01:47.552 \rightarrow 00:01:49.057$  you do and your research.

 $00:01:50.040 \rightarrow 00:01:53.178$  Yeah, so I'm an infectious disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.933314322

00:01:53.178 --> 00:01:55.270 physician and clinical epidemiologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.933314322

 $00:01:55.343 \rightarrow 00:01:57.738$  at the University of Pennsylvania

NOTE Confidence: 0.933314322

 $00:01:57.740 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.052$  and my focus has been on evaluating

NOTE Confidence: 0.933314322

 $00{:}02{:}02{.}052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}05{.}535$  factors associated with a cute and

NOTE Confidence: 0.933314322

 $00:02:05.535 \rightarrow 00:02:08.595$  chronic liver disease progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933314322

 $00:02:08.600 \dashrightarrow 00:02:12.545$  Also using real-world electronic health

NOTE Confidence: 0.933314322

 $00{:}02{:}12.545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}16.490$  record or administrative claims data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933314322

 $00:02:16.490 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.420$  I, as of a infectious disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.933314322

 $00{:}02{:}20{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}23{.}895$  physician care primarily for patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.933314322

 $00{:}02{:}23.895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}26.886$  with chronic viral hepatitis or

NOTE Confidence: 0.933314322

00:02:26.886 --> 00:02:29.706 with or without HIV COINFECTION,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933314322

 $00:02:29.710 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.188$  and my goal has been to try

NOTE Confidence: 0.933314322

 $00:02:32.188 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.891$  to reduce the risk of adverse

NOTE Confidence: 0.933314322

 $00{:}02{:}34.891 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}37.600$  outcomes in these populations.

 $00:02:37.600 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.330$  So

NOTE Confidence: 0.930993905

00:02:38.500 --> 00:02:40.744 Amy, one question that I always

NOTE Confidence: 0.930993905

 $00{:}02{:}40.744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}43.082$  start off with and it's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.930993905

 $00:02:43.082 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.187$  little tangential to where this

NOTE Confidence: 0.930993905

 $00:02:45.187 \rightarrow 00:02:47.019$  conversation will ultimately take us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930993905

00:02:47.020 --> 00:02:49.548 but tell me a little bit more about

NOTE Confidence: 0.930993905

 $00{:}02{:}49{.}548 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}51{.}760$  how this collaboration formed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930993905

00:02:51.760 --> 00:02:53.900 I'm always interested in how

NOTE Confidence: 0.930993905

 $00{:}02{:}53{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}55{.}612$  people from different backgrounds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930993905

 $00{:}02{:}55{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}57{.}284$  different institutions can kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.930993905

 $00:02:57.284 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.780$  of get together to make really

NOTE Confidence: 0.930993905

 $00:02:59.853 \rightarrow 00:03:01.599$  meaningful collaborations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930993905

 $00:03:01.600 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.112$  So can you tell us a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.930993905

 $00:03:03.112 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.428$  bit more about how you met?

NOTE Confidence: 0.689384429

 $00:03:05.190 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.490$  Sure, happy to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8461528525

 $00:03:07.940 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.868$  One of the things

 $00:03:08.880 \rightarrow 00:03:12.156$  that working with the VA national

NOTE Confidence: 0.776963912

00:03:12.156 --> 00:03:14.524 database has allowed me to do is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.776963912

00:03:14.524 --> 00:03:16.396 provide a resource to other people

NOTE Confidence: 0.776963912

 $00:03:16.396 \dashrightarrow 00:03:18.300$  who want to ask clinically relevant NOTE Confidence: 0.776963912

00:03:18.300 --> 00:03:20.286 questions and get a little guidance

NOTE Confidence: 0.776963912

 $00:03:20.286 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.628$  from me about how to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776963912

 $00:03:23.630 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.828$  Then and I met in two ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776963912

 $00{:}03{:}25{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}28{.}190$  One was I had been at his alma

NOTE Confidence: 0.776963912

 $00:03:28.190 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.067$  mater or where he is now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776963912

 $00{:}03{:}30{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}31{.}555$  University of Pennsylvania for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.776963912

 $00:03:31.555 \rightarrow 00:03:33.341$  number of years and actually worked

NOTE Confidence: 0.776963912

 $00{:}03{:}33{.}341 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}35{.}502$  with one of his mentors, Brian Strom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776963912

00:03:35.502 -> 00:03:39.110 So he he knew of me through Brian,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776963912

 $00{:}03{:}39{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}40{.}706$  I believe, and also through the

NOTE Confidence: 0.776963912

00:03:40.706 --> 00:03:41.504 University of Pennsylvania.

 $00{:}03{:}41{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}44{.}441$  And we also attended an international

NOTE Confidence: 0.776963912

 $00:03:44.441 \rightarrow 00:03:47.507$  meeting of people who work with

NOTE Confidence: 0.776963912

00:03:47.507 --> 00:03:49.628 large cohorts called I WAD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776963912

 $00:03:49.628 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.824$  which is always held some place in

NOTE Confidence: 0.776963912

 $00{:}03{:}51{.}824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}53{.}965$  Europe in the offseason so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.776963912

 $00{:}03{:}53{.}965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}56{.}579$  we can get lower rates on hotels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776963912

00:03:56.580 --> 00:03:58.316 And it's a small group of people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776963912

 $00:03:58.320 \rightarrow 00:04:00.582$  about 100 people who all work

NOTE Confidence: 0.776963912

 $00{:}04{:}00{.}582 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}01{.}713$  with observational data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776963912

 $00:04:01.720 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.012$  Real-world data to try to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.776963912

 $00{:}04{:}04{.}012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}05{.}540$  issues around health outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776963912

 $00{:}04{:}05{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}06{.}920$  particularly in people with

NOTE Confidence: 0.776963912

 $00{:}04{:}06{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}08{.}300$  HIV and hepatitis C.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776963912

 $00:04:08.300 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.840$  But the methodologic issues are

NOTE Confidence: 0.776963912

 $00{:}04{:}09{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}11{.}680$  relevant for other questions as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81322707

 $00:04:13.200 \rightarrow 00:04:16.136$  So, so then let's pick up that conversation.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.81322707
- 00:04:16.140 --> 00:04:18.250 You had mentioned that you're

 $00:04:18.250 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.516$  an infectious disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81322707

00:04:19.520 --> 00:04:21.380 Doctor, you're particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.81322707

 $00:04:21.380 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.480$  interested in hepatitis and HIV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81322707

 $00{:}04{:}24{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}27{.}207$  So tell us a little bit more about how

NOTE Confidence: 0.81322707

 $00{:}04{:}27{.}207 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}29{.}710$  this kind of coincides with cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916672233333333

 $00{:}04{:}31{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}35{.}862$  Yeah well so. As an infectious disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.916672233333333

 $00{:}04{:}35{.}862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}38{.}590$  physician caring for people with HIV and

NOTE Confidence: 0.916672233333333

 $00:04:38.590 \rightarrow 00:04:41.246$  viral hepatitis very early on in my career,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916672233333333

 $00{:}04{:}41{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}46{.}546$  I was observing that many of them were

NOTE Confidence: 0.916672233333333

 $00:04:46.546 \rightarrow 00:04:49.664$  dying from advanced liver disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916672233333333

 $00:04:49.664 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.860$  Either decompensated

NOTE Confidence: 0.916672233333333

00:04:50.860 --> 00:04:53.252 cirrhosis or liver cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916672233333333

 $00:04:53.260 \dashrightarrow 00:04:57.719$  And particularly in the setting of HIV,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916672233333333

00:04:57.720 --> 00:05:00.520 chronic hepatitis B or C

 $00:05:00.520 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.200$  really is accelerated.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.916672233333333
- $00{:}05{:}02{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}04{.}588$  There's an accelerated progression
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916672233333333
- $00{:}05{:}04.588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}07.573$  of liver fibrosis to cirrhosis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916672233333333
- $00:05:07.580 \rightarrow 00:05:12.240$  And then once cirrhosis develops,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916672233333333
- $00{:}05{:}12.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}14.192$  there's a very rapid
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916672233333333
- $00{:}05{:}14.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}16.144$  progression to liver cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916672233333333
- $00{:}05{:}16.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}18.130$  What Amy and I have discovered
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916672233333333
- 00:05:18.130 00:05:19.900 over the past several years,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916672233333333
- $00{:}05{:}19{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}23{.}888$  specifically focusing on HIV
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916672233333333
- $00:05:23.888 \rightarrow 00:05:26.879$  related hepatocellular carcinoma,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916672233333333
- $00:05:26.880 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.032$  is that HIV viremia,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916672233333333
- 00:05:30.032 --> 00:05:33.972 independent of other traditional factors,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916672233333333
- $00:05:33.980 \rightarrow 00:05:37.590$  is a really important determinant.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916672233333333
- 00:05:37.590 --> 00:05:41.692 Of of primary liver cancer and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916672233333333
- $00{:}05{:}41.692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}45.702$  we've analyzed HIV VIRAEMIA in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916672233333333
- 00:05:45.702 --> 00:05:49.584 many different ways to really

- NOTE Confidence: 0.916672233333333
- $00:05:49.584 \rightarrow 00:05:54.006$  provide the first evidence that HIV
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916672233333333
- 00:05:54.006 --> 00:05:57.190 VIRAEMIA is an important reason
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916672233333333
- $00:05:57.190 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.018$  why this subgroup is observed.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916672233333333
- $00:06:00.018 \rightarrow 00:06:04.190$  To have such a high rate of of liver cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.916672233333333
- $00:06:04.190 \rightarrow 00:06:05.660$  So that's sort of the link,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760903331538461
- $00:06:06.130 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.467$  and he said if I can just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760903331538461
- 00:06:07.467 --> 00:06:08.880 jump in there because I think.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760903331538461
- $00:06:08.880 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.742$  But it points to a larger issue
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760903331538461
- $00:06:10.742 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.250$  which is relevant for cancers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760903331538461
- $00:06:12.250 \rightarrow 00:06:14.465$  More generally, overtime we've come
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760903331538461
- 00:06:14.465 --> 00:06:17.172 to appreciate that any number of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760903331538461
- $00{:}06{:}17.172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}19.282$  chronic viral infections are associated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760903331538461
- $00:06:19.282 \rightarrow 00:06:21.999$  with risk of cancer in the future,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760903331538461
- $00:06:22.000 \rightarrow 00:06:24.570$  and HIV is just one of the more recent ones.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760903331538461
- $00{:}06{:}24.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}26.593$  There's a there's a whole list of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760903331538461

 $00:06:26.593 \rightarrow 00:06:28.859$  viruses that are associated with cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760903331538461

 $00{:}06{:}28{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}31{.}458$  and this is not that well

NOTE Confidence: 0.760903331538461

 $00:06:31.458 \rightarrow 00:06:33.860$  appreciated by the general public.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760903331538461

 $00:06:33.860 \rightarrow 00:06:36.332$  So when people talk about getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.760903331538461

00:06:36.332 --> 00:06:37.980 vaccinations to avoid cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760903331538461

 $00{:}06{:}37{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}39{.}740$  that's what part of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.760903331538461

 $00:06:39.740 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.500$  we're trying to talk about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760903331538461

00:06:41.500 --> 00:06:44.620 And by studying HIV and its

NOTE Confidence: 0.760903331538461

 $00:06:44.620 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.850$  association with cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760903331538461

 $00:06:45.850 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.434$  we also hope to gain insights

NOTE Confidence: 0.760903331538461

 $00:06:47.434 \dashrightarrow 00:06:48.940$  into these other viruses as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826778525

 $00:06:50.150 \rightarrow 00:06:56.508$  And so. So, so that's a good a good point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826778525

 $00{:}06{:}56{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}59{.}741$  So back to you've in you know when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.826778525

00:06:59.741 --> 00:07:03.455 talk about HIV viremia increasing the risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.826778525

 $00{:}07{:}03.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}07.085$  of of hepatocellular or liver cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826778525

 $00{:}07{:}07{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}10.278$  What I mean is there what's

 $00:07:10.278 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.068$  the downstream effect of that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.826778525

00:07:12.070 - 00:07:16.120 I mean, is it that we we don't currently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826778525

 $00:07:16.120 \rightarrow 00:07:19.470$  to my knowledge, have a vaccine against HIV?

NOTE Confidence: 0.826778525

 $00{:}07{:}19{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}22{.}358$  Are there ways that we can reduce viral

NOTE Confidence: 0.826778525

00:07:22.358 --> 00:07:26.031 load that we know would be beneficial in

NOTE Confidence: 0.826778525

 $00:07:26.031 \rightarrow 00:07:28.540$  terms of preventing hepatocellular cancer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.824503248333333

 $00{:}07{:}30{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}33{.}720$  In fact, that's that's a key point that

NOTE Confidence: 0.824503248333333

 $00:07:33.720 \rightarrow 00:07:37.440$  you bring up that antiretroviral therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824503248333333

 $00:07:37.440 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.448$  which can suppress HIV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824503248333333

 $00{:}07{:}40{.}448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}44{.}410$  Viraemia can reduce the rates of

NOTE Confidence: 0.824503248333333

00:07:44.410 --> 00:07:47.750 primary liver cancer, and in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824503248333333

 $00:07:47.750 \rightarrow 00:07:51.980$  whether you have HIV and hepatitis B or C,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824503248333333

00:07:51.980 --> 00:07:55.200 Co infection or HIV alone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824503248333333

00:07:55.200 --> 00:07:59.730 durable suppression of HIV viremia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824503248333333

00:07:59.730 --> 00:08:03.690 Can really reduce risk of primary

00:08:03.690 --> 00:08:05.670 liver cancer considerably.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858808983333333

00:08:07.030 --> 00:08:10.486 And so, Amy, you know you had you

NOTE Confidence: 0.858808983333333

 $00{:}08{:}10.486 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}13.074$  had mentioned vaccines and when

NOTE Confidence: 0.858808983333333

 $00:08:13.074 \rightarrow 00:08:15.779$  we think about liver disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858808983333333

 $00:08:15.780 \rightarrow 00:08:19.945$  certainly we have a hepatitis B vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858808983333333

00:08:19.950 --> 00:08:24.157 Do we know that that actually prevents

NOTE Confidence: 0.858808983333333

00:08:24.157 --> 00:08:27.630 people from getting a liver cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858808983333333

 $00:08:27.630 \rightarrow 00:08:30.450$  or at least reduces their risk? It

NOTE Confidence: 0.86942622

 $00{:}08{:}30{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}32{.}048$  substantially reduces their risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86942622

00:08:32.048 --> 00:08:34.662 Hepatitis B infection is actually one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86942622

 $00{:}08{:}34.662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}37.364$  the worst actors in terms of risk of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86942622

 $00{:}08{:}37{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}39{.}335$  Liver cancer, the associated risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.86942622

00:08:39.335 - 00:08:41.770 with hepatitis B is quite large,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86942622

 $00{:}08{:}41.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}44.110$  so by getting the vaccine and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86942622

00:08:44.110 --> 00:08:45.670 avoiding hepatitis B infection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86942622

 $00:08:45.670 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.394$  one can certainly decrease

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86942622
- $00:08:47.394 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.549$  one's risk of liver cancer.

00:08:49.550 --> 00:08:51.788 Liver cancer, like most other cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86942622

 $00:08:51.790 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.000$  is a multi hit phenomenon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86942622

 $00{:}08{:}54{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}55{.}715$  So there's the issue of viral exposure

NOTE Confidence: 0.86942622

 $00:08:55.715 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.358$  that we've just been talking about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86942622

 $00{:}08{:}57{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}00{.}501$  There's the issue of alcohol harmful

NOTE Confidence: 0.86942622

 $00:09:00.501 \dashrightarrow 00:09:03.098$  use of alcohol can also increase risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86942622

00:09:03.100 --> 00:09:05.214 There's the risk of toxicity from other

NOTE Confidence: 0.86942622

 $00{:}09{:}05{.}214 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}07{.}020$  medications, which Ben is focused on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86942622

00:09:07.020 --> 00:09:09.456 All of these factors can influence the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86942622

 $00{:}09{:}09{.}456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}11.859$  total risk that an individual faces,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86942622

 $00{:}09{:}11.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}13.852$  and so we're trying to attack

NOTE Confidence: 0.86942622

 $00{:}09{:}13.852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}15.700$  this issue on multiple fronts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845099120714286

 $00{:}09{:}17.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}20.096$  And so I want to pick up on on

NOTE Confidence: 0.845099120714286

 $00:09:20.096 \dashrightarrow 00:09:22.405$  what you said Amy about medications

 $00:09:22.405 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.475$  that are toxic to the liver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845099120714286

 $00{:}09{:}24.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}27.200$  but just to kind of round out the

NOTE Confidence: 0.845099120714286

 $00:09:27.200 \rightarrow 00:09:29.420$  discussion with regards to hepatitis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845099120714286

 $00:09:29.420 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.458$  I think one of the important

NOTE Confidence: 0.845099120714286

 $00{:}09{:}33.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}35.190$  contributions that have been made

NOTE Confidence: 0.845099120714286

 $00{:}09{:}35{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}38{.}016$  over the last several years is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.845099120714286

 $00:09:38.016 \rightarrow 00:09:41.680$  medication that purportedly can

NOTE Confidence: 0.845099120714286

 $00:09:41.680 \dashrightarrow 00:09:43.977$  actually eliminate hepatitis C.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845099120714286

 $00{:}09{:}43.977 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}48.409$  Do we know that people who are treated with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845099120714286

 $00:09:48.410 \dashrightarrow 00:09:52.326$  This medication can reduce their risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.845099120714286

 $00:09:52.326 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.630$  even if they had hepatitis C. Even

NOTE Confidence: 0.810242275333333

00:09:55.210 --> 00:09:58.430 yes, hepatitis C, direct acting

NOTE Confidence: 0.810242275333333

 $00:09:58.430 \longrightarrow 00:10:01.006$  antiviral treatment can dramatically

NOTE Confidence: 0.810242275333333

00:10:01.006 - 00:10:03.886 reduce the risk of liver cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810242275333333

 $00:10:03.890 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.656$  Cure of hepatitis C really is

NOTE Confidence: 0.810242275333333

00:10:06.656 --> 00:10:09.872 a key factor in decreasing risk

- NOTE Confidence: 0.810242275333333
- $00:10:09.872 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.047$  of liver disease and liver
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810242275333333
- $00{:}10{:}13.047 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}16.080$  cancer from chronic hepatitis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810242275333333
- $00{:}10{:}16{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}18{.}890$  These are the rapies that are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810242275333333
- $00:10:18.890 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.138$  taken orally once daily.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810242275333333
- $00{:}10{:}21{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}24{.}732$  For 8 to 12 weeks and have a 95
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810242275333333
- $00{:}10{:}24.732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}27.684$  plus percent cure rate and with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810242275333333
- 00:10:27.684 --> 00:10:30.439 cure of chronic hepatitis C,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810242275333333
- $00:10:30.440 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.384$  the risk of liver cancer dramatically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810242275333333
- $00:10:32.384 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.010$  reduces over the long term.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794243261111111
- 00:10:34.810 --> 00:10:38.086 So Amy, this brings us to the question of,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794243261111111
- 00:10:38.090 --> 00:10:40.770 you know, if you already had hepatitis C,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794243261111111
- $00:10:40.770 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.490$  but that you can take a drug which cures you,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794243261111111
- $00:10:44.490 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.186$  you can reduce your risk of liver cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794243261111111
- $00{:}10{:}47.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}49.170$  So with all of these other
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794243261111111
- $00:10:49.170 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.490$  toxins that you mentioned,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794243261111111

 $00:10:50.490 \rightarrow 00:10:54.876$  whether it's alcohol or other drugs

NOTE Confidence: 0.794243261111111

 $00{:}10{:}54.876 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}59.378$  that cause an injury to the liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794243261111111

 $00{:}10{:}59{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}01{.}224$  These are those irreversible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794243261111111

 $00{:}11{:}01{.}224 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}04{.}450$  It sounds like these all might be

NOTE Confidence: 0.794243261111111

 $00{:}11{:}04{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}06{.}754$  in fact reversible if they follow

NOTE Confidence: 0.794243261111111

 $00{:}11{:}06.754 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}09.058$  the same kind of pattern as,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794243261111111

 $00:11:09.060 \rightarrow 00:11:11.027$  as with hepatitis C, is that right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90087761125

00:11:12.330 --> 00:11:15.240 Well, that's a very important and

NOTE Confidence: 0.90087761125

 $00:11:15.240 \rightarrow 00:11:17.548$  interesting question. As we age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90087761125

 $00{:}11{:}17{.}548 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}20{.}670$  our bodies undergo a number of insults.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90087761125

 $00:11:20.670 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.342$  At some point, though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90087761125

 $00:11:22.342 \rightarrow 00:11:24.432$  the cumulative injury from those

NOTE Confidence: 0.90087761125

 $00:11:24.432 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.304$  insults on almost any organ

NOTE Confidence: 0.90087761125

 $00{:}11{:}26{.}304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}28{.}374$  system in the liver is included.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90087761125

 $00:11:28.380 \rightarrow 00:11:29.556$  Becomes largely irreversible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90087761125

 $00:11:29.556 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.794$  so the trick is to try to catch

- NOTE Confidence: 0.90087761125
- $00:11:32.794 \rightarrow 00:11:35.314$  it before that happens and reverse
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90087761125
- $00{:}11{:}35{.}314 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}37{.}466$  those behaviors or those exposures
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90087761125
- $00{:}11{:}37{.}466 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}39{.}496$  before they cross that threshold
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90087761125
- $00:11:39.496 \dashrightarrow 00:11:42.214$  into a a more irreversible state.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90087761125
- 00:11:42.214 --> 00:11:45.700 We talk a lot about liver cirrhosis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90087761125
- $00{:}11{:}45{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}47{.}868$  and we used to think that even liver
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90087761125
- $00{:}11{:}47.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}49.567$  cirrhosis was that liver cirrhosis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90087761125
- $00{:}11{:}49.567 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}51.397$  wasn't reversible to some extent.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90087761125
- $00:11:51.400 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.789$  It can be,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90087761125
- $00:11:52.789 \rightarrow 00:11:55.567$  but obviously the earlier we intervene
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90087761125
- $00{:}11{:}55{.}567 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}59{.}449$  and stop those exposures stop those harms.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90087761125
- $00:11:59.450 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.540$  The less likely this process
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90087761125
- $00{:}12{:}01{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}02{.}794$  will become irreversible.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.898384803333333
- $00{:}12{:}04.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}06.720$  So then I wanna pick up the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.898384803333333
- $00{:}12{:}06.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}08.776$  conversation with you on medications
- NOTE Confidence: 0.898384803333333

 $00:12:08.776 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.278$  that are toxic to the liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898384803333333

 $00{:}12{:}11{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}13{.}524$  I mean, we've all heard about

NOTE Confidence: 0.898384803333333

 $00{:}12{:}13.524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}16.553$  alcohol and I I think our listeners

NOTE Confidence: 0.898384803333333

 $00:12:16.553 \rightarrow 00:12:18.480$  know that alcohol certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.898384803333333

 $00{:}12{:}18{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}21{.}360$  is is toxic to the liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898384803333333

 $00:12:21.360 \rightarrow 00:12:23.616$  Maybe before we get to medications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898384803333333

 $00:12:23.620 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.474$  I'll ask you the question that

NOTE Confidence: 0.898384803333333

 $00:12:25.474 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.010$  everybody is going to ask.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898384803333333

 $00{:}12{:}27{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}29{.}096$  Is there a safe dose of alcohol

NOTE Confidence: 0.898384803333333

 $00:12:29.096 \rightarrow 00:12:31.378$  that is not toxic to the liver?

NOTE Confidence: 0.940904165833333

 $00{:}12{:}32{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}34{.}718$  Well, I think that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940904165833333

 $00:12:34.718 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.686$  particularly with people with

NOTE Confidence: 0.940904165833333

00:12:36.686 --> 00:12:38.729 pre-existing chronic liver disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940904165833333

 $00:12:38.730 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.162$  there really may be no safe

NOTE Confidence: 0.940904165833333

 $00:12:42.162 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.450$  level of alcohol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940904165833333

 $00:12:44.450 \rightarrow 00:12:47.366$  Amy and I had done a study several years

- NOTE Confidence: 0.940904165833333
- $00:12:47.366 \rightarrow 00:12:50.007$  back where we looked at particularly
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940904165833333
- $00:12:50.010 \rightarrow 00:12:54.828$  the extent of consumption of alcohol,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940904165833333
- 00:12:54.830 --> 00:12:57.390 non hazardous, hazardous abuse,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940904165833333
- $00:12:57.390 \rightarrow 00:13:00.124$  dependence according to different
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940904165833333
- $00:13:00.124 \rightarrow 00:13:02.218$  presences of hepatitis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940904165833333
- $00{:}13{:}02{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}05{.}814$  In HIV, and particularly for persons
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940904165833333
- $00:13:05.814 \rightarrow 00:13:09.540$  with HIV and hepatitis coinfection,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940904165833333
- $00:13:09.540 \rightarrow 00:13:12.095$  even those who had non hazardous drinking,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940904165833333
- $00:13:12.100 \rightarrow 00:13:15.502$  it was associated with pretty substantial
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940904165833333
- $00:13:15.502 \rightarrow 00:13:18.680$  advanced liver fibrosis and cirrhosis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940904165833333
- $00:13:23.260 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.097$  In those instances,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940904165833333
- $00:13:24.097 \rightarrow 00:13:26.750$  there really is no safe level of alcohol use.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.886753951904762
- $00:13:27.660 \rightarrow 00:13:30.278$  Well, that certainly is a sobering
- NOTE Confidence: 0.886753951904762
- $00{:}13{:}30{.}278 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}32{.}638$  comment to end our first half of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.886753951904762
- $00{:}13{:}32.638 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}35.080$  our segment on and no pun intended.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.886753951904762

 $00:13:35.080 \rightarrow 00:13:36.564$  But we're going to take a short

NOTE Confidence: 0.886753951904762

 $00:13:36.564 \rightarrow 00:13:38.000$  break for a medical minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886753951904762

00:13:38.000 - 00:13:40.184 Please stay tuned to learn more

NOTE Confidence: 0.886753951904762

 $00:13:40.184 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.015$  about liver toxic medications and

NOTE Confidence: 0.886753951904762

 $00:13:42.015 \rightarrow 00:13:43.968$  cancer risk with my guests doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.886753951904762

00:13:43.968 --> 00:13:46.416 Amy Justice, and Doctor Vin Lo Rey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839401012

 $00{:}13{:}47.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}48.945$  Funding for Yale Cancer Answers

NOTE Confidence: 0.839401012

 $00{:}13{:}48{.}945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}50{.}850$  comes from Smilow Cancer Hospital

NOTE Confidence: 0.839401012

00:13:50.850 --> 00:13:53.058 where a wide spectrum of advanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.839401012

 $00{:}13{:}53.058 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}55.463$  strategies for the diagnosis and treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.839401012

 $00{:}13{:}55{.}463 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}57{.}628$  of gynecological cancers are offered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839401012

00:13:57.630 --> 00:14:01.694 To learn more, visit Yale Cancer Center org.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935122191111111

 $00:14:05.360 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.970$  There are many obstacles to

NOTE Confidence: 0.935122191111111

 $00:14:06.970 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.258$  face when quitting smoking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93512219111111

 $00:14:08.260 \rightarrow 00:14:10.120$  As smoking involves the potent drug.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93512219111111

 $00:14:10.120 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.385$  Nicotine quitting smoking is a

00:14:12.385 --> 00:14:14.197 very important lifestyle change,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93512219111111

 $00:14:14.200 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.679$  especially for patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.93512219111111

00:14:15.679 --> 00:14:17.158 undergoing cancer treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93512219111111

 $00:14:17.160 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.380$  as it's been shown to positively

NOTE Confidence: 0.93512219111111

 $00{:}14{:}19{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}20{.}860$  impact response to treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.93512219111111

 $00{:}14{:}20{.}925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}23{.}135$  decrease the likelihood that patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.93512219111111

 $00:14:23.135 \rightarrow 00:14:24.903$  will develop second malignancies

NOTE Confidence: 0.93512219111111

 $00:14:24.903 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.019$  and increase rates of survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93512219111111

00:14:27.020 --> 00:14:28.892 Tobacco treatment programs are

NOTE Confidence: 0.93512219111111

 $00:14:28.892 \rightarrow 00:14:31.232$  currently being offered at federally

NOTE Confidence: 0.93512219111111

 $00:14:31.232 \rightarrow 00:14:32.521$  designated Comprehensive cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.93512219111111

00:14:32.521 --> 00:14:34.789 centers such as Yale Cancer Center

NOTE Confidence: 0.93512219111111

 $00{:}14{:}34{.}789 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}36{.}869$  and Smilow Cancer Hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93512219111111

 $00{:}14{:}36{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}38{.}534$  All treatment components are

NOTE Confidence: 0.935122191111111

 $00{:}14{:}38{.}534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}40{.}614$  evidence based and patients are

 $00:14:40.614 \rightarrow 00:14:42.541$  treated with FDA approved first

NOTE Confidence: 0.93512219111111

 $00:14:42.541 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.346$  line medications as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.93512219111111

 $00:14:44.346 \rightarrow 00:14:46.372$  smoking cessation counseling that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93512219111111

 $00:14:46.372 \rightarrow 00:14:48.504$  stresses appropriate coping skills.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93512219111111

 $00{:}14{:}48{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}51{.}510$  More information is available at

NOTE Confidence: 0.93512219111111

00:14:51.510 --> 00:14:52.812 yale<br/>cancercenter.org. You're listening

NOTE Confidence: 0.93512219111111

 $00{:}14{:}52{.}812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}54{.}548$  to Connecticut Public Radio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814205

 $00{:}14{:}55{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}58{.}378$  Welcome back to Yale Cancer Answers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814205

00:14:58.380 --> 00:15:00.431 This is doctor Anees Chagpar and I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814205

00:15:00.431 --> 00:15:02.780 joined to night by my guests doctor Amy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814205

 $00:15:02.780 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.905$  Justice and Doctor Vin Lo Rey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814205

 $00{:}15{:}04{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}07{.}878$  We are learning about liver toxic medications

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814205

 $00{:}15{:}07.878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}11.197$  and the risk of developing liver cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814205

 $00:15:11.200 \longrightarrow 00:15:13.727$  So then right before the break we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814205

 $00{:}15{:}13.727 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}16.019$  were starting to talk about some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814205

 $00{:}15{:}16.019 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}18.275$  of the medications that are toxic

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8814205
- $00:15:18.275 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.808$  to the liver and we talked about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8814205
- $00{:}15{:}20.808 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}23.368$  the one that's the most ubiquitous,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8814205
- $00:15:23.368 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.120$  which is alcohol,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8814205
- $00{:}15{:}25{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}26{.}430$  but talk a little bit.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8814205
- $00{:}15{:}26{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}28{.}735$  About medications that you found
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8814205
- $00{:}15{:}28.735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}32.411$  are toxic to the liver and how
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8814205
- $00:15:32.411 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.696$  that impacts on liver cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923
- $00{:}15{:}35{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}36{.}750$  Yeah, so you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923
- $00{:}15{:}36.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}38.790$  we recognized that in this setting,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923
- 00:15:38.790 --> 00:15:42.330 particularly of people with HIV,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923
- 00:15:42.330 --> 00:15:44.920 Amy and I early on had recognized
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923
- $00:15:44.920 \rightarrow 00:15:48.346$  that polypharmacy was quite common.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923
- 00:15:48.346 --> 00:15:51.402 Polypharmacy is defined as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923
- $00{:}15{:}51{.}402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}55{.}042$  using five or more medications
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923
- $00{:}15{:}55{.}042 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}57{.}914$  outside of antiretroviral drugs.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00:15:57.920 \rightarrow 00:16:02.024$  And how these multiple other medications

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00{:}16{:}02.024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}04.760$  that patients are prescribed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00:16:04.760 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.670$  how they may impact on?

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00:16:07.670 \rightarrow 00:16:09.850$  Multiple different organ systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00:16:09.850 \rightarrow 00:16:13.690$  In particularly my interest in the liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00:16:13.690 \rightarrow 00:16:15.555$  You know, was something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00:16:15.555 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.420$  we really were focused on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00{:}16{:}17.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}19.530$  I think when we embarked

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00:16:19.530 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.218$  on studying liver cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

00:16:21.220 --> 00:16:23.158 particularly in HIV,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00:16:23.158 \rightarrow 00:16:27.680$  we were interested in in exploring how

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00:16:27.795 \rightarrow 00:16:31.630$  liver toxic medications might cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00{:}16{:}31{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}34{.}690$  either acute or chronic inflammation that

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00{:}16{:}34.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}38.029$  could exacerbate the risk of liver cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00:16:38.030 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.932$  And when we try to specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00:16:42.932 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.566$  consider different.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923
- $00:16:44.570 \rightarrow 00:16:46.142$  Liver toxic drugs.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923
- $00:16:46.142 \rightarrow 00:16:48.238$  The classifications that really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923
- $00:16:48.238 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.750$  existed were only based on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923
- $00:16:50.750 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.895$  case studies and case reports,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923
- $00:16:52.900 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.348$  and in fact the National
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923
- $00{:}16{:}55{.}348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}57{.}849$  Institutes of Health has a liver
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923
- $00{:}16{:}57{.}849 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}00{.}207$  tox website that assembles all of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923
- $00:17:00.207 \rightarrow 00:17:02.688$  the drugs with known hepatoxic,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923
- $00:17:02.690 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.756$  either reports or or case series,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923
- $00:17:05.760 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.172$  but no one has ever tried
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923
- $00:17:08.172 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.536$  to group those drugs.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923
- $00{:}17{:}10.536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}14.448$  Based on the likelihood of developing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923
- $00{:}17{:}14.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}17.170$  Liver injury or for that for that matter.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923
- 00:17:17.170 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:17:21.377 Liver cancer based on real world data
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923
- $00:17:21.377 \rightarrow 00:17:25.610$  outside of just reports and so you know what?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

00:17:25.610 --> 00:17:29.210 What Amy and I wanted to do was to

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00{:}17{:}29{.}210$  -->  $00{:}17{:}32{.}452$  utilize these existing categorizations of NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00{:}17{:}32{.}452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}36{.}568$  apati toxic potential based on reports NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00{:}17{:}36{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}39{.}952$  and actually create real-world cohorts

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00{:}17{:}39{.}952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}44{.}956$  of new initiators of these drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00{:}17{:}44.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}47.260$  From the standpoint of trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00{:}17{:}47.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}49.560$  to determine which had high

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

00:17:49.645 --> 00:17:52.309 versus low rates of liver injury,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00:17:52.310 \rightarrow 00:17:55.138$  you see when you're using case reports,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00{:}17{:}55{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}58{.}410$  you're ignoring the the denominator

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00{:}17{:}58{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}01{.}060$  data of of sort of the the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00:18:01.060 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.818$  The world of people who who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00:18:03.818 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.540$  actually using these drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00:18:05.540 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.151$  They're merely cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00{:}18{:}07{.}151 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}11{.}530$  But if you have many users but just

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00:18:11.530 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.028$  very few cases, the rates of those.

00:18:15.028 --> 00:18:17.950 Liver injury events is actually low,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

00:18:17.950 --> 00:18:22.387 So what Amy and I did was to identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00:18:22.390 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.990$  195 of the most hepatotoxic drugs

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00{:}18{:}25{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}28{.}636$  based on those that had at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

00:18:28.636 --> 00:18:31.306 four or more reports of liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00{:}18{:}31{.}306 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}33{.}178$  in jury in the medical literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00:18:33.178 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.088$  Going back from the 1950s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00{:}18{:}35{.}088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}38{.}714$  and we used the national VA data

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00{:}18{:}38{.}714 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}41{.}851$  to create new user cohorts of

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00:18:41.851 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.330$  each of these 195 drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00{:}18{:}44{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}47{.}760$  And we followed over a years time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00{:}18{:}47.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}50.020$  The rate of liver injury,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00{:}18{:}50{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}53{.}100$  which we classified as severe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00{:}18{:}53{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}57{.}916$  Based on you know serious liver dysfunction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00{:}18{:}57{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}01{.}532$  And and using that approach we were

 $00:19:01.532 \rightarrow 00:19:05.695$  able to create a new hierarchy or

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

00:19:05.695 --> 00:19:09.968 tiers of drugs that can cause liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

00:19:09.968 --> 00:19:13.359 injury and what we found was 39 of

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00{:}19{:}13.359 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}17.495$  those drugs out of the 195 were had

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00:19:17.495 \rightarrow 00:19:23.020$  rates that were fairly high for liver injury.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

00:19:23.020 --> 00:19:23.888 And interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00:19:23.888 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.492$  the majority of almost half of

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00:19:26.492 \rightarrow 00:19:28.809$  those jugs were antimicrobial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

00:19:28.810 --> 00:19:32.570 Drugs so antibiotics, antivirals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00{:}19{:}32{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}33{.}510$  antifungals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00{:}19{:}33{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}36{.}072$  And our approach to using these

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00{:}19{:}36{.}072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}38{.}696$  from a real world data standpoint

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00{:}19{:}38.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}41.976$  was now that we have a group of

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00{:}19{:}42.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}44.394$  drugs that we know are associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00:19:44.394 \rightarrow 00:19:46.656$  with higher rates of liver injury.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923

 $00{:}19{:}46.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}49.990$  We can now explore those in in terms of

- NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923
- 00:19:49.990 --> 00:19:53.076 their risk of developing liver cancer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923
- $00{:}19{:}53.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}57.358$  and these might be drugs that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862596923
- $00{:}19{:}57{.}358 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}59{.}497$  could be evaluated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826193250625
- $00:19:59.500 \rightarrow 00:20:02.710$  for for safety signals in many
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826193250625
- 00:20:02.710 --> 00:20:04.850 different integrated delivery systems
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826193250625
- $00{:}20{:}04{.}928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}07{.}208$  like the VA you could create.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826193250625
- $00{:}20{:}07{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}10{.}295$  Electronic alerts to warn health
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826193250625
- 00:20:10.295 --> 00:20:13.380 care providers to monitor patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826193250625
- $00:20:13.472 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.047$  more closely on these drugs,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826193250625
- $00{:}20{:}16.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}17.850$  and I think future studies to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826193250625
- $00:20:17.850 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.408$  look at combinations of these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826193250625
- $00{:}20{:}19{.}408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}21{.}178$  drugs and their risk of liver
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826193250625
- $00:20:21.178 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.799$  injury will also be valuable.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87709890375
- $00{:}20{:}23.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}26.466$  So Amy, let me ask you a question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87709890375
- $00:20:26.470 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.550$  I mean, you just that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87709890375

 $00:20:28.550 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.135$  That explanation by VIN was

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709890375

 $00:20:31.135 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.169$  certainly enlightening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709890375

 $00:20:32.170 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.362$  but a lot of people you

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709890375

 $00:20:35.362 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.958$  know take antibiotics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709890375

00:20:36.960 --> 00:20:38.920 They might have, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709890375

00:20:38.920 --> 00:20:41.146 a touch of pneumonia or a urinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709890375

 $00{:}20{:}41.146 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}43.212$  tract infection and they take

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709890375

 $00{:}20{:}43.212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}44.952$  their week of antibiotics and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709890375

 $00{:}20{:}44.952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}46.740$  their doctors always tell them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709890375

 $00:20:46.740 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.340$  Make sure you finish the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709890375

 $00{:}20{:}48{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}50{.}348$  whole week because we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709890375

 $00{:}20{:}50{.}348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}52{.}250$  want resistance to occur.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874710168333333

00:20:55.140 --> 00:20:57.468 Did you find a dose response?

NOTE Confidence: 0.874710168333333

 $00:20:57.470 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.246$  Like if you take, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874710168333333

 $00{:}20{:}59{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}02{.}742$  a course of antibiotics once in

NOTE Confidence: 0.874710168333333

 $00:21:02.742 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.023$  your lifetime, does that really

00:21:05.023 --> 00:21:07.249 increase your risk of liver injury

NOTE Confidence: 0.874710168333333

 $00:21:07.249 \rightarrow 00:21:09.850$  and liver cancer over that lifetime?

NOTE Confidence: 0.874710168333333

 $00:21:09.850 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.642$  Or is it more the people who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.874710168333333

 $00:21:12.642 \rightarrow 00:21:14.709$  taking antibiotics on a regular basis?

NOTE Confidence: 0.874710168333333

 $00{:}21{:}14.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}17.740$  That really increases the risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878158602222222

 $00{:}21{:}18.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}20.755$  Well, certainly regular exposure would

NOTE Confidence: 0.878158602222222

 $00:21:20.755 \rightarrow 00:21:24.386$  be the bigger concern, but in terms of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878158602222222

 $00:21:24.386 \rightarrow 00:21:27.917$  Trying to conduct this research in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.878158602222222

00:21:27.917 - 00:21:30.280 fairly clear way, we needed to start

NOTE Confidence: 0.878158602222222

 $00{:}21{:}30{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}32{.}120$  with people who had not been exposed

NOTE Confidence: 0.878158602222222

 $00{:}21{:}32{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}33{.}998$  and then look at initial exposure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878158602222222

 $00:21:34.000 \longrightarrow 00:21:36.004$  since that's one of the highest

NOTE Confidence: 0.878158602222222

00:21:36.004 --> 00:21:37.674 risk periods for injury, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.878158602222222

00:21:37.674 --> 00:21:39.678 People who have very serious injury

NOTE Confidence: 0.878158602222222

 $00{:}21{:}39.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}41.959$  are going to stop the medication.

 $00:21:41.960 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.465$  Now that we have identified

NOTE Confidence: 0.878158602222222

00:21:43.465 - 00:21:45.440 which ones have the most signal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878158602222222

 $00:21:45.440 \rightarrow 00:21:47.519$  we want to go on and look at OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878158602222222

 $00:21:47.520 \rightarrow 00:21:50.859$  If people actually do have chronic exposure

NOTE Confidence: 0.878158602222222

 $00:21:50.860 \rightarrow 00:21:53.980$  and a more low level signal for injury,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878158602222222

 $00:21:53.980 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.840$  do we see cumulative effects?

NOTE Confidence: 0.878158602222222

00:21:55.840 --> 00:21:57.800 So you make an excellent point in each,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878158602222222

 $00:21:57.800 \rightarrow 00:22:00.064$  but the initial study really had to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.878158602222222

 $00{:}22{:}00{.}064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}02{.}159$  at people who had not been previously

NOTE Confidence: 0.878158602222222

 $00{:}22{:}02{.}159 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}04{.}540$  exposed and then look at acute exposure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878158602222222

00:22:04.540 --> 00:22:05.030 Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907813171

 $00{:}22{:}05{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}08{.}420$  and so then you mentioned that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.907813171

 $00:22:08.420 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.936$  majority of these 39 top offenders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907813171

 $00:22:11.936 \rightarrow 00:22:17.200$  Were in the antimicrobial kind of spectrum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907813171

 $00:22:17.200 \rightarrow 00:22:19.936$  One of the drugs that a lot of people

NOTE Confidence: 0.907813171

 $00:22:19.936 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.790$  know about use a lot and we all kind

 $00:22:22.790 \rightarrow 00:22:25.814$  of think about in terms of liver injury.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907813171

 $00:22:25.820 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.260$  Is acetaminophen plain old Tylenol?

NOTE Confidence: 0.907813171

00:22:29.260 --> 00:22:32.473 Did you find that that ranked among

NOTE Confidence: 0.907813171

 $00:22:32.473 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.664$  the highest or or was that in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.907813171

 $00{:}22{:}35.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}37.956$  rest of the the population of drugs?

NOTE Confidence: 0.907813171

 $00{:}22{:}37{.}956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}40{.}492$  That really was not as toxic as you

NOTE Confidence: 0.907813171

 $00:22:40.492 \rightarrow 00:22:42.268$  might have thought to begin with?

NOTE Confidence: 0.830870391818182

 $00:22:43.090 \rightarrow 00:22:45.650$  Well, acetaminophen really causes its

NOTE Confidence: 0.830870391818182

 $00{:}22{:}45.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}48.910$  toxicity in a dose dependent fashion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830870391818182

 $00:22:48.910 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.118$  so as long as the doses of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.830870391818182

 $00:22:53.118 \rightarrow 00:22:57.130$  acetaminophen are not at high at high doses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830870391818182

 $00{:}22{:}57{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}00{.}609$  then the the risk is generally low.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830870391818182

 $00{:}23{:}00{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}04{.}130$  I would say that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830870391818182

 $00{:}23{:}04{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}06{.}150$  For those with pre-existing

NOTE Confidence: 0.830870391818182

00:23:06.150 --> 00:23:07.665 chronic liver disease,

00:23:07.670 --> 00:23:10.270 lower doses of acetaminophen

NOTE Confidence: 0.830870391818182

00:23:10.270 --> 00:23:13.520 is is more advantageous because

NOTE Confidence: 0.830870391818182

 $00:23:13.520 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.726$  with compromised livers the risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.830870391818182

 $00:23:16.726 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.758$  of liver injury is much higher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744011525714286

00:23:21.180 --> 00:23:23.357 And and then raises a good point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744011525714286

00:23:23.360 --> 00:23:25.240 Amy, which is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744011525714286

 $00:23:25.240 \rightarrow 00:23:27.576$  and you had mentioned it before as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744011525714286

 $00{:}23{:}27{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}30{.}444$  is that there is this kind of more

NOTE Confidence: 0.744011525714286

 $00:23:30.444 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.999$  than one hit to to your liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744011525714286

 $00{:}23{:}33{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}36{.}051$  And so when you did this study and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.744011525714286

 $00{:}23{:}36{.}051 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}39{.}397$  were looking at people who had initiated

NOTE Confidence: 0.744011525714286

00:23:39.397 --> 00:23:41.830 a potentially hepato toxic drug,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744011525714286

 $00{:}23{:}41{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}44{.}590$  did you kind of stratify these patients by

NOTE Confidence: 0.744011525714286

 $00{:}23{:}44.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}47.095$  other things that might put them at risk?

NOTE Confidence: 0.744011525714286

 $00:23:47.100 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.535$  Whether that was alcohol use

NOTE Confidence: 0.744011525714286

 $00:23:49.535 \rightarrow 00:23:51.483$  or underlying fatty liver?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.744011525714286
- $00:23:51.490 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.218$  Or even happy \*\*\*\*\*\*.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936270512857143
- $00:23:54.730 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.174$  So the answer to that is yes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936270512857143
- $00:23:58.180 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.035$  In fact, one of the things that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936270512857143
- $00:24:00.035 \rightarrow 00:24:02.309$  seems to be relevant for any number
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936270512857143
- $00{:}24{:}02{.}309 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}04{.}069$  of adverse outcomes is simply
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936270512857143
- $00{:}24{:}04.069 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}06.218$  how many medications are you on,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936270512857143
- $00:24:06.220 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.775$  even after you adjust for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936270512857143
- $00{:}24{:}07{.}775 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}09{.}019$  how sick someone is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936270512857143
- $00{:}24{:}09{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}10{.}760$  Physiologically, you know how much
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936270512857143
- 00:24:10.760 --> 00:24:12.885 organ system injury do they appear
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936270512857143
- $00:24:12.885 \longrightarrow 00:24:14.835$  to have based on laboratory data
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936270512857143
- $00{:}24{:}14.835 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}16.550$  and other clinical evaluations.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936270512857143
- 00:24:16.550 --> 00:24:18.160 Simply counting up how many
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936270512857143
- $00{:}24{:}18{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}19{.}770$  chronic medications they are on,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936270512857143
- $00{:}24{:}19.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}22.746$  especially when they get beyond five or ten,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936270512857143

 $00:24:22.750 \rightarrow 00:24:25.168$  has a very strong association with

NOTE Confidence: 0.936270512857143

 $00:24:25.168 \rightarrow 00:24:28.009$  any number of adverse health outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936270512857143

 $00{:}24{:}28.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}30.701$  And that's one of the reasons why Vin was

NOTE Confidence: 0.936270512857143

00:24:30.701 --> 00:24:33.329 talking about polypharmacy a few minutes ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936270512857143

 $00:24:33.330 \rightarrow 00:24:35.706$  It's very important to interpret exposures

NOTE Confidence: 0.936270512857143

 $00:24:35.706 \rightarrow 00:24:38.080$  in the context of how susceptible

NOTE Confidence: 0.936270512857143

 $00:24:38.080 \rightarrow 00:24:40.593$  to injury are you at that moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936270512857143

00:24:40.600 --> 00:24:42.588 And if you're already on a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.936270512857143

 $00{:}24{:}42.588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}44.232$  of medications and already have

NOTE Confidence: 0.936270512857143

 $00:24:44.232 \rightarrow 00:24:45.700$  evidence of liver injury,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936270512857143

 $00:24:45.700 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.635$  you are highly susceptible to

NOTE Confidence: 0.936270512857143

 $00:24:47.635 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.183$  injury from another exposure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81464253375

 $00{:}24{:}49{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}51{.}507$  But I think in a niche what

NOTE Confidence: 0.81464253375

00:24:51.507 --> 00:24:53.693 you you bring up a good a good

NOTE Confidence: 0.81464253375

 $00:24:53.693 \rightarrow 00:24:56.364$  question for this initial analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81464253375

 $00:24:56.364 \rightarrow 00:24:59.369$  we chose to specifically exclude

- NOTE Confidence: 0.81464253375
- $00:24:59.369 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.083$  individuals with either viral hepatitis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81464253375
- $00:25:02.083 \rightarrow 00:25:05.980$  or other non viral chronic liver diseases,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81464253375
- $00{:}25{:}05{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}08{.}570$  and even amongst those who
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81464253375
- $00:25:08.570 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.642$  did not have diagnosed.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81464253375
- 00:25:10.650 00:25:11.718 Chronic liver disease.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81464253375
- $00:25:11.718 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.593$  We looked in the year prior to their
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81464253375
- $00:25:14.593 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.798$  initiation of the drug to see if
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81464253375
- $00:25:16.798 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.518$  they had any evidence of any liver
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81464253375
- $00:25:19.518 \rightarrow 00:25:21.534$  aminotransferase abnormalities or any
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81464253375
- 00:25:21.534 --> 00:25:25.987 any end of any evidence of of liver
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81464253375
- 00:25:25.987 --> 00:25:28.922 inflammation and excluded those individuals.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81464253375
- $00{:}25{:}28{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}31{.}926$  So the the population that we studied,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81464253375
- $00:25:31.930 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.090$  at least at the outset,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81464253375
- $00{:}25{:}33{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}36{.}072$  was those who did not have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81464253375
- $00:25:36.072 \rightarrow 00:25:37.563$  pre-existing liver disease,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81464253375

 $00:25:37.570 \rightarrow 00:25:39.790$  either diagnosed or for that matter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81464253375

 $00:25:39.790 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.891$  non diagnosed and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81464253375

 $00:25:40.891 \rightarrow 00:25:43.460$  And it was really when we presented

NOTE Confidence: 0.81464253375

 $00{:}25{:}43.532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}46.792$  these results at to the FDA's division

NOTE Confidence: 0.81464253375

 $00{:}25{:}46.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}49.432$  of Hepatology that they really advocated

NOTE Confidence: 0.81464253375

 $00{:}25{:}49{.}432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}52{.}747$  that we go the extra mile to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.81464253375

 $00{:}25{:}52{.}747 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}55{.}022$  exclude individuals who may have

NOTE Confidence: 0.81464253375

 $00:25:55.022 \dashrightarrow 00:25:57.509$  as yet undiagnosed liver disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81464253375

 $00{:}25{:}57{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}00{.}057$  To ensure that this was an in a group

NOTE Confidence: 0.81464253375

 $00:26:00.057 \rightarrow 00:26:02.698$  that wasn't necessarily at higher risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81464253375

 $00:26:02.700 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.338$  such as Amy had mentioned those

NOTE Confidence: 0.81464253375

 $00:26:04.338 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.430$  with pre-existing liver disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568183466666667

 $00{:}26{:}06{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}08{.}364$  And so I mean all of this work

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568183466666667

00:26:08.364 --> 00:26:10.699 is is incredibly interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568183466666667

 $00{:}26{:}10.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}14.029$  but it begs the question and then what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568183466666667

 $00:26:14.029 \rightarrow 00:26:17.918$  So you know, people who are on five or more

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8568183466666667
- 00:26:17.918 --> 00:26:20.338 medication certainly are at increased risk,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8568183466666667
- $00:26:20.340 \rightarrow 00:26:22.260$  but presumably they're on those
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8568183466666667
- $00:26:22.260 \rightarrow 00:26:23.796$  medications because they're needed.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8568183466666667
- $00:26:23.800 \rightarrow 00:26:25.108$  If they're not needed,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8568183466666667
- $00{:}26{:}25{.}108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}27{.}448$  then the obvious answer to the what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8568183466666667
- $00:26:27.448 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.960$  happens next is you get rid of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8568183466666667
- $00:26:29.960 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.577$  medications that you don't need.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8568183466666667
- $00:26:31.580 \rightarrow 00:26:34.949$  But for the people who really do need,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8568183466666667
- $00{:}26{:}34{.}949 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}36{.}881$  you know their antihypertensive
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8568183466666667
- $00:26:36.881 \rightarrow 00:26:38.830$  medication and maybe there.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8568183466666667
- $00:26:38.830 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.470$  Their insulin and their
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8568183466666667
- $00:26:41.470 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.582$  statin and whatever else.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8568183466666667
- $00:26:43.590 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.282$  And they're they're on,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8568183466666667
- $00{:}26{:}45{.}282 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}46{.}974$  say 5 medications that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8568183466666667
- $00:26:46.974 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.960$  they truly do need.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856818346666667

 $00:26:48.960 \rightarrow 00:26:51.200$  And we know that polypharmacy would put

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568183466666667

 $00{:}26{:}51{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}53{.}477$  you at increased risk of liver disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568183466666667

 $00:26:53.480 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.576$  What should physicians and patients do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568183466666667

 $00:26:56.580 \longrightarrow 00:26:57.272$  Amy like?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8568183466666667

 $00:26:57.272 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.310$  What's the answer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.52581927

 $00:26:59.210 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.126$  Well, so anisha it's you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52581927

 $00:27:02.130 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.789$  in the best of all possible worlds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52581927

 $00{:}27{:}03.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}05.908$  there would be one Doctor Who's

NOTE Confidence: 0.52581927

 $00{:}27{:}05{.}908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}08{.}081$  really helping to manage the total

NOTE Confidence: 0.52581927

 $00{:}27{:}08.081 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}10.286$  picture for the patient in the room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52581927

00:27:10.286 --> 00:27:12.519 But the reality of our health care system

NOTE Confidence: 0.52581927

 $00:27:12.519 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.679$  is that that is often not the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52581927

 $00{:}27{:}14.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}17.816$  People see a number of different specialists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52581927

 $00{:}27{:}17.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}20.095$  And each of those specialists looks at

NOTE Confidence: 0.52581927

 $00{:}27{:}20.095 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}22.315$  the patient and their list of medications

NOTE Confidence: 0.52581927

 $00:27:22.315 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.610$  only with a view to the particular

- NOTE Confidence: 0.52581927
- $00{:}27{:}24.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}26.920$  organ system that they're treating.

 $00:27:26.920 \rightarrow 00:27:29.422$  Further, the guidelines for how we

NOTE Confidence: 0.52581927

 $00:27:29.422 \rightarrow 00:27:31.532$  recommend managing people with various

NOTE Confidence: 0.52581927

 $00{:}27{:}31{.}532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}33{.}764$  diseases in our country have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.52581927

 $00:27:33.764 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.812$  developed with a similar neurofocus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52581927

 $00{:}27{:}35{.}812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}37{.}048$  As a result,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52581927

 $00:27:37.048 \rightarrow 00:27:41.209$  people end up being on a lot of medications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52581927

 $00:27:41.210 \rightarrow 00:27:43.472$  sometimes without each of the physicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.52581927

 $00:27:43.472 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.001$  being totally aware of all the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.52581927

 $00{:}27{:}46.001 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}47.915$  medications that the person is on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52581927

 $00:27:47.920 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.422$  And that's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52581927

00:27:48.422 --> 00:27:49.677 Part of the reason we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.52581927

 $00:27:49.677 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.910$  gotten into this quandary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52581927

 $00:27:50.910 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.390$  so believe it or not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52581927

 $00{:}27{:}52{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}54{.}000$  the first step really is a total

 $00:27:54.000 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.871$  view of the medication list and

NOTE Confidence: 0.52581927

 $00{:}27{:}55{.}871 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}57{.}375$  decisions about what medications

NOTE Confidence: 0.52581927

00:27:57.375 --> 00:27:59.069 are truly indicated for this

NOTE Confidence: 0.52581927

 $00:27:59.069 \rightarrow 00:28:00.593$  person and which ones are not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863472237733333

 $00{:}28{:}01{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}03{.}587$  Doctor Amy Justice is the CNH long

NOTE Confidence: 0.863472237733333

 $00{:}28{:}03{.}587 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}05{.}317$  professor of medicine in general

NOTE Confidence: 0.863472237733333

 $00:28:05.317 \rightarrow 00:28:07.067$  medicine and professor of public

NOTE Confidence: 0.863472237733333

 $00{:}28{:}07{.}067 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}09{.}373$  health and health policy at the Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.863472237733333

00:28:09.373 --> 00:28:11.161 School of Medicine and Doctor Van

NOTE Confidence: 0.863472237733333

 $00{:}28{:}11{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}13{.}140$  Lowrey is an associate professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.863472237733333

 $00:28:13.140 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.110$  of medicine and epidemiology at

NOTE Confidence: 0.863472237733333

00:28:15.178 --> 00:28:17.090 the University of Pennsylvania.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863472237733333

 $00{:}28{:}17.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}18.738$  If you have questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863472237733333

 $00{:}28{:}18.738 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}20.798$  the addresses cancer answers at

NOTE Confidence: 0.863472237733333

 $00:28:20.800 \rightarrow 00:28:23.380$  yale.edu and past editions of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.863472237733333

 $00:28:23.380 \rightarrow 00:28:25.916$  program are available in audio and

- NOTE Confidence: 0.863472237733333
- $00{:}28{:}25{.}916$  -->  $00{:}28{:}27{.}342$  written form at yale cancercenter.org.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863472237733333
- $00{:}28{:}27{.}342 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}29{.}838$  We hope you'll join us next week to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863472237733333
- $00{:}28{:}29{.}838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}31{.}741$  learn more about the fight against
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863472237733333
- 00:28:31.741 --> 00:28:33.630 cancer here on Connecticut Public Radio.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863472237733333
- $00{:}28{:}33.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}35.712$  Funding for Yale Cancer Answers is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863472237733333
- 00:28:35.712 --> 00:28:37.680 provided by Smilow Cancer Hospital.