WEBVTT

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:01.965 Funding for Yale Cancer Answers

NOTE Confidence: 0.953969787142857

 $00{:}00{:}01.965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}03.930$ is provided by Smilow Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.953969787142857

00:00:03.997 --> 00:00:05.697 Hospital and AstraZeneca.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946205228461538

00:00:07.780 --> 00:00:09.814 Welcome to Yale Cancer Answers with

NOTE Confidence: 0.946205228461538

 $00:00:09.814 \longrightarrow 00:00:12.159$ your host doctor Anees Chagpar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946205228461538

00:00:12.160 --> 00:00:14.020 Yale Cancer Answers features the

NOTE Confidence: 0.946205228461538

00:00:14.020 --> 00:00:16.302 latest information on cancer care by

NOTE Confidence: 0.946205228461538

 $00:00:16.302 \longrightarrow 00:00:17.766$ welcoming oncologists and specialists

NOTE Confidence: 0.946205228461538

 $00:00:17.766 \longrightarrow 00:00:20.200$ who are on the forefront of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.946205228461538

 $00:00:20.200 \longrightarrow 00:00:21.990$ battle to fight cancer. This week,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946205228461538

00:00:21.990 --> 00:00:23.740 it's a conversation about prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.946205228461538

 $00:00:23.740 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.669$ cancer with Doctor Peter Humphrey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946205228461538

 $00{:}00{:}25.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}27.362$ Doctor Humphrey is a professor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.946205228461538

00:00:27.362 --> 00:00:29.520 pathology at Yale School of Medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946205228461538

 $00:00:29.520 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.831$ where Doctor Chagpar is a professor

 $00:00:32.831 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.660$ of surgical oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.981144545

00:00:34.670 --> 00:00:36.371 Peter, maybe we can start off by you

NOTE Confidence: 0.981144545

00:00:36.371 --> 00:00:38.068 telling us a little bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.981144545

 $00:00:38.068 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.080$ yourself and what you do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

 $00:00:40.110 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.110$ I'm a practicing surgical pathologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

00:00:42.110 --> 00:00:44.610 which basically means that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

00:00:44.610 --> 00:00:47.620 look at a glass slide under a

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

 $00:00:47.620 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.258$ microscope and render a diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

 $00{:}00{:}50.258 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}00{:}54.021$ often cancer diagnosis on tissues that

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

 $00:00:54.021 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.606$ we received from other physicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.973310797777778

 $00{:}00{:}56.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}01.580$ including biopsies and and resections,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

 $00:01:01.580 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.639$ and so microscopes have always interested me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

00:01:04.640 --> 00:01:07.763 Ever since I was very young and

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

00:01:07.763 --> 00:01:10.091 looked through a microscope at pond

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

00:01:10.091 --> 00:01:13.490 water when I was in elementary school,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

00:01:13.490 --> 00:01:15.583 but it was actually taking

00:01:15.583 --> 00:01:18.127 care of a patient in medical school

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

 $00:01:18.130 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.938$ that really helped direct me into

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

 $00:01:20.938 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.060$ pathology and if I can give that story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

 $00:01:25.060 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.660$ I was a third year

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

 $00:01:28.660 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.460$ medical student and

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

00:01:29.460 --> 00:01:33.078 hadn't really decided on a specialty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

 $00:01:33.080 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.785$ And was considering a number

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

00:01:34.785 --> 00:01:35.808 of different specialties,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

 $00:01:35.810 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.460$ including medicine and internal medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

00:01:38.460 --> 00:01:41.408 Pathology wasn't so

NOTE Confidence: 0.973310797777778

 $00:01:41.408 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.093$ high on the list until

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

 $00:01:45.100 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.390$ there was an occurrence with one

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

 $00{:}01{:}48.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}51.467$ patient and she was on the internal

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

 $00{:}01{:}51.467 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}54.470$ medicine ward and she had rib pain

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

 $00{:}01{:}54.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}57.640$ and the radiologists were able to

 $00:01:57.640 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.269$ identify a lesion in the rib and

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

 $00{:}02{:}01.269 \rightarrow 00{:}02{:}03.185$ the differential diagnosis that

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

 $00:02:03.185 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.580$ we considered clinically and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

 $00:02:05.650 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.988$ radiologist considered was quite lengthy

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

 $00:02:08.988 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.017$ so it really took a biopsy which

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

 $00:02:13.017 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.699$ then went to surgical pathology and

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

 $00:02:15.700 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.698$ in order to establish the diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

 $00:02:17.700 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.832$ and so I I went down to surgical pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

 $00{:}02{:}20.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}23.605$ the laboratory where the attending

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

00:02:23.605 --> 00:02:25.817 surgical pathologist was looking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

 $00{:}02{:}25.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}28.160$ at slides with their resident

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

 $00:02:28.160 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.157$ on the service and I asked if they

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

00:02:31.157 --> 00:02:33.185 had seen the biopsy from this

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

00:02:33.263 --> 00:02:36.119 particular patient and he said he had,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

 $00:02:36.120 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.640$ and then he pulled out the slide

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

 $00:02:38.640 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.192$ and went through it and in pretty

 $00:02:41.192 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.588$ short order said oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

 $00{:}02{:}42.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}44.700$ this is metastatic cancer from

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

 $00:02:44.700 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.966$ the salivary gland,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

00:02:45.970 --> 00:02:48.996 which was a diagnosis that was not

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

 $00:02:48.996 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.486$ really considered in this patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

 $00:02:51.490 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.118$ It turns out she did have a history of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

00:02:54.118 --> 00:02:56.486 salivary gland cancer 10 years prior

 $00:02:58.180 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.844$ so it occurred to me that this was

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

 $00:03:00.844 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.525$ the way to really help patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.97331079777778

 $00:03:03.525 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.945$ by helping render diagnosis.

 $00:03:08.140 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.108$ I find that fascinating, because certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.976006924285714

00:03:10.108 --> 00:03:12.798 if you had a patient with rib pain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.976006924285714

 $00:03:12.800 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.425$ metastatic cancer from a salivary

NOTE Confidence: 0.976006924285714

 $00{:}03{:}15.425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}17.850$ gland would not be top of the list.

NOTE Confidence: 0.976006924285714

 $00:03:17.850 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.413$ Did the pathologist know about the distant

NOTE Confidence: 0.976006924285714

00:03:21.413 --> 00:03:24.059 diagnosis of salivary gland cancer?

 $00:03:24.140 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.040$ I think this particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.985878084736842

 $00{:}03{:}26.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}28.415$ cancer was so distinctive that

NOTE Confidence: 0.985878084736842

00:03:28.415 --> 00:03:31.386 he was able to suspect salivary

NOTE Confidence: 0.985878084736842

 $00:03:31.386 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.314$ gland cancer right away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.985878084736842

 $00:03:33.320 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.880$ I'm not sure if he knew the history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.985878084736842

 $00:03:34.880 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.976$ but he was an excellent

NOTE Confidence: 0.985878084736842

 $00:03:36.976 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.212$ surgical pathologist and being

NOTE Confidence: 0.985878084736842

 $00:03:38.212 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.536$ an excellent surgical pathologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.985878084736842

 $00:03:39.540 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.550$ I'm sure he had asked the

NOTE Confidence: 0.985878084736842

 $00:03:41.550 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.360$ resident for the history first,

NOTE Confidence: 0.985878084736842

 $00{:}03{:}43.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}45.864$ as they examined the slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97856496

00:03:46.310 --> 00:03:49.680 Yeah, it's just absolutely fascinating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97856496

 $00:03:49.680 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.050$ but now you've kind of transitioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.97856496

00:03:52.050 --> 00:03:53.630 still looking at cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97856496

00:03:53.630 --> 00:03:56.178 but now you're into the world

NOTE Confidence: 0.97856496

00:03:56.178 --> 00:03:58.401 of genitourinary pathology,

00:03:58.401 --> 00:04:01.129 tell us a little bit more about how

NOTE Confidence: 0.97856496

 $00:04:01.129 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.910$ your interests transitioned to that.

 $00:04:06.700 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.520$ In residency a big

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

00:04:09.520 --> 00:04:11.776 part of pathology residency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

 $00:04:11.780 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.320$ which is pretty broad based,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

 $00:04:13.320 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.352$ we rotate through a number of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

 $00{:}04{:}17.352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}19.626$ services, subspecialty services and

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

 $00:04:19.626 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.356$ those services work with specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

 $00:04:22.360 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.000$ clinicians and it's disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

00:04:25.000 --> 00:04:28.717 focused and usually organ site

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

 $00:04:28.720 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.050$ focused. For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

00:04:32.050 --> 00:04:34.290 as a genitourinary pathologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

 $00:04:34.290 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.710$ I interact very closely with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

00:04:37.710 --> 00:04:39.990 urologist and medical oncologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

 $00{:}04{:}40.078 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}43.052$ to treat urological cancers as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

 $00{:}04{:}43.052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}45.324$ as radiologist and interventional

 $00:04:45.324 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.342$ radiologists to deal with these

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

 $00:04:47.342 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.812$ type of cancers and specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

 $00:04:48.812 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.609$ for the genitourinary system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

 $00:04:50.610 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.340$ this is just an introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

 $00{:}04{:}53.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}57.267$ We basically address cancers that arise in

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

 $00:04:57.267 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.239$ the prostate and testis and bladder and

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

 $00:05:01.240 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.288$ kidney, so it turns out when you are

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

 $00:05:06.290 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.808$ in formative years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

00:05:07.808 --> 00:05:10.338 one should never underestimate

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

 $00:05:10.338 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.808$ how a single patient or a physician

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

 $00:05:13.808 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.575$ can impact the development of

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

 $00:05:17.575 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.420$ the individuals who are young and

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

 $00{:}05{:}20.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}22.830$ deciding in medical school or pathology.

 $00:05:24.570 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.762$ So I was a first year resident and

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

00:05:27.762 --> 00:05:31.242 I rotated through the VA hospital

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

 $00:05:31.242 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.246$ which was right across from Duke

00:05:33.246 --> 00:05:34.150 University Hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

 $00{:}05{:}34.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}37.034$ which is where I did my residency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

 $00:05:37.040 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.350$ And there was a fascinating rotation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

 $00:05:39.350 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.278$ and another excellent surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

 $00:05:41.278 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.688$ pathologist was the attending there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

 $00:05:43.690 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.090$ and we saw quite a lot of prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

00:05:47.940 --> 00:05:51.060 And at the VA hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

 $00:05:51.060 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.554$ this was several decades ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

00:05:54.554 --> 00:05:57.900 dating myself a number of decades ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

 $00:05:57.900 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.668$ there was not much known about

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

00:05:59.668 --> 00:06:00.764 prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

 $00:06:00.764 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.504$ and treatments were relatively limited,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

 $00{:}06{:}03.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}05.734$ so it seemed to me that this was

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

 $00:06:05.734 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.780$ an area where

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

 $00:06:06.780 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.260$ there is much to be learned about diagnosis

 $00:06:10.260 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.415$ and prognosis as well as treatment of

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

00:06:13.420 --> 00:06:14.674 that particular cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

 $00:06:14.674 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.182$ So that's really how I became

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

 $00:06:17.182 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.400$ interested as a first year

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

 $00:06:19.400 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.000$ pathology resident in

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

00:06:21.000 --> 00:06:21.822 genitourinary cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988903856

 $00:06:21.822 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.466$ and specifically prostate cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.972486137272727

 $00:06:24.160 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.170$ Let's dive a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.972486137272727

 $00{:}06{:}26.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}28.060$ bit more into prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.972486137272727

 $00:06:28.060 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.093$ I think that so much of again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.972486137272727

 $00{:}06{:}31.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}33.557$ what we do is really dictated by

NOTE Confidence: 0.972486137272727

 $00:06:33.557 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.620$ the biopsies that we take.

NOTE Confidence: 0.972486137272727

 $00:06:35.620 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.290$ So if somebody has

NOTE Confidence: 0.972486137272727

 $00{:}06{:}38.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}41.682$ a mass in the prostate or an enlarged

NOTE Confidence: 0.972486137272727

00:06:41.682 --> 00:06:43.433 prostate, even more globally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.972486137272727

 $00:06:43.433 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.079$ sometimes a biopsy will be done,

 $00:06:46.080 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.240$ and that'll be sent to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.972486137272727

 $00{:}06{:}48.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}50.255$ pathologist and it's really up to

NOTE Confidence: 0.972486137272727

 $00:06:50.255 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.784$ you to try to figure out is this

NOTE Confidence: 0.972486137272727

 $00:06:52.784 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.856$ cancer or is this something benign?

NOTE Confidence: 0.972486137272727

 $00:06:55.860 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.228$ And if it's cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.972486137272727

 $00:06:57.228 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.283$ how bad of a cancer is it which

NOTE Confidence: 0.972486137272727

 $00:07:00.283 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.127$ really dictates

NOTE Confidence: 0.972486137272727

 $00:07:02.130 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.786$ is this something that we treat at all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.972486137272727

 $00:07:04.790 \dashrightarrow 00:07:08.690$ or something that we simply watch?

NOTE Confidence: 0.972486137272727

 $00:07:08.690 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.430$ How do you make those decisions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.972486137272727

 $00:07:11.430 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.790$ How do you make that differentiation from

NOTE Confidence: 0.972486137272727

 $00:07:14.790 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.857$ benign to malignant and within malignant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.972486137272727

 $00:07:17.860 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.518$ the different grades of prostate cancer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00{:}07{:}21.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}23.694$ So it's really quite a long

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:07:23.694 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.597$ educational process to be able to

 $00:07:26.597 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.809$ diagnose benign versus malignant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00{:}07{:}28.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}31.258$ and it turns out that what's so fascinating

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:07:31.258 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.910$ is that every single biopsy is different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:07:33.910 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.390$ even if we render an

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:07:36.390 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.390$ umbrella diagnosis of benign tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:07:38.390 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.398$ For example, the lining tissue of the prostate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:07:41.400 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.272$ There could be a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:07:43.272 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.820$ benign mimicker's in there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

00:07:44.820 --> 00:07:46.460 meaning benign tissue looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

00:07:46.460 --> 00:07:48.659 like cancer under the microscope,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:07:48.660 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.510$ but it's not, and we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:07:54.790 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.550$ a differential diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00{:}07{:}55.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}57.470$ We consider a number of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00{:}07{:}57.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}58.750$ benign entities before deciding

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:07:58.799 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.027$ on a malignant diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00{:}08{:}00.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}02.291$ because that's such a huge step

 $00:08:02.291 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.770$ to take for us and for the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00{:}08{:}04.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}08.800$ and the patient's treating physician.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

00:08:08.800 --> 00:08:11.218 So that's what I particularly enjoy,

 $00:08:14.410 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.245$ that diagnostic work and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:08:16.245 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.713$ can be arduous sometimes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

00:08:17.720 --> 00:08:19.640 Sometimes it's very straightforward

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:08:19.640 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.054$ that a particular biopsy is benign

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:08:23.054 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.082$ and sometimes straightforward that

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00{:}08{:}25.082 \rightarrow 00{:}08{:}27.393$ it's malignant, but other times

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:08:27.393 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.548$ there are benign conditions under

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00{:}08{:}29.548 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}31.910$ the microscope that look like cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:08:31.910 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.058$ and cancer that can look benign.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:08:34.060 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.671$ So we've been fortunate in this area

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00{:}08{:}36.671 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}39.924$ to have some tools to help us and

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:08:39.924 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.979$ those include antibodies that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00{:}08{:}42.058 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}44.950$ help us recognize specific cells under

 $00:08:44.950 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.402$ the microscope and in certain cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:08:47.402 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.040$ that can be relayed to us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:08:50.040 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.842$ but it still requires judgment and

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:08:52.842 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.603$ having formed a differential diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:08:55.603 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.858$ or consideration of what's possible

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:08:57.858 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.178$ before we order those tests.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

00:09:00.180 --> 00:09:01.518 On that issue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00{:}09{:}01.518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}03.748$ so once having established a

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

00:09:03.748 --> 00:09:05.600 diagnosis of malignancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:09:05.600 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.534$ then the next step is to decide and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:09:08.534 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.437$ is so important for prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:09:11.440 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.972$ how aggressive is it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

00:09:12.972 --> 00:09:15.797 Because it turns out most men who

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00{:}09{:}15.797 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}17.830$ have prostate cancer will die

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:09:17.830 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.870$ with it rather than of it.

 $00:09:19.870 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.579$ So there are a large number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00{:}09{:}22.579 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}24.517$ prostate cancers that can grow

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00{:}09{:}24.517 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}26.617$ very slowly and may not affect

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

00:09:26.617 --> 00:09:29.180 the man during his lifetime,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:09:29.180 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.230$ yet it turns out that

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

00:09:31.230 --> 00:09:34.428 prostate cancer is the second most

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00{:}09{:}34.428 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}36.970$ lethal cancer amongst American men

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:09:36.970 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.311$ by total numbers

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

00:09:38.311 --> 00:09:40.546 trailing only lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:09:40.550 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.259$ So those are the cancers we want

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00{:}09{:}43.259 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}09{:}45.225$ to specifically separate out from

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:09:45.225 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.065$ the more slowly growing ones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:09:47.070 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.485$ And we do that under the microscope

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

00:09:49.485 --> 00:09:52.001 using a very powerful approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:09:52.001 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.527$ that is grade, as you suggest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:09:54.530 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.510$ So what is grade?

 $00:09:56.510 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.144$ It's basically the way the cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:09:59.144 \longrightarrow 00:10:01.370$ grow within the prostate once

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:10:01.370 \dashrightarrow 00:10:04.340$ we've identified them as cancer cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:10:04.340 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.279$ so we can look under the microscope

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:10:06.279 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.110$ and in their patterns

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:10:07.110 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.545$ there are specific patterns that

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:10:09.545 \longrightarrow 00:10:12.520$ are known to correlate with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

00:10:12.520 --> 00:10:14.340 outcome for the patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:10:14.340 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.416$ and so we have various tiers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

00:10:17.416 --> 00:10:20.246 various numbers we can apply

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:10:20.250 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.294$ and the most simple one that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:10:22.294 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.458$ use right now is grade group and

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

00:10:24.458 --> 00:10:26.288 that ranges from one to five.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:10:26.290 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.250$ One being the best outcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:10:29.250 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.075$ and those patients are managed

00:10:31.075 --> 00:10:32.170 very differently from

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00{:}10{:}32.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}34.546$ those who have a grade group

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

00:10:34.546 --> 00:10:36.130 five out of five,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

00:10:36.130 --> 00:10:37.680 but there's everything in between,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:10:37.680 \longrightarrow 00:10:39.370$ so it's really a spectrum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:10:39.370 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.474$ And therein lies again

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00:10:41.474 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.396$ judgment as far as deciphering as you note,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

00:10:46.400 --> 00:10:48.332 the detective work deciphering

NOTE Confidence: 0.987030749375

 $00{:}10{:}48.332 \to 00{:}10{:}51.230$ out the patterns that can help

NOTE Confidence: 0.988765668461538

 $00:10:51.318 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.410$ us assign a grade that

NOTE Confidence: 0.988765668461538

 $00{:}10{:}53.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}54.550$ indicates aggressiveness of

NOTE Confidence: 0.988765668461538

 $00:10:54.550 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.560$ that prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.974073658

 $00:10:57.990 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.070$ One of the questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.974073658

00:11:00.070 --> 00:11:01.955 that I think always comes up is

NOTE Confidence: 0.974073658

 $00:11:01.955 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.013$ that it seems to be a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.974073658

 $00:11:04.013 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.080$ of art and a little bit of science.

 $00:11:06.080 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.230$ Looking at these patterns

NOTE Confidence: 0.974073658

 $00{:}11{:}09.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}11.740$ and trying to decipher is this

NOTE Confidence: 0.974073658

 $00:11:11.740 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.156$ lower grade?

NOTE Confidence: 0.974073658

00:11:13.156 --> 00:11:14.926 Is this a higher grade?

NOTE Confidence: 0.974073658

00:11:14.930 --> 00:11:18.226 How much of it is art,

NOTE Confidence: 0.974073658

 $00:11:18.230 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.982$ and how much of it is science

NOTE Confidence: 0.974073658

00:11:20.982 --> 00:11:24.168 and how sure are you at any given

NOTE Confidence: 0.974073658

 $00:11:24.168 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.959$ time of your diagnosis being correct?

NOTE Confidence: 0.986967973333333

 $00:11:27.000 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.150$ Interpretation of slides

NOTE Confidence: 0.986967973333333

 $00:11:30.150 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.533$ under the microscope is most definitely

NOTE Confidence: 0.9869679733333333

00:11:33.533 --> 00:11:36.702 both art and science, so there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.986967973333333

00:11:36.702 --> 00:11:38.988 much experience that one must have

NOTE Confidence: 0.986967973333333

 $00{:}11{:}38.988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}41.760$ in order to recognize these patterns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986967973333333

 $00{:}11{:}41.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}44.856$ The science part is that we can use

NOTE Confidence: 0.986967973333333

 $00:11:44.856 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.997$ antibodies to help identify specific cells.

00:11:48.000 --> 00:11:49.670 The grading part remains, though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986967973333333

00:11:49.670 --> 00:11:52.880 very much art and pattern recognition

NOTE Confidence: 0.986967973333333

00:11:52.880 --> 00:11:54.370 going forward in the future,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986967973333333

 $00:11:54.370 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.990$ and this has already started.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986967973333333

 $00:11:55.990 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.158$ We have tools that can help us recognize

NOTE Confidence: 0.986967973333333

00:11:59.158 --> 00:12:02.000 patterns even better and more quantitatively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986967973333333

 $00{:}12{:}02.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}05.570$ and that's through the use of artificial

NOTE Confidence: 0.986967973333333

 $00:12:05.658 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.330$ intelligence and machine learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9869679733333333

 $00{:}12{:}08.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}10.410$ So all of that work has just started,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986967973333333

00:12:10.410 --> 00:12:12.420 but already I've had the opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.9869679733333333

 $00{:}12{:}12.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}14.874$ to work in on a couple different

NOTE Confidence: 0.986967973333333

00:12:14.874 --> 00:12:17.645 projects and it turns out that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.986967973333333

 $00:12:17.645 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.757$ computer with specific algorithms

NOTE Confidence: 0.986967973333333

00:12:19.760 --> 00:12:20.644 can identify,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986967973333333

 $00:12:20.644 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.854$ can diagnose and grade prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.986967973333333

 $00{:}12{:}22.854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}25.531$ cancers just as well as a number

00:12:25.531 --> 00:12:27.445 of us who specialize in sub

NOTE Confidence: 0.986967973333333

 $00:12:27.517 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.197$ specializing in that particular area.

00:12:30.950 --> 00:12:33.398 I can't wait to learn more about that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9836480722222222

 $00:12:33.400 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.220$ but first we need to take a

NOTE Confidence: 0.983648072222222

 $00:12:35.220 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.980$ short break for medical minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.983648072222222

00:12:36.980 --> 00:12:39.535 Please stay tuned to learn more about

NOTE Confidence: 0.983648072222222

 $00:12:39.535 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.415$ prostate cancer diagnosis and prognosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.983648072222222

00:12:41.415 --> 00:12:43.587 with my guest doctor Peter Humphrey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.964767035238095

 $00:12:44.380 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.355$ Funding for Yale Cancer Answers

NOTE Confidence: 0.964767035238095

 $00:12:46.355 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.330$ comes from AstraZeneca, dedicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.964767035238095

 $00{:}12{:}48.398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}50.283$ to advancing options and providing

NOTE Confidence: 0.964767035238095

 $00:12:50.283 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.660$ hope for people living with cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.964767035238095

00:12:52.660 --> 00:12:53.818 More information at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963175

 $00:12:55.960 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.980$ astrazeneca-us.com.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963175

00:12:57.980 --> 00:13:00.104 The American Cancer Society

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963175

 $00:13:00.104 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.444$ estimates that nearly 150,000 people

 $00:13:02.444 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.048$ in the US will be diagnosed with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963175

00:13:05.048 --> 00:13:07.019 colorectal cancer this year alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963175

 $00:13:07.020 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.668$ When detected early, colorectal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963175

00:13:08.668 --> 00:13:11.140 cancer is easily treated and highly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963175

 $00{:}13{:}11.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}12.858$ curable and men and women over

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963175

 $00:13:12.858 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.268$ the age of 45 should have regular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963175

 $00:13:15.268 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.764$ colonoscopies to screen for the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963175

 $00:13:17.770 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.142$ Patients with colorectal cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963175

 $00:13:19.142 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.200$ have more hope than ever before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963175

 $00{:}13{:}21.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}24.104$ thanks to increased access to advanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963175

 $00:13:24.104 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.040$ therapies and specialized care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963175

00:13:26.040 --> 00:13:27.896 Clinical trials are currently

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963175

 $00{:}13{:}27.896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}29.752$ underway at federally designated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963175

 $00{:}13{:}29.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}31.190$ Comprehensive Cancer Centers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963175

 $00{:}13{:}31.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}33.350$ Such as Yale Cancer Center and

 $00:13:33.350 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.313$ at Smilow Cancer Hospital to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963175

00:13:35.313 --> 00:13:37.113 test innovative new treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963175

00:13:37.113 --> 00:13:38.936 for colorectal cancer. Tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963175

 $00:13:38.936 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.266$ gene analysis has helped improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963175

 $00:13:41.266 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.130$ management of colorectal cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963175

 $00{:}13{:}43.195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}45.425$ by identifying the patients most

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963175

00:13:45.425 --> 00:13:47.655 likely to benefit from chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963175

 $00:13:47.724 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.428$ and newer targeted agents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963175

 $00{:}13{:}49.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}52.268$ resulting in more patient specific treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963175

 $00:13:52.270 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.330$ More information is available at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963175

 $00{:}13{:}55.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}56.626$ yale cancercenter.org. You're listening

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963175

00:13:56.626 --> 00:13:58.354 to Connecticut Public Radio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89388961

 $00{:}13{:}59.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}01.516$ Welcome back to Yale Cancer Answers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89388961

00:14:01.520 --> 00:14:04.220 This is doctor Anees Chappar and I'm joined

NOTE Confidence: 0.89388961

00:14:04.220 --> 00:14:06.578 tonight by my guest doctor Peter Humphrey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89388961

 $00{:}14{:}06.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}08.440$ We're talking about prostate

00:14:08.440 --> 00:14:10.300 cancer diagnosis and prognosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89388961

 $00{:}14{:}10.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}13.359$ and right before the break we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.89388961

00:14:13.359 --> 00:14:15.694 talking about this magic that

NOTE Confidence: 0.89388961

 $00:14:15.694 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.049$ happens in the pathology lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89388961

00:14:18.050 --> 00:14:20.489 At least, it seems like magic to those of

NOTE Confidence: 0.89388961

 $00:14:20.489 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.930$ us who send them biopsies and magically

NOTE Confidence: 0.89388961

 $00:14:22.930 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.322$ get back a diagnosis that we then

NOTE Confidence: 0.89388961

 $00{:}14{:}25.322 \rightarrow 00{:}14{:}27.478$ use to treat our patients and Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.89388961

00:14:27.480 --> 00:14:29.419 Humphrey was telling us that this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.89388961

00:14:29.420 --> 00:14:30.383 in part art,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89388961

 $00:14:30.383 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.043$ but it is in part science and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89388961

 $00:14:33.043 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.521$ that you're able to use antibodies

NOTE Confidence: 0.89388961

 $00{:}14{:}35.521 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}39.268$ and so on to help you in making

NOTE Confidence: 0.89388961

 $00:14:39.268 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.865$ that diagnosis and right

NOTE Confidence: 0.89388961

00:14:41.865 --> 00:14:44.987 before the break you started to talk

 $00:14:44.987 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.210$ Doctor Humphrey about artificial

NOTE Confidence: 0.89388961

 $00:14:47.210 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.750$ intelligence and how this might actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.89388961

 $00:14:50.750 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.350$ help us in making a diagnosis now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89388961

 $00:14:55.350 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.985$ so that the computers might

NOTE Confidence: 0.89388961

 $00:14:56.985 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.430$ be able to make a diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.89388961

 $00:14:59.430 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.565$ almost as well as an experienced pathologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89388961

 $00:15:01.565 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.067$ Tell us a little bit more about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:15:04.710 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.047$ So we are in the very early pilot stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

00:15:09.047 --> 00:15:11.616 I would say as far as

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

00:15:11.616 --> 00:15:13.629 development of this tool,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:15:13.630 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.846$ but I think it will be an important

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:15:15.846 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.066$ tool that can assist the pathologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:15:18.066 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.634$ and actually artificial intelligence

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00{:}15{:}19.634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}21.544$ is being developed in many

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:15:21.544 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.500$ branches of medicine and

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:15:23.500 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.866$ radiology too, so it turns out

 $00:15:25.866 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.320$ that those parts of medicine that

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00{:}15{:}28.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}30.430$ deal with diagnostic images like

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:15:30.500 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.690$ radiology and pathology are areas

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:15:32.690 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.340$ where there could be great benefit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

00:15:35.340 --> 00:15:39.138 From more standardization, I would say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:15:39.140 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.844$ and perhaps even quantitation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

00:15:41.844 --> 00:15:44.548 using computer assisted methods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:15:44.550 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.440$ so that's already happening and

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:15:46.440 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.952$ actually happening very quickly

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00{:}15{:}47.952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}50.106$ as far as the research into this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:15:50.110 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.410$ And the use of computers and

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

00:15:53.410 --> 00:15:54.510 artificial intelligence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00{:}15{:}54.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}58.722$ To develop algorithms, ways in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:15:58.722 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.189$ the computer can diagnose and

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

00:16:02.189 --> 00:16:04.907 even grade prostate cancer.

 $00:16:04.910 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.031$ So I've been fortunate enough to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:16:07.031 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.118$ been involved in a couple of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:16:09.118 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.134$ research studies and a number of us

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:16:11.134 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.772$ from around the world who

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:16:12.772 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.738$ are interested in prostate cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

00:16:14.738 --> 00:16:16.848 and are genitourinary pathologists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:16:16.850 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.509$ and we've looked at hundreds of slides,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:16:18.510 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.016$ all online,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

00:16:20.016 --> 00:16:23.028 so these are all images diagnosable

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:16:23.028 \longrightarrow 00:16:24.354$ on our computer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00{:}16{:}24.354 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}27.462$ And then we tested the algorithm and then

 $00{:}16{:}30.275 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}33.035$ the algorithm was tested against our

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:16:33.113 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.476$ diagnosis in grade versus collections

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00{:}16{:}35.476 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}16{:}38.598$ of pathologists who were

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:16:38.600 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.865$ not sub specialized in

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:16:40.865 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.173$ prostate cancer diagnosis and the

00:16:43.173 --> 00:16:46.131 computer was actually just as good

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

00:16:46.131 --> 00:16:49.138 as our diagnosis and grading.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:16:49.140 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.820$ So what does this mean for the future?

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:16:51.820 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.940$ Well, there are actually a lot of challenges.

00:16:55.148 --> 00:16:56.658 There are several algorithms that

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

00:16:56.658 --> 00:16:58.240 have already been published.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:16:58.240 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.850$ Methods that the computer uses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:17:00.850 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.622$ and there's a lot of standardization

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:17:03.622 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.234$ and validation that needs to occur

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:17:06.234 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.939$ so that a computer can use

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:17:07.940 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.898$ images from a particular laboratory

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00{:}17{:}10.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}13.613$ and one particular hospital as far

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:17:13.613 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.930$ as the scanners they use to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00{:}17{:}15.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}18.591$ those images and the way the slides

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

00:17:18.591 --> 00:17:20.756 are prepared that all of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:17:20.756 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.478$ factors can have a huge impact on the

 $00:17:23.478 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.986$ success or failure of the algorithms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00{:}17{:}25.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}28.694$ My hope is that as far as standardization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:17:28.700 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.472$ it can be used as a tool to help

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00{:}17{:}31.472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}33.931$ hospitals where there may not be ready

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:17:33.931 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.860$ access to a genitourinary pathologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:17:36.860 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.253$ And also I think for those of

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:17:38.253 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.539$ us who have high volumes

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:17:39.540 \longrightarrow 00:17:42.204$ and have a special sub specialized group

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00{:}17{:}42.204 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}45.157$ of Geo pathologist as we do here at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

00:17:45.160 --> 00:17:46.880 I think it might actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:17:46.880 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.256$ help us screen cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:17:48.260 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.801$ So that the computer could actually help

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:17:50.801 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.549$ us identify through all these slides,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00{:}17{:}53.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}56.916$ identify the ones that need particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:17:56.916 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.731$ attention or standardized grading.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:17:58.731 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.399$ So there may be,

 $00:18:00.400 \longrightarrow 00:18:01.128$ for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:18:01.128 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.312$ a difference of opinion about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:18:03.312 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.502$ grade of a specific cancer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

00:18:05.502 --> 00:18:07.074 the way we currently address this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:18:07.080 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.610$ and this is very important actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:18:08.610 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.400$ when there's a difficult case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

00:18:10.400 --> 00:18:12.880 we'll have a consensus conference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:18:12.880 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.344$ meaning that up to seven of us

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:18:15.344 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.938$ who are sub specialized in

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00:18:17.940 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.300$ genitourinary pathology at Yale will meet

NOTE Confidence: 0.984233065

 $00{:}18{:}19.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}21.638$ around the microscope or in this area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.982386003571429

 $00{:}18{:}21.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}23.695$ from our computers and look

NOTE Confidence: 0.982386003571429

 $00{:}18{:}23.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}26.122$ at the images together to try

NOTE Confidence: 0.982386003571429

 $00{:}18{:}26.122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}27.835$ to agree on a particular grade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.982386003571429

00:18:27.835 --> 00:18:30.239 In a difficult case or where it's a

 $00:18:30.239 \longrightarrow 00:18:32.315$ borderline case between grades for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.982386003571429

 $00:18:32.320 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.410$ So maybe the computer could also

NOTE Confidence: 0.982386003571429

 $00:18:35.410 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.309$ provide help in standardizing those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.982386003571429

 $00:18:38.310 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.315$ Those sorts of assessments when

NOTE Confidence: 0.982386003571429

 $00:18:40.315 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.710$ it's a difficult or borderline case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.979769693333333

00:18:43.440 --> 00:18:46.832 so it sounds like this is really exciting

NOTE Confidence: 0.979769693333333

 $00:18:46.832 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.619$ technology that might be able to provide

NOTE Confidence: 0.979769693333333

 $00:18:49.620 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.407$ a second opinion. But for right now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.979769693333333

00:18:53.410 --> 00:18:56.570 if you're a patient and you might not

NOTE Confidence: 0.979769693333333

00:18:56.570 --> 00:19:00.397 be at or near a large academic center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.979769693333333

 $00:19:00.400 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.968$ and you get a prostate biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.979769693333333

 $00:19:02.970 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.434$ for example, how important is it for

NOTE Confidence: 0.979769693333333

 $00:19:05.434 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.928$ you to get a second opinion on that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9797696933333333

00:19:08.928 --> 00:19:11.288 biopsy from another human pathologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.979769693333333

00:19:11.290 --> 00:19:13.336 if a computer isn't readily available?

NOTE Confidence: 0.986269001666667

00:19:14.030 --> 00:19:16.970 That's a really critical question,

 $00:19:16.970 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.220$ and I think it's important to

NOTE Confidence: 0.986269001666667

00:19:19.220 --> 00:19:21.986 know in discussions with your

NOTE Confidence: 0.986269001666667

00:19:21.986 --> 00:19:24.506 physician whether a genitourinary pathologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.986269001666667

 $00:19:24.506 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.272$ has reviewed the slides and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.986269001666667

 $00:19:27.272 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.468$ true that around the country there

NOTE Confidence: 0.986269001666667

00:19:29.468 --> 00:19:32.149 are just varying degrees of practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.986269001666667

00:19:32.149 --> 00:19:34.494 and varying volumes of practice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986269001666667

 $00:19:34.500 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.558$ and so at a smaller hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986269001666667

00:19:36.560 --> 00:19:39.080 maybe only a few prostate biopsies might

NOTE Confidence: 0.986269001666667

 $00:19:39.080 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.816$ be seen over a long period of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986269001666667

 $00{:}19{:}41.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}44.865$ and particularly in those cases where the

NOTE Confidence: 0.986269001666667

 $00:19:44.865 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.355$ pathologists may not feel as comfortable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986269001666667

 $00{:}19{:}47.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}50.312$ or the treating physician may not

NOTE Confidence: 0.986269001666667

 $00:19:50.312 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.770$ feel as as comfortable, it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.986269001666667

 $00{:}19{:}53.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}55.534$ I think a useful step to seek

 $00:19:55.534 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.250$ a second opinion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986269001666667

 $00{:}19{:}57.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}00.344$ and we see slides for second opinions

NOTE Confidence: 0.986269001666667

 $00:20:00.350 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.247$ all the time here from everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986269001666667

00:20:02.250 --> 00:20:05.004 Actually from patients from treating

NOTE Confidence: 0.986269001666667

00:20:05.004 --> 00:20:07.590 physicians and from pathologists themselves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986269001666667

 $00:20:07.590 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.170$ and this is an important quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.986269001666667

 $00:20:10.170 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.250$ to all these second opinions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986269001666667

00:20:12.250 --> 00:20:14.938 And again we very commonly almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.986269001666667

 $00{:}20{:}14.938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}18.330$ on a daily basis share cases here at

NOTE Confidence: 0.986269001666667

00:20:18.330 --> 00:20:20.990 Yale amongst our group of seven genitourinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.986269001666667 00:20:20.990 --> 00:20:22.280 pathologists NOTE Confidence: 0.983176275714286

 $00:20:22.930 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.807$ And so are these second opinions when

NOTE Confidence: 0.983176275714286

00:20:25.810 --> 00:20:28.150 you go and you have your

NOTE Confidence: 0.983176275714286

00:20:28.150 --> 00:20:30.008 slides reviewed by somebody else?

NOTE Confidence: 0.983176275714286

 $00:20:30.010 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.112$ Or maybe the pathologists themselves sends

NOTE Confidence: 0.983176275714286

 $00:20:33.112 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.786$ it to another center to get reviewed

 $00:20:35.790 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.640$ if they're not quite sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.983176275714286

 $00:20:37.640 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.750$ about the diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.983176275714286

 $00:20:38.750 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.598$ is that covered by your insurance?

NOTE Confidence: 0.986612545294117 00:20:41.980 --> 00:20:43.532 Usually it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986612545294117

 $00{:}20{:}43.532 --> 00{:}20{:}46.388$ So at least the cases that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.986612545294117

 $00:20:46.388 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.040$ receive here for second opinions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.972344943333333

 $00:20:49.950 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.296$ That's good to know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.972344943333333

 $00{:}20{:}52.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}55.396$ Is it ever the case where even

NOTE Confidence: 0.972344943333333

 $00{:}20{:}55.396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}57.538$ if you go to a large academic

NOTE Confidence: 0.972344943333333

 $00{:}20{:}57.538 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}59.150$ center that it's worthwhile

NOTE Confidence: 0.972344943333333

00:20:59.150 --> 00:21:01.480 getting your slides reviewed by

NOTE Confidence: 0.972344943333333

 $00:21:01.480 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.410$ another large academic center?

NOTE Confidence: 0.972344943333333

 $00{:}21{:}03.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}05.735$ I mean, how much heterogeneity

NOTE Confidence: 0.972344943333333

 $00:21:05.735 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.595$ is there between experienced

NOTE Confidence: 0.972344943333333

 $00:21:07.595 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.990$ genitourinary pathologists for example?

 $00:21:10.580 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.810$ So since diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.901181860909091

 $00:21:12.810 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.460$ and grading are still art,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901181860909091

 $00:21:15.460 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.638$ there can be differences of opinion amongst

NOTE Confidence: 0.901181860909091

00:21:18.638 --> 00:21:22.080 even expert and experienced genitourinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.901181860909091

 $00:21:22.080 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.530$ pathologists and these tend to be the

NOTE Confidence: 0.901181860909091

 $00:21:24.530 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.229$ rarer or more borderline cases.

 $00:21:28.080 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.294$ There's been a lot of research looking at

 $00:21:31.770 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.665$ variations or differences of opinion

NOTE Confidence: 0.901181860909091

00:21:34.665 --> 00:21:38.190 between pathologists and even between genitouri-

nary

NOTE Confidence: 0.901181860909091

 $00:21:38.190 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.020$ pathologists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901181860909091

 $00{:}21{:}39.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}42.340$ and even did a study where I looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.901181860909091

 $00:21:42.421 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.043$ at agreement with myself so I

NOTE Confidence: 0.901181860909091

 $00:21:45.043 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.614$ diagnosed and graded some slides and

NOTE Confidence: 0.901181860909091

00:21:47.614 --> 00:21:49.846 then came back sometime later

NOTE Confidence: 0.901181860909091

 $00:21:49.846 \longrightarrow 00:21:52.055$ to see if the diagnosis and grading

NOTE Confidence: 0.901181860909091

 $00:21:52.055 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.439$ were the same so the agreement is

00:21:54.439 --> 00:21:56.574 pretty good amongst genitourinary pathologists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901181860909091

 $00:21:56.580 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.272$ but one should not he sitate in

NOTE Confidence: 0.901181860909091

 $00:21:59.272 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.924$ seeking a second opinion at another

NOTE Confidence: 0.901181860909091

 $00:22:01.930 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.835$ center with an established

NOTE Confidence: 0.901181860909091

00:22:03.835 --> 00:22:05.359 group of pathologists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98246501454545500:22:05.850 --> 00:22:07.719 but as we NOTE Confidence: 0.9824650145454555

00:22:07.719 --> 00:22:09.400 talk about that variability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.982465014545455

 $00:22:09.400 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.758$ all of these pathologists are looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.982465014545455

 $00{:}22{:}11.758 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}14.870$ at the same slides and I know that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.982465014545455

 $00:22:14.870 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.340$ other cancers we've talked on this show

NOTE Confidence: 0.982465014545455

 $00:22:17.340 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.490$ about this concept of

NOTE Confidence: 0.982465014545455

 $00:22:19.490 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.832$ heterogeneity that you might have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.982465014545455

 $00{:}22{:}21.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}24.121$ cancer that looks kind of different in

NOTE Confidence: 0.982465014545455

00:22:24.130 --> 00:22:28.144 one part than another and so I wonder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.982465014545455

00:22:28.150 --> 00:22:30.264 when you get these biopsies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.982465014545455 00:22:30.270 --> 00:22:32.797 we often

 $00:22:32.797 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.859$ send a core biopsy so

NOTE Confidence: 0.982465014545455

 $00:22:34.860 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.150$ a sampling of this tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.982465014545455

 $00:22:37.150 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.410$ how representative is that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.982465014545455

 $00:22:38.410 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.639$ and is it ever the case where

NOTE Confidence: 0.982465014545455

00:22:40.639 --> 00:22:42.815 you look at this and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.982465014545455 $00:22:42.815 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.726$ kind of say

NOTE Confidence: 0.982465014545455

00:22:44.726 --> 00:22:46.777 I don't know that this is representative?

NOTE Confidence: 0.982465014545455

 $00:22:46.780 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.775$ We need to get more tissue or

NOTE Confidence: 0.982465014545455

00:22:48.775 --> 00:22:50.892 are you usually pretty happy

NOTE Confidence: 0.982465014545455

 $00:22:50.892 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.268$ with the sample that you get?

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

00:22:53.900 --> 00:22:57.012 So that's such a key question and

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

00:22:57.012 --> 00:23:00.036 really the practice of the biopsy

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

 $00{:}23{:}00.036 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}03.627$ as far as the prostate has changed so

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

 $00{:}23{:}03.627 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}06.260$ remarkably since when I was a resident.

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

 $00:23:06.260 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.880$ So back in the olden days,

00:23:07.880 --> 00:23:10.659 it was usually just one needle biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

 $00:23:10.660 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.730$ digitally directed towards a palpable

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

 $00:23:12.730 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.693$ mass in the prostate by the examining

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

 $00:23:15.693 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.549$ physician and one single core was taken.

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

 $00:23:18.550 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.320$ So prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

00:23:20.320 --> 00:23:22.680 heterogeneous concept of heterogeneity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

 $00:23:22.680 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.906$ and different areas of the prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

00:23:24.910 --> 00:23:27.115 being actually of different grades

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

 $00{:}23{:}27.115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}28.879$ and different aggressiveness

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

00:23:28.879 --> 00:23:30.921 is actually characteristic of

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

 $00{:}23{:}30.921 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}32.965$ prostate cancer and prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

 $00:23:32.970 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.220$ cancer also tends to have multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

 $00:23:35.220 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.180$ nodules within the same gland,

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

 $00:23:37.180 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.770$ so what's been a real advantage

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

00:23:38.770 --> 00:23:41.146 is medical advances in radiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

 $00{:}23{:}41.146 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}44.562$ and there are expert radiologists here who have

00:23:44.562 --> 00:23:46.602 actually helped develop this technique,

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

 $00:23:46.610 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.040$ and that's a special type of MRI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

 $00:23:50.040 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.095$ Magnetic resonance imaging that's used

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

 $00:23:53.095 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.519$ with ultrasound to guide the

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

 $00:23:57.520 \longrightarrow 00:23:59.980$ needle placement within the prostate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

 $00:23:59.980 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.976$ So now rather than one needle core,

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

 $00:24:02.980 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.644$ we often receive anywhere from 20 to even

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

 $00{:}24{:}07.644 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}11.567$ 30 individual needle cores per patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

00:24:11.570 --> 00:24:14.356 And the reason is that the radiologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

 $00:24:14.356 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.034$ now can identify areas where they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

00:24:17.034 --> 00:24:19.854 suspicious of cancer and can specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

00:24:19.854 --> 00:24:22.396 say based on their grading scheme,

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

 $00:24:22.396 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.228$ whether they think it's a lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

 $00:24:25.228 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.070$ risk or a higher risk case so

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

 $00:24:28.070 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.120$ I do feel good about the

 $00:24:32.120 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.805$ representation for most patients and when

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

 $00{:}24{:}34.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}38.227$ the patients have undergone this

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

00:24:38.227 --> 00:24:41.515 type of imaging by the radiologists. 00:24:42.501 --> 00:24:44.136 Even though multiple needle cores

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

00:24:44.136 --> 00:24:45.949 are placed in a single nodule,

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

 $00:24:45.950 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.136$ it's still possible that maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

00:24:49.136 --> 00:24:52.849 a smaller high grade area was missed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

 $00:24:52.850 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.368$ Warning signs would be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

 $00:24:54.370 \longrightarrow 00:24:57.146$ what if the patient has a really high

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

 $00{:}24{:}57.146 \to 00{:}25{:}00.280$ serum PSA prostate specific antigen level?

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

 $00{:}25{:}00.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}02.452$ Or what if this is radiologically

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

 $00{:}25{:}02.452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}04.400$ a very aggressive looking lesion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

 $00:25:04.400 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.848$ but we don't see that under the microscope?

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

 $00{:}25{:}06.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}10.210$ Then I would worry about

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

00:25:10.210 --> 00:25:12.100 the needle maybe not sampling

NOTE Confidence: 0.982267694285714

 $00:25:12.100 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.510$ the worst of the cancer.

 $00:25:13.540 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.256$ Yeah, it goes back to that

NOTE Confidence: 0.981135883333333

 $00{:}25{:}15.260 \to 00{:}25{:}17.676$ concept of being a bit of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.981135883333333

 $00:25:17.676 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.614$ detective that we talked about before

NOTE Confidence: 0.981135883333333

 $00:25:19.614 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.348$ the break and the fact that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.981135883333333

00:25:22.348 --> 00:25:24.572 pathologist is really a key part

NOTE Confidence: 0.981135883333333

 $00{:}25{:}24.572 \longrightarrow 00{:}25{:}26.342$ of this multidisciplinary team that

NOTE Confidence: 0.981135883333333

 $00:25:26.342 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.659$ you need to get information from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.981135883333333

 $00:25:28.660 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.280$ From the radiologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.981135883333333

 $00:25:30.280 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.495$ from the surgeon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.981135883333333

 $00:25:31.500 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.556$ from the other physicians.

NOTE Confidence: 0.981135883333333

 $00{:}25{:}32.556 \to 00{:}25{:}34.316$ who are involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.981135883333333

 $00:25:34.316 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.670$ in the case to kind of put all

NOTE Confidence: 0.981135883333333

00:25:36.670 --> 00:25:38.328 of the pieces together to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.981135883333333

 $00:25:38.328 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.930$ sure that it all makes sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988567085714286

00:25:40.170 --> 00:25:42.592 That's what I love about

NOTE Confidence: 0.988567085714286

 $00:25:42.592 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.959$ working here is working with so many

 $00:25:44.960 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.240$ bright and experienced physicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.988567085714286

 $00:25:47.240 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.970$ who are passionate about providing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.988567085714286

 $00:25:49.970 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.120$ highest level care and talking with

NOTE Confidence: 0.988567085714286

 $00:25:53.120 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.622$ them about what their perspective

NOTE Confidence: 0.988567085714286

 $00:25:55.622 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.999$ and view is on a specific patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988567085714286

 $00:25:59.000 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.424$ For example, if there

NOTE Confidence: 0.988567085714286

00:26:02.430 --> 00:26:06.084 is not a link made between pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.988567085714286

 $00{:}26{:}06.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}08.659$ and what we see in the clinical setting

NOTE Confidence: 0.988567085714286

 $00:26:08.659 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.000$ that sort of correlation is

NOTE Confidence: 0.988567085714286

 $00:26:12.000 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.440$ clinicopathologic correlation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.988567085714286 00:26:13.440 --> 00:26:15.996 is so vital. NOTE Confidence: 0.988567085714286

 $00:26:15.996 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.688$ Going back to that patient with pain in the rib.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988567085714286

 $00{:}26{:}18.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}21.007$ It was absolutely essential to know that

NOTE Confidence: 0.988567085714286

 $00{:}26{:}21.007 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}23.297$ the patient had a history of cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.988567085714286

 $00:26:23.297 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.500$ 10 years ago to establish firmly that

 $00:26:25.500 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.738$ cancer scene and what we would

NOTE Confidence: 0.988567085714286

00:26:27.738 --> 00:26:29.230 do is compare slides.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988567085714286

 $00:26:29.230 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.757$ That cancer in the rib biopsy

NOTE Confidence: 0.988567085714286

 $00:26:31.757 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.527$ was the same as the cancer in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.988567085714286

 $00:26:34.530 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.730$ salivary gland,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988567085714286

 $00:26:35.730 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.127$ so we do that commonly to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.988567085714286

 $00:26:38.127 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.231$ back at old slides to see if

NOTE Confidence: 0.988567085714286

 $00:26:40.295 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.178$ if cancer has come back and

NOTE Confidence: 0.988567085714286

00:26:42.178 --> 00:26:44.518 we think a cancer might

NOTE Confidence: 0.988567085714286

 $00:26:44.518 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.450$ have come back or spread so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.988567085714286

 $00{:}26{:}47.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}49.370$ comparison is a really important part

NOTE Confidence: 0.988567085714286

 $00:26:49.370 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.696$ of the detective work we do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987179823333333

 $00:26:51.710 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.475$ And I think the other piece

NOTE Confidence: 0.987179823333333

 $00:26:54.475 \longrightarrow 00:26:57.110$ that's so important is that it's so

NOTE Confidence: 0.987179823333333

 $00:26:57.110 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.470$ critical in terms of what you do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987179823333333

 $00{:}26{:}59.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}01.158$ especially in prostate cancer,

 $00:27:01.158 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.268$ to really nail down how

NOTE Confidence: 0.987179823333333

 $00:27:03.268 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.190$ aggressive this is because it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.987179823333333

 $00:27:05.190 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.174$ the difference these days between having

NOTE Confidence: 0.987179823333333

 $00:27:08.174 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.258$ more aggressive surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.987179823333333

 $00:27:11.258 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.299$ or radiation versus watchful waiting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987179823333333

 $00:27:14.300 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.567$ Tell us a little bit more about how your

NOTE Confidence: 0.987179823333333

00:27:17.567 --> 00:27:20.090 decisions impact treatment and prognosis?

NOTE Confidence: 0.989357395

 $00:27:20.870 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.742$ After establishing a

NOTE Confidence: 0.989357395

00:27:22.742 --> 00:27:24.614 diagnosis of prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.989357395

 $00:27:24.620 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.134$ we assign the Gleason grade or score

NOTE Confidence: 0.989357395

 $00:27:28.140 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.204$ and that is a grade number we give

NOTE Confidence: 0.989357395

 $00:27:31.204 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.598$ for every single prostate cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.989357395

 $00{:}27{:}33.598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}37.795$ needle core in every case and a great

NOTE Confidence: 0.989357395

 $00{:}27{:}37.795 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}40.520$ group for that particular biopsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.989357395

 $00:27:40.520 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.970$ So if a patient had 10 positive

 $00:27:42.970 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.959$ cores with cancer in each one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.989357395

 $00{:}27{:}44.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}47.402$ we would assign an individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.989357395

 $00:27:47.402 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.030$ grade to each one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.989357395

 $00:27:49.030 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.249$ and actually I just gave a

NOTE Confidence: 0.989357395

00:27:51.249 --> 00:27:53.346 lecture this morning to the pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.989357395

 $00{:}27{:}53.346 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}55.399$ residents on grading and staging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.989357395

 $00:27:55.399 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.160$ So it is one of the most critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.989357395

00:27:59.160 --> 00:28:02.376 things we do because grade is such

NOTE Confidence: 0.989357395

 $00{:}28{:}02.376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}05.872$ a dominant prognostic indicator for us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.989357395

 $00:28:05.872 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.736$ For the patients physician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.989357395

 $00:28:07.740 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.634$ for everyone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.989357395

 $00:28:08.634 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.316$ and the patient themselves.

 $00:28:12.500 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.044$ For example, a grade Group One in a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.989357395

 $00{:}28{:}15.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}18.284$ with a lower PSA might consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.989357395

00:28:18.284 --> 00:28:20.440 along with their physician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.989357395

 $00:28:20.440 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.660$ the physician might consider active

 $00:28:22.660 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.880$ surveillance or careful monitoring of

NOTE Confidence: 0.989357395

 $00:28:24.941 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.335$ that cancer compared to a grade Group

NOTE Confidence: 0.989357395

 $00:28:27.335 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.604$ 5 where everyone would agree this

NOTE Confidence: 0.989357395

00:28:29.604 --> 00:28:31.999 patient definitely needs active therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.974879952142857

 $00:28:32.810 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.127$ Doctor Peter Humphrey is a professor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.974879952142857

00:28:35.127 --> 00:28:37.608 pathology at the Yale School of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.974879952142857

 $00:28:37.610 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.102$ If you have questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.974879952142857

 $00{:}28{:}39.102 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}41.340$ the address is cancer answers at

NOTE Confidence: 0.974879952142857

 $00:28:41.340 \longrightarrow 00:28:43.602$ yale.edu and past editions of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.974879952142857

00:28:43.602 --> 00:28:45.888 program are available in audio and

NOTE Confidence: 0.974879952142857

00:28:45.888 --> 00:28:48.366 written form at Yale Cancer Center Org.

NOTE Confidence: 0.974879952142857

 $00:28:48.370 \longrightarrow 00:28:50.338$ We hope you'll join us next week to

NOTE Confidence: 0.974879952142857

 $00{:}28{:}50.338 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}52.236$ learn more about the fight against

NOTE Confidence: 0.974879952142857

 $00:28:52.236 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.921$ cancer here on Connecticut Public

NOTE Confidence: 0.974879952142857

00:28:53.921 --> 00:28:55.600 radio funding for Yale Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.974879952142857

00:28:55.600 --> 00:28:57.205 Answers is provided by Smilow

 $00{:}28{:}57.205 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}59.998$ Cancer Hospital and Astra Zeneca.