WEBVTT 00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:02.605 Funding for Yale Cancer Answers NOTE Confidence: 0.9574489 00:00:02.605 --> 00:00:05.210 is provided by Smilow Cancer NOTE Confidence: 0.9574489 00:00:05.301 - 00:00:07.557 Hospital and AstraZeneca. NOTE Confidence: 0.9574489 00:00:07.560 --> 00:00:08.968 Welcome to Yale Cancer Answers, NOTE Confidence: 0.9574489 00:00:08.968 --> 00:00:10.376 with your host, NOTE Confidence: 0.9574489 00:00:10.380 --> 00:00:12.432 Doctor Anees Chagpar. NOTE Confidence: 0.9574489  $00:00:12.432 \rightarrow 00:00:13.800$  Yale Cancer Answers features the latest NOTE Confidence: 0.9574489  $00:00:13.861 \rightarrow 00:00:15.921$  information on cancer care by NOTE Confidence: 0.9574489  $00:00:15.921 \rightarrow 00:00:17.569$  welcoming oncologists and specialists NOTE Confidence: 0.9574489 00:00:17.569 - 00:00:19.779 who are on the forefront of the NOTE Confidence: 0.9574489 00:00:19.779 --> 00:00:21.658 battle to fight cancer. This week, NOTE Confidence: 0.9574489 00:00:21.658 --> 00:00:23.453 it's a conversation about radiotherapy NOTE Confidence: 0.9574489  $00:00:23.453 \rightarrow 00:00:25.857$  for lung cancer with Doctor Henry Park. NOTE Confidence: 0.9574489  $00{:}00{:}25.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}28.002$  Dr. Park is an assistant professor NOTE Confidence: 0.9574489  $00:00:28.002 \rightarrow 00:00:29.430$  of the rapeutic radiology at NOTE Confidence: 0.9574489

00:00:29.497 --> 00:00:31.137 the Yale School of Medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9574489

 $00:00:31.140 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.252$  where Doctor Chagpar is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9574489

00:00:33.252 --> 00:00:34.660 professor of surgical oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9464433

00:00:36.100 -> 00:00:38.308 Henry, maybe we can start off by

NOTE Confidence: 0.9464433

 $00{:}00{:}38{.}308 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}40{.}332$  you telling us a little bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9464433

 $00{:}00{:}40{.}332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}42{.}260$  about yourself and what you do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9464433

00:00:42.260 --> 00:00:44.770 I'd be happy to, so I grew up in Jersey

NOTE Confidence: 0.9464433

 $00:00:44.837 \rightarrow 00:00:47.205$  and first came to Yale as a college

NOTE Confidence: 0.9464433

 $00{:}00{:}47.205 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}49.539$  student about 18 years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9464433

 $00:00:49.540 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.692$  I stayed at Yale for medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.9464433

 $00{:}00{:}51.692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}54.019$  school and moved to Boston for two years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9464433

 $00{:}00{:}54.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}55.686$  And then I focused on public

NOTE Confidence: 0.9464433

 $00{:}00{:}55{.}686 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}57{.}418$  health and I received an MPH

NOTE Confidence: 0.9464433

 $00{:}00{:}57{.}418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}59{.}338$  in public health at Harvard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9464433

 $00{:}00{:}59{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}01{.}090$  I then stayed there for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9464433

 $00:01:01.090 \rightarrow 00:01:02.490$  my medical internship and

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9464433
- $00{:}01{:}02{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}04{.}368$  returned to Yale about eight years ago

 $00:01:05.900 \rightarrow 00:01:07.844$  and after my radiation oncology residency

NOTE Confidence: 0.9464433

 $00:01:07.844 \rightarrow 00:01:10.240$  I joined the faculty about four years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9464433

00:01:10.240 --> 00:01:11.790 So as a radiation oncologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9464433

00:01:11.790 --> 00:01:13.425 I specialize in helping patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9464433

 $00:01:13.425 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.412$  who have a cancer diagnosis to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9464433

 $00:01:15.412 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.882$  figure out if radiation therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9464433

 $00:01:16.882 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.609$  is the right choice for them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9464433

 $00:01:18.610 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.240$  I focus primarily on treating

NOTE Confidence: 0.9464433

 $00:01:20.240 \dashrightarrow 00:01:22.216$  patients with lung cancer as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.9464433

 $00:01:22.216 \rightarrow 00:01:24.183$  as those with head and neck cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9464433

 $00{:}01{:}24.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}26.350$  and I see patients in New Haven and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9464433

 $00{:}01{:}26.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}28.168$  in Waterford and help to manage

NOTE Confidence: 0.9464433

00:01:28.168 --> 00:01:29.688 their care throughout the course

NOTE Confidence: 0.9464433

 $00:01:29.688 \dashrightarrow 00:01:31.424$  of their radiation therapy and

 $00:01:31.424 \rightarrow 00:01:33.482$  really work with the surgeons and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9464433

 $00:01:33.490 \rightarrow 00:01:34.858$  the medical oncologists together as a team

 $00:01:35.890 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.082$  to try to figure out what are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9464433

 $00{:}01{:}38.082 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}39.547$  best recommendations that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9464433

 $00:01:39.547 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.977$  give for each individual patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97078824

00:01:41.910 --> 00:01:44.889 Henry, let's talk a little bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.97078824

 $00:01:44.889 \dashrightarrow 00:01:47.566$  about lung cancer and how it's treated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97078824

00:01:47.570 --> 00:01:50.965 I mean, for many of our listeners

NOTE Confidence: 0.97078824

 $00:01:50.970 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.825$  there may be questions about

NOTE Confidence: 0.97078824

 $00:01:52.825 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.260$  how exactly we decide

NOTE Confidence: 0.97078824

 $00:01:55.260 \longrightarrow 00:01:57.815$  whether a patient should be

NOTE Confidence: 0.97078824

 $00:01:57.815 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.329$  treated with surgery or with chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97078824

 $00:02:00.330 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.809$  or with radio therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97078824

 $00{:}02{:}01{.}809 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}04{.}767$  or with a combination of all

NOTE Confidence: 0.97078824

 $00{:}02{:}04.767 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}07.109$  three or two of the three.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97078824

 $00:02:07.110 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.476$  Tell us more about how those decisions are made.

00:02:10.545 - 00:02:12.320 It's a very complex discussion

- NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001
- $00:02:12.320 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.180$  we have often with the patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98801720000001
- $00:02:14.180 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.660$  as well as each of us from the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98801720000001
- $00:02:16.660 \rightarrow 00:02:18.640$  surgeons and the medical oncologists,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001
- $00:02:18.640 \rightarrow 00:02:20.620$  as well as the radiation oncologists.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001
- $00:02:20.620 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.252$  We meet once a week at a lung
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98801720000001
- $00{:}02{:}23.252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}25.118$  tumor board which is every Monday and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001
- $00:02:25.182 \rightarrow 00:02:27.354$  we get together and discuss any
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98801720000001
- $00:02:27.354 \rightarrow 00:02:29.150$  situations that might be challenging
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001
- $00{:}02{:}29{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}31{.}870$  for us to to decide what the right
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001
- $00:02:31.870 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.210$  combination or treatment is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001
- $00:02:33.210 \rightarrow 00:02:35.793$  The overarching goal though is to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001
- $00{:}02{:}35{.}793 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}37{.}700$  be individualized with with how
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001
- $00:02:37.700 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.515$  we make recommendations and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98801720000001
- $00:02:39.515 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.745$  to make sure that we're meeting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98801720000001
- $00:02:41.745 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.153$  the goals of the patient as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001

 $00:02:44.160 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.024$  So we want to really focus on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001

00:02:47.030 --> 00:02:47.448 Primarily,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001

00:02:47.448 --> 00:02:49.956 radiation is specifically a non

NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001

 $00:02:49.956 \dashrightarrow 00:02:52.197$  invasive and invisible as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001

 $00:02:52.197 \dashrightarrow 00:02:54.039$  very precise way of treating many

NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001

 $00{:}02{:}54{.}039 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}56{.}443$  kinds of cancers and for lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001

 $00:02:56.443 \rightarrow 00:02:58.520$  cancer specifically it is very useful in

NOTE Confidence: 0.98801720000001

 $00:02:58.520 \rightarrow 00:03:00.320$  multiple different kinds of contexts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001

 $00{:}03{:}00{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}02{.}553$  And it really depends a lot on

NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001

00:03:02.553 --> 00:03:04.800 the stage of disease as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001

 $00:03:04.800 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.416$  as the patients status,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001

 $00:03:06.420 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.412$  health status and goals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001

 $00:03:08.412 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.906$  So for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001

 $00:03:09.910 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.020$  for stage one lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001

 $00:03:12.020 \rightarrow 00:03:14.588$  when the disease is very localized,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001

00:03:16.650 - 00:03:19.105 we really often choose between

- NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001
- 00:03:19.105 --> 00:03:21.560 surgery and radiation therapy for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98801720000001
- $00{:}03{:}21{.}644 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}23{.}898$  a patient to try to figure out
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001
- $00:03:23.898 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.330$  how best to cure their cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001
- $00:03:26.330 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.150$  So we've found overtime that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001
- $00{:}03{:}28{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}29{.}970$  this technique called SBRT or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001
- $00:03:30.035 \rightarrow 00:03:31.867$  stereotactic body radiation therapy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001
- $00{:}03{:}31{.}867 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}34{.}157$  serves as an excellent alternative
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001
- 00:03:34.157 --> 00:03:36.438 to surgery in certain patients.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001
- $00{:}03{:}36{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}40{.}553$  We use a high dose of radiation per session.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98801720000001
- $00:03:40.560 \rightarrow 00:03:42.762$  Over just three to five treatments
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001
- $00:03:42.762 \rightarrow 00:03:45.138$  using this very precise technique
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001
- $00{:}03{:}45{.}138 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}47{.}658$  in order to target these small
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001
- $00{:}03{:}47.658 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}50.109$  localized lung tumors and then to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001
- $00{:}03{:}50{.}109 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}52{.}034$  try to eliminate them completely.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001
- $00:03:52.040 \rightarrow 00:03:54.416$  But for more locally advanced disease,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001

 $00{:}03{:}54{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}56{.}996$  we had to make the decision about

NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001

 $00:03:56.996 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.707$  whether or not we need to use

NOTE Confidence: 0.98801720000001

 $00:04:00.707 \rightarrow 00:04:02.740$  chemotherapy with radiation together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98801720000001

 $00:04:02.740 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.421$  Often we will need lower doses of

NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001

 $00{:}04{:}05{.}421 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}08{.}416$  radiation each day and spread out over

NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001

 $00:04:08.416 \rightarrow 00:04:10.626$  multiple weeks of daily treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001

 $00:04:10.630 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.558$  in order to to be able to treat

NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001

00:04:13.558 --> 00:04:15.250 larger volumes of disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001

 $00:04:15.250 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.790$  especially when the disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001

 $00:04:16.790 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.100$  has spread to the lymph nodes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001

 $00:04:19.100 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.795$  we still do this with

NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001

 $00{:}04{:}21.795 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}22.950$  a curative intent most of the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001

 $00{:}04{:}24.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}26.200$  but sometimes we decide that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001

 $00:04:26.264 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.072$  some situations where

NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001

00:04:28.072 -> 00:04:29.880 chemotherapy first is helpful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001

 $00:04:29.880 \rightarrow 00:04:31.035$  followed by surgery.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001
- 00:04:31.035 --> 00:04:33.730 Other times we do chemo-radiation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98801720000001
- $00{:}04{:}33.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}35.270$  meaning chemotherapy and radiation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001
- $00:04:35.270 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.040$  therapy together, and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001
- $00:04:36.040 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.810$  other times,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001
- $00:04:36.810 \rightarrow 00:04:39.120$  chemo and radiation followed by surgery,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98801720000001
- $00:04:39.120 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.868$  so there really are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001
- $00:04:40.868 \rightarrow 00:04:42.616$  multiple options that depending
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001
- $00:04:42.616 \rightarrow 00:04:45.190$  on exactly where the tumor is and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001
- $00{:}04{:}45{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}47{.}583$  how it's spread and what we think
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98801720000001
- $00:04:47.583 \rightarrow 00:04:49.659$  each patient can tolerate in terms
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001
- $00:04:49.659 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.736$  of the treatment,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001
- $00{:}04{:}50.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}53.368$  we often have to make
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001
- $00{:}04{:}53.368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}54.877$  those recommendations and discuss
- NOTE Confidence: 0.988017200000001
- $00{:}04{:}54{.}877 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}56{.}269$  those options with each patient.
- $00:04:58.766 \rightarrow 00:05:01.436$  Getting back to where you started with early
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98013157
- $00:05:01.436 \rightarrow 00:05:03.736$  stage lung cancer, stage one,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.98013157
- $00:05:03.740 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.666$  when you said well,

 $00:05:06.670 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.028$  we need to make the decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.98013157

00:05:09.028 --> 00:05:11.280 about using SBRT versus surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98013157

 $00{:}05{:}11.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}14.150$  it sounds to me

NOTE Confidence: 0.98013157

 $00{:}05{:}14.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}16.726$  like many patients may opt for

NOTE Confidence: 0.98013157

 $00:05:16.726 \rightarrow 00:05:19.240$  SBRT if these two are equivalent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98013157

 $00:05:19.240 \rightarrow 00:05:21.330$  Here you have radiation therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.98013157

 $00:05:21.330 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.430$  which is non invasive, painless.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98013157

 $00:05:23.430 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.946$  Three to five days I believe

NOTE Confidence: 0.98013157

00:05:25.946 --> 00:05:27.618 you said versus surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98013157

 $00{:}05{:}27.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}29.448$  which is clearly invasive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98013157

 $00{:}05{:}29{.}448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}32{.}190$  Often will result in a hospital

NOTE Confidence: 0.98013157

 $00{:}05{:}32{.}274 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}34{.}009$  stay and so are these

NOTE Confidence: 0.98013157

 $00:05:34.010 \rightarrow 00:05:35.922$  really equivalent or is one superior?

 $00:05:42.120 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.388$  It's hard to say for sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968989

 $00:05:44.390 \rightarrow 00:05:46.358$  We have not yet been successful as a specialty,

 $00:05:48.560 \rightarrow 00:05:50.685$  either surgery or radiation oncology, NOTE Confidence: 0.968989  $00{:}05{:}50{.}685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}52{.}810$  at comparing head-to-head in a NOTE Confidence: 0.968989  $00:05:52.879 \dashrightarrow 00:05:55.009$  randomized trial to be sure of NOTE Confidence: 0.968989  $00{:}05{:}55{.}009 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}56{.}843$  that answer about exactly which NOTE Confidence: 0.968989 00:05:56.843 - 00:05:58.558 patients are best for surgery NOTE Confidence: 0.968989  $00:05:58.558 \rightarrow 00:06:00.596$  and which are best for radiation. NOTE Confidence: 0.968989  $00{:}06{:}00.596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}03.260$  We do know that when you compare them NOTE Confidence: 0.968989  $00:06:03.332 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.720$  in terms of patients who receive NOTE Confidence: 0.968989  $00{:}06{:}05{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}07{.}890$  surgery and receive radiation the rapy, NOTE Confidence: 0.968989  $00{:}06{:}07{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}10{.}296$  that those who have received NOTE Confidence: 0.968989  $00:06:10.296 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.098$  surgery often have NOTE Confidence: 0.968989  $00:06:11.100 \rightarrow 00:06:12.925$  better outcomes than those NOTE Confidence: 0.968989  $00:06:12.925 \rightarrow 00:06:14.385$  who had radiation therapy, NOTE Confidence: 0.968989  $00:06:14.390 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.525$  but we don't know if that's because NOTE Confidence: 0.968989  $00:06:16.525 \rightarrow 00:06:18.622$  of the fact that patients who NOTE Confidence: 0.968989  $00:06:18.622 \rightarrow 00:06:20.472$  get radiation therapy may not

- NOTE Confidence: 0.968989
- 00:06:20.472 --> 00:06:22.778 always be candidates for surgery,

 $00:06:22.780 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.335$  or may not be the best suited

NOTE Confidence: 0.968989

 $00:06:25.335 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.430$  for surgery either.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968989

 $00:06:26.430 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.620$  So that's why we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.968989

 $00:06:28.620 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.175$  know for sure about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968989

 $00{:}06{:}31.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}33.370$  Typically, if patients can get surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.968989

 $00:06:33.370 \rightarrow 00:06:36.290$  and if the surgeons believe that they can

NOTE Confidence: 0.968989

 $00{:}06{:}36{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}38{.}882$  take the tumor out

NOTE Confidence: 0.968989

 $00:06:38.882 \dashrightarrow 00:06:41.110$  without causing too much of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.968989

00:06:41.110 --> 00:06:42.020 functional deficit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.968989

 $00:06:42.020 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.750$  meaning that your lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.968989

 $00{:}06{:}44.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}47.104$  function can handle our surgery and

NOTE Confidence: 0.968989

 $00{:}06{:}47{.}104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}49{.}928$  that you as a patient can handle the

NOTE Confidence: 0.968989

 $00{:}06{:}49{.}928$  -->  $00{:}06{:}52{.}022$  surgery and recover well from it

NOTE Confidence: 0.968989

 $00:06:52.022 \rightarrow 00:06:54.660$  if it is expected that can happen,

 $00:06:54.660 \rightarrow 00:06:57.355$  then our gold standard still is surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968989

 $00{:}06{:}57{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}58{.}908$  At this point, however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.968989

 $00:06:58.908 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.456$  we believe that radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.968989

 $00:07:00.460 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.902$  especially for those who are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.968989

 $00{:}07{:}02{.}902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}04{.}979$  good surgical candidates, meaning

NOTE Confidence: 0.968989

 $00{:}07{:}04.979 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}07{.}217$  that surgery would likely lead to

NOTE Confidence: 0.968989

 $00{:}07{:}07{.}217 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}09{.}607$  a major issue with their quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.968989

00:07:09.607 --> 00:07:11.199 of life going forward

NOTE Confidence: 0.968989

 $00{:}07{:}11.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}13.570$  and that recovery may be too

NOTE Confidence: 0.968989

 $00:07:13.570 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.150$  much for a patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.968989

 $00{:}07{:}15.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}17.130$  then we believe that radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.968989

 $00:07:17.130 \rightarrow 00:07:18.706$  especially this SBRT technique,

NOTE Confidence: 0.968989

 $00:07:18.706 \rightarrow 00:07:20.676$  does achieve very good outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968989

 $00:07:20.680 \rightarrow 00:07:23.074$  We're still working on trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.968989

 $00{:}07{:}23.074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}25.923$  complete a clinical trial to try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.968989

 $00:07:25.923 \rightarrow 00:07:27.883$  compare surgery and radiation therapy

- NOTE Confidence: 0.968989
- $00:07:27.883 \rightarrow 00:07:30.946$  for those who are eligible for either one,

 $00:07:30.950 \rightarrow 00:07:33.558$  but it is has been hard to get enough patients

 $00:07:35.596 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.206$  on this clinical trial to answer

NOTE Confidence: 0.968989

 $00:07:38.206 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.428$  the question fully so far.

 $00:07:40.830 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.890$  The clinical trial is

NOTE Confidence: 0.94310396

 $00:07:42.890 \rightarrow 00:07:44.950$  currently open and enrolling at the VA.

 $00:07:49.380 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.552$  There have been other clinical trials

 $00{:}07{:}54.043 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}56.796$  that did not have enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.9390244

 $00:07:56.796 \rightarrow 00:07:59.340$  patients to answer the question fully,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9390244

 $00:07:59.340 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.476$  but when they combine the results

NOTE Confidence: 0.9390244

00:08:01.476 --> 00:08:03.651 of those studies they found among

NOTE Confidence: 0.9390244

 $00:08:03.651 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.709$  the patients that did

NOTE Confidence: 0.9390244

00:08:05.709 --> 00:08:08.431 receive SBRT who were

NOTE Confidence: 0.9390244

 $00:08:08.431 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.401$  also eligible for surgery otherwise

NOTE Confidence: 0.9390244

00:08:10.410 --> 00:08:12.906 but did receive SBRT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9390244

 $00:08:12.910 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.088$  did have very good outcomes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9390244

 $00:08:15.088 \rightarrow 00:08:18.222$  seemed to be just as good as surgery

 $00:08:18.222 \rightarrow 00:08:20.622$  in those small number of patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9390244

 $00:08:20.630 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.334$  but we don't have enough patients yet on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9390244

 $00{:}08{:}23{.}334 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}26{.}092$  one of these randomized trials to know

NOTE Confidence: 0.9390244

00:08:26.092 --> 00:08:29.388 for sure if radiation is truly

NOTE Confidence: 0.9390244

 $00:08:29.388 \rightarrow 00:08:32.202$  a fully adequate alternative to surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9390244

 $00:08:32.210 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.258$  for those who can get surgery.

00:08:38.390 --> 00:08:41.153 I know on this show I'm often standing on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9834192

 $00:08:41.153 \rightarrow 00:08:44.249$  a soapbox talking about clinical trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9834192

 $00{:}08{:}44.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}46.987$  But if these two modalities truly are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9834192

 $00:08:46.987 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.234$  equivalent, the only way that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9834192

 $00{:}08{:}49{.}234 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}51{.}917$  we're going to in practice offer SBRT to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9834192

 $00:08:51.917 \rightarrow 00:08:55.063$  all patients is if we have the clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.9834192

00:08:55.063 --> 00:08:58.093 trial data that compares head-to-head and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9834192

 $00:08:58.093 \dashrightarrow 00:08:59.894$  demonstrates that they're equivalent.

 $00{:}09{:}02{.}243 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}05{.}444$  And the signal so far is that based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9834192

 $00:09:05.444 \dashrightarrow 00:09:07.579$  combining results of trials that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9834192

00:09:07.579 --> 00:09:10.000 didn't meet their accrual target,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9834192
- $00:09:10.000 \rightarrow 00:09:12.968$  it looks like these two are equivalent.

 $00:09:12.970 \rightarrow 00:09:15.973$  But it would really be a tremendous

NOTE Confidence: 0.9834192

 $00:09:15.973 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.632$  advance to avoid surgery in patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9834192

 $00:09:18.632 \rightarrow 00:09:22.514$  we could offering them SBRT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9834192

 $00{:}09{:}22.514 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}25.293$  Am I correct in assuming that SBRT has fewer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9834192

 $00:09:25.293 \rightarrow 00:09:28.658$  side effects than surgery long term?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9834192

 $00:09:28.660 \dashrightarrow 00:09:31.159$  Are there side effects to the SBRT

NOTE Confidence: 0.9834192

 $00:09:31.159 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.819$  as well that cause patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9834192

 $00:09:33.819 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.334$  difficulties in breathing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9834192

 $00:09:36.340 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.741$  or reduced lung capacity and so on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9834192

00:09:38.741 - > 00:09:41.238 and so forth that they should be

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

 $00:09:41.240 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.940$  concerned about?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

 $00{:}09{:}41{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}44{.}740$  There are in the short term,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

 $00{:}09{:}44.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}46.840$  we believe that SBRT has

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

 $00:09:46.840 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.240$  fewer side effects,

00:09:48.240 --> 00:09:50.473 so often for patients who may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

 $00{:}09{:}50{.}473 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}52{.}507$  older or have more other medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

00:09:52.507 --> 00:09:55.297 issues or who may not be able to NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

 $00:09:55.297 \rightarrow 00:09:57.207$  with stand the recovery very well

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

00:09:57.207 -> 00:09:59.500 from surgery in the short term,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

00:10:02.160 --> 00:10:04.638 we feel confident that SBRT has fewer

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

 $00{:}10{:}04.638 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}06.870$  side effects in that short term.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

 $00:10:06.870 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.580$  However, as time goes by,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

 $00{:}10{:}08{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}10{.}890$  I think the other issue here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

 $00{:}10{:}10{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}13{.}332$  long term follow up and long term

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

 $00{:}10{:}13.332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}15.396$  survival as well as side effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

 $00{:}10{:}15{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}17{.}906$  The side effects do accumulate

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

00:10:17.906 --> 00:10:20.245 overtime and the more we learn about

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

 $00{:}10{:}20{.}245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}22{.}462$  SBRT which has been in wides pread

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

00:10:22.462 --> 00:10:25.621 practice for only about 15 years or so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

 $00:10:25.630 \rightarrow 00:10:28.350$  not as long as surgery has been around,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986
- $00:10:28.350 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.597$  so we don't have as much long
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986
- 00:10:30.597 -> 00:10:32.788 term data as a surgery does.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986
- $00{:}10{:}32.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}34.950$  But we know in that three
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986
- $00:10:34.950 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.880$  to five year period that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986
- $00:10:36.880 \rightarrow 00:10:39.768$  as you go further along in that period,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986
- $00:10:39.770 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.482$  close follow up is really required because we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986
- $00:10:42.482 \rightarrow 00:10:45.536$  need to see how the side effects accumulate.
- $00:10:46.318 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.263$  And sometimes they don't present
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986
- $00{:}10{:}48{.}263 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}50{.}426$  themselves for a couple of years
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986
- $00:10:50.426 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.678$  or a few years afterwards.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986
- $00:10:51.680 \rightarrow 00:10:54.929$  So we do want to watch closely for that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986
- $00:10:54.930 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.096$  because there can be side effects,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986
- $00:10:57.100 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.540$  especially with lung function.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986
- $00:10:58.540 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.900$  Overall in the lung function,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986
- $00{:}11{:}00{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}02{.}462$  as inflammation occurs and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986
- $00:11:02.462 \rightarrow 00:11:04.652$  eventually scarring as part of

- NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986
- $00:11:04.652 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.889$  the healing process is that

 $00:11:06.890 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.234$  ultimately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

 $00:11:07.234 \rightarrow 00:11:09.298$  we may see that the pulmonary

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

00:11:09.298 --> 00:11:11.201 function may decline more gradually

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

00:11:11.201 --> 00:11:12.829 overtime compared to surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

 $00:11:12.830 \longrightarrow 00:11:14.900$  where the decline tends to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

 $00:11:14.900 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.279$  a little on the sooner side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

 $00:11:17.280 \rightarrow 00:11:21.568$  so that's why it's really nuanced and subtle.

 $00:11:21.883 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.448$  So there are some

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

 $00:11:23.448 \rightarrow 00:11:24.074$  differences there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

 $00:11:24.080 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.964$  but both of them have their

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

 $00{:}11{:}25{.}964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}27{.}220$  risks and side effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

 $00:11:27.220 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.912$  and that's why we encourage our

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

 $00:11:28.912 \rightarrow 00:11:30.742$  patients to meet both the surgeons

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

 $00{:}11{:}30.742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}32.362$  and the radiation oncologists who

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

00:11:32.362 --> 00:11:34.366 have stage one disease to really

 $00:11:34.366 \rightarrow 00:11:35.986$  determine what exactly is expected

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

 $00:11:35.986 \rightarrow 00:11:37.268$  for each individual patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

 $00{:}11{:}37{.}268$  -->  $00{:}11{:}39{.}780$  The other thing about radiation, just as it is NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

 $00:11:39.780 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.192$  for surgery, is it depends

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

 $00:11:42.192 \rightarrow 00:11:44.161$  on where exactly within the lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

 $00:11:44.161 \longrightarrow 00:11:46.690$  the tumor is arising from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

 $00:11:46.690 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.098$  So if it's right in the middle

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

00:11:49.098 --> 00:11:51.770 of the lung, far away from other

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

 $00:11:51.770 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.805$  organs, then

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

 $00{:}11{:}52.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}55.822$  the side effects may be less, however, if

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

 $00{:}11{:}55{.}822 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}58{.}020$  the tumor is closer to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

 $00:11:58.094 \rightarrow 00:12:00.049$  esophagus or the airways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

 $00:12:00.050 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.294$  or to the ribs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

 $00:12:01.294 \rightarrow 00:12:03.160$  you might see other side effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986

 $00:12:03.232 \rightarrow 00:12:05.566$  that are beyond the lung themselves.

- $00:12:05.570 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.521$  So for example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986
- $00:12:06.521 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.423$  if we get treatment very close
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986
- $00:12:08.423 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.710$  to the esophagus,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986
- $00:12:09.710 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.719$  we might expect that we'll see some
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986
- 00:12:11.719 --> 00:12:13.090 more difficulty with swallowing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986
- 00:12:13.090 --> 00:12:14.890 or painful swallowing, heartburn,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986
- $00:12:14.890 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.862$  things like that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986
- $00:12:15.862 \rightarrow 00:12:19.030$  and then if it's too close to the airways,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986
- $00:12:19.030 \rightarrow 00:12:21.208$  we might see some bleeding, more cough.
- $00:12:23.240 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.438$  If it's too close to the ribs
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986
- $00:12:25.438 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.730$  or the chest wall or the back,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986
- $00:12:27.730 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.760$  sometimes we'll see some pain that can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986
- 00:12:29.760 --> 00:12:32.228 arise even a couple of years afterwards,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986
- $00:12:32.230 \rightarrow 00:12:34.477$  so it's not a benign treatment completely,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986
- $00{:}12{:}34{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}36{.}000$  but the side effects generally
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93686986
- $00:12:36.000 \rightarrow 00:12:37.520$  are well tolerated for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98454064

- $00:12:37.581 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.329$  most patients.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336
- $00:12:39.630 \rightarrow 00:12:42.185$  And what about long term side effects?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336
- $00{:}12{:}42.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}45.446$  I realized that you said that SBRT is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336
- $00:12:45.446 \rightarrow 00:12:48.409$  relatively new in the past 15 years or so,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336
- $00{:}12{:}48.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}50.240$  but with other
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336
- $00:12:50.240 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.704$  cancers treated with radiation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336
- $00:12:51.710 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.650$  people are often told about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336
- $00:12:53.650 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.202$  the possibility of secondary
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336
- $00{:}12{:}55{.}202 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}56{.}828$  malignancies and worry about that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336
- $00{:}12{:}56.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}57.928$  especially with scarring
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336
- $00:12:57.928 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.124$  that takes place and so on.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336
- $00:13:00.130 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.990$  Is that something that patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336
- $00:13:01.990 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.265$  should be worried about in lung
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336
- $00:13:04.265 \rightarrow 00:13:05.975$  cancer treated with SBRT as well?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336
- $00:13:09.390 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.025$  It is something we counsel our patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336
- $00:13:11.025 \rightarrow 00:13:13.601$  about and we don't know for sure if

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336
- $00:13:13.601 \rightarrow 00:13:15.645$  radiation really leads to, in the lung
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336
- $00:13:15.650 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.939$  at least, if it really leads to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336
- 00:13:17.939 --> 00:13:19.260 significantly increased risk of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336
- $00:13:19.260 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.970$  other lung cancers down the road.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336
- $00:13:20.970 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.944$  Because often when patients get one
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336
- 00:13:22.944 --> 00:13:24.895 lung cancer, it doesn't matter
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336
- 00:13:24.895 --> 00:13:26.918 what kind of treatment they get,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336
- $00:13:26.920 \rightarrow 00:13:29.384$  they are often more prone to other lung
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336
- $00{:}13{:}29{.}384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}31{.}606$  cancers that we watch very closely for.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336
- 00:13:31.610 -> 00:13:33.416 So if something comes back in an
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336
- $00{:}13{:}33{.}416 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}35{.}370$  area that was previously radiated,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336
- $00{:}13{:}35{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}37{.}546$  we may not know if that was because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336
- $00:13:37.546 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.785$  of the radiation, or because it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336
- $00{:}13{:}39{.}785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}41{.}085$  would have happened anyway,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336
- $00:13:41.090 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.004$  but we do see that sometimes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336

 $00:13:43.004 \rightarrow 00:13:44.869$  where lung tumors do come up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336

 $00{:}13{:}44.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}47.718$  both in the areas where there was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336

00:13:47.718 --> 00:13:49.556 previous radiation as well as other

NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336

 $00:13:49.556 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.800$  areas of the lung or in the body,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336

 $00{:}13{:}51{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}53{.}380$  that really had nothing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336

 $00{:}13{:}53{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}55{.}252$  to do with the radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336

 $00:13:55.252 \rightarrow 00:13:57.159$  so it is hard to know for sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336

 $00:13:57.160 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.790$  But it's something that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336

 $00{:}13{:}58{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}00{.}420$  counsel our patients about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336

 $00:14:00.474 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.994$  that theoretical risk that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336

 $00{:}14{:}01{.}994 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}04{.}175$  radiation can lead to a second

NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336

00:14:04.175 - 00:14:05.975 malignancy many years down the road,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336

 $00{:}14{:}05{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}08{.}017$  but it seems to be less of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336

 $00:14:08.017 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.840$  a problem for lung cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336

 $00:14:09.840 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.485$  then it is for other kinds of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9750336

 $00:14:11.485 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.590$  cancers like breast cancer.

 $00:14:15.238 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.655$  We're going to take a short

NOTE Confidence: 0.9505983

 $00{:}14{:}17.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}19.929$  break for a medical minute and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9505983

 $00{:}14{:}19{.}929 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}22{.}479$  then come back and talk more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9505983

NOTE Confidence: 0.9505983

 $00{:}14{:}24{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}26{.}170$  with my guest doctor Henry Park.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9505983

00:14:26.170 --> 00:14:28.360 Funding for Yale Cancer Answers

NOTE Confidence: 0.9505983

00:14:28.429 --> 00:14:30.579 comes from Smilow Cancer Hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9505983

 $00:14:30.579 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.729$  promoting sun safety and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9505983

00:14:32.802 --> 00:14:34.872 skin cancer screening in honor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9505983

00:14:34.872 --> 00:14:37.030 UV Safety Month. For information and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9505983

 $00{:}14{:}37.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}39.725$  to learn if you should be screened,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9505983

 $00:14:39.730 \rightarrow 00:14:40.500$  visit yalecancercenter.org/screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874657

00:14:42.600 --> 00:14:44.296 The American Cancer Society

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874657

 $00:14:44.296 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.416$  estimates that nearly 150,000 people

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874657

 $00:14:46.416 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.073$  in the US will be diagnosed with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874657

 $00:14:49.073 \rightarrow 00:14:50.960$  colorectal cancer this year alone.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9874657
- $00:14:50.960 \rightarrow 00:14:52.885$  When detected early colorectal cancer

 $00:14:52.885 \rightarrow 00:14:55.340$  is easily treated and highly curable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874657

 $00{:}14{:}55{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}58{.}193$  and men and women over the age of 45

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874657

 $00{:}14{:}58{.}193 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}00{.}055$  should have regular colonoscopies

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874657

 $00{:}15{:}00{.}055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}02{.}500$  to screen for the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874657

 $00{:}15{:}02{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}04{.}092$  Patients with colorectal cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874657

 $00:15:04.092 \rightarrow 00:15:06.480$  have more hope than ever before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874657

 $00{:}15{:}06{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}08{.}868$  thanks to increased access to advanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874657

 $00{:}15{:}08.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}10.460$  the rapies and specialized care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874657

 $00:15:10.460 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.092$  Clinical trials are currently

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874657

00:15:12.092 --> 00:15:13.316 underway at federally

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874657

 $00:15:13.320 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.742$  Designated comprehensive cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874657

00:15:14.742 --> 00:15:17.586 centers such as Yale Cancer Center

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874657

 $00{:}15{:}17.586 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}20.261$  and at Smilow Cancer Hospital to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874657

 $00{:}15{:}20{.}261 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}22{.}391$  test innovative new treatments for

 $00{:}15{:}22.467 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}24.837$  colorectal cancer tumor gene analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874657

 $00{:}15{:}24.837 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}27.207$  has helped improve management of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874657

 $00{:}15{:}27{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}29{.}435$  colorectal cancer by identifying the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874657

 $00{:}15{:}29{.}435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}32{.}215$  patients most likely to benefit from

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874657

 $00{:}15{:}32.215 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}34.615$  chemotherapy and newer targeted agents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874657

 $00{:}15{:}34{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}37{.}398$  resulting in more patient specific treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874657

 $00{:}15{:}37{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}39{.}780$  More information is available at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874657

00:15:39.780 --> 00:15:41.208 yalecancercenter.org. You're listening

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874657

 $00{:}15{:}41.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}42.949$  to Connecticut Public Radio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95672905

 $00{:}15{:}43.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}45.978$  Welcome back to Yale Cancer Answers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95672905

 $00:15:45.980 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.116$  This is doctor Anees Chagpar

NOTE Confidence: 0.95672905

 $00:15:48.116 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.462$  and I'm joined to night by

NOTE Confidence: 0.95672905

00:15:50.462 --> 00:15:52.528 my guest Doctor Henry Park.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95672905

 $00{:}15{:}52{.}528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}54{.}548$  We're talking about radio therapy for

NOTE Confidence: 0.95672905

 $00{:}15{:}54{.}548 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}56{.}808$  lung cancer and right before the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95672905

 $00{:}15{:}56{.}808 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}59{.}104$  break we were talking about the use

 $00:15:59.170 \rightarrow 00:16:01.190$  of radiation therapy and specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.95672905

 $00{:}16{:}01{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}03{.}592$  SBRT for the management of stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.95672905

 $00:16:03.592 \rightarrow 00:16:05.884$  one or early stage lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95672905

 $00:16:05.890 \rightarrow 00:16:08.128$  So Henry, you were mentioning that

NOTE Confidence: 0.95672905

 $00:16:08.128 \rightarrow 00:16:11.235$  radiation also may play a role in more

NOTE Confidence: 0.95672905

 $00{:}16{:}11.235 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}13.165$  advanced cancers that are locally advanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.95672905

 $00{:}16{:}13{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}14{.}954$  and not metastatic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95672905

 $00:16:14.954 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.184$  But one of the questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.95672905

 $00:16:17.184 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.268$  that often comes up is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95672905

 $00:16:19.270 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.080$  sometimes we use

NOTE Confidence: 0.95672905

 $00{:}16{:}21.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}22.528$  chemotherapy alone and sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.95672905

 $00{:}16{:}22.528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}24.482$  we use chemotherapy plus radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.95672905

 $00{:}16{:}24.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}26.392$  combined at the same time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95672905

 $00:16:26.400 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.650$  How do we make those decisions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.96354556

 $00:16:29.680 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.630$  So that's a very good question.

 $00:16:31.630 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.702$  We do that based on seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.96354556

 $00:16:33.702 \dashrightarrow 00:16:35.860$  exactly where the disease has spread.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96354556

 $00:16:35.860 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.316$  So if it has gone to the lymph

NOTE Confidence: 0.96354556

 $00:16:38.316 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.727$  nodes that are still in the chest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96354556

 $00{:}16{:}40.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}43.655$  or if it's a very advanced tumor in the lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.96354556

 $00:16:43.660 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.285$  that seems to be invading

NOTE Confidence: 0.96354556

 $00:16:45.285 \rightarrow 00:16:46.910$  other structures in the chest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96354556

 $00:16:46.910 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.982$  then that's often where we consider this

NOTE Confidence: 0.96354556

 $00{:}16{:}48{.}982 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}51{.}457$  to be locally advanced but not metastatic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96354556

 $00{:}16{:}51{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}54{.}268$  meaning that it has not spread to other

NOTE Confidence: 0.96354556

 $00{:}16{:}54{.}268 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}56{.}143$  organs throughout the body like the

NOTE Confidence: 0.96354556

 $00{:}16{:}56{.}143 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}58{.}610$  brain or the liver or other areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96354556

 $00{:}16{:}58{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}00{.}206$  So in that case

NOTE Confidence: 0.96354556

 $00:17:00.206 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.600$  we still do approach this with

NOTE Confidence: 0.96354556

 $00:17:02.690 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.898$  the intent to cure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96354556

 $00:17:04.900 \rightarrow 00:17:07.679$  so we use some kind of combination,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.96354556
- $00:17:07.680 \rightarrow 00:17:10.260$  typically of chemotherapy with radiation.

 $00:17:10.260 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.320$  Or some kind of combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.96354556

00:17:12.320 --> 00:17:14.380 of chemo with surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96354556

 $00:17:14.380 \rightarrow 00:17:17.257$  with or without radiation, so for those patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.96354556

 $00:17:17.260 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.908$  it really depends on

 $00:17:20.150 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.544$  the similar features that

NOTE Confidence: 0.96354556

 $00:17:22.544 \rightarrow 00:17:25.500$  we look for in early stage lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96354556

 $00:17:25.500 \rightarrow 00:17:28.796$  Given how much the patient can tolerate and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.96354556

 $00:17:28.800 \rightarrow 00:17:31.266$  they're willing to tolerate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96354556

 $00{:}17{:}31{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}34{.}422$  as well as the amount of

NOTE Confidence: 0.96354556

 $00{:}17{:}34{.}422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}37{.}449$  disease that needs to be treated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96354556

 $00:17:37.450 \rightarrow 00:17:40.858$  The surgeons will weigh in about how much

NOTE Confidence: 0.96354556

 $00:17:40.860 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.156$  lung would have to be removed in

NOTE Confidence: 0.96354556

 $00{:}17{:}43.156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}45.712$  order to do the adequate surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.96354556

 $00:17:45.712 \rightarrow 00:17:48.700$  and we will weigh in about how much

NOTE Confidence: 0.96354556

 $00:17:48.700 \rightarrow 00:17:51.106$  the normal organs nearby will receive

- NOTE Confidence: 0.96354556
- $00:17:51.106 \rightarrow 00:17:53.121$  radiation therapy and how much
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96354556
- 00:17:53.121<br/>  $\operatorname{-->}$  00:17:55.529 we think the patient can take and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96354556
- $00:17:55.529 \rightarrow 00:17:57.901$  ultimately will be able to come up
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96354556
- $00{:}17{:}57{.}901 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}59{.}878$  with a recommendation about what the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96354556
- $00:17:59.878 \rightarrow 00:18:01.966$  best approach is to usually combine
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96354556
- $00:18:01.966 \rightarrow 00:18:04.585$  at least two types of therapy and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96354556
- $00{:}18{:}04.585 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}07.110$  sometimes three in order to achieve the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985324
- $00:18:07.110 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.108$  best outcomes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985324
- 00:18:09.108 --> 00:18:11.183 In early stage lung cancer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985324
- 00:18:11.183 --> 00:18:13.556 you talked a little bit about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985324
- $00{:}18{:}13.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}15.777$  deciding between surgery and radiation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985324
- 00:18:15.780 --> 00:18:19.119 and I think we get the concept that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985324
- $00:18:19.120 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.505$  both surgery and radiation therapy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985324
- $00{:}18{:}21.505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}24.318$  are local modalities designed to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985324
- $00:18:24.318 \dashrightarrow 00:18:26.810$  treat lung cancer in the lung itself.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.985324

 $00:18:26.810 \rightarrow 00:18:29.850$  So one question that comes up is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.985324

 $00{:}18{:}29{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}32{.}779$  if my cancer is resectable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.985324

 $00:18:32.780 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.020$  say I've got

NOTE Confidence: 0.985324

00:18:36.020 --> 00:18:37.909 locally advanced lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.985324

00:18:37.910 --> 00:18:40.040 I'm going to get chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.985324

00:18:40.040 --> 00:18:42.180 The surgeons say it's resectable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.985324

 $00:18:42.180 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.525$  Having you decide then whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.985324

 $00:18:44.525 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.322$  to add more radiation therapy or

NOTE Confidence: 0.985324

 $00{:}18{:}47{.}322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}49{.}147$  whether to leave it with

NOTE Confidence: 0.985324

 $00:18:49.150 \rightarrow 00:18:50.610$  the surgery alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98504585

 $00{:}18{:}50{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}53{.}116$  Often we decide that we don't add

NOTE Confidence: 0.98504585

00:18:53.116 --> 00:18:54.979 more radiation therapy afterwards or

NOTE Confidence: 0.98504585

 $00{:}18{:}54{.}979 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}57{.}520$  we don't add radiation therapy at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98504585

00:18:57.520 --> 00:18:59.080 It's a conversation

NOTE Confidence: 0.98504585

 $00{:}18{:}59{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}01{.}420$  with the surgeon about whether or

 $00{:}19{:}03{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}05{.}534$  not they think they can resect this

 $00:19:05.534 \rightarrow 00:19:06.990$  tumor after the chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98504585

 $00:19:06.990 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.412$  Sometimes they may prefer to have chemo

NOTE Confidence: 0.98504585

 $00:19:09.412 \rightarrow 00:19:11.350$  and radiation together before surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98504585

 $00:19:11.350 \rightarrow 00:19:13.765$  or they may prefer to have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98504585

 $00:19:13.765 \rightarrow 00:19:15.360$  chemotherapy alone before surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98504585

 $00{:}19{:}15{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}17{.}964$  We used to do more

NOTE Confidence: 0.98504585

00:19:17.964 --> 00:19:19.760 radiation therapy after surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98504585

00:19:19.760 --> 00:19:21.520 But like you mentioned before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98504585

 $00{:}19{:}21.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}23.626$  clinical trials being so important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98504585

 $00{:}19{:}23.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}25.622$  there's been a recent clinical trial NOTE Confidence: 0.98504585

 $00:19:25.622 \rightarrow 00:19:27.664$  this past year that showed that

NOTE Confidence: 0.98504585

 $00{:}19{:}27.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}29.620$  for those patients who had certain NOTE Confidence: 0.98504585

 $00{:}19{:}29{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}32{.}259$  types of lymph nodes or in certain NOTE Confidence: 0.98504585

 $00:19:32.259 \rightarrow 00:19:33.799$  locations, that adding radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.98504585

00:19:33.799 --> 00:19:35.815 therapy after surgery may not be

NOTE Confidence: 0.98504585

00:19:35.815 - 00:19:38.060 as necessary as we once thought.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.98504585
- $00:19:38.060 \rightarrow 00:19:40.433$  And so far the five year survival

 $00{:}19{:}40{.}433 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}42{.}988$  numbers have just been released as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.98504585

 $00{:}19{:}42{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}45{.}454$  for stage three disease and are at

NOTE Confidence: 0.98504585

 $00:19:45.454 \rightarrow 00:19:47.965$  least 10% higher than we've ever seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.98504585

00:19:47.965 --> 00:19:51.009 in really any clinical trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98504585

00:19:51.010 --> 00:19:53.536 Either involving surgery or not involving surgery.

00:19:57.750 --> 00:19:59.900 I can't emphasize enough how

NOTE Confidence: 0.97029805

 $00:19:59.900 \rightarrow 00:20:02.050$  important these clinical trials are

NOTE Confidence: 0.97029805

 $00:20:02.124 \dashrightarrow 00:20:04.476$  to really moving the field forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97029805

 $00{:}20{:}04{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}07{.}006$  Are all patients eligible for immunotherapy given that data?

 $00{:}20{:}08.466 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}11.204$  Or do we look for certain biomarkers

NOTE Confidence: 0.97029805

 $00:20:11.204 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.048$  to decide whether or not they

NOTE Confidence: 0.97029805

 $00:20:14.048 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.690$  would be candidates for that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.97029805

 $00{:}20{:}16.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}19.288$  Most patients are eligible for it

NOTE Confidence: 0.97029805

 $00{:}20{:}19{.}288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}21{.}020$  after chemotherapy and radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.97029805

 $00:20:21.020 \rightarrow 00:20:23.715$  if they have stage three disease,

 $00:20:23.720 \rightarrow 00:20:26.408$  we may not always give it afterwards.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97029805

 $00{:}20{:}26{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}28{.}335$  Depending on their response to

NOTE Confidence: 0.97029805

 $00:20:28.335 \rightarrow 00:20:29.875$  the chemotherapy and radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97029805

 $00:20:29.880 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.665$  so we'd require before receiving

NOTE Confidence: 0.97029805

 $00{:}20{:}31.665 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}33.901$  that that we have another scan

NOTE Confidence: 0.97029805

 $00{:}20{:}33{.}901 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}36{.}193$  that shows that there's not new

NOTE Confidence: 0.97029805

 $00:20:36.193 \rightarrow 00:20:37.960$  disease elsewhere already starting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97029805

 $00{:}20{:}37{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}40{.}165$  We'd also want to be sure that

NOTE Confidence: 0.97029805

00:20:40.165 --> 00:20:42.042 patients have tolerated

NOTE Confidence: 0.97029805

 $00:20:42.042 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.207$  the chemotherapy and radiation well

NOTE Confidence: 0.97029805

00:20:44.207 --> 00:20:46.818 enough to start the immune therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97029805

 $00{:}20{:}46.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}48.800$  and there's other biomarkers like

NOTE Confidence: 0.97029805

00:20:48.800 --> 00:20:51.430 PDL1 that are very helpful

NOTE Confidence: 0.97029805

 $00{:}20{:}51{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}53{.}524$  in determining how likely the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.97029805

 $00:20:53.524 \rightarrow 00:20:56.409$  is to respond to immunotherapy as well.

 $00{:}20{:}57{.}182 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}00{.}270$  Right now we still do offer it even for those

- NOTE Confidence: 0.97029805
- $00:21:00.359 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.295$  who do not have the PDL1 marker.
- $00:21:04.112 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.954$  But it may not be as helpful
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97029805
- $00{:}21{:}06{.}954 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}09{.}683$  in those patients as it is for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97029805
- $00{:}21{:}09{.}683 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}12{.}109$  those who have a high PD L1 expression.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97361296
- 00:21:12.110 --> 00:21:13.765 Given those data
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97361296
- $00:21:13.765 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.960$  than the fact that we offer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97361296
- 00:21:15.960 --> 00:21:17.860 immunotherapy regardless of PDL1
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97361296
- $00{:}21{:}17.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}19.845$  status and the fact
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97361296
- $00{:}21{:}19.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}21.433$  that the clinical trials
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97361296
- $00:21:21.440 \longrightarrow 00:21:23.060$  have demonstrated that chemo,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97361296
- $00:21:23.060 \rightarrow 00:21:25.085$  radiation therapy followed by immune
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97361296
- 00:21:25.085 --> 00:21:26.985 therapy without surgery offers
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97361296
- 00:21:26.985 --> 00:21:28.425 tremendous survival benefits,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97361296
- $00:21:28.430 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.052$  do we ever offer surgery to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97361296
- 00:21:31.052 --> 00:21:32.800 stage three patients anymore?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97361296
- $00:21:32.800 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.548$  We still do.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984225
- $00:21:34.550 \rightarrow 00:21:36.438$  I think there's certain circumstances
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984225
- 00:21:36.438 --> 00:21:40.122 still where we don't know what the role
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984225
- $00{:}21{:}40{.}122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}42{.}845$  is yet of surgery with immunotherapy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984225
- $00{:}21{:}42.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}46.346$  and if we still get good outcomes from
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984225
- $00{:}21{:}46{.}346 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}48{.}968$  let's say, chemo with surgery afterwards,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984225
- $00{:}21{:}48.968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}51.576$  or chemo and radiation, then surgery
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984225
- $00{:}21{:}51{.}576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}54{.}144$  and we'd save the immunotherapy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984225
- 00:21:54.150 --> 00:21:55.910 which didn't really exist during
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984225
- $00:21:55.910 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.170$  the time of the surgical trials,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984225
- $00:21:58.170 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.324$  then you know, could we still
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984225
- $00:22:00.324 \rightarrow 00:22:02.180$  get good outcomes from that?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984225
- $00{:}22{:}02{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}04{.}735$  We believe we may be able to.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984225
- 00:22:04.740  $-\!>$  00:22:06.900 We still don't have that clinical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984225
- $00{:}22{:}06{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}09{.}478$  trial data yet to prove that yet.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984225
- 00:22:09.480 --> 00:22:11.310 But as we move forward,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.984225

 $00:22:11.310 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.440$  there's other trials that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.984225

 $00:22:13.440 \rightarrow 00:22:15.658$  currently being considered right now about

NOTE Confidence: 0.984225

 $00:22:15.658 \rightarrow 00:22:17.878$  combining immunotherapy with surgery as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984225

 $00{:}22{:}17.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}20.800$  And even in the earlier stage setting that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.984225

 $00:22:20.800 \longrightarrow 00:22:23.470$  I think becoming more and more

NOTE Confidence: 0.984225

 $00:22:23.470 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.720$  studied seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.984225

 $00{:}22{:}25{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}28{.}619$  if that is going to be helpful and

NOTE Confidence: 0.984225

 $00{:}22{:}28.619 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}31.000$  and as the months and even

NOTE Confidence: 0.984225

 $00:22:31.000 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.584$  as the days and weeks go by,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984225

 $00{:}22{:}33.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}36.075$  new data comes out all the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.984225

 $00{:}22{:}36.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}37.881$  from clinical trials that changed

NOTE Confidence: 0.984225

 $00:22:37.881 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.219$  the way we think about the best

NOTE Confidence: 0.98588306

 $00:22:40.220 \rightarrow 00:22:42.670$  way of treating stage three lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98588306

 $00{:}22{:}42.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}44.847$  And so when we were talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.98588306

 $00{:}22{:}44.847 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}47.001$  stage one and even locally advanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.98588306

 $00:22:47.001 \rightarrow 00:22:49.299$  up to stage three lung cancer,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.98588306
- $00:22:49.300 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.370$  you used the term curative intent

 $00{:}22{:}51{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}53{.}928$  and can you explain to our list eners

NOTE Confidence: 0.98588306

 $00{:}22{:}53{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}55{.}735$  what you mean by curative intent and

 $00:22:57.540 \rightarrow 00:23:00.067$  what the alternative is?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851322

 $00{:}23{:}00{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}02{.}772$  The concept there is that we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851322

 $00{:}23{:}02{.}772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}04{.}967$  hoping to eliminate the tumor so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851322

 $00{:}23{:}04{.}967 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}07{.}643$  that it does not grow and come back

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851322

 $00:23:07.643 \rightarrow 00:23:10.177$  at any point in the patients life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851322

 $00{:}23{:}10.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}12.340$  Are we always successful at that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851322

 $00:23:12.340 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.434$  No, but we would approach it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851322

 $00{:}23{:}14{.}434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}16{.}670$  with the intention of doing that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851322

 $00{:}23{:}16.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}18.630$  and that's supposed to palliative

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851322

 $00{:}23{:}18.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}21.767$  intent where the goal is to help with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851322

 $00:23:21.767 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.975$  alleviate symptoms that may come up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851322

 $00:23:23.980 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.801$  For example, if the disease has

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851322

 $00:23:26.801 \rightarrow 00:23:29.010$  already spread outside of the chest,

 $00:23:29.010 \rightarrow 00:23:31.410$  we may be approaching the disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851322

 $00:23:31.410 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.174$  more in that capacity in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851322

 $00:23:34.174 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.352$  the treatments that we may offer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851322

00:23:36.360 - 00:23:39.456 However, in the past five to 10 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851322

00:23:39.460 --> 00:23:41.932 there's a lot of wiggle room in between

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851322

00:23:41.932 --> 00:23:44.322 where we may not necessarily believe

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851322

 $00{:}23{:}44{.}322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}46{.}872$  we will completely eradicate the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851322

 $00:23:46.946 \rightarrow 00:23:49.136$  with any combination of therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851322

 $00{:}23{:}49{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}51{.}800$  but that we believe we can extend

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851322

 $00{:}23{:}51{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}54{.}170$  survival and extend Disease Control

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851322

 $00:23:54.170 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.286$  for years afterwards,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851322

 $00{:}23{:}55{.}286$  -->  $00{:}23{:}58{.}200$  and we're often successful at doing that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851322

 $00{:}23{:}58{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}00{.}615$  that's often an important goal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851322

 $00{:}24{:}00{.}615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}03{.}805$  for a lot of patients is to live as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851322

 $00{:}24{:}03.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}06.442$  long as possible and to turn their

 $00:24:06.442 \rightarrow 00:24:09.179$  cancer into more of a chronic disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851322

00:24:09.180 --> 00:24:11.876 And I think we're seeing that more and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851322

 $00{:}24{:}11.876$  -->  $00{:}24{:}14.669$  more with the advent of immunotherapy. NOTE Confidence: 0.9851322

00:24:14.670 --> 00:24:16.495 Better combinations with surgery and NOTE Confidence: 0.9851322

 $00:24:16.495 \rightarrow 00:24:17.955$  chemotherapy and radiation therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851322

 $00{:}24{:}17{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}20{.}156$  as well as targeted the rapy that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851322

 $00:24:20.156 \rightarrow 00:24:21.620$  specifically targets certain mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851322

 $00{:}24{:}21.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}24.287$  especially in lung cancer, that can often,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851322

 $00{:}24{:}24{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}27.006$  even if they are not specifically curative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851322

 $00{:}24{:}27.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}29.188$  they may give patients multiple years

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851322

 $00{:}24{:}29{.}188 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}31{.}952$  of extra life and time before they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851322

 $00{:}24{:}31{.}952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}34{.}017$  require other kinds of the rapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047

 $00{:}24{:}34{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}36{.}348$  So when you say that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047

 $00{:}24{:}36{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}39{.}008$  I mean you're referring to stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047

 $00{:}24{:}39{.}008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}41{.}498$  four or metastatic patients in whom

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047

 $00:24:43.740 \rightarrow 00:24:46.456$  can still have many years of good quality of life.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047
- $00{:}24{:}46{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}49{.}084$  Tell us more about the use of radiation

 $00{:}24{:}49.084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}51.129$  the rapy in those circumstances?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047

 $00:24:51.130 \rightarrow 00:24:53.548$  More and more we're using it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047

 $00:24:53.548 \rightarrow 00:24:55.160$  because we're seeing such

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047

 $00:24:55.160 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.672$  improved outcomes from our

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047

00:24:56.672 --> 00:24:57.806 excellent systemic therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047

 $00:24:57.810 \rightarrow 00:24:59.952$  meaning the chemotherapies and immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047

 $00:24:59.952 \rightarrow 00:25:01.980$  therapies and also targeted therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047

 $00{:}25{:}01{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}04{.}640$  Radiation has an increasing role as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047

 $00:25:04.640 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.817$  In stage four patients, we used to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047

 $00{:}25{:}06{.}817 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}09{.}180$  be limited to alleviating symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047

 $00{:}25{:}09{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}11{.}205$  which radiation is very effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047

 $00:25:11.205 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.982$  in doing over a very low dose

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047

 $00{:}25{:}13.982 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}16.004$  and a short period of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047

 $00{:}25{:}16.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}18.296$  However, with this SBRT technique that

 $00:25:18.296 \rightarrow 00:25:21.308$  we've been using for stage one lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047

 $00{:}25{:}21{.}310$  -->  $00{:}25{:}24{.}334$  we often use this in the metastatic setting especially

 $00{:}25{:}25{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}27{.}820$  for those who have disease called

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047

 $00:25:27.820 \rightarrow 00:25:29.665$  oligometastatic disease and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047

00:25:29.665 - 00:25:32.250 this means is that only a few spots,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047

 $00{:}25{:}32{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}35{.}218$  maybe one or three or even five spots,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047

 $00:25:35.220 \rightarrow 00:25:37.404$  may be present

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047

 $00:25:37.404 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.425$  outside of the lung and if we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047

00:25:40.425 -> 00:25:42.285 use either surgery or radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047

 $00:25:42.290 \rightarrow 00:25:44.789$  some kind of local therapy to address

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047

 $00:25:44.789 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.697$  those areas after systemic therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047

 $00{:}25{:}46.697 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}48.989$  has worked well for a patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047

 $00{:}25{:}48{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}51{.}470$  then we may be able to really extend NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047

 $00{:}25{:}51{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}53{.}935$  their time without needing systemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047

 $00{:}25{:}53{.}935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}56{.}160$  the rapy and having good disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047

 $00:25:56.160 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.955$  control so it's something that

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047
- $00{:}25{:}57{.}955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}59{.}391$  we're seeing improved survival
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047
- $00:25:59.391 \rightarrow 00:26:01.168$  from clinical trials recently.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047
- 00:26:01.170 --> 00:26:03.480 When you add radiation or surgery
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047
- $00:26:03.480 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.020$  in very selected populations,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047
- $00{:}26{:}05{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}07{.}120$  meaning those who responded well
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047
- $00{:}26{:}07{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}09{.}220$  to their systemic therapy and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047
- $00{:}26{:}09{.}291 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}11.706$  who have a limited number and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047
- 00:26:11.706 --> 00:26:13.933 treatable areas where we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047
- 00:26:13.933 --> 00:26:15.793 can use surgery or radiation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047
- $00:26:15.800 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.110$  these patients have
- $00:26:21.062 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.445$  been able to live longer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9877047
- $00:26:23.445 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.415$  than they would have otherwise.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9890817
- $00{:}26{:}26{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}28{.}949$  We talked a lot in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9890817
- $00{:}26{:}28{.}949 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}31{.}912$  this show so far about clinical trials
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9890817
- $00:26:31.912 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.133$  and historically people have always
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9890817
- $00:26:34.133 \rightarrow 00:26:36.707$  thought that clinical trials were only

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9890817
- $00:26:36.707 \rightarrow 00:26:39.580$  for patients who had no other option.

 $00:26:39.580 \rightarrow 00:26:41.950$  Patients who had stage four disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9890817

 $00:26:41.950 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.736$  But it sounds like that clearly is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9890817

 $00{:}26{:}44.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}47.876$  not the case and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9890817

 $00{:}26{:}47.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}51.100$  there are clinical trials that are offered

NOTE Confidence: 0.9890817

 $00{:}26{:}51{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}53{.}721$  across various different stages to allow

NOTE Confidence: 0.9890817

 $00:26:53.721 \rightarrow 00:26:56.055$  patients to get the best therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9890817

 $00:26:56.060 \rightarrow 00:26:57.980$  What clinical trials are currently

NOTE Confidence: 0.9890817

 $00:26:57.980 \rightarrow 00:27:00.010$  ongoing that you're most excited about?

NOTE Confidence: 0.935663

 $00{:}27{:}00{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}03{.}522$  We have a lot of clinical trials ongoing

NOTE Confidence: 0.935663

00:27:03.522 --> 00:27:05.829 right now at yield in lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935663

 $00{:}27{:}05{.}830$  -->  $00{:}27{:}08{.}133$  but specific to radiation we actually have NOTE Confidence: 0.935663

00:27:08.133 --> 00:27:10.429 three right now for stage one disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935663

00:27:10.430 --> 00:27:12.830 so exactly the opposite of what we had

NOTE Confidence: 0.935663

 $00{:}27{:}12.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}15.369$  been used to seeing for clinical trials,

 $00:27:15.370 \rightarrow 00:27:17.524$  and that they're really often used

NOTE Confidence: 0.935663

 $00{:}27{:}17.524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}19.306$  like you're saying for patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.935663

 $00{:}27{:}19{.}306 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}21{.}287$  as a sort of a last resort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935663

 $00:27:21.290 \rightarrow 00:27:23.258$  It's really the opposite for us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935663

 $00:27:23.260 \rightarrow 00:27:25.240$  where we're trying to approach this

NOTE Confidence: 0.935663

 $00{:}27{:}25{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}28{.}472$  to improve the standard of care

NOTE Confidence: 0.935663

 $00{:}27{:}28.472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}31.676$  even more at all stages of disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935663

 $00:27:31.680 \rightarrow 00:27:33.997$  So for example, stage one lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935663

 $00{:}27{:}34.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}35.328$  we do have a two clinical trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.935663

 $00:27:36.990 \rightarrow 00:27:38.910$  one that's currently active and one

NOTE Confidence: 0.935663

 $00:27:38.910 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.178$  that is about to open fairly

NOTE Confidence: 0.935663

00:27:41.178 --> 00:27:44.117 soon that look at the idea of SBRT with

NOTE Confidence: 0.935663

 $00{:}27{:}44.117$  -->  $00{:}27{:}46.283$  immune the rapy for stage one disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935663

 $00:27:46.290 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.614$  So half the patients will get the

NOTE Confidence: 0.935663

00:27:48.614 --> 00:27:50.284 standard of care, radiation therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935663

 $00:27:50.284 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.306$  and half the patients will also

- NOTE Confidence: 0.935663
- $00:27:52.306 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.918$  get immune therapy as well.

 $00:27:53.920 \rightarrow 00:27:56.638$  And that's our way of studying to see if

NOTE Confidence: 0.935663

 $00{:}27{:}56.638 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}59.344$  will get even better outcomes with SBRT

NOTE Confidence: 0.935663

 $00:27:59.344 \rightarrow 00:28:02.250$  and with immune therapy and try to prevent

NOTE Confidence: 0.935663

 $00:28:02.250 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.434$  recurrences that happened

NOTE Confidence: 0.935663

 $00:28:03.434 \rightarrow 00:28:04.618$  elsewhere in the body,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935663

 $00:28:04.620 \rightarrow 00:28:06.390$  in the lymph nodes or elsewhere,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935663

 $00{:}28{:}06{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}07{.}278$  so I'm very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935663

 $00{:}28{:}07{.}278 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}08{.}758$  very excited about those studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935663

 $00{:}28{:}08{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}10{.}785$  and I also have a study of my own

NOTE Confidence: 0.935663

 $00:28:10.785 \longrightarrow 00:28:13.060$  as well that looks at a clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.935663

 $00{:}28{:}13.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}15.087$  trial that's looking at a fewer

NOTE Confidence: 0.935663

 $00{:}28{:}15.087 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}17.313$  number of sessions of SBRT for those

NOTE Confidence: 0.935663

00:28:17.313 --> 00:28:19.236 tumors that are a little closer to

NOTE Confidence: 0.935663

 $00:28:19.236 \rightarrow 00:28:21.266$  the middle of the chest and trying

 $00:28:21.266 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.072$  to make it more convenient and

NOTE Confidence: 0.935663

 $00{:}28{:}23.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}25.037$  for patients they only come in

NOTE Confidence: 0.935663

 $00{:}28{:}25{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}27{.}248$  three times instead

NOTE Confidence: 0.935663

 $00:28:27.248 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.365$  of five times for tumors that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.935663

 $00:28:29.365 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.550$  closer to the middle of the chest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9281571

00:28:32.320 --> 00:28:34.600 Henry Park is an assistant

NOTE Confidence: 0.9281571

 $00:28:34.600 \rightarrow 00:28:36.120$  professor of the rapeutic radiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.9281571

 $00{:}28{:}36{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}37{.}938$  at the Yale School of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9281571

 $00{:}28{:}37{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}39{.}512$  If you have questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9281571

 $00{:}28{:}39{.}512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}41{.}084$  the address is cancerans wers@yale.edu

NOTE Confidence: 0.9281571

 $00:28:41.084 \rightarrow 00:28:43.250$  and past editions of the program

NOTE Confidence: 0.9281571

 $00{:}28{:}43{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}45{.}230$  are available in audio and written

NOTE Confidence: 0.9281571

00:28:45.293 --> 00:28:47.057 form at YaleCancerCenter.org

NOTE Confidence: 0.9281571

00:28:47.060 --> 00:28:49.324 We hope you'll join us next week to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9281571

 $00{:}28{:}49{.}324 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}51{.}506$  learn more about the fight against

NOTE Confidence: 0.9281571

 $00:28:51.506 \rightarrow 00:28:53.446$  cancer here on Connecticut Public Radio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9281571
00:28:53.446 --> 00:28:55.371 Funding for Yale Cancer
NOTE Confidence: 0.9281571
00:28:55.371 --> 00:28:57.216 Answers is provided by Smilow
NOTE Confidence: 0.9281571
00:28:57.216 --> 00:29:00.070 Cancer Hospital and AstraZeneca.