WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "01:02:43.3570000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.798342764377594

00:00:08.490 --> 00:00:11.435 biology and medicine podcast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798342764377594

00:00:11.435 --> 00:00:17.329 YJBM is a pub Med index quarterly

NOTE Confidence: 0.798342764377594

 $00:00:17.330 \longrightarrow 00:00:22.979$ Journal edited by Yale medical graduate and

NOTE Confidence: 0.798342764377594

 $00{:}00{:}22.979 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}26.820$ professional students and peer reviewed

NOTE Confidence: 0.798342764377594

 $00:00:26.820 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.370$ by experts in the fields of biology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798342764377594

 $00:00:31.370 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.344$ medicine. Each issue of the Journal

NOTE Confidence: 0.798342764377594

 $00:00:33.344 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.544$ is devoted to a focus topic

NOTE Confidence: 0.798342764377594

 $00:00:35.544 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.569$ and through the YJBM podcast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798342764377594

 $00{:}00{:}37.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}40.083$ We will take you through the past, present,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798342764377594

 $00:00:40.083 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.274$ and future of the issues subject matter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798342764377594

 $00:00:42.280 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.616$ This episode is part of our series devoted

NOTE Confidence: 0.798342764377594

 $00:00:44.616 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.986$ to our December 2019 issue on Death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798342764377594

00:00:46.990 --> 00:00:47.818 I'm your cohost.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798342764377594

 $00:00:47.818 \longrightarrow 00:00:49.474$ Emma, a second year in the

 $00:00:49.474 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.079$ Cell Biology Department and

NOTE Confidence: 0.915301978588104

 $00:00:51.080 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.278$ I'm Elizabeth, a second year in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.915301978588104

 $00:00:53.278 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.220$ Microbial Pathogenesis Department.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915301978588104

 $00:00:54.220 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.724$ Today we are excited to talk to doctor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915301978588104

 $00:00:56.730 \longrightarrow 00:00:58.355$ Lidya Tarhan, an assistant professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.915301978588104

00:00:58.355 --> 00:01:00.317 in the Department of geology and

NOTE Confidence: 0.915301978588104

00:01:00.317 --> 00:01:02.066 geophysics here at Yale, she studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915301978588104

 $00:01:02.066 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.142$ The Ediacaran period, which occured.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915301978588104

 $00:01:04.142 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.608$ 653 to 541 million years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915301978588104

 $00:01:06.610 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.570$ For context as to just how long that is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915301978588104

 $00:01:10.570 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.710$ 600 million seconds is

NOTE Confidence: 0.915301978588104

 $00:01:12.710 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.315$ approximately 19 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915301978588104

 $00:01:14.320 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.340$ Today we will.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915301978588104

 $00:01:15.340 \dashrightarrow 00:01:17.720$ We will be discussing doctor Lidya Tarhan's

NOTE Confidence: 0.915301978588104

 $00{:}01{:}17.778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}19.828$ research about the Ediacaran Biota.

 $00:01:19.830 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.294$ The living organisms present

NOTE Confidence: 0.915301978588104

00:01:21.294 --> 00:01:22.758 during this time period,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915301978588104

 $00:01:22.760 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.800$ and their mysterious disappearance from

NOTE Confidence: 0.915301978588104

 $00:01:24.800 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.320$ the fossil record High Doctor Tarhan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915301978588104

 $00:01:27.320 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.140$

NOTE Confidence: 0.857514023780823

 $00:01:28.140 \longrightarrow 00:01:31.017$ Thanks for having me here today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94797819852829

 $00:01:32.480 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.886$ Thanks for joining us. To start,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94797819852829

00:01:34.890 --> 00:01:37.710 we were curious how did you become

NOTE Confidence: 0.94797819852829

 $00:01:37.710 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.314$ interested in studying earths

NOTE Confidence: 0.94797819852829

 $00:01:39.314 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.319$ geological history and that's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.94797819852829

 $00:01:41.320 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.990$ great question. I've always been interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.94797819852829

 $00:01:43.990 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.588$ in sort of various environments that

NOTE Confidence: 0.94797819852829

 $00:01:46.588 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.020$ we see on Earth today and what are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94797819852829

 $00:01:50.020 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.631$ process is responsible for them and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.94797819852829

00:01:52.631 --> 00:01:55.380 far back in our planet's history they

NOTE Confidence: 0.94797819852829

 $00{:}01{:}55.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}57.853$ extend an I've always been interested

 $00:01:57.853 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.653$ in some of the more enigmatic aspects

NOTE Confidence: 0.94797819852829

 $00:02:00.653 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.486$ of the fossil record of past life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94797819852829

 $00:02:03.490 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.378$ Not only is this sort of weird wonders

NOTE Confidence: 0.94797819852829

 $00:02:06.378 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.777$ that enigmatics fossils that we still

NOTE Confidence: 0.94797819852829

00:02:08.777 --> 00:02:10.782 don't entirely understand a man,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94797819852829

00:02:10.790 --> 00:02:13.196 the exceptionally preserved fossils that give

NOTE Confidence: 0.94797819852829

00:02:13.196 --> 00:02:15.389 us extraordinary insights into past life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94797819852829

 $00{:}02{:}15.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}17.720$ but also questions of origins

NOTE Confidence: 0.94797819852829

 $00:02:17.720 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.549$ and questions of how did complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.94797819852829

 $00:02:20.549 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.419$ life come to be on our planet?

NOTE Confidence: 0.94797819852829

00:02:23.420 --> 00:02:24.936 And the Ediacaran Biota,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94797819852829

 $00:02:24.936 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.452$ which will be talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.94797819852829

00:02:26.452 --> 00:02:28.529 about in more detail today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94797819852829

 $00{:}02{:}28.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}31.365$ really occupies a critical place in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.94797819852829

 $00:02:31.365 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.767$ attempts to reconstruct not only the

00:02:33.767 --> 00:02:35.999 history of environments on our planets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94797819852829

 $00:02:36.000 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.346$ but also the history of life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94797819852829

 $00:02:38.350 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.648$ And these fossils really occur at

NOTE Confidence: 0.94797819852829

00:02:40.648 --> 00:02:43.079 a critical juncture in the geologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.94797819852829

 $00:02:43.079 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.545$ record on between the interval of

NOTE Confidence: 0.94797819852829

 $00{:}02{:}45.545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}47.918$ Earth History where we had much

NOTE Confidence: 0.94797819852829

00:02:47.918 --> 00:02:49.768 simpler in microbial life during

NOTE Confidence: 0.94797819852829

00:02:49.768 --> 00:02:52.088 the Precambrian and the emergence

NOTE Confidence: 0.94797819852829

 $00{:}02{:}52.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}54.523$ of complex animal life during

NOTE Confidence: 0.94797819852829

 $00{:}02{:}54.523 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}57.019$ the Phanerozoic Armador Neon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94797819852829

 $00:02:57.020 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.350$ So

NOTE Confidence: 0.9339479804039

 $00:02:57.350 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.588$ you really went back to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9339479804039

 $00:02:59.588 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.860$ origin of origins in all this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9339479804039

 $00:03:01.860 \longrightarrow 00:03:07.170$ Both geologically and end with animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9339479804039

 $00:03:07.170 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.378$ So to kind of ground us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9339479804039

 $00{:}03{:}09.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}11.576$ can you first explain to us

 $00:03:11.576 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.809$ what actually is the Ed Akron?

NOTE Confidence: 0.924748182296753

 $00:03:15.360 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.591$ Sure, so the Ed Akron period is the is

NOTE Confidence: 0.924748182296753

 $00:03:18.591 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.856$ the last geologic period or interval of

NOTE Confidence: 0.924748182296753

 $00:03:21.856 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.618$ this time period we call the precambrian,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924748182296753

 $00:03:25.620 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.882$ which is an incredibly expansive stretch of

NOTE Confidence: 0.924748182296753

00:03:28.882 --> 00:03:32.306 time that includes the first 4 billion years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924748182296753

 $00:03:32.310 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.782$ or nearly 90% of our planet's

NOTE Confidence: 0.924748182296753

 $00:03:34.782 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.210 4 1/2$ billion year history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924748182296753

 $00{:}03{:}37.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}39.886$ and it immediately precedes the Phanerozoic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924748182296753

 $00{:}03{:}39.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}42.718$ which is the name for our current

NOTE Confidence: 0.924748182296753

00:03:42.718 --> 00:03:46.446 our present day eon and the Ed Akron

NOTE Confidence: 0.924748182296753

 $00:03:46.446 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.390$ period also immediately follows.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924748182296753

 $00{:}03{:}48.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}50.568$ The penultimate geologic period of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.924748182296753

 $00:03:50.568 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.929$ precambrian which we call the cryogenian,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924748182296753

 $00:03:52.930 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.820$ and it's called the cryogenian.

 $00:03:54.820 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.564$ Because this was at the time of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.924748182296753

 $00{:}03{:}57.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}59.202$ really extreme climatic perturbations

NOTE Confidence: 0.924748182296753

 $00:03:59.202 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.848$ known as snowball earth and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.924748182296753

 $00{:}04{:}01.848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}04.480$ entire T of Earth surface froze over

NOTE Confidence: 0.924748182296753

 $00:04:04.480 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.536$ at least a couple of times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924748182296753

00:04:06.536 --> 00:04:08.416 So the Ed Akron period,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924748182296753

 $00:04:08.420 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.320$ which stretches from 635 million

NOTE Confidence: 0.924748182296753

 $00:04:10.320 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.960$ years ago to 542 million years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924748182296753

 $00:04:12.960 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.468$ is really book ended.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924748182296753

 $00:04:14.468 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.976$ On the one hand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924748182296753

 $00:04:15.980 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.908$ by not only this world that was dominated

NOTE Confidence: 0.924748182296753

 $00:04:18.908 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.909$ by small and simple and microbial life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924748182296753

 $00:04:21.910 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.532$ But also by these really extreme

NOTE Confidence: 0.924748182296753

 $00:04:24.532 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.032$ climatic perturbations and on the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.924748182296753

 $00:04:27.032 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.736$ end it spoke ended by the emergence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.924748182296753

 $00{:}04{:}29.815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}32.395$ complex and more animal dominated life

 $00:04:32.395 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.974$ and the development of ecological and

NOTE Confidence: 0.924748182296753

 $00:04:34.974 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.682$ environmental and climatic conditions

NOTE Confidence: 0.924748182296753

 $00:04:36.682 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.822$ that are much more recognizable

NOTE Confidence: 0.924748182296753

 $00:04:38.822 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.036$ like those of the present day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924942910671234

00:04:43.650 --> 00:04:45.972 Awesome, I'm so can you tell us

NOTE Confidence: 0.924942910671234

 $00:04:45.972 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.296$ a little bit about what sorts of

NOTE Confidence: 0.924942910671234

00:04:48.296 --> 00:04:50.597 organisms you see during the D Akron?

NOTE Confidence: 0.924942910671234

00:04:50.600 --> 00:04:52.917 What are sort of their defining features?

NOTE Confidence: 0.924942910671234

 $00:04:52.920 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.650$ If they have any? So

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:04:55.650 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.858$ YD Akron ecosystems included a variety

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:04:57.858 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.010$ of different types of organisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:05:00.010 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.000$ Microbes were still extremely abundant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00{:}05{:}02.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}03.985$ so the origins of microbial

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:05:03.985 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.970$ life long predate the D,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:05:05.970 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.728$ Akron period and microbes are of course

00:05:08.728 --> 00:05:10.340 still enormously abundant today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00{:}05{:}10.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}13.316$ but the fossil record of the late Ed

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:05:13.316 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.367$ Acronym period is really anomalous

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:05:15.367 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.821$ with respect to the vast interval

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:05:17.821 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.880$ of geologic time that precedes

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:05:19.880 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.651$ it because it's in the for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

00:05:22.651 --> 00:05:25.458 first time in the Ed Akron period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:05:25.460 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.421$ That we see evidence of anomalously large

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

00:05:28.421 --> 00:05:31.399 relative to the life that came before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

00:05:31.400 --> 00:05:33.812 So Edie Accra organisms were commonly

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00{:}05{:}33.812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}36.479$ millimeter to centimeter to decimeter scale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:05:36.480 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.982$ but we actually have some organisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:05:38.982 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.998$ which were over a meter in length,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:05:42.000 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.658$ so these are truly large organisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:05:44.658 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.285$ relative to microbial life and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00{:}05{:}47.285 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}49.625$ a number of various complex forms.

 $00:05:49.630 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.168$ And it's this aggregate of complex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92261290550231900:05:52.170 --> 00:05:52.633 macroscopic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00{:}05{:}52.633 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}54.948$ multicellular life that we call

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:05:54.948 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.800$ the Edie Accra Biota.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:05:56.800 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.691$ So YD Accra fossil deposits include a

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:05:59.691 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.969$ few things that we might recognize today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319 $00:06:02.970 \dashrightarrow 00:06:03.870 \text{ For instance},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00{:}06{:}03.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}06.120$ very simple Burroughs and trails

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:06:06.120 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.795$ that were formed in the ancient

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:06:08.795 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.467$ sand by brewing organisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00{:}06{:}10.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}14.014$ So similar to some of the wormlike burrowing

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:06:14.014 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.089$ organisms that we see forming trails today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00{:}06{:}17.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}19.110$ We presume that similar organisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:06:19.110 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.940$ were present in Edie Accra ecosystems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:06:21.940 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.140$ forming these very similar structures,

 $00:06:24.140 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.350$ but many of the Ed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:06:26.350 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.310$ Accra Biota.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

00:06:27.310 --> 00:06:29.710 Fossils or more strictly speaking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:06:29.710 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.405$ body fossils which are fossilized

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:06:32.405 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.610$ carcasses and what most people think

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:06:35.610 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.557$ of when they hear the term fossil

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

00:06:38.557 --> 00:06:41.090 are really just plain bizarre.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:06:41.090 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.838$ There are from like organisms that

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00{:}06{:}43.838 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}06{:}46.307$ are not entirely unlike modern

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

00:06:46.307 --> 00:06:48.519 pin adulations orastie pens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00{:}06{:}48.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}51.677$ but some of them have iterative or

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:06:51.677 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.195$ fractal self iterative are fractal

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:06:54.195 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.453$ patterning throughout their bodies and the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:06:57.460 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.574$ Image I like to call to mind for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:07:00.580 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.464$ For something that more people will

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:07:02.464 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.293$ will have a have a good search image

00:07:05.293 --> 00:07:07.173 for something like Chinese broccoli,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:07:07.180 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.256$ it's a very unusual style of

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:07:09.256 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.043$ bodily construction that we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:07:11.043 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.379$ see in animals today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:07:12.380 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.456$ at least not on that scale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:07:14.460 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.110$ And then other organisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:07:16.110 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.182$ that look like lobes of garlic

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:07:18.182 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.342$ and others that appear to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00{:}07{:}20.342 \longrightarrow 00{:}07{:}22.099$ been very intricately Ridge sax.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00{:}07{:}22.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}24.852$ And there are some that appear to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

00:07:24.852 --> 00:07:27.647 three fold symmetry or to be Tri Radial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:07:27.650 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.430$ which again is another body

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00{:}07{:}29.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}31.210$ form that is really unusual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:07:31.210 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.802$ Today and these organisms were almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:07:33.802 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.040$ without exception entirely soft bodied.

00:07:36.040 --> 00:07:38.230 They didn't have any biominerals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:07:38.230 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.750$ so teeth or scales or bones or shells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:07:41.750 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.240$ which are usually the only things

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:07:44.240 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.448$ that make it into the fossil record.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:07:47.450 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.838$ So they eacker organisms are very

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:07:49.838 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.936$ unusual in the shapes in the structural

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:07:52.936 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.786$ arrangements of their bodies and tissues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

00:07:55.790 --> 00:07:57.990 What we call their morphology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00{:}07{:}57.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}00.470$ and they're also very unusual

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:08:00.470 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.958$ in their fossilization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

00:08:01.960 --> 00:08:03.820 And in large part because of

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:08:03.820 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.186$ these two enigmas of their bodily

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

00:08:06.186 --> 00:08:08.298 construction and their fossilization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:08:08.300 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.646$ the classification of most Edie Accra

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:08:10.646 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.439$ biota organisms has for decades eluded us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:08:13.440 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.939$ And in many cases we still don't

 $00:08:15.939 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.200$ know what these organisms were,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:08:18.200 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.660$ and to what extent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

00:08:19.660 --> 00:08:22.355 Living groups to which living groups of

NOTE Confidence: 0.922612905502319

 $00:08:22.355 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.530$ organisms there most closely related,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930551886558533

 $00:08:24.530 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.106$ and in fact, the history of their

NOTE Confidence: 0.930551886558533

 $00:08:27.106 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.280$ study really reflects this confusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930551886558533

 $00:08:29.280 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.892$ They've been described as

NOTE Confidence: 0.930551886558533

 $00:08:30.892 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.504$ just about everything from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930551886558533

00:08:32.510 --> 00:08:35.492 An entirely from truly modern what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.930551886558533

 $00:08:35.492 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.269$ call Crown group animals too funky.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930551886558533

 $00:08:38.270 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.058$ Lykins bacterial colonies giant

NOTE Confidence: 0.930551886558533

00:08:40.058 --> 00:08:43.140 prokaryotes to a separate Kingdom of life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930551886558533

 $00{:}08{:}43.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}45.600$ not only the physical features and

NOTE Confidence: 0.930551886558533

00:08:45.600 --> 00:08:48.020 likely anatomy of these organisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930551886558533

00:08:48.020 --> 00:08:50.666 but also evidence of their ecologies,

 $00:08:50.670 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.890$ whether they moved or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930551886558533

 $00:08:52.890 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.100$ If so, how they moved?

NOTE Confidence: 0.930551886558533

00:08:55.100 --> 00:08:58.439 How they fed has begun to chip away at

NOTE Confidence: 0.930551886558533

 $00:08:58.439 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.750$ some of these long standing mysteries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930551886558533

 $00:09:01.750 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.432$ at least for a small handful

NOTE Confidence: 0.930551886558533

 $00:09:04.432 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.773$ of individual organisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930551886558533

 $00:09:05.780 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.790$ Such that we're now reasonably

NOTE Confidence: 0.930551886558533

 $00:09:07.790 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.398$ certain that the Ed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930551886558533

 $00:09:09.400 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.455$ Accra biota included at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.930551886558533

 $00{:}09{:}11.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}13.510$ some animals our into animal

NOTE Confidence: 0.930551886558533

 $00{:}09{:}13.586 \to 00{:}09{:}15.426$ relatives as well as algy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930551886558533

 $00:09:15.430 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.685$ But even these interpretations have

NOTE Confidence: 0.930551886558533

 $00{:}09{:}17.685 \to 00{:}09{:}19.940$ been controversial and we still

NOTE Confidence: 0.930551886558533

 $00:09:20.016 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.326$ don't have a good sense of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.930551886558533

00:09:22.326 --> 00:09:24.670 affinity's of most Edie Accra species.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943868100643158

 $00:09:27.080 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.370$ That's so interesting.

 $00:09:28.370 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.961$ Like I had no idea that there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.943868100643158

 $00:09:31.961 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.578$ this entire complex. World that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943868100643158

 $00{:}09{:}34.578 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}37.398$ Doesn't exist today that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.943868100643158

00:09:37.398 --> 00:09:40.839 still trying to understand about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943868100643158

00:09:40.840 --> 00:09:43.115 um, that's that's so interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.943868100643158

 $00:09:43.115 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.935$ that there was this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943868100643158

 $00:09:44.940 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.748$ It sounds like it was so complicated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943868100643158

00:09:48.750 --> 00:09:51.744 And. Like similar to like how

NOTE Confidence: 0.943868100643158

00:09:51.744 --> 00:09:53.740 complicated everything is today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943868100643158

 $00:09:53.740 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.804$ it's just it's so interesting that

NOTE Confidence: 0.943868100643158

00:09:55.804 --> 00:09:58.198 we still don't know that much about

NOTE Confidence: 0.914981305599213

 $00:09:58.200 \longrightarrow 00:10:01.175$ it. Yeah, it's fascinating to me that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914981305599213

 $00{:}10{:}01.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}02.570$ I love reading those stories

NOTE Confidence: 0.914981305599213

 $00:10:02.570 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.960$ about What is this thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914981305599213

 $00:10:03.960 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.068$ Is it an animal?

 $00:10:05.068 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.014$ Is it a plant? We don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914981305599213

 $00:10:07.014 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.960$ How are we going to find out?

NOTE Confidence: 0.914981305599213

 $00:10:08.960 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.628$ It looks like a blob then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914981305599213

00:10:10.630 --> 00:10:11.738 We know it's organized,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914981305599213

 $00:10:11.738 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.123$ so it was probably alive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914981305599213

 $00:10:13.130 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.686$ but we have no idea what it is or

NOTE Confidence: 0.914981305599213

 $00:10:15.686 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.134$ what it was or how it came to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914981305599213

 $00:10:18.140 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.520$ I love those stories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927554666996002

 $00{:}10{:}20.520 \to 00{:}10{:}22.070$ Yeah, me too. I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927554666996002

 $00:10:22.070 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.960$ that's one of the things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.927554666996002

 $00:10:23.960 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.770$ first drew me to the Ed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927554666996002

00:10:25.770 --> 00:10:27.940 Accra biota was the sense of mystery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927554666996002

 $00:10:27.940 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.052$ The sense of weight?

NOTE Confidence: 0.927554666996002

 $00:10:29.052 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.950$ How can we not know if these are animals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927554666996002

00:10:31.950 --> 00:10:32.880 plants, bacteria, funky,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927554666996002

 $00:10:32.880 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.120$ or something else entirely?

 $00:10:34.120 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.734$ How can, how can we actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.927554666996002

 $00:10:35.734 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.819$ not be able to determine that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.927554666996002

 $00:10:37.820 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.951$ Why is that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.927554666996002

 $00:10:38.951 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.836$ And that's really what one

NOTE Confidence: 0.927554666996002

 $00:10:40.836 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.317$ of the questions that got me

NOTE Confidence: 0.927554666996002

 $00:10:43.317 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.780$ to dig into this issue. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.891657054424286

00:10:45.780 --> 00:10:48.167 I guess this is such a fascinating

NOTE Confidence: 0.891657054424286

 $00{:}10{:}48.167 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}50.730$ period with such fascinating organisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891657054424286

 $00:10:50.730 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.790$ But what happened to them?

NOTE Confidence: 0.891657054424286

 $00:10:52.790 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.850$ What happened to the Ed

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

00:10:54.850 --> 00:10:57.903 Akron Biota? That is, yeah, no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:10:57.903 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.665$ That's been one of the most long

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00{:}11{:}00.665 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}01.850$ standing questions concerning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

00:11:01.850 --> 00:11:03.820 Certainly the Edie Accra Biota,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:11:03.820 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.524$ and I would say sort of in the

00:11:06.524 --> 00:11:09.327 evolution of complex life more broadly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:11:09.330 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.474$ just to spend a moment on this question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

00:11:12.480 --> 00:11:14.450 It's really a critical one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:11:14.450 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.874$ because at the broadest scale were

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:11:16.874 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.928$ asking about the evolutionary trajectory

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

00:11:18.928 --> 00:11:21.148 of complex life on our planet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:11:21.150 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.500$ And is there a sort of a single path towards

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

00:11:24.581 --> 00:11:27.857 the emergence of complex animal grade life?

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:11:27.860 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.670$ Or have there been multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:11:29.670 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.118$ experiments along the way?

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00{:}11{:}31.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}32.956$ And perhaps the Edie Accra Biota

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:11:32.956 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.459$ is one of those failed experiments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

00:11:35.460 --> 00:11:37.626 and if we're considering, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

00:11:37.630 --> 00:11:40.164 at a scale even beyond our planet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

00:11:40.170 --> 00:11:43.058 if we're searching for life on exo planets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

00:11:43.060 --> 00:11:45.956 you know what should we be looking for?

 $00:11:45.960 \longrightarrow 00:11:48.018$ Should we expect that that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:11:48.018 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.593$ just been there is at best a

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

00:11:50.593 --> 00:11:52.109 single path towards complexity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:11:52.110 \longrightarrow 00:11:54.357$ or there are a number of potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:11:54.357 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.246$ very different paths towards

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

00:11:56.246 --> 00:11:58.416 complex lifelike the diacre organisms?

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

00:11:58.420 --> 00:12:01.248 So what exactly happened to the Ed?

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:12:01.250 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.668$ Accra Biota is really a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:12:03.668 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.474$ pressing question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:12:04.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.204$ They appear relatively suddenly in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

00:12:07.204 --> 00:12:09.789 geologic record around about the middle

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

00:12:09.789 --> 00:12:12.557 to late portions of the Ed Akron period,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00{:}12{:}12.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}15.388$ and then they have roughly 30 million

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00{:}12{:}15.388 \to 00{:}12{:}18.220$ years of duration in the fossil record,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

00:12:18.220 --> 00:12:21.721 but they disappear at the end of the Ed

00:12:21.721 --> 00:12:25.489 Akron period at the end of the pre Cambrian,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:12:25.490 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.786$ in the beginning of the Phanerozoic Eon and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:12:28.790 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.894$ Why that is is really a critical question

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

00:12:31.894 --> 00:12:34.472 because we're still trying to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:12:34.472 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.058$ to what extent these organisms are

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

00:12:37.130 --> 00:12:39.632 allied with living groups of animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:12:39.632 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.136$ or other living groups of organisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

00:12:42.136 --> 00:12:43.390 Do, for instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:12:43.390 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.309$ the roots of the what we call

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:12:46.309 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.560$ the Cambrian explosion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:12:47.560 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.444$ the radiation of complex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:12:49.444 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.760$ animal life and ecologies that really marks

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:12:52.760 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.637$ the beginning of the Phanerozoic Eon back

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

00:12:55.637 --> 00:12:58.857 all the way back to the days of Darwin?

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:12:58.860 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.326$ Are the roots to that explosion

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:13:01.326 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.150$ actually in the D Akron period?

 $00:13:04.150 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.424$ Are these the ancestors of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00{:}13{:}06.424 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}08.558$ more recognizable E animal dominated

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:13:08.558 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.766$ groups that radiate subsequently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:13:10.770 \longrightarrow 00:13:12.975$ or are they entirely distinct

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:13:12.975 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.298$ from one another?

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:13:14.300 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.506$ So whether or not the Edie Accra

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

00:13:17.506 --> 00:13:19.792 Biota actually went extinct at

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:13:19.792 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.669$ the end of the Ed Akron period?

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618 00:13:22.670 --> 00:13:23.530 Or whether, NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:13:23.530 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.820$ perhaps other circumstances

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:13:24.820 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.970$ might be responsible for their

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:13:27.035 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.310$ disappearance from the fossil record.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618 00:13:29.310 --> 00:13:30.534 Um is really, NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:13:30.534 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.166$ really a critical question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:13:32.170 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.220$ and in the latter scenario,

 $00:13:34.220 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.668$ one of the most common models,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:13:36.670 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.540$ which has historically been proposed is that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:13:39.540 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.585$ uh, the ZD hacker organisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:13:41.585 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.630$ again were entirely soft body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:13:43.630 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.078$ They consisted only of soft tissues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

00:13:46.080 --> 00:13:48.936 They didn't have bio mineralized hard parts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:13:48.940 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.985$ which are typically the only thing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00{:}13{:}51.985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}55.267$ ever makes it into the fossil record.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:13:55.270 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.270$ So a fossils are, or,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618 00:13:57.270 --> 00:13:58.070 you know. NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618 00:13:58.070 --> 00:13:58.470 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

00:13:58.470 --> 00:13:59.270 strictly speaking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

00:13:59.270 --> 00:14:01.811 body fossils are the remains of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

00:14:01.811 --> 00:14:04.216 bodies or the tissues of organisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

00:14:04.216 --> 00:14:06.700 that are preserved in Rock and

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:14:06.700 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.266$ make it into the geologic record.

 $00:14:09.270 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.492$ But in order to make it into the geologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00{:}14{:}12.492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}14.869$ record organisms have to survive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00{:}14{:}14.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}16.470$ Abundant process is detrimental

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:14:16.470 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.270$ to fossilization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908699524402618

 $00:14:17.270 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.270$ They go through decay there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

00:14:19.270 --> 00:14:21.784 scavenge their, their broken up or

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

00:14:21.784 --> 00:14:24.469 abraded by waves or by wind all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

 $00:14:24.470 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.500$ And once they make it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

00:14:26.500 --> 00:14:28.560 Into the rock record initially,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

 $00:14:28.560 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.204$ once they actually become

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

 $00:14:30.204 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.437$ buried in settlements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

 $00:14:31.440 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.679$ In those sediments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

 $00{:}14{:}32.679 --> 00{:}14{:}33.918$ turn into rocks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

 $00:14:33.920 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.980$ Those rocks have to survive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

00:14:35.980 --> 00:14:37.628 Things like mountain building

00:14:37.628 --> 00:14:40.100 processes or the subduction of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

 $00:14:40.164 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.254$ sea floor underneath the continental

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

 $00:14:42.254 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.805$ plates on which are likewise agents

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

 $00:14:44.805 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.157$ of destruction of fossils or can

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

 $00:14:47.157 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.751$ disfigure them beyond recognition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

 $00:14:48.751 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.395$ So exceptional fossilization the

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

00:14:50.395 --> 00:14:52.039 fossilization of soft tissues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

 $00:14:52.040 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.100$ which is what we know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

00:14:54.100 --> 00:14:56.656 The Edie Accra biota were entirely

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

00:14:56.656 --> 00:14:59.907 comprised of and didn't have any hard parts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

 $00{:}14{:}59.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}02.255$ Is really an extraordinary thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

 $00:15:02.255 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.131$ and it requires extraordinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

00:15:04.131 --> 00:15:05.629 conditions and some cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

 $00:15:05.630 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.815$ Those conditions can be prevalent

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

 $00:15:07.815 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.000$ for certain periods of time

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

 $00{:}15{:}10.078 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}12.228$ on which we call preservation.

 $00:15:12.230 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.870$ All windows and those windows can

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

 $00:15:14.870 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.510$ open an those windows can close.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

 $00:15:17.510 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.054$ So one of the major questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

 $00:15:20.054 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.350$ about the Edie Accra Biota.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

 $00{:}15{:}22.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}24.384$ Given that these organisms were soft

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

 $00:15:24.384 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.361$ bodied and that their preservation

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

 $00:15:26.361 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.609$ is therefore exceptional and

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

 $00:15:28.609 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.295$ required exceptional circumstances.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

 $00:15:30.300 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.422$ Is it possible at the Y diacre

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

00:15:33.422 --> 00:15:34.760 organisms actually survived

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

 $00:15:34.842 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.918$ the end of the pre cambran,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

 $00:15:36.920 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.410$ at least for a little while,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

 $00{:}15{:}39.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}41.774$ but we're no longer being fossilized

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

00:15:41.774 --> 00:15:44.209 and that that boundary in time

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

 $00:15:44.209 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.179$ rather than marking the extinction

 $00:15:46.179 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.100$ of these ancient organisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

 $00{:}15{:}48.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}49.985$ actually was simply the closing

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

 $00:15:49.985 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.427$ of a fossilization window of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

 $00:15:52.427 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.882$ extraordinary circumstances that

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

 $00:15:53.882 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.822$ permitted those soft tissues

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

 $00:15:55.822 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.271$ to actually make it into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.919428884983063

00:15:58.271 --> 00:15:59.687 fossil and Geologic Records.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863564610481262

00:16:01.720 --> 00:16:04.972 Fascinating, yeah, it's it's so

NOTE Confidence: 0.863564610481262

 $00{:}16{:}04.972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}09.900$ crazy to me that this entire complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:16:09.900 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.400$ You know world of life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

00:16:11.400 --> 00:16:13.353 We might have not even know about

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:16:13.353 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.825$ known about it had it not been that

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:16:15.825 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.395$ there was the right circumstances

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:16:17.460 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.497$ at the right time to preserve them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

00:16:19.500 --> 00:16:21.894 And it makes me wonder, like you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:16:21.894 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.682$ are we missing other things that

00:16:23.682 --> 00:16:25.800 might have existed on the world? Just

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:16:25.800 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.900$ because you know they couldn't be fossil?

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:16:27.900 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.601$ No, I worry about that all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:16:29.601 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.845$ time and it extends to not only

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

00:16:31.845 --> 00:16:33.293 you know individual organisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:16:33.300 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.760$ but also thinking about things

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:16:34.760 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.600$ at the at the ecosystem scale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:16:36.600 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.502$ So when we look at exceptionally

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00{:}16{:}38.502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}40.616$ preserved fossils and first of all, it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

00:16:40.616 --> 00:16:43.944 It's important to to note that not all

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:16:43.944 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.660$ exceptionally preserved fossils are the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:16:46.660 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.264$ so there are different modes or

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00{:}16{:}49.264 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}51.000$ styles of exceptional fossilisation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:16:51.000 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.231$ One of the more common forms is that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:16:54.231 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.039$ more chemically resistant components

 $00:16:56.039 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.720$ of of a carcass of a body or of soft

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00{:}17{:}00.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}03.546$ tissues can survive degradation and be

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:17:03.546 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.190$ preserved as thin carbon rich films.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

00:17:06.190 --> 00:17:08.830 But you can also replicate soft

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:17:08.830 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.590$ tissues or replace them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:17:10.590 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.572$ My minerals that form during decay on

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

00:17:13.572 --> 00:17:16.489 in common minerals involved in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:17:16.489 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.561$ type of exceptional fossilization

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

00:17:18.561 --> 00:17:21.109 include pyrite or fool's gold,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

00:17:21.110 --> 00:17:23.500 appetite, calcium phosphate or silica,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00{:}17{:}23.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}26.368$ and then there are rare instances

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

00:17:26.368 --> 00:17:28.280 like entrapment in Amber,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:17:28.280 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.815$ but even the most extraordinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

00:17:30.815 --> 00:17:32.843 preservation of soft tissues

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:17:32.843 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.970$ involved some amount of decay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:17:34.970 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.820$ so there's always some amount of

 $00:17:37.820 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.739$ information loss and in fact decay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:17:40.740 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.044$ Is often an integral component in

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00{:}17{:}43.044 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}45.597$ the precipitation of some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

00:17:45.597 --> 00:17:47.972 very minerals that are responsible

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:17:47.972 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.397$ for exceptional preservation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

00:17:49.400 --> 00:17:50.699 So actually counterintuitively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

00:17:50.699 --> 00:17:52.864 decay is essential for exceptional

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315 00:17:52.864 --> 00:17:53.297 fossilization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

00:17:53.300 --> 00:17:56.324 but obviously it's a question of scale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

00:17:56.330 --> 00:17:58.928 If you have too much decay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:17:58.930 \longrightarrow 00:18:01.516$ or if it occurs to quickly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:18:01.520 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.872$ you're not able to actually capture

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00{:}18{:}03.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}06.024$ that an atomical detail and create

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

00:18:06.024 --> 00:18:07.587 that extraordinary fossil,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:18:07.590 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.176$ but because decay and information loss,

 $00:18:10.180 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.390$ or sometimes the introduction of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:18:12.390 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.660$ Secondary an artificial features that

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:18:14.660 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.469$ are a byproduct of decay are are unavoidable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

00:18:18.470 --> 00:18:21.000 but also differ between different

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:18:21.000 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.530$ styles of fossilization and different

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:18:23.601 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.056$ styles of fossilization have different

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:18:26.056 \longrightarrow 00:18:28.982$ levels of bias associated with them

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:18:28.982 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.334$ in terms of what typically gets

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:18:31.334 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.659$ preserved and what typically gets lost.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:18:33.659 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.574$ It's really important that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00{:}18{:}35.574 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}37.653$ understand the mechanisms responsible

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:18:37.653 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.528$ for exceptional fossilization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:18:39.530 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.260$ So In other words it's tempting when

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00{:}18{:}42.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}44.740$ we have really extraordinarily.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:18:44.740 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.068$ Well preserved fossils such as fossils

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:18:47.068 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.089$ of soft tissues are softbodied

 $00:18:49.089 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.854$ organisms like the Edie Accra biota to

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:18:51.854 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.389$ take them at face value and say OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315 00:18:54.390 --> 00:18:55.497 this is I'm. NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

00:18:55.497 --> 00:18:56.973 This is extraordinarily fortunate

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

00:18:56.973 --> 00:18:58.870 for our ability to reconstruct

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:18:58.870 \longrightarrow 00:19:01.054$ past life from this time interval.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:19:01.060 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.256$ I'm going to take this wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00{:}19{:}03.256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}05.891$ fossil deposit as a snapshot as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00{:}19{:}05.891 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}08.087$ census population of what was life

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00{:}19{:}08.087 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}10.723$ was like on the ancient sea floor

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:19:10.723 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.465$ some 560 million years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00{:}19{:}12.465 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}14.940$ But the reality is is that and less we

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:19:15.012 \dashrightarrow 00:19:17.767$ understand what mechanisms are responsible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

00:19:17.770 --> 00:19:20.122 For fossilisation we you don't know

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:19:20.122 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.465$ what information has been lost and

00:19:22.465 --> 00:19:24.583 how much information has been lost.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

00:19:24.590 --> 00:19:27.033 So if we're going to attempt to

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:19:27.033 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.026$ reconstruct not only what these

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:19:29.026 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.900$ organisms were,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:19:29.900 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.156$ but also what did the structure

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

00:19:32.156 --> 00:19:34.070 of these ecosystems look like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:19:34.070 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.094$ if we're going to be able to infer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:19:37.100 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.224$ you know what sort of interactions

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:19:39.224 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.115$ took place between different members

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:19:41.115 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.779$ of these ancient communities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:19:42.780 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.606$ But also if we want to make very broad

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:19:45.606 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.779$ statements about evolutionary patterns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00:19:47.780 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.920$ When we observe apparent appearances

NOTE Confidence: 0.926166117191315

 $00{:}19{:}49.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}52.060$ and disappearances of these fossils

NOTE Confidence: 0.936454951763153

 $00:19:52.122 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.490$ in the geologic record,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936454951763153

 $00{:}19{:}53.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}55.932$ we really need to understand what

00:19:55.932 --> 00:19:58.033 factors are responsible for their

NOTE Confidence: 0.936454951763153

 $00:19:58.033 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.833$ fossilization so that we can better judge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936454951763153

 $00:20:00.840 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.899$ Is this an accurate look at ancient

NOTE Confidence: 0.936454951763153

 $00:20:03.899 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.655$ sea floor diversity and do we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.936454951763153

 $00:20:06.655 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.217$ grounds to say that this was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.936454951763153

00:20:09.217 --> 00:20:11.791 true extinction event or a true

NOTE Confidence: 0.936454951763153

 $00:20:11.791 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.349$ origination event or not a man?

NOTE Confidence: 0.936454951763153

 $00:20:14.349 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.041$ What sort of environmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.936454951763153

 $00:20:16.041 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.969$ conditions were responsible for this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.936454951763153

00:20:17.970 --> 00:20:18.796 Fossilization, Anne,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936454951763153

 $00:20:18.796 \longrightarrow 00:20:20.448$ how did those change?

NOTE Confidence: 0.936454951763153

 $00:20:20.450 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.580$ So how did that environmental change

NOTE Confidence: 0.936454951763153

 $00{:}20{:}22.580 \longrightarrow 00{:}20{:}24.731$ against as the backdrop of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.936454951763153

 $00{:}20{:}24.731 \longrightarrow 00{:}20{:}26.723$ biological change affect not only the

NOTE Confidence: 0.936454951763153

 $00:20:26.723 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.869$ ecology of these ancient organisms,

00:20:28.870 --> 00:20:31.066 but also their chances of making

NOTE Confidence: 0.936454951763153

 $00:20:31.066 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.790$ it into the fossil record?

NOTE Confidence: 0.935759961605072

00:20:34.790 --> 00:20:36.746 So it sounds like this whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.935759961605072

 $00:20:36.746 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.050$ process is very nuanced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935759961605072

 $00:20:38.050 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.658$ I feel like most people when they hear

NOTE Confidence: 0.935759961605072

00:20:40.658 --> 00:20:42.649 extinction event what they think of

NOTE Confidence: 0.935759961605072

 $00:20:42.649 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.569$ is the extinction of the dinosaurs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935759961605072

00:20:44.570 --> 00:20:46.796 They think asteroid everything died and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.935759961605072

00:20:46.796 --> 00:20:49.128 know that because we stopped seeing things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935759961605072

00:20:49.130 --> 00:20:51.368 but it sounds like it's actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.935759961605072

 $00:20:51.368 \longrightarrow 00:20:53.472$ much more complicated that you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.935759961605072

 $00:20:53.472 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.648$ to look on both sides of the coin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935759961605072

00:20:55.650 --> 00:20:58.332 You kind of have to look at not only

NOTE Confidence: 0.935759961605072

 $00:20:58.332 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.120$ the fossils but also the environment so

NOTE Confidence: 0.935759961605072

00:21:01.120 --> 00:21:04.749 that kind of leads us into the next point of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935759961605072

 $00:21:04.750 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.816$ You your research has been focusing on

00:21:07.816 --> 00:21:10.309 these many hypothesis for how or why,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935759961605072

 $00:21:10.310 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.185$ or the explanations for what

NOTE Confidence: 0.935759961605072

 $00:21:12.185 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.680$ we see in the fossil record.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935759961605072

 $00:21:14.680 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.086$ So can you elaborate on these

NOTE Confidence: 0.935759961605072

00:21:17.086 --> 00:21:19.467 hypothesis as to what happened at

NOTE Confidence: 0.935759961605072

00:21:19.467 --> 00:21:22.757 the end of the D Akron from both the

NOTE Confidence: 0.935759961605072

00:21:22.757 --> 00:21:25.385 geology and the environment and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:21:25.390 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.563$ animals themselves organisms?

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

00:21:26.563 --> 00:21:28.516 Yes, uh yeah, there's been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

00:21:28.516 --> 00:21:30.844 You know, any number of hypothesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:21:30.844 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.937$ about what happened to the Ed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:21:32.940 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.369$ Accra Biota, and these are wrapped up

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00{:}21{:}35.369 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}38.042$ in the other questions of what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00{:}21{:}38.042 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}40.376$ Edie Accra organisms were as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:21:40.380 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.781$ But I would say this three chief

 $00:21:42.781 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.850$ hypothesis fall into two categories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:21:44.850 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.222$ One is that yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:21:46.222 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.280$ there was truly an extinction event

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:21:48.346 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.440$ and they disappeared and the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:21:50.440 \longrightarrow 00:21:53.029$ is that maybe they didn't disappear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

00:21:53.030 --> 00:21:54.890 Or maybe they eventually disappeared,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:21:54.890 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.193$ but maybe not as a true extinction

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

00:21:57.193 --> 00:21:59.010 event or mass extinction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

00:21:59.010 --> 00:22:02.018 And it was a question of preservation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

00:22:02.018 --> 00:22:04.390 the preservation TLE window closed Amman,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

00:22:04.390 --> 00:22:06.497 in terms of if we assume that

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:22:06.497 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.989$ it was a true disappearance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:22:08.990 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.678$ And it wasn't just changes and fossilization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:22:11.680 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.002$ There are two hypothesis for why

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:22:14.002 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.289$ that might have been the case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

00:22:16.290 --> 00:22:18.796 and one is that there was environmental

 $00:22:18.796 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.006$ change on which is something that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:22:21.006 \longrightarrow 00:22:23.505$ been implicated in many of the mass

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:22:23.579 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.694$ extinctions that we have recorded

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

00:22:25.694 --> 00:22:27.809 in Earth's more recent history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:22:27.810 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.350$ like the Cretaceous Paleogene

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:22:29.350 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.120$ Mass extinction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

00:22:30.120 --> 00:22:32.600 In which the dinosaurs disappeared,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00{:}22{:}32.600 \rightarrow 00{:}22{:}35.354$ but the other possibility that's been

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00{:}22{:}35.354 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}39.379$ that's been mooted is that change is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:22:39.379 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.014$ ecological interactions between Edie Accra,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:22:42.020 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.012$ biota organisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:22:43.012 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.500$ and other organisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:22:44.500 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.290$ and potentially early complex animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00{:}22{:}47.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}50.562$ emerging around the same time may

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:22:50.562 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.355$ have played a role in driving the

00:22:53.355 --> 00:22:55.909 Edie Accra biota to extinction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

00:22:55.910 --> 00:22:57.894 either very directly by,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

00:22:57.894 --> 00:23:00.348 for example, praying upon them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

00:23:00.348 --> 00:23:01.806 Or more indirectly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:23:01.810 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.180$ for instance through competition for

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00{:}23{:}04.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}07.469$ shared resources that may have been scarce,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:23:07.470 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.690$ so those are the sort of three

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:23:10.690 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.077$ models for what happened to the Ed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00{:}23{:}14.080 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}23{:}16.435$ Accra biota changes and fossilization

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

00:23:16.435 --> 00:23:17.848 or true extinction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00{:}23{:}17.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}19.880$ mediated either by environmental change

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:23:19.880 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.520$ or by some sort of ecological escalation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:23:23.520 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.880$ which was ultimately detrimental to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:23:25.880 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.077$ Edie Accra organisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00{:}23{:}27.077 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}29.870$ So I'm I've long been interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:23:29.946 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.466$ this question of what happened to

 $00:23:32.466 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.657$ the diacre organisms again because

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:23:34.657 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.695$ of the role they potentially play in

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:23:37.695 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.761$ our understanding of the emergence

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:23:39.761 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.349$ of complex animal life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:23:41.350 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.720$ and to what extent there really

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:23:43.720 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.110$ a stepping stone along that path,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:23:46.110 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.390$ and I've really focused apon sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:23:48.390 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.880$ of both angles in my research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:23:50.880 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.512$ both the fossilization question

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00{:}23{:}52.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}54.960$ and the extinction question I can

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:23:55.027 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.289$ talk a little bit about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:23:57.289 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.420$ fossilization angle first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:23:58.420 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.066$ So as we were just discussing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:24:01.070 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.320$ there are a number of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:24:03.320 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.864$ ways that you can have exceptional

 $00:24:05.864 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.274$ preservation of soft tissues or

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00{:}24{:}08.274 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}11.179$ of soft bodied organisms like the

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

00:24:11.179 --> 00:24:12.556 Edie Accra Biota.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:24:12.560 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.218$ But we really need to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:24:15.218 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.736$ what the underlying mechanisms are to

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

00:24:17.736 --> 00:24:19.758 gauge to what extent those fossils

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:24:19.758 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.168$ are an accurate representation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:24:22.168 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.933$ ancient sea floor diversity antenna.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

00:24:24.940 --> 00:24:26.344 Understand appearances and

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

00:24:26.344 --> 00:24:27.748 disappearances actually reflect

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00{:}24{:}27.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}29.830$ evolutionary trends as opposed to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:24:29.830 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.805$ Other factors such as environmentally

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:24:31.805 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.182$ mediated changes in fossilization, so did

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:24:34.182 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.954$ the window for fossilisation of the Ed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:24:36.960 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.536$ Accra bio to close at the end

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:24:39.536 \longrightarrow 00:24:41.310$ of the Ed Akron,

 $00:24:41.310 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.686$ and is that why they disappear?

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

00:24:43.690 --> 00:24:46.066 So the Edie Accra biota are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:24:46.070 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.822$ by definition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:24:46.822 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.326$ exceptional fossils because they

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:24:48.326 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.420$ were entirely soft bite organisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:24:50.420 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.400$ The nonetheless made it into

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:24:52.400 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.588$ the fossil record,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921276241540908

 $00:24:53.590 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.966$ which is really not the rule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00{:}24{:}55.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}59.130$ It is the exception in the fossil record,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:24:59.130 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.958$ but their preserved in a very distinctive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:25:01.960 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.976$ An unusual style in most Edie Accra biota.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00{:}25{:}04.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}06.745$ Fossil deposits these organisms are

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00{:}25{:}06.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}08.980$ preserved as what we call cas on

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00{:}25{:}08.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}11.005$ molds or impressions and sandstones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

00:25:11.010 --> 00:25:13.726 So if you were to imagining oppressing

00:25:13.726 --> 00:25:16.290 your hand into sand at the beach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:25:16.290 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.338$ for example, of We were so fortunate to

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

00:25:19.338 --> 00:25:22.698 be able to go to the beach this summer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:25:22.700 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.938$ the impressions of not only the

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:25:24.938 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.840$ overall features of your hand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:25:26.840 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.055$ but features like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:25:28.055 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.890$ maybe you're the edges of your fingernails

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:25:30.960 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.018$ or the wrinkles with your skin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

00:25:33.020 --> 00:25:35.294 That replication of the anatomy of

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:25:35.294 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.857$ your hand in those sand grains that

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:25:37.857 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.576$ mold of your hand is sort of analogous

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:25:40.576 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.285$ to what we're talking about here in

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:25:43.285 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.900$ terms of the fossilization of the Ed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:25:45.900 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.720$ Accra, firewood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:25:46.720 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.590$ and we call this Edie Acura style

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00{:}25{:}49.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}51.149$ fossilisation because it's a

00:25:51.149 --> 00:25:52.944 particularly well known in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00{:}25{:}52.944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}55.331$ Edie Accra Biota and in the diac

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:25:55.331 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.411$ remember this unit where the Edie

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

00:25:57.411 --> 00:25:59.766 Accra biota were first described.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:25:59.770 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.996$ So no portion of the original

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:26:01.996 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.250$ tissues remain in these instances,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:26:04.250 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.854$ but all of the detailed anatomy of

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00{:}26{:}06.854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}09.267$ their soft tissues is replicated in

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

00:26:09.267 --> 00:26:11.709 the arrangement of those sand grains

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:26:11.709 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.017$ that were pressed against them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00{:}26{:}14.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}15.130$ Once they died,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:26:15.130 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.720$ and once they were buried an because

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00{:}26{:}17.793 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}20.265$ these were soft tissues an would

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:26:20.265 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.847$ therefore have been prone to pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

00:26:22.847 --> 00:26:25.409 rapid decay once these organisms died,

 $00:26:25.410 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.816$ we know that this process of

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00{:}26{:}27.816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}29.930$ replication via these Sandy molds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:26:29.930 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.458$ Must have started immediately

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:26:31.458 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.750$ after they died and before their

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:26:33.817 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.729$ carcasses entirely rotted away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:26:35.730 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.530$ But how exactly they were fossilized has

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:26:38.530 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.109$ long been mysterious on previous studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:26:41.110 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.462$ Have suggested that this was facilitated

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00{:}26{:}43.462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}46.080$ by the precipitation of pyrite minerals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

00:26:46.080 --> 00:26:49.248 or fool's gold as a veneer or mask along

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00{:}26{:}49.248 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}52.518$ the upper surface is of these organisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

00:26:52.518 --> 00:26:55.694 after their their death and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:26:55.694 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.494$ has been called the death mask model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:26:58.500 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.580$ which is sort of A.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:27:00.580 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.373$ Reminiscent of things like the Gold Leaf

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

00:27:03.373 --> 00:27:06.013 Death Mass that were that were used

00:27:06.013 --> 00:27:08.710 by by the ancient Greeks for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:27:08.710 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.350$ I'm another model for how

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00{:}27{:}11.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}13.462$ these organisms were preserved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:27:13.470 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.650$ has focused upon the tissues themselves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:27:16.650 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.295$ and suggested that they actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

00:27:19.295 --> 00:27:21.940 must have been incredibly sturdy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:27:21.940 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.052$ something more like Lignin

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:27:24.052 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.692$ in modern plants and funky.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525 00:27:26.700 --> 00:27:27.159 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00{:}27{:}27.159 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}30.372$ I personally never never found either of

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

00:27:30.372 --> 00:27:33.040 these hypothesis particularly convincing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:27:33.040 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.974$ We know from very detailed preservation

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

00:27:35.974 --> 00:27:38.900 of some of these organisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:27:38.900 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.325$ In some cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:27:40.325 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.700$ examples of these organisms that

 $00:27:42.700 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.496$ were fossilized in the act of being

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00{:}27{:}45.496 \operatorname{{--}}{>} 00{:}27{:}48.249$ uprooted from the sea floor by currents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:27:48.250 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.057$ or tumbled along the sea floor as

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00{:}27{:}51.057 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}53.349$ part of underwater storm events.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:27:53.350 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.310$ We know that the tissues of many of

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:27:56.310 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.755$ these organisms could be plastically

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525 00:27:58.755 --> 00:27:59.299 deformed, NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:27:59.300 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.275$ so I think they're truly soft issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:28:02.280 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.734$ We don't need to invoke something

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:28:04.734 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.370$ extraordinary in the composition

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00{:}28{:}06.437 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}09.095$ of these organisms to explain their

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525 00:28:09.095 --> 00:28:09.538 fossilization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:28:09.540 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.102$ And there are also very few instances

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

00:28:12.102 --> 00:28:14.772 of Pyrite or fool's gold associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00:28:14.772 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.322$ with these. Mold eccle preserve DD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913572788238525

 $00{:}28{:}17.322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}20.463$ Accra fossils so so I had reason to

 $00:28:20.463 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.375$ be a little bit dubious of either of

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:28:23.462 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.362$ these two prevailing models

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:28:25.362 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.737$ for fossilization and I had

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:28:27.737 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.290$ been already working on the Ed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:28:30.290 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.780$ Accra biota more from an organismal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:28:32.780 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.775$ An ecological perspective and one

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:28:34.775 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.714$ of the things that I noticed was

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:28:37.714 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.879$ that these are really silica.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:28:39.880 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.664$ Rich rocks not only is there

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

00:28:42.664 --> 00:28:45.270 not good evidence for Pyrite,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:28:45.270 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.700$ but there's an awful lot of silica.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:28:48.700 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.110$ The grains themselves arcilla cut

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00{:}28{:}51.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}53.520$ their quartz crystals and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:28:53.600 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.078$ cements that hold those sand grains

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:28:56.078 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.927$ in place that were responsible for

00:28:58.927 --> 00:29:01.159 transforming those Sandy loose

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

00:29:01.159 --> 00:29:03.890 sediments to sandstone the Brock.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:29:03.890 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.340$ Those cements are themselves silica,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:29:06.340 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.790$ so I started to wonder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

00:29:08.790 --> 00:29:11.265 could silica have somehow been

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:29:11.265 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.245$ involved in the fossilization?

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

00:29:13.250 --> 00:29:15.620 Of these Edie Accra organisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:29:15.620 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.206$ and that was really a thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:29:18.206 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.820$ that got the wheels spinning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:29:20.820 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.418$ Because this is an interval in

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

00:29:23.418 --> 00:29:26.286 earths history when we think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:29:26.286 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.901$ the oceans were actually remarkably

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00{:}29{:}28.901 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}31.425$ rich in dissolved silica much

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:29:31.425 \longrightarrow 00:29:33.585$ more than they are today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:29:33.590 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.642$ And that difference is because today we

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:29:36.642 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.210$ have all sorts of organisms that drawdown,

00:29:40.210 --> 00:29:42.754 ocean reserves of silica to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00{:}29{:}42.754 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}45.440$ shells and test for themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:29:45.440 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.772$ So sponges make spicules for themselves or

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

00:29:48.772 --> 00:29:51.248 their structural supports out of silica.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

00:29:51.250 --> 00:29:54.379 Also there are many groups of plankton,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

00:29:54.380 --> 00:29:55.324 particularly diatoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

00:29:55.324 --> 00:29:58.628 which are some of our most abundant

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00{:}29{:}58.628 \rightarrow 00{:}30{:}01.142$ plankton in the oceans today that

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00{:}30{:}01.142 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}03.107$ make shells for themselves out

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00{:}30{:}03.187 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}05.492$ of silica hanzo plankton groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:30:05.492 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.336$ like radiolarians as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:30:07.340 \longrightarrow 00:30:09.902$ So today there is remarkably little

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00{:}30{:}09.902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}13.089$ silica in the oceans dissolved in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:30:13.089 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.885$ oceans because all of these organisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

00:30:15.890 --> 00:30:18.164 Are responsible for up taking that

 $00:30:18.164 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.967$ silica in order to to make their

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

00:30:20.967 --> 00:30:23.397 biominerals to make their hard parts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:30:23.400 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.794$ but prior to the evolution and radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:30:25.794 \longrightarrow 00:30:28.528$ of these silica bio mineralizing organisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:30:28.530 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.176$ the oceans would have been a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

00:30:31.176 --> 00:30:32.880 richer and dissolved silica,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:30:32.880 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.768$ and in fact there are all sorts of

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:30:35.768 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.420$ very silica rich deposits in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:30:38.420 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.735$ geologic record of the precambrian

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:30:40.735 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.692$ hum that appear to have formed an all

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

00:30:43.692 --> 00:30:47.537 in the sea floor or just below the sea floor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:30:47.540 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.074$ There are also other examples from fossil

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:30:50.074 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.737$ archives of really extraordinarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:30:51.737 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.789$ preserved assemblages of microbes

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:30:53.789 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.354$ that have been essentially entombed

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

00:30:56.418 --> 00:30:58.288 in silica during this interval.

 $00:30:58.290 \longrightarrow 00:31:00.845$ So all of these lines of evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00{:}31{:}00.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}03.965$ suggests that the oceans were much richer

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

00:31:03.965 --> 00:31:06.887 and dissolved silica during the precambrian,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:31:06.890 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.040$ which is also the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:31:09.040 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.190$ of the EAC or organisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

00:31:11.190 --> 00:31:14.367 So I sort of set out on an attempt

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:31:14.367 \longrightarrow 00:31:17.687$ to test this hypothesis of could.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00{:}31{:}17.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}20.048$ Silica precipitation have played some role

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:31:20.048 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.650$ in the preservation of these organisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:31:22.650 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.298$ Then this extraordinary style

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:31:24.298 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.534$ of preservation on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:31:25.540 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.388$ and I did a fair amount of field

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:31:28.388 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.080$ work in Australia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:31:30.080 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.564$ that the type locality of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:31:32.564 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.918$ Ed Accra Biota in the in the D

 $00:31:35.918 \longrightarrow 00:31:37.923$ Accra Hills in the Flinders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00{:}31{:}37.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}40.674$ and I looked at the various aspects

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:31:40.674 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.796$ of their paleontology of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:31:42.796 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.540$ distribution of different taxa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

00:31:44.540 --> 00:31:46.620 I also did some microscopic

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:31:46.620 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.700$ work where I took very.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:31:48.700 \longrightarrow 00:31:50.780$ Since slivers of the rock,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:31:50.780 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.844$ then enough that you can actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:31:52.844 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.254$ shine a light through them under

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:31:55.254 \longrightarrow 00:31:57.684$ the microscope and examine their

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:31:57.684 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.656$ mineralogical composition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00{:}31{:}58.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}01.208$ and I also did some Geo chemical

NOTE Confidence: 0.902481615543365

 $00:32:01.208 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.300$ analysis of differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:32:02.371 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.299$ in trace element concentrations across these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:32:05.300 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.415$ These thin slivers of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:32:07.415 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.870$ slices of these of the ZD.

 $00:32:09.870 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.132$ Accra fossils, an all of all

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

00:32:12.132 --> 00:32:14.430 the features that I observed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:32:14.430 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.455$ those different scales from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:32:16.455 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.030$ scale of the fossil deposit itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:32:19.030 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.585$ In what organisms were in it to

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:32:21.585 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.237$ the scale up to the microscopic

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:32:24.237 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.687$ scale indicated that not only

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00{:}32{:}26.687 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}29.658$ were these very silica rich rocks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:32:29.660 \longrightarrow 00:32:31.880$ where not only the grains,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:32:31.880 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.090$ but the cement Sarah silica,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:32:34.090 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.305$ but those silica cements actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:32:36.305 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.520$ precipitated really early in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00{:}32{:}38.591 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}40.736$ geologic history of these rocks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:32:40.740 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.745$ and potentially early enough to

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:32:42.745 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.291$ have formed the glue that held

00:32:45.291 --> 00:32:47.616 together the scaffolding of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:32:47.616 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.040$ sand grains that replicated though.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:32:50.040 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.495$ All of that detailed anatomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:32:52.495 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.950$ of the Zodiac or organisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:32:54.950 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.962$ so those analysis bore out that

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:32:57.962 \longrightarrow 00:33:00.515$ early precipitation of these silica

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:33:00.515 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.735$ cements prior to the total decay and

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00{:}33{:}03.735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}06.714$ collapse of the car casses of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00{:}33{:}06.714 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}09.672$ very diacre organisms on the ancient

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:33:09.680 \longrightarrow 00:33:12.599$ sea floor could indeed have played a

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00{:}33{:}12.599 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}15.570$ strong role in their fossilization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:33:15.570 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.554$ And another really intriguing

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:33:17.554 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.530$ door that this opened was that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00{:}33{:}20.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}22.948$ We don't think that the decline

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:33:22.948 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.742$ and dissolved silica in the ancient

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00{:}33{:}25.742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}28.492$ oceans happened at the precambrian

00:33:28.492 --> 00:33:30.142 phanerozoic boundary itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:33:30.150 \longrightarrow 00:33:33.998$ which is when the Edie Accra biota disappear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292 00:33:34.000 --> 00:33:34.960 In fact, NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:33:34.960 \longrightarrow 00:33:37.840$ it seems as though that persisted

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:33:37.840 \longrightarrow 00:33:40.882$ actually a few 10s of millions of

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

00:33:40.882 --> 00:33:44.074 years at least if not hundreds of

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:33:44.074 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.945$ millions of years into the Phanerozoic Eon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:33:47.950 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.668$ So In other words, if early

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00{:}33{:}50.668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}53.280$ precipitation of these silica minerals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:33:53.280 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.764$ Was really vital to the fossilization

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292 00:33:55.764 --> 00:33:57.006 of the Ed. NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:33:57.010 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.836$ Accra biota.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

00:33:57.836 --> 00:33:59.488 If this is our,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

00:33:59.490 --> 00:34:02.388 if this is our window for fossilization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:34:02.390 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.278$ that window was still open at the end

 $00:34:05.278 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.707$ of the Ed Akron when the Edie Accra

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00{:}34{:}08.707 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}11.387$ Biota disappeared and in fact we

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:34:11.387 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.949$ have examples of fossils of younger

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:34:13.949 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.805$ age that are preserved in this same

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

00:34:16.805 --> 00:34:19.360 style as the Edie Accra Biota.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:34:19.360 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.978$ As these molds as these impressions in

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:34:21.978 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.349$ sandstone but they're entirely different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00{:}34{:}24.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}26.684$ Organisms and in fact there there

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00{:}34{:}26.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}28.740$ much more recognizable animal groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:34:28.740 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.735$ so there are jellyfish that

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:34:30.735 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.730$ are preserved in this style,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:34:32.730 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.232$ and there are different types of

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:34:35.232 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.918$ arthropods that are preserved in this style.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:34:37.920 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.428$ So In other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:34:39.428 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.164$ this style doesn't need seem to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00{:}34{:}42.164 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}44.908$ linked to the affinity or the tissue

 $00:34:44.908 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.890$ structure of Edie acker organisms per se.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00{:}34{:}47.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}50.410$ And it also appears to persist

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:34:50.410 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.680$ after the disappearance of the Ed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:34:52.680 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.480$ Accra biota, so it seemed really unlikely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

00:34:55.480 --> 00:34:57.820 That the Ed Accra disappearance

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:34:57.820 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.688$ could be attributed to changes in

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:35:00.688 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.400$ fossilization in the closing of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00{:}35{:}03.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}06.197$ preservation a window and because we

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00{:}35{:}06.197 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}08.909$ see this same style of preservation

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00{:}35{:}08.909 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}11.166$ affecting a number of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

00:35:11.166 --> 00:35:13.860 types of organisms within the Edie

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:35:13.949 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.403$ Accra Biota as well as younger

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00{:}35{:}16.403 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}19.090$ animal groups in the Phanerozoic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:35:19.090 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.362$ It seems as though this is a type

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00{:}35{:}22.362 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}25.336$ of fossilization that is not biased

 $00:35:25.336 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.926$ toward particular groups of organisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:35:27.930 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.140$ And it should give us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292 00:35:30.140 --> 00:35:30.964 in theory, NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:35:30.964 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.612$ a relatively complete snapshot

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:35:32.612 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.000$ of ancient sea floor diversity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:35:35.000 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.115$ which was something that had

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:35:37.115 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.807$ previously perhaps been assumed

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:35:38.807 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.188$ that never really demonstrated on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00{:}35{:}41.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}43.296$ So that was very exciting to

NOTE Confidence: 0.91597318649292

 $00:35:43.296 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.700$ be able to find

NOTE Confidence: 0.9333176612854

 $00{:}35{:}44.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}46.884$ some underlying mechanism that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9333176612854

 $00:35:46.884 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.514$ could explain the Edie Accra

NOTE Confidence: 0.9333176612854

00:35:49.514 --> 00:35:51.797 fossil record in some sense,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9333176612854

 $00{:}35{:}51.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}54.572$ but also give us some guidance

NOTE Confidence: 0.9333176612854

 $00{:}35{:}54.572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}57.326$ stored to what extent we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9333176612854

 $00:35:57.326 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.356$ use the Ed Accra fossils.

 $00:35:59.360 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.848$ As a metric for inch and see for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9333176612854

 $00:36:01.848 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.899$ diversity and community structure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944569051265717

 $00:36:05.820 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.983$ That's extremely interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.944569051265717

 $00:36:07.983 \longrightarrow 00:36:11.588$ that just by studying the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944569051265717

 $00:36:11.590 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.622$ The rocks I guess he's a very non technical

NOTE Confidence: 0.944569051265717

 $00:36:15.622 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.715$ term around where these fossils are formed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944569051265717

00:36:19.720 --> 00:36:23.815 Uhm, you were able to come up with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.944569051265717

 $00:36:23.815 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.092$ entire mechanism by which these fossils

NOTE Confidence: 0.944569051265717

 $00{:}36{:}27.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}30.905$ were created and I was just curious

NOTE Confidence: 0.944569051265717

 $00:36:30.905 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.400$ as someone very naive to the field,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944569051265717

 $00{:}36{:}34.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}36{:}380$ do geologists or archaeologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.944569051265717

 $00:36:36.380 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.510$ ever tried to replicate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944569051265717

 $00{:}36{:}38.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}39.576$ hypothesize fossilization

NOTE Confidence: 0.944569051265717

00:36:39.576 --> 00:36:41.708 conditions using, you know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.944569051265717

 $00:36:41.710 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.167$ Methods in the laboratory to see if

 $00:36:44.167 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.850$ these things are actually able to occur.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940465688705444

 $00:36:46.850 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.314$ Yes, they do actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940465688705444

 $00:36:48.314 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.144$ so there's a whole field,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940465688705444

 $00:36:50.150 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.352$ a whole branch of paleontology that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.940465688705444

 $00:36:52.352 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.820$ devoted to fossilization experiments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940465688705444

00:36:53.820 --> 00:36:55.735 And that's actually something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.940465688705444

00:36:55.735 --> 00:36:58.033 I'm currently working on with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.940465688705444

 $00:36:58.033 \longrightarrow 00:36:59.971$ number of other members of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.940465688705444

00:36:59.971 --> 00:37:01.900 Department of geology and geophysics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940465688705444

 $00:37:01.900 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.886$ and we've we've actually just written

NOTE Confidence: 0.940465688705444

 $00{:}37{:}03.886 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}06.300$ up some of our initial results,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940465688705444

00:37:06.300 --> 00:37:09.236 but we're hoping to do some more work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940465688705444

 $00:37:09.240 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.949$ but so far what we've found that

NOTE Confidence: 0.940465688705444

 $00:37:11.949 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.060$ looks very promising in terms of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940465688705444

 $00:37:14.060 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.965$ Being able to replicate some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.940465688705444

 $00{:}37{:}16.965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}19.758$ key processes of this silica mediated

 $00:37:19.758 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.167$ fossilization in the lab and having that

NOTE Confidence: 0.940465688705444

00:37:23.252 --> 00:37:26.207 occur on relatively short timescales,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940465688705444

 $00:37:26.210 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.635$ short enough to explain this

NOTE Confidence: 0.940465688705444

 $00:37:28.635 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.605$ extraordinary fossilization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936208009719849

 $00:37:30.480 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.683$ Yeah, that's really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936208009719849

 $00:37:31.683 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.089$ That's really cool that you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.936208009719849

 $00:37:34.089 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.420$ replicate a process from 500 over 500

NOTE Confidence: 0.936208009719849

 $00{:}37{:}36.420 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}37{:}38.510$ million years ago in a lab today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936208009719849

00:37:38.510 --> 00:37:40.598 That's crazy, yeah, so it's it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.936208009719849

 $00:37:40.600 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.700$ pretty incredible and you can never,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936208009719849

00:37:42.700 --> 00:37:44.758 you know, totally replicate all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.936208009719849

00:37:44.758 --> 00:37:46.880 conditions in the ancient ocean, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.936208009719849

 $00{:}37{:}46.880 \to 00{:}37{:}48.980$ Like it's a very complex place,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936208009719849

 $00:37:48.980 \dashrightarrow 00:37:50.846$ but you what these experiments do

NOTE Confidence: 0.936208009719849

 $00:37:50.846 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.458$ allow you to do is sort of tease

 $00:37:53.458 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.078$ apart and ask questions about

NOTE Confidence: 0.936208009719849

00:37:55.078 --> 00:37:57.487 what were some of perhaps the

NOTE Confidence: 0.936208009719849

 $00{:}37{:}57.487 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}59.099$ most important factors involved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936208009719849

00:37:59.100 --> 00:38:00.484 And can I test?

NOTE Confidence: 0.936208009719849

 $00:38:00.484 \longrightarrow 00:38:02.560$ Whether or not this particular factor

NOTE Confidence: 0.936208009719849

 $00{:}38{:}02.633 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}05.375$ played an important role in fossilization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924838483333588

00:38:06.900 --> 00:38:09.100 Yeah, so it seems like So what you

NOTE Confidence: 0.924838483333588

 $00:38:09.100 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.630$ found is that the window of preservation

NOTE Confidence: 0.924838483333588

 $00:38:11.630 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.545$ was open across the boundary

NOTE Confidence: 0.924838483333588

 $00:38:13.617 \dashrightarrow 00:38:15.920$ between the D Akron in the Cambrian.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924838483333588

00:38:15.920 --> 00:38:18.111 So that kind of disproves the hypothesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.924838483333588

 $00:38:18.111 \longrightarrow 00:38:20.164$ that these organisms continued to persist

NOTE Confidence: 0.924838483333588

 $00:38:20.164 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.929$ and just stopped being fossilized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924838483333588

 $00:38:21.930 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.074$ So that kind of leads us to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.924838483333588

 $00:38:24.074 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.279$ other set of hypothesis that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.924838483333588

 $00{:}38{:}26.279 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}28.279$ was an actual extinction event.

 $00:38:28.280 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.716$ So can you elaborate on what that

NOTE Confidence: 0.924838483333588

 $00:38:30.716 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.769$ would look like and what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.924838483333588

 $00:38:32.769 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.953$ evidence for that is or is not?

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:38:35.690 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.102$ Yes, so that's that is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

00:38:38.102 --> 00:38:39.710 obvious \$1,000,000 question here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:38:39.710 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.720$ If it's not fossilization and

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

00:38:41.720 --> 00:38:43.328 it's a real disappearance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:38:43.330 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.934$ why did that happen?

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:38:44.934 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.943$ And again, there's sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:38:46.943 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.547$ two end member hypothesise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:38:48.550 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.705$ One is that there's environmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00{:}38{:}50.705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}53.685$ change that was dealing Terios two ded

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00{:}38{:}53.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}55.570$ actor organisms and perhaps analogous

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

00:38:55.570 --> 00:38:57.916 to some of the major extinction

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:38:57.916 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.202$ episodes that we see in Earth.

00:39:00.210 --> 00:39:02.304 Younger history, where on for most

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00{:}39{:}02.304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}05.039$ of the Big 5 mass extinctions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:39:05.040 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.090$ We think that some sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:39:07.090 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.320$ of environmental change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:39:08.320 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.585$ Was implicated in the extinction

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:39:11.585 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.850$ of various groups of organisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:39:14.850 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.664$ And the other scenario is as about

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00{:}39{:}17.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}20.489$ as often called biotic replacement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00{:}39{:}20.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}22.884$ Or did some sort of ecological

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:39:22.884 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.625$ escalation on some sort of negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00{:}39{:}25.625 {\: \hbox{\scriptsize -->}}\> 00{:}39{:}27.753$ interaction between mediak or

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:39:27.753 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.881$ organisms and other organisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:39:29.890 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.004$ For instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:39{:}31.004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}33.789$ the emerging complex animals that

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

00:39:33.789 --> 00:39:36.180 were increasingly appearing on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00{:}39{:}36.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}38.732$ scene did that play some role in the

 $00:39:38.814 \longrightarrow 00:39:41.639$ disappearance of the diacre organisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:39:41.640 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.930$ and both of these questions are challenging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:39:44.930 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.348$ Because often the resolution of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:39:47.348 \longrightarrow 00:39:49.468$ fossil record is not necessarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

00:39:49.468 --> 00:39:52.492 great enough to directly get at this

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:39:52.492 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.159$ question of ecological interactions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

00:39:55.160 --> 00:39:58.415 and to what extent they were Dilla,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

00:39:58.420 --> 00:39:59.815 Terius or positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:39:59.815 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.070$ So at even in Earth younger history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00{:}40{:}03.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}05.110$ the resolution of the fossil

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:40:05.110 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.679$ record is often not great enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:40:07.679 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.850$ to really test some of these more

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00{:}40{:}10.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}12.943$ detailed ecological models of

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00{:}40{:}12.943 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}15.159$ things like competitive exclusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:40:15.160 \longrightarrow 00:40:17.850$ That modern ecologists are constantly

 $00:40:17.850 \longrightarrow 00:40:21.070$ constantly assessing in the field today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

00:40:21.070 --> 00:40:23.750 but with That being said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:40:23.750 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.243$ we can look for evidence of at

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:40:27.243 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.059$ least synchronicity in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:40:30.059 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.119$ appearances of complex animal life

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:40:33.119 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.615$ and disappearances of organisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

00:40:35.615 --> 00:40:38.440 like the Edie Accra Biota.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:40:38.440 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.974$ And one of the lines of evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:40:40.974 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.841$ which has been used to suggest that

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:40:43.841 \longrightarrow 00:40:46.385$ there could perhaps have been some

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

00:40:46.468 --> 00:40:48.783 synchronicity is that trace fossils

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

00:40:48.783 --> 00:40:51.944 which we touched on very briefly earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:40:51.944 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.320$ but which are the record of animal behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:40:55.320 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.162$ and most commonly we think of trace

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:40:58.162 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.229$ fossils as boroughs of trackways and trails.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:41:01.230 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.340$ So they're the structures formed

 $00:41:03.340 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.450$ by animals burrowing in sediments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:41:05.450 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.467$ and they can only be formed by.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:41:08.470 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.515$ True animals an in fact not only

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417 00:41:11.515 --> 00:41:12.385 true animals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:41:12.390 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.570$ but Bye-bye Loterij and animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

00:41:14.570 --> 00:41:17.120 Animals with bilateral symmetry and

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:41:17.120 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.160$ with anterior posterior differentiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00{:}41{:}19.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}21.738$ so that front end is different from

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:41:21.738 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.059$ their back and and three tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00{:}41{:}24.059 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}26.349$ layers and more complex musculature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:41:26.350 \longrightarrow 00:41:29.572$ So in order to make a true like the

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:41:29.572 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.080$ trail in the sand as a typical were

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:41:33.080 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.972$ more arthropod might make you actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:41:35.972 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.458$ need to have a more robust musculature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:41:39.460 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.548$ And more differentiation of tissue layers.

 $00:41:41.550 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.650$ All of a pilot Arian animal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:41:43.650 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.914$ So although this is one of the lines

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

00:41:45.914 --> 00:41:48.588 of evidence that although many of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00{:}41{:}48.588 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}41{:}50.628$ individually diacre organisms still remain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:41:50.630 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.961$ adding matic to us in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

00:41:52.961 --> 00:41:55.068 their affinity's and to whom they

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:41:55.068 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.798$ were most closely related and

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:41:56.798 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.997$ whether or not they were animals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:41:59.000 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.128$ we do know that there were son

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

00:42:01.128 --> 00:42:03.290 animals in the Edie Accra biota

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00{:}42{:}03.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}05.636$ because we have some trace fossils,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:42:05.640 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.380$ there's simple trace fossils there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:42:07.380 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.367$ Small trace fossils,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:42:08.367 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.341$ but they tell us that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

00:42:10.341 --> 00:42:12.347 were some Violet Arian animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922234177589417

 $00:42:12.350 \longrightarrow 00:42:14.615$ That were actually burrowing in

00:42:14.615 --> 00:42:16.880 those ancient sea floor sands.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923727035522461

00:42:16.880 --> 00:42:19.285 And that they lived concurrently

NOTE Confidence: 0.923727035522461

00:42:19.285 --> 00:42:21.690 with Spatially and in time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923727035522461

 $00:42:21.690 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.100$ with the Ed. Accra Biota.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923727035522461

 $00:42:24.100 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.649$ But there have been a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.923727035522461

 $00:42:27.649 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.782$ observations that tored the ends of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.923727035522461

00:42:30.782 --> 00:42:34.233 Ed Akron period on we see the emergence

NOTE Confidence: 0.923727035522461

 $00{:}42{:}34.233 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}38.034$ of more complex types of trace fossils.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923727035522461

 $00:42:38.040 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.068$ Potential recording more complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.923727035522461

00:42:40.068 --> 00:42:42.603 burrowing behaviors or potentially more

NOTE Confidence: 0.923727035522461

00:42:42.603 --> 00:42:45.313 on a broader assortment of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.923727035522461

 $00:42:45.313 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.190$ types of organisms engaged in burrowing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923727035522461

 $00{:}42{:}48.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}50.490$ And it's been suggested that

NOTE Confidence: 0.923727035522461

00:42:50.490 --> 00:42:52.330 having more abundant Amor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923727035522461

 $00:42:52.330 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.550$ more diverse burrowers on the ancient sea

 $00:42:55.550 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.227$ floor may have been detrimental to the Ed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923727035522461

 $00:42:59.230 \longrightarrow 00:43:00.094$ Accra organisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923727035522461

 $00:43:00.094 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.550$ in part because we think that many of

NOTE Confidence: 0.923727035522461

 $00:43:03.630 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.830$ them were stationary and also lived on or

NOTE Confidence: 0.923727035522461

 $00:43:06.830 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.270$ in these widespread microbial mat ground.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923727035522461

 $00:43:10.270 \longrightarrow 00:43:12.110$ That's been suggested that

NOTE Confidence: 0.923727035522461

 $00:43:12.110 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.490$ these ancient burrowers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923727035522461

 $00:43:13.490 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.790$ these early pioneers of burrowing

NOTE Confidence: 0.923727035522461

 $00:43:15.790 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.630$ by the terrian animals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923727035522461

 $00:43:17.630 \longrightarrow 00:43:19.980$ may have been responsible for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923727035522461

 $00{:}43{:}19.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}22.870$ Burrowing away or grazing away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923727035522461

 $00:43:22.870 \longrightarrow 00:43:26.419$ The microbial mat grounds on which the

NOTE Confidence: 0.923727035522461

00:43:26.419 --> 00:43:29.789 Edie Accra Macro organisms depended,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923727035522461

 $00:43:29.790 \longrightarrow 00:43:33.246$ certainly for stabilizing the sea floor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923727035522461 00:43:33.250 --> 00:43:34.404 In these, NOTE Confidence: 0.923727035522461

00:43:34.404 --> 00:43:37.289 often very high-energy Sandy environments,

 $00:43:37.290 \longrightarrow 00:43:41.280$ and also potentially for nutrition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923727035522461

00:43:41.280 --> 00:43:41.730 So

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:43:41.730 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.955$ that would be clear competition

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

00:43:43.955 --> 00:43:46.124 between the D, Akron Biota,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:43:46.124 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.792$ and these bile attarian

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:43:47.792 \longrightarrow 00:43:50.200$ animals for a finite resource,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

00:43:50.200 --> 00:43:51.980 these microbial mats, potentially,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00{:}43{:}51.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}54.205$ if it wasn't nutritional resource,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00{:}43{:}54.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}56.928$ but even just from the perspective

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:43:56.928 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.675$ of stabilizing the sea floor that

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

00:43:59.675 --> 00:44:02.237 may have been more analogous to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:44:02.240 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.024$ we could say habitat

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:44:04.024 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.808$ destruction in some sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:44:05.810 \longrightarrow 00:44:08.420$ So it's been suggested that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

00:44:08.420 --> 00:44:11.140 early burrowing organisms may have been

 $00:44:11.140 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.410$ detrimental to the diacre organisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

00:44:13.410 --> 00:44:15.990 By either destroying their habitat of

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:44:15.990 \longrightarrow 00:44:18.805$ disrupting these Mac grounds on potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

00:44:18.805 --> 00:44:20.849 competing for similar resources,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:44:20.850 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.175$ and maybe those were resources

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

00:44:23.175 --> 00:44:25.504 within the Mac grounds, um,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:44:25.504 \longrightarrow 00:44:29.216$ or maybe even by directly praying upon them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

00:44:29.220 --> 00:44:30.465 because modern burrowing

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:44:30.465 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.955$ organisms employ a wide array of

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:44:32.955 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.800$ different ecological strategies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:44:34.800 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.808$ Some of them are grazing and some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:44:37.808 \longrightarrow 00:44:41.373$ them are mining bits of decaying organic

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00{:}44{:}41.373 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}44.600$ matter from settlements and soils like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:44:44.600 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.450$ Earthworms today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:44:45.450 \longrightarrow 00:44:48.425$ but some of them are also predators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:44:48.430 \longrightarrow 00:44:50.930$ so that's been another potential

 $00:44:50.930 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.430$ negative ecological interaction

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:44:52.430 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.620$ that's been thrown out there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:44:54.620 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.189$ The problem is is that we really

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

00:44:57.189 --> 00:44:59.947 don't have direct evidence for any

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:44:59.947 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.047$ of these ecological interactions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:45:02.050 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.109$ and many of the reports for these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

00:45:05.110 --> 00:45:06.874 Latest Edie Akron burrowing

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00{:}45{:}06.874 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}09.520$ organisms through the lens of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:45:09.601 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.337$ trace fossils of the boroughs that

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:45:12.337 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.634$ they've created are actually found

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:45:14.634 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.022$ in different places than the places

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:45:17.022 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.848$ where we have found Edie Accra biota.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00{:}45{:}19.848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}22.849$ Fossils so we don't have really the

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:45:22.849 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.705$ concurrence in space or potentially time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:45:25.710 \longrightarrow 00:45:28.300$ To be able to say that this

 $00:45:28.300 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.560$ was a negative interaction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402 00:45:30.560 --> 00:45:31.065 Moreover, NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:45:31.065 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.095$ we do have evidence for burrowing

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:45:34.095 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.026$ animals that extending an

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:45:36.026 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.054$ much further back in the Ed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

00:45:38.060 --> 00:45:41.147 Akron again concurrent with some of these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

00:45:41.150 --> 00:45:42.470 Edie Accra biota.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

00:45:42.470 --> 00:45:44.670 Fossilized communities and in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:45:44.670 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.880$ some of these trace fossils.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:45:46.880 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.149$ Some of these Burrows for these ancient

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:45:50.149 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.156$ burrowing animals on Co occur with Edie

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:45:53.156 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.150$ Accra fossils and what are our richest?

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:45:56.150 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.754$ And most ecologically complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:45:57.754 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.957$ and most diverse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

00:45:58.960 --> 00:46:00.556 Edie Accra fossil assemblages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:46:00.556 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.209$ So in some of the places where we do

 $00:46:04.209 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.579$ have evidence for this Co occurrence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00{:}46{:}06.580 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}46{:}09.660$ And for that happening on a long time

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:46:09.660 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.198$ before the end of the Ed Akron and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

00:46:13.198 --> 00:46:15.691 disappearance of the Ed Accra biota

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:46:15.691 \longrightarrow 00:46:18.610$ rather than seeing evidence of a sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

00:46:18.610 --> 00:46:21.010 of a negative interaction between them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:46:21.010 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.666$ we don't see any evidence that the presence

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:46:23.666 \longrightarrow 00:46:26.627$ of these burrowers was actually detrimental.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402 00:46:26.630 --> 00:46:27.764 To the Ed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:46:27.764 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.520$ Accra biota.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

00:46:28.520 --> 00:46:30.024 Proper that we're living

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:46:30.024 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.528$ in these same communities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00{:}46{:}31.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}34.434$ And that was actually one of the questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:46:34.434 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.775$ that I was particularly interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:46:36.775 \longrightarrow 00:46:40.198$ for the end of the Ed Akron as well.

00:46:40.200 --> 00:46:42.391 So I already knew from my work

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:46:42.391 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.510$ on sort of on the Ed Accra fossil

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

00:46:45.510 --> 00:46:47.610 deposits in South Australia that

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:46:47.689 \longrightarrow 00:46:50.363$ we had trace fossils that were made

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:46:50.363 \longrightarrow 00:46:52.716$ by these early complex animals Co

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00{:}46{:}52.716 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}55.128$ occuring with these rich and diverse

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00{:}46{:}55.128 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}46{:}56.790$ assemblages of Edie Accra biota.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402 00:46:56.790 --> 00:46:57.206 Organisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00{:}46{:}57.206 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}01.560$ but what about the very end of the Ed Akron?

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:47:01.560 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.170$ So again, so far we hadn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00{:}47{:}04.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}06.756$ We didn't really have great evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:47:06.756 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.914$ for a concurrence in time or place

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:47:09.914 \longrightarrow 00:47:11.726$ between these burrowing animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:47:11.726 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.148$ and the latest of RED Accra,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

00:47:14.150 --> 00:47:15.980 Biota communities that would really

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:47:15.980 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.412$ allow us to get at this question of

 $00:47:19.412 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.957$ whether negative interactions between them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:47:21.960 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.576$ But then more recently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920766651630402

 $00:47:23.576 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.000$ I started working at a Edie

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:47:26.086 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.070$ Akron locality in Nevada.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

00:47:28.070 --> 00:47:29.878 That's called Mountain Dunphy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:47:29.878 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.064$ which is near the very small mining

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:47:33.064 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.428$ town of gold point in Nevada,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

00:47:35.430 --> 00:47:37.158 and Mount Dunphy's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:47:37.158 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.454$ an extraordinary place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

00:47:38.460 --> 00:47:40.987 'cause it has a has a great

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:47:40.987 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.229$ geologic record that spans the

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

00:47:43.229 --> 00:47:45.385 precambrian banner zoic boundary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:47:45.390 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.555$ including the end of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

00:47:47.555 --> 00:47:49.720 Ed Akron in its locality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:47:49.720 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.890$ where we have chemical archives.

00:47:51.890 --> 00:47:54.050 We have different types of rocks

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:47:54.050 \longrightarrow 00:47:57.107$ that allow us to track changes in

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:47:57.107 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.677$ the physical and chemical environments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

00:47:59.680 --> 00:48:00.877 Across that boundary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:48:00.877 \longrightarrow 00:48:04.191$ and it also has a pretty good fossil

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:48:04.191 \longrightarrow 00:48:06.819$ record that allows us to track

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:48:06.819 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.134$ changes in biology and ecology

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:48:09.134 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.424$ across that boundary as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

00:48:11.430 --> 00:48:14.630 and one of the things that my colleagues

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

00:48:14.630 --> 00:48:17.259 and I discovered at Mount Dunphy

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00{:}48{:}17.259 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}21.312$ ohm is that not only do we have some

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:48:21.312 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.572$ Edie Accra Biota Macro organisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:48:23.572 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.080$ in the fossil of sandwiches there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:48:26.080 \longrightarrow 00:48:28.480$ but we also have burrowing organisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

00:48:28.552 --> 00:48:30.428 preserved through their trace

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:48:30.428 \longrightarrow 00:48:32.304$ fossils through their Burrows.

 $00{:}48{:}32.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}34.380$ Preserved not only in the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:48:34.380 \longrightarrow 00:48:36.819$ section and at the same locality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:48:36.820 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.235$ but on the same exact fossil surface

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:48:39.235 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.006$ is so we finally have our concurrence

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:48:42.006 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.276$ in space and time that we were looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:48:45.276 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.100$ for to be able to to assess these

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:48:48.100 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.146$ questions and not only were these

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:48:50.146 \longrightarrow 00:48:52.471$ sort of the simple boroughs that

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00{:}48{:}52.471 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}55.147$ we've long known that these earliest

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

00:48:55.147 --> 00:48:57.878 burrowing animals in the Ed Akron could make,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:48:57.880 \longrightarrow 00:48:59.755$ but some of these boroughs

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:48:59.755 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.255$ were much more complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

00:49:01.260 --> 00:49:02.612 They were still small.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

00:49:02.612 --> 00:49:04.640 But they were very complex and

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:49:04.704 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.000$ sophisticated in their construction,

 $00:49:07.000 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.580$ and in that matter much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:49:09.580 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.218$ reminiscent of the types of boroughs

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

00:49:12.218 --> 00:49:15.116 that we find in the Phanerozoic on

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:49:15.116 \longrightarrow 00:49:18.005$ that are made by by various animal

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:49:18.005 \longrightarrow 00:49:20.888$ groups that that we know in that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

00:49:20.888 --> 00:49:23.693 recognize so that we had some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:49:23.693 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.693$ more complex styles of burrowing

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:49:25.693 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.018$ which has been previously invoked

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:49:28.018 \longrightarrow 00:49:31.150$ to record behaviors that could have

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

00:49:31.150 --> 00:49:33.156 been detrimentally taiyaki organisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

00:49:33.156 --> 00:49:35.546 And we have these occuring

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

00:49:35.546 --> 00:49:37.889 Co occurring with Edie Accra,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:49:37.890 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.215$ Biota fossils and we have this persistence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

00:49:41.220 --> 00:49:43.665 This Co occurrence persisting for

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

00:49:43.665 --> 00:49:47.140 a long stretch of time up until

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

00:49:47.140 --> 00:49:49.495 the Edie Akron Cambrian Boundary

 $00:49:49.495 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.660$ on the basis of those evidences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:49:52.660 \longrightarrow 00:49:54.455$ We interpreted that we actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:49:54.455 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.174$ where one of the best places where

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:49:57.174 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.718$ we can actually test these questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:49:59.720 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.198$ We don't see evidence that burrowing

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:50:02.198 \longrightarrow 00:50:04.610$ organisms played any role in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:50:04.610 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.986$ disappearance of the Ed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:50:05.990 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.950$ Accra Biota, and in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:50:07.950 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.296$ even if we cross the Phanerozoic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:50:10.300 \dashrightarrow 00:50:11.900$ the Precambrian Phanerozoic Boundary

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:50:11.900 \longrightarrow 00:50:14.724$ and look at growing up in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

00:50:14.724 --> 00:50:15.789 early Fanara Zoic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00{:}50{:}15.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}18.534$ which is another area that I've spent a

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:50:18.534 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.058$ lot of time hum from a research perspective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90931802988052400:50:22.060 --> 00:50:23.320 we see that.

 $00:50:23.320 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.420$ The evolution of burrowing organisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:50:25.420 \longrightarrow 00:50:28.217$ and their ability to really stir up

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:50:28.217 \longrightarrow 00:50:30.959$ sentiments of the sea floor and disrupt

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

00:50:30.959 --> 00:50:33.305 them was a very gradual process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:50:33.310 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.326$ and it took hundreds of millions

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:50:35.326 \longrightarrow 00:50:37.169$ of years before that burrowing

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:50:37.169 \longrightarrow 00:50:39.755$ started to approach the sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:50:39.755 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.542$ intensities of settlements turingan

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00{:}50{:}41.542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}43.242$ churning characteristic of animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.909318029880524

 $00:50:43.242 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.367$ in the sea floor today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899485230445862

 $00{:}50{:}46.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}49.882$ So just just to summarize the

NOTE Confidence: 0.899485230445862

 $00:50:49.882 \longrightarrow 00:50:53.524$ coexistence of the Ed Acura bio bio

NOTE Confidence: 0.899485230445862

 $00:50:53.524 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.626$ to with these bile attarian animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.899485230445862

 $00{:}50{:}56.626 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}01.064$ for a long time is enough to show that

NOTE Confidence: 0.899485230445862

 $00:51:01.064 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.523$ there probably not a competition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899485230445862

 $00:51:03.523 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.028$ With the the pilot,

00:51:06.030 --> 00:51:08.442 Arian animals are in a competition

NOTE Confidence: 0.899485230445862

00:51:08.442 --> 00:51:10.610 for the Ed Acura biota.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899485230445862

00:51:10.610 --> 00:51:12.560 So essentially what you've told

NOTE Confidence: 0.899485230445862

 $00:51:12.560 \longrightarrow 00:51:15.159$ us is that based on fossilization

NOTE Confidence: 0.899485230445862

 $00{:}51{:}15.159 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}17.989$ conditions Edie Accra biota didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.899485230445862

 $00:51:17.989 \longrightarrow 00:51:20.735$ disappear from the fossil record

NOTE Confidence: 0.899485230445862

 $00:51:20.735 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.919$ due to an inability for them to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.899485230445862

 $00:51:23.919 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.944$ fossilized and they also didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.899485230445862

 $00{:}51{:}25.944 \to 00{:}51{:}28.380$ disappear due to the emergence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.899485230445862

 $00:51:28.463 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.947$ military and animals that sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.899485230445862

00:51:30.947 --> 00:51:33.750 leaves one thing that you mentioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.899485230445862

 $00:51:33.750 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.420$ which is potentially a disappearance

NOTE Confidence: 0.899485230445862

 $00{:}51{:}36.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}38.984$ due to environmental change so

NOTE Confidence: 0.899485230445862

 $00:51:38.984 \longrightarrow 00:51:41.369$ I'm curious if that's currently

NOTE Confidence: 0.899485230445862

 $00:51:41.369 \longrightarrow 00:51:43.110$ what your hypothesis is.

 $00:51:43.110 \longrightarrow 00:51:44.602$ As to what happened,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899485230445862

 $00{:}51{:}44.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}47.678$ and if So what sorts of things you

NOTE Confidence: 0.899485230445862

 $00:51:47.678 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.662$ might need to do to figure out if

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:51:50.670 \longrightarrow 00:51:52.560$ that is what happened. Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

00:51:52.560 --> 00:51:55.564 I think that's that's a big question, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:51:55.564 \longrightarrow 00:51:58.396$ Is if we can say definitively that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

00:51:58.396 --> 00:52:01.250 wasn't closing of a preservation a window,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:52:01.250 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.896$ and therefore it was a real disappearance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

00:52:03.900 --> 00:52:06.098 But if we don't see compelling evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

00:52:06.098 --> 00:52:08.440 for it being ecologically mediated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:52:08.440 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.981$ that does sort of leave us with

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

00:52:10.981 --> 00:52:12.590 what about environmental change,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:52:12.590 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.712$ and that would.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

00:52:13.712 --> 00:52:16.882 Really allow us to place the Edie Accra

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:52:16.882 \longrightarrow 00:52:19.696$ Biota and it's an it's disappearance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:52:19.700 \longrightarrow 00:52:22.318$ More in the context of the evolution

 $00:52:22.318 \longrightarrow 00:52:25.209$ of animal life during the Phanerozoic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:52:25.210 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.849$ During our modern eon where many of

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:52:27.849 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.494$ our major extinction events have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

00:52:30.494 --> 00:52:32.418 mediated by environmental change,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:52:32.420 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.030$ and I think that there is a lot that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:52:35.030 \longrightarrow 00:52:37.361$ is compelling in that hypothesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:52:37.361 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.307$ and ded Akron period wasn't interval

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

00:52:40.384 --> 00:52:42.169 of environmental change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:52:42.170 \longrightarrow 00:52:45.594$ Not only is it bracketed at it start.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:52:45.600 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.222$ My these major snowball earth glaciations

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:52:48.222 \longrightarrow 00:52:51.736$ when the entirety of the world froze over on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:52:51.740 \longrightarrow 00:52:54.260$ But we also have some inkling

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

00:52:54.260 --> 00:52:57.458 that near the end of the Ed Akron,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:52:57.460 \longrightarrow 00:53:00.512$ we may have had some major perturbations

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:53:00.512 \longrightarrow 00:53:03.922$ to to earths carbon cycle and how how

00:53:03.922 --> 00:53:07.134 carbon is stored and how it is expired

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00{:}53{:}07.134 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}10.122$ and oxidize on and that that in turn

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:53:10.122 \longrightarrow 00:53:12.866$ might have had some effect appan oxygen

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:53:12.866 \longrightarrow 00:53:15.897$ levels in the oceans and the atmosphere.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:53:15.900 \longrightarrow 00:53:18.618$ And this is also occurring against

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:53:18.618 \longrightarrow 00:53:21.920$ the backdrop of a larger interval.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

00:53:21.920 --> 00:53:25.434 Longer interval of change in Ocean Chemistry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:53:25.440 \longrightarrow 00:53:29.448$ not only in the amount of dissolved oxygen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:53:29.450 \longrightarrow 00:53:32.330$ which is of course essential to

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:53:32.330 \longrightarrow 00:53:35.479$ complex life and to animal life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00{:}53{:}35.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}38.360$ but also other aspects of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:53:38.360 \longrightarrow 00:53:41.000$ chemistry of the ancient oceans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00{:}53{:}41.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}43.964$ From what sorts of mineral carbonate

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00{:}53{:}43.964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}46.550$ minerals precipitate and two silica.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

00:53:46.550 --> 00:53:49.098 To how nutrients are cycled so it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

00:53:49.098 --> 00:53:52.488 sort of an interval of profound change,

 $00:53:52.490 \longrightarrow 00:53:55.028$ but it's still really broad brush.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00{:}53{:}55.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}58.187$ I think in our ability to reconstruct

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

00:53:58.187 --> 00:54:00.540 what exactly happened over the Ed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

00:54:00.540 --> 00:54:01.605 Akron, Cambrian boundary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00{:}54{:}01.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}04.552$ So we have sort of a larger scale

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:54:04.552 \longrightarrow 00:54:06.527$ picture of larger scale changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:54:06.527 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.811$ in these various some chemical

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00{:}54{:}08.811 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}10.719$ and environmental parameters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:54:10.720 \longrightarrow 00:54:13.688$ But we don't really have a silver

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:54:13.688 \longrightarrow 00:54:14.960$ bullet just yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:54:14.960 \longrightarrow 00:54:17.570$ We don't have an exact marker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:54:17.570 \longrightarrow 00:54:19.466$ In time for something that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00{:}54{:}19.466 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}21.196$ unambiguously evidence of a major

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:54:21.196 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.836$ environmental change that's concurrent

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:54:22.836 \longrightarrow 00:54:25.110$ with the disappearance of the Ed.

00:54:25.110 --> 00:54:25.658 Accra Biota.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00{:}54{:}25.658 \operatorname{{--}{>}} 00{:}54{:}28.472$ So I think that there are a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:54:28.472 \longrightarrow 00:54:30.137$ potentially interesting candidates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:54:30.140 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.939$ and we can't yet rule out that there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:54:32.939 \longrightarrow 00:54:35.877$ some sort of a major environmental change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:54:35.880 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.568$ But there's a lot of work that still

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:54:38.568 \longrightarrow 00:54:41.516$ needs to be done ohm in order to

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00{:}54{:}41.516 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}43.778$ to really unravel whether or not

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:54:43.778 \longrightarrow 00:54:45.932$ we have sufficient evidence to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:54:45.932 \longrightarrow 00:54:48.158$ put our finger on any particular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:54:48.158 \longrightarrow 00:54:50.043$ Environmental trigger an really one

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:54:50.043 \longrightarrow 00:54:52.513$ of the things that's most needed

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:54:52.513 \longrightarrow 00:54:54.137$ towards resolving this question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00{:}54{:}54.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}56.140$ something I alluded to earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:54:56.140 \longrightarrow 00:54:57.478$ is that unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00{:}54{:}57.478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}00.154$ the resolution of the fossil record

 $00:55:00.154 \longrightarrow 00:55:02.542$ on is sometimes not great enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:55:02.542 \longrightarrow 00:55:05.576$ to allow us to answer all of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

00:55:05.576 --> 00:55:08.131 questions that we would like to answer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:55:08.140 \longrightarrow 00:55:10.540$ or at least not right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:55:10.540 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.137$ So what we really need are we

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:55:13.137 \longrightarrow 00:55:15.738$ need more areas around the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:55:15.740 \longrightarrow 00:55:17.380$ We need more deposits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93299412727356

 $00:55:17.380 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.610$ more geologic deposits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935312449932098

 $00:55:18.610 \longrightarrow 00:55:21.634$ That have both good fossil archives and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.935312449932098

 $00:55:21.634 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.619$ um archives of ancient environmental change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935312449932098

 $00{:}55{:}24.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}28.442$ So when we're when we're forced to, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935312449932098

 $00:55:28.442 \longrightarrow 00:55:31.319$ say take a fossil record from Australia

NOTE Confidence: 0.935312449932098

 $00{:}55{:}31.319 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}34.241$ and a chemical record from the United

NOTE Confidence: 0.935312449932098

00:55:34.241 --> 00:55:37.490 Kingdom and try to piece them together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935312449932098

 $00:55:37.490 \longrightarrow 00:55:39.202$ that's really challenging to

00:55:39.202 --> 00:55:40.914 gauge not only concurrence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935312449932098

 $00:55:40.920 \longrightarrow 00:55:43.713$ but cause and effect what we really

NOTE Confidence: 0.935312449932098

 $00:55:43.713 \longrightarrow 00:55:46.373$ need are better archives from both

NOTE Confidence: 0.935312449932098

 $00{:}55{:}46.373 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}49.042$ sides of the spectrum, both fossil.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935312449932098

 $00:55:49.042 \longrightarrow 00:55:50.706$ And environmental and geological.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935312449932098

00:55:50.710 --> 00:55:53.374 And until we have a greater number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.935312449932098

 $00:55:53.374 \longrightarrow 00:55:55.961$ examples where we can actually assess

NOTE Confidence: 0.935312449932098

 $00:55:55.961 \longrightarrow 00:55:58.271$ both environmental and biotic change

NOTE Confidence: 0.935312449932098

 $00:55:58.271 \longrightarrow 00:56:00.424$ concurrently from the same archives

NOTE Confidence: 0.935312449932098

 $00:56:00.424 \longrightarrow 00:56:02.454$ from the same geologic succession,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935312449932098

 $00{:}56{:}02.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}05.148$ I think that we're going to continue

NOTE Confidence: 0.935312449932098

 $00:56:05.148 \longrightarrow 00:56:08.269$ to spin our wheels a bit in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.935312449932098

00:56:08.269 --> 00:56:10.960 of trying to resolve this question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935312449932098

 $00:56:10.960 \longrightarrow 00:56:13.655$ so I guess that's a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.935312449932098

 $00:56:13.655 \longrightarrow 00:56:15.420$ of an unsatisfactory answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935312449932098

00:56:15.420 --> 00:56:17.545 I think that environmental change

00:56:17.545 --> 00:56:20.111 could indeed have played a role

NOTE Confidence: 0.935312449932098

 $00{:}56{:}20.111 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}22.295$ in the disappearance of the Ed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935312449932098

 $00:56:22.300 \longrightarrow 00:56:22.978$ Accra biota.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935312449932098

 $00:56:22.978 \longrightarrow 00:56:25.690$ And I think we can certainly at this

NOTE Confidence: 0.935312449932098

00:56:25.760 --> 00:56:28.322 point say that it would truly was

NOTE Confidence: 0.935312449932098

00:56:28.322 --> 00:56:30.688 a disappearance and not merely a

NOTE Confidence: 0.935312449932098

 $00:56:30.688 \longrightarrow 00:56:32.673$ closing of preservation a window,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935312449932098

 $00:56:32.680 \longrightarrow 00:56:35.407$ but we still have a lot of work in

NOTE Confidence: 0.935312449932098

 $00:56:35.407 \longrightarrow 00:56:38.465$ front of us in order to not only verify

NOTE Confidence: 0.935312449932098

 $00{:}56{:}38.465 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}56{:}41.002$ that there is a sufficient grounds

NOTE Confidence: 0.935312449932098

00:56:41.002 --> 00:56:43.690 for for for invoking any particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.935312449932098

 $00:56:43.690 \longrightarrow 00:56:45.868$ environmental change as an agent of

NOTE Confidence: 0.935312449932098

 $00{:}56{:}45.868 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}56{:}48.099$ disappearance in the Edie Accra Biota,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935312449932098

 $00:56:48.100 \longrightarrow 00:56:50.356$ but being able to two more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935312449932098

 $00:56:50.360 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.304$ Really get out this question of

 $00:56:52.304 \longrightarrow 00:56:54.394$ cause and effect and not just

NOTE Confidence: 0.935312449932098

 $00:56:54.394 \longrightarrow 00:56:55.850$ a question of correlation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903826475143433

00:56:57.410 --> 00:56:59.990 Yeah, see I would disagree because

NOTE Confidence: 0.903826475143433

00:56:59.990 --> 00:57:03.236 ongoing puzzle and I would argue that

NOTE Confidence: 0.903826475143433

 $00:57:03.236 \longrightarrow 00:57:06.850$ that's the best kind of puzzle. That

NOTE Confidence: 0.916949927806854

 $00:57:06.850 \longrightarrow 00:57:08.776$ no, there, that's something to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916949927806854

 $00:57:08.780 \longrightarrow 00:57:11.034$ So yeah, it's a rich area for

NOTE Confidence: 0.916949927806854

 $00:57:11.034 \longrightarrow 00:57:12.646$ future study, which yes, I'm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916949927806854

00:57:12.646 --> 00:57:13.934 I'm certainly grateful for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916949927806854

00:57:13.940 --> 00:57:15.908 because, uh, there's a It's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.916949927806854

 $00{:}57{:}15.908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}18.120$ an open and shut story in it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916949927806854

 $00:57:18.120 \dashrightarrow 00:57:20.624$ It's a much more rich and complex story

NOTE Confidence: 0.916949927806854

 $00:57:20.624 \longrightarrow 00:57:23.124$ and I'm going to enjoy working on it

NOTE Confidence: 0.916949927806854

 $00:57:23.124 \longrightarrow 00:57:25.529$ for the next few years or decades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916949927806854

 $00:57:25.530 \longrightarrow 00:57:28.354$ But yeah, it still is a still A

NOTE Confidence: 0.916949927806854

 $00:57:28.354 \longrightarrow 00:57:31.780$ to be continued sort of story. So

00:57:31.780 --> 00:57:35.360 why is it kind of to zoom out quite a bit?

NOTE Confidence: 0.925848543643951

 $00:57:35.360 \longrightarrow 00:57:37.376$ Why is it so important to

NOTE Confidence: 0.925848543643951

 $00{:}57{:}37.376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}38.720$ distinguish between these hypothesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.925848543643951

 $00:57:38.781 \longrightarrow 00:57:40.480$ about the Ediacaran Biota?

NOTE Confidence: 0.925848543643951

 $00:57:40.480 \longrightarrow 00:57:41.840$ What does each hypothesis tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.925848543643951

 $00:57:41.840 \longrightarrow 00:57:43.827$ us about life today or life over

NOTE Confidence: 0.925848543643951

 $00:57:43.827 \longrightarrow 00:57:45.257$ the course of earths history?

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00:57:46.210 \longrightarrow 00:57:48.634$ So I mean, we ultimately want to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00{:}57{:}48.634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}50.646$ able to distinguish between these

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

00:57:50.646 --> 00:57:53.310 various hypothesis to go back to

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00:57:53.310 \longrightarrow 00:57:55.839$ this big question of to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

00:57:55.839 --> 00:57:58.606 sort of larger scale trends in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00{:}57{:}58.606 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}01.252$ evolution of complex life at the finest

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00{:}58{:}01.252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}03.666$ scale at the most granular scale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00:58:03.670 \longrightarrow 00:58:06.334$ we need to understand things like

 $00:58:06.334 \longrightarrow 00:58:08.489$ mechanisms of fossilization before we

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00:58:08.489 \longrightarrow 00:58:10.638$ can even start to address the question

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00:58:10.638 \longrightarrow 00:58:13.311$ of to what extent these ancient enigmatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00:58:13.311 \longrightarrow 00:58:16.312$ organisms of the Ed Accra Biota were related.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00:58:16.312 \longrightarrow 00:58:19.129$ To living animal groups or to other groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00:58:19.129 \longrightarrow 00:58:21.625$ of living organisms or extinct organisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00:58:21.630 \longrightarrow 00:58:24.318$ So we need to 1st understand those very

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00:58:24.318 \longrightarrow 00:58:27.073$ fine scale mechanisms in order to then back

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00:58:27.073 \longrightarrow 00:58:30.029$ out and ask these larger scale questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00:58:30.030 \longrightarrow 00:58:32.094$ But we want to understand whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00{:}58{:}32.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}34.410$ or not to Edie Accra Biota.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

00:58:34.410 --> 00:58:37.596 It was a lied to modern groups of life

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00:58:37.596 \longrightarrow 00:58:40.798$ such as animals and whether or not it

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

00:58:40.798 --> 00:58:43.712 truly was wiped from the face of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00:58:43.712 \longrightarrow 00:58:46.536$ earth at the end of the pre Cambrian.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00{:}58{:}46.536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}48.496$ Because we want to understand,

 $00:58:48.500 \longrightarrow 00:58:50.400$ sort of that evolutionary trajectory

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

00:58:50.400 --> 00:58:52.820 of complex life on our planet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00:58:52.820 \longrightarrow 00:58:55.956$ not just so that we can better reconstruct.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

00:58:55.960 --> 00:58:58.704 Where did Braided complex life come from?

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00:58:58.710 \longrightarrow 00:59:00.680$ Where did animals come from?

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00:59:00.680 \longrightarrow 00:59:03.242$ If these are indeed the ancient ancestors

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

00:59:03.242 --> 00:59:06.177 of many of our living animal groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00{:}59{:}06.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}08.616$ but also from an exo planet Tori

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00:59:08.616 \longrightarrow 00:59:10.500$ or an astrobiological perspective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00:59:10.500 \longrightarrow 00:59:12.654$ what should we expect when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

00:59:12.654 --> 00:59:15.219 search for life on other planets?

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00:59:15.220 \longrightarrow 00:59:16.540$ Should we expect that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00{:}59{:}16.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}18.520$ There's really sort of a single

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

00:59:18.583 --> 00:59:20.050 path towards complexity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00:59:20.050 \longrightarrow 00:59:22.528$ or that there can be a lot

 $00:59:22.528 \longrightarrow 00:59:24.340$ of hiccups along the way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00:59:24.340 \longrightarrow 00:59:26.476$ What are the sort of biosignatures?

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00:59:26.480 \longrightarrow 00:59:28.972$ What are the markers that we should

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00:59:28.972 \longrightarrow 00:59:31.721$ look for that might lead us to infer

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00:59:31.721 \longrightarrow 00:59:33.980$ that not only life is present,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00.59:33.980 \longrightarrow 00.59:36.479$ but also that life could be present?

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00:59:36.480 \longrightarrow 00:59:38.260$ What constitutes a habitable environment?

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00:59:38.260 \longrightarrow 00:59:40.115$ What sorts of environmental factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00:59:40.115 \longrightarrow 00:59:42.539$ were integral to the emergence of comps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901 00:59:42.540 --> 00:59:43.188 less life, NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00:59:43.188 \longrightarrow 00:59:45.456$ or may have played a role in

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00:59:45.456 \longrightarrow 00:59:46.909$ its extinction so?

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00:59:46.910 \longrightarrow 00:59:49.678$ I think there are a number of really

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $00:59:49.678 \longrightarrow 00:59:52.291$ critical questions in terms of not only

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

00:59:52.291 --> 00:59:54.146 understanding our own planets history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

00:59:54.150 --> 00:59:56.250 but also to understand what might

00:59:56.250 --> 00:59:58.895 life look like on other planets and

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

00:59:58.895 --> 01:00:01.241 what sort of trajectories might it

NOTE Confidence: 0.923614203929901

 $01:00:01.241 \longrightarrow 01:00:03.559$ take and how do we recognize it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

01:00:06.050 --> 01:00:08.370 Yeah, it sounds like we can learn a

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

 $01:00:08.370 \longrightarrow 01:00:10.875$ lot of really sort of fundamental

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

 $01:00:10.875 \longrightarrow 01:00:12.727$ principles about life itself

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

 $01:00:12.727 \longrightarrow 01:00:14.830$ by studying these organisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

 $01:00:14.830 \longrightarrow 01:00:17.700$ That's so cool. So what is your

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

 $01:00:17.700 \longrightarrow 01:00:20.020$ favorite fun fact about the Ed

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

01:00:20.020 --> 01:00:22.390 Akron period? Ash, there's so many.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

01:00:22.390 --> 01:00:25.050 Well, one of the things I really

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

 $01:00:25.050 \longrightarrow 01:00:27.761$ like about the Ed Akron period is

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

01:00:27.761 --> 01:00:30.542 that the way it's defined is very

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

01:00:30.542 --> 01:00:33.056 different from how we define most

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

01:00:33.056 --> 01:00:34.896 intervals in the geologic record,

 $01:00:34.896 \longrightarrow 01:00:37.220$ or at least in our in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

01:00:37.296 --> 01:00:39.030 younger geologic record.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

01:00:39.030 --> 01:00:41.010 So most of our boundaries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

 $01:00:41.010 \longrightarrow 01:00:43.495$ and in at least the past 500

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

01:00:43.495 --> 01:00:45.750 million years of geologic time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

 $01:00:45.750 \longrightarrow 01:00:48.060$ are defined appan the appearance and

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

 $01:00:48.060 \longrightarrow 01:00:50.090$ disappearance of individual fossil species.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

 $01:00:50.090 \longrightarrow 01:00:52.080$ What's unique about how the

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

 $01{:}00{:}52.080 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}54.070$ E Akron period is defined.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

 $01:00:54.070 \longrightarrow 01:00:56.219$ Is that is defined at it start

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

 $01{:}00{:}56.219 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}58.591$ by the end of these catastrophic

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

01:00:58.591 --> 01:01:00.876 global scale glaciations and at

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

 $01:01:00.876 \longrightarrow 01:01:04.285$ its end is defined by the earliest

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

01:01:04.285 --> 01:01:06.217 really complex style burrowing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

01:01:06.220 --> 01:01:09.433 So I think this really sums up for me

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

 $01:01:09.433 \longrightarrow 01:01:12.875$ why the Edie Akron period is a really

 $01:01:12.875 \longrightarrow 01:01:15.610$ Seminole one in Earth's history in

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

 $01:01:15.610 \longrightarrow 01:01:18.781$ terms of not only the origins and

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

01:01:18.790 --> 01:01:20.570 extinctions of individual organisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

 $01:01:20.570 \longrightarrow 01:01:24.424$ which is sort of how we use fossils as

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

 $01:01:24.424 \longrightarrow 01:01:27.690$ markers and time in our more recent history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

 $01:01:27.690 \longrightarrow 01:01:29.840$ But also the major transformations

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

01:01:29.840 --> 01:01:31.990 of earths climate of earths,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

 $01:01:31.990 \longrightarrow 01:01:33.662$ biogeochemical cycling of environments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

 $01:01:33.662 \longrightarrow 01:01:35.752$ an life that really characterize

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

 $01:01:35.752 \longrightarrow 01:01:36.720$ this interval.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

01:01:36.720 --> 01:01:39.300 So even just in its definition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

 $01:01:39.300 \longrightarrow 01:01:39.746 \text{ ded}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

 $01:01:39.746 \longrightarrow 01:01:42.422$ Akron period really sums up why

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

 $01:01:42.422 \longrightarrow 01:01:46.174$ this is one of the most critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.917813718318939

 $01:01:46.174 \longrightarrow 01:01:48.494$ intervals in Earth's history.

 $01:01:48.500 \longrightarrow 01:01:48.940$ It's

NOTE Confidence: 0.942204713821411

01:01:48.940 --> 01:01:52.430 really fascinating work. Thank you

NOTE Confidence: 0.90035879611969

 $01:01:52.430 \longrightarrow 01:01:54.607$ to doctor Tarjan for joining us on

NOTE Confidence: 0.90035879611969

01:01:54.607 --> 01:01:56.669 this episode of the YJBM podcast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90035879611969

 $01:01:56.670 \longrightarrow 01:01:59.127$ There are many people behind this podcast

NOTE Confidence: 0.90035879611969

 $01:01:59.127 \longrightarrow 01:02:01.558$ that you never get a chance to hear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90035879611969

 $01:02:01.560 \longrightarrow 01:02:03.600$ Thank you to the Yale School

NOTE Confidence: 0.90035879611969

 $01:02:03.600 \longrightarrow 01:02:05.941$ of Madison for being a home to

NOTE Confidence: 0.90035879611969

 $01:02:05.941 \longrightarrow 01:02:07.747$ the wide JBM and the podcast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90035879611969

01:02:07.750 --> 01:02:09.906 Thank you to the Yale Broadcast Center

NOTE Confidence: 0.90035879611969

 $01{:}02{:}09.906 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}11.670$ for helping with the recording,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90035879611969

01:02:11.670 --> 01:02:13.300 editing and publishing our podcast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90035879611969

01:02:13.300 --> 01:02:15.575 Thank you to the YJBM editorial board,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90035879611969

 $01{:}02{:}15.580 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}17.210$ especially our editors in chief,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90035879611969

01:02:17.210 --> 01:02:19.548 Amelia Hall worth and Evan Washy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.90035879611969

 $01:02:19.548 \longrightarrow 01:02:21.830$ the deputy editors for the death issue.

01:02:21.830 --> 01:02:24.174 Kelsey Castle and weighing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90035879611969

01:02:24.174 --> 01:02:26.390 Finally, thank you for tuning into

NOTE Confidence: 0.90035879611969

 $01:02:26.390 \longrightarrow 01:02:28.575$ this episode of the Yale Journal

NOTE Confidence: 0.90035879611969

 $01:02:28.575 \longrightarrow 01:02:30.507$ Biology and medicine podcast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90035879611969

 $01{:}02{:}30.510 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}32.808$ We'd love your feedback and questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90035879611969

 $01:02:32.810 \longrightarrow 01:02:35.514$ so feel free to tell us your thoughts

NOTE Confidence: 0.90035879611969

01:02:35.514 --> 01:02:37.789 by emailing us at yj.b.m@yale.edu.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90035879611969

 $01:02:37.790 \longrightarrow 01:02:39.700$ If you enjoyed our podcast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90035879611969

01:02:39.700 --> 01:02:41.985 please share it on SoundCloud

NOTE Confidence: 0.90035879611969

 $01:02:41.985 \longrightarrow 01:02:43.356$ or Apple podcasts.