$00:00:00.160 \longrightarrow 00:00:01.920$ Funding for Yale Cancer Answers

NOTE Confidence: 0.9374885

 $00{:}00{:}01.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}03.679$ is provided by Smilow Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9374885

 $00:00:03.679 \longrightarrow 00:00:04.180$ Hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9512885

 $00:00:05.920 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.759$ Welcome to Yale Cancer Answers

NOTE Confidence: 0.9512885

 $00:00:07.759 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.800$ with the director of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9512885

00:00:08.800 --> 00:00:10.639 Yale Cancer Center, doctor Eric

NOTE Confidence: 0.9512885

 $00:00:10.639 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.139$ Winer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99769133

00:00:11.679 --> 00:00:13.860 Yale Cancer Answers features conversations

NOTE Confidence: 0.99769133

00:00:14.080 --> 00:00:14.820 with oncologists

NOTE Confidence: 0.9735765

 $00:00:15.120 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.135$ and specialists who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9735765

 $00{:}00{:}16.135 --> 00{:}00{:}17.015$ on the forefront of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9735765

 $00:00:17.015 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.315$ battle to fight cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94474334

00:00:18.935 --> 00:00:20.235 This week, it's a conversation

NOTE Confidence: 0.94474334

 $00{:}00{:}20.295 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}21.815$ about breast cancer in young

NOTE Confidence: 0.94474334

 $00:00:21.815 \longrightarrow 00:00:23.435$ women with doctor Ann Partridge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9816986

 $00:00:23.895 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.494$ Doctor Partridge is a professor

 $00{:}00{:}25.494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}27.175$ of medicine at Harvard Medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.9816986

 $00:00:27.175 \longrightarrow 00:00:27.675$ School.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8526618

 $00:00:27.975 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.195$ Here's doctor Winer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9982354

00:00:30.699 --> 00:00:32.400 So we're gonna talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9982354

 $00:00:32.540 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.840$ breast cancer to night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9803719

 $00:00:34.220 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.340$ We're gonna talk about breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.9803719

 $00:00:35.340 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.400$ cancer specifically in

 $00:00:37.739 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.479$ young women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97816914

 $00:00:39.180 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.620$ and we may stray off

NOTE Confidence: 0.97816914

 $00:00:40.620 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.220$ into some other areas as

NOTE Confidence: 0.97816914

 $00{:}00{:}42.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}43.985$ well, but that's gonna be

NOTE Confidence: 0.97816914

 $00:00:43.985 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.665$ the main topic. But before

NOTE Confidence: 0.97816914

 $00:00:45.665 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.645$ we get there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9935181

 $00{:}00{:}47.105 --> 00{:}00{:}47.825$ Ann, if you could just

NOTE Confidence: 0.9935181

 $00:00:47.825 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.705$ tell us a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.9935181

 $00:00:48.705 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.145$ about how it is you

00:00:50.145 --> 00:00:51.205 became a doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9775607

00:00:51.665 --> 00:00:53.105 and how you wound up

NOTE Confidence: 0.9775607

 $00:00:53.105 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.205$ doing oncology and breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99980843

 $00:00:55.790 \longrightarrow 00:00:57.230$ My mission to become a

NOTE Confidence: 0.99980843

 $00:00:57.230 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.170$ doctor started when I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.98317105

 $00:00:59.470 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.970$ literally

NOTE Confidence: 0.97716457

00:01:00.590 --> 00:01:02.530 in, I think, maybe preschool

NOTE Confidence: 0.93337226

 $00:01:02.910 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.130$ and then germinated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9966104

 $00:01:04.510 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.490$ further in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993197

 $00:01:05.950 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.270$ elementary school and beyond. I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993197

 $00{:}01{:}08.270 --> 00{:}01{:}09.490$ grew up in a family,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94455713

 $00:01:09.950 \longrightarrow 00:01:11.925$ where my father was the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94455713

00:01:11.925 --> 00:01:13.444 primary parent and he was

NOTE Confidence: 0.94455713

 $00:01:13.444 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.185$ a surgeon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9736504

 $00:01:14.645 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.925$ And he took my siblings

 $00:01:15.925 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.965$ and I on rounds with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9736504

00:01:16.965 --> 00:01:17.865 him not infrequently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98827064

 $00:01:18.645 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.005$ when this was still allowed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98827064

 $00:01:20.005 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.805$ And we spent a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.98827064

 $00:01:20.805 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.925$ of time in the nursing

NOTE Confidence: 0.98827064

00:01:21.925 --> 00:01:23.605 stations and eating all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98827064

 $00:01:23.605 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.885$ snacks, but we were also

NOTE Confidence: 0.98827064

00:01:24.885 --> 00:01:26.025 very, very engaged

NOTE Confidence: 0.9964689

 $00{:}01{:}26.659 --> 00{:}01{:}27.780$ by the work he was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9964689

 $00:01:27.780 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.539$ doing and the meaning of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9964689

 $00:01:29.539 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.979$ that work for the people

NOTE Confidence: 0.9964689

 $00:01:30.979 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.340$ he was caring for and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9964689

 $00:01:32.340 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.000$ to him.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99959135

00:01:33.380 --> 00:01:34.259 And that was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9900299

00:01:34.659 --> 00:01:36.200 you know, needless to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9900299

 $00:01:36.340 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.859$ life altering in many, many

 $00:01:37.859 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.045$ ways for me as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9900299

00:01:39.125 --> 00:01:40.185 a young impressionable

NOTE Confidence: 0.96839625

 $00:01:40.645 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.924$ kid. And as I became

NOTE Confidence: 0.96839625

00:01:41.924 --> 00:01:42.584 an adult,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9927656

 $00:01:43.125 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.564$ I realized that I too

NOTE Confidence: 0.9927656

 $00:01:44.564 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.244$ wanted to follow that pathway

NOTE Confidence: 0.9927656

 $00:01:46.244 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.765$ into medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9927656

00:01:47.765 --> 00:01:48.265 And did you occasionally

NOTE Confidence: 0.9908132

00:01:48.725 --> 00:01:50.244 get dragged into rooms with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9908132

00:01:50.244 --> 00:01:50.744 patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9826812

00:01:51.980 --> 00:01:53.440 standing next to your father?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9826812

 $00:01:53.500 \longrightarrow 00:01:54.700$ Oh, yeah. All the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9826812

 $00:01:55.020 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.900$ All the time. And my

NOTE Confidence: 0.9826812

 $00:01:55.900 \longrightarrow 00:01:57.660$ father, he not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9826812

00:01:57.660 --> 00:01:58.540 only dragged you

 $00:01:58.540 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.160$ into rooms with patients, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.9826812

 $00:02:00.300 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.180$ we could be out in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9826812

 $00:02:01.180 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.540$ the store. And my father

NOTE Confidence: 0.9826812

 $00:02:02.540 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.820$ who was a general surgeon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9826812

 $00:02:03.820 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.065$ he's retired now, would find

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996907

 $00:02:06.365 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.145$ a spot on somebody's neck

NOTE Confidence: 0.9821837

 $00:02:08.764 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.885$ in the line next to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9821837

 $00:02:09.885 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.845$ him and say, you really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9821837

 $00{:}02{:}10.845 \to 00{:}02{:}12.225$ should get that checked out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9821837

 $00:02:12.445 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.805$ So it was also real

NOTE Confidence: 0.9821837

 $00:02:13.805 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.085$ world medicine too, and he

NOTE Confidence: 0.9821837

00:02:15.085 --> 00:02:16.125 was often right. There was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9821837

00:02:16.125 --> 00:02:17.580 something not good going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9821837

 $00:02:17.820 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.860$ So we kind of lived

NOTE Confidence: 0.9821837

 $00:02:18.860 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.740$ it because he was also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9821837

 $00:02:19.740 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.620$ you know, helping to take

 $00:02:20.620 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.500$ care of the neighbors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9821837

 $00:02:21.500 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.780$ the neighbors' kids and things

NOTE Confidence: 0.9821837

 $00:02:22.780 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.360$ like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98793465

 $00:02:23.660 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.700$ So we lived

NOTE Confidence: 0.98793465

 $00:02:24.700 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.139$ it as kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98793465

 $00:02:26.139 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.500$ And as I

NOTE Confidence: 0.98793465

00:02:27.500 --> 00:02:29.180 moved into making my

NOTE Confidence: 0.98793465

 $00:02:29.180 \longrightarrow 00:02:29.919$ own decisions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988415

 $00:02:30.564 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.245$ with that impression, what led

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988415

 $00:02:32.245 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.224$ me to oncology was

 $00:02:34.724 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.644$ both the science and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992778

 $00:02:36.644 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.144$ exciting

NOTE Confidence: 0.9847464

 $00:02:37.525 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.805$ things that were taking off

NOTE Confidence: 0.9847464

00:02:38.805 --> 00:02:40.105 in terms of understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.97618896

 $00:02:40.405 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.325$ of why cancers form and

NOTE Confidence: 0.97618896

00:02:42.325 --> 00:02:43.305 how we could better

 $00:02:44.200 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.160$ manage and get rid of

NOTE Confidence: 0.96887326

 $00:02:45.160 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.060$ them for patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9842027

 $00:02:46.600 \longrightarrow 00:02:48.200$ as well as the people

NOTE Confidence: 0.9842027

 $00:02:48.200 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.500$ who were doing oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9386005

00:02:50.200 --> 00:02:51.340 And finally, the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994297

 $00:02:51.640 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.020$ experience with patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9722753

 $00:02:53.560 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.080$ over time, helping them to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9722753

 $00:02:55.080 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.280$ deal with a very, very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9722753

 $00:02:56.280 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.044$ serious illness at times and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9722753

 $00:02:58.044 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.165$ to manage that and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9722753

00:02:59.165 --> 00:03:00.444 privilege of kind of being

NOTE Confidence: 0.9722753

 $00:03:00.444 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.485$ a part of their journey

NOTE Confidence: 0.9722753

 $00{:}03{:}01.485 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}03.005$ and helping to navigate them

NOTE Confidence: 0.9722753

 $00:03:03.005 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.745$ through that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94597715

 $00:03:04.605 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.745$ Wow. Well,

 $00{:}03{:}07.245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}08.845$ you've certainly had a great

NOTE Confidence: 0.9901233

 $00:03:08.845 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.345$ career,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95930797

 $00:03:10.205 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.565$ so far, not that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.95930797

 $00:03:11.565 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.185$ close to being over,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9624538

 $00:03:14.180 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.940$ in oncology and specifically in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9624538

 $00:03:15.940 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.760$ breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9773805

00:03:17.620 --> 00:03:19.780 So let's talk about young

NOTE Confidence: 0.9773805

 $00:03:19.780 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.460$ women with breast cancer. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9773805

00:03:21.460 --> 00:03:22.500 first, maybe you could tell us

 $00:03:23.300 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.660$ how common a problem is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9645236

 $00:03:24.660 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.960$ this. If we take

NOTE Confidence: 0.99882567

00:03:26.685 --> 00:03:28.205 women under the age of

NOTE Confidence: 0.99882567

 $00:03:28.205 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.205$ forty five or fifty, how

NOTE Confidence: 0.99882567

 $00:03:30.205 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.305$ often is breast cancer diagnosed?

NOTE Confidence: 0.99870753

 $00:03:33.565 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.845$ So it's not a common

NOTE Confidence: 0.99870753

00:03:34.845 --> 00:03:36.365 problem. We don't want anybody

 $00:03:36.365 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.865$ leaving this

 $00:03:39.005 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.290$ podcast and thinking that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.99384916

 $00:03:41.290 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.950$ is somehow an epidemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.97931707

00:03:44.490 --> 00:03:46.250 of breast cancer in young

NOTE Confidence: 0.97931707

 $00:03:46.250 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.750$ adults.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9381865

 $00:03:47.290 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.330$ But yet it is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9381865

 $00:03:48.330 \longrightarrow 00:03:49.310 \text{ most common}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.99840224

 $00:03:49.610 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.750$ cancer diagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9978082

 $00:03:51.050 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.790$ in people

NOTE Confidence: 0.9654325

 $00:03:52.330 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.090$ who are between twenty and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9654325

 $00:03:54.090 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.069$ forty five, kind of

 $00{:}03{:}57.195 --> 00{:}03{:}57.935 \ {\rm the \ conventional}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.89677405

00:03:58.235 --> 00:03:59.455 adolescent young adult

NOTE Confidence: 0.9740919

00:03:59.755 --> 00:04:00.495 age groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99359536

 $00:04:01.275 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.715$ and is certainly the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.99359536

00:04:02.715 --> 00:04:03.855 common in women

NOTE Confidence: 0.99940604

 $00:04:04.635 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.015$ in terms of young adults.

 $00:04:06.555 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.040$ And so it occurs in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840382

 $00{:}04{:}08.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}09.159$ about depending on where you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840382

 $00:04:09.159 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.680$ put the age cutoff, somewhere

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840382

 $00{:}04{:}10.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}12.760$ between ten to sixteen thousand

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840382

 $00:04:12.760 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.900$ patients a year

NOTE Confidence: 0.94152206

00:04:14.519 --> 00:04:16.279 annually in the US alone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94152206

00:04:16.279 --> 00:04:17.800 and, of course, many thousands

NOTE Confidence: 0.94152206

 $00:04:17.800 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.860$ more women worldwide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896997

00:04:19.160 --> 00:04:20.440 And would that ten thousand

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896997

 $00:04:20.440 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.360$ figure be women under the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896997

 $00:04:22.360 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.259$ age of forty?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9694502

 $00:04:23.985 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.345$ Yeah. Ten thousand is about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9694502

00:04:25.585 --> 00:04:26.705 the numbers for under the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9694502

 $00:04:26.705 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.985$ age of forty. And one

NOTE Confidence: 0.9694502

 $00:04:27.985 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.265$ of the latest things is

 $00:04:29.265 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.785$ that those numbers appear

NOTE Confidence: 0.9694502

 $00{:}04{:}30.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}31.985$ to be going up, not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9694502

 $00{:}04{:}31.985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}33.825$ just the absolute number because

NOTE Confidence: 0.9694502

 $00:04:33.825 \longrightarrow 00:04:35.025$ our population is growing in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9694502

 $00:04:35.025 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.540$ the United States, but the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9694502

 $00:04:36.540 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.040$ frequency

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997909

 $00:04:37.500 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.800$ with which a person

NOTE Confidence: 0.9220173

 $00:04:39.180 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.080$ is diagnosed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99848616

 $00{:}04{:}40.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}42.139$ The likelihood of being diagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.99848616

00:04:42.139 --> 00:04:43.740 is actually going up in

NOTE Confidence: 0.99848616

 $00:04:43.740 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.639$ the young adults.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9728668

 $00:04:45.100 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.060$ So trying to figure that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9728668

00:04:46.060 --> 00:04:47.419 out is really important because

NOTE Confidence: 0.9728668

 $00:04:47.419 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.860$ we obviously don't want that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9728668

 $00:04:48.860 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.355$ to happen more. And that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9728668

 $00:04:50.355 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.875$ a change because for years

00:04:51.875 --> 00:04:53.235 and years, patients would say

NOTE Confidence: 0.9728668

 $00:04:53.235 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.195$ to us, there seems to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9728668

 $00:04:54.195 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.315$ be more breast cancer in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9728668

 $00:04:55.315 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.055$ young women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96150386

 $00:04:56.515 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.515$ And we would typically say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96150386

 $00:04:58.515 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.035$ no. It's not changing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.96150386

 $00:05:00.035 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.915$ much. But it's been the

NOTE Confidence: 0.96150386

 $00{:}05{:}00.915 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}02.195$ past several years that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.96150386 00:05:02.195 --> 00:05:02.695 trend

NOTE Confidence: 0.9924013

 $00:05:03.075 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.695$ has seemed to emerge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9325898

 $00{:}05{:}05.069 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}06.589$ That's exactly right. The latest

NOTE Confidence: 0.9325898

 $00:05:06.589 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.110$ data suggests

NOTE Confidence: 0.9325898

00:05:08.110 --> 00:05:09.569 the prevalence is growing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9865481

00:05:10.909 --> 00:05:12.370 per, you know, the population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9855507

 $00:05:12.830 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.189$ But, originally, when we first

 $00:05:14.189 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.229$ looked into this, as you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9855507

 $00:05:15.229 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.870$ and I know and as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9855507

00:05:15.870 --> 00:05:16.990 you alluded to, we've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.9855507

 $00:05:16.990 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.565$ looking at this for two

NOTE Confidence: 0.9855507

 $00:05:18.565 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.464$ and a half decades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9763994

 $00:05:20.085 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.365$ When we first started looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.9763994

 $00:05:21.365 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.645$ into it, it appeared that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9763994

 $00:05:22.645 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.845$ it was just the absolute

NOTE Confidence: 0.9763994

 $00{:}05{:}23.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}25.305$ numbers and that the population

NOTE Confidence: 0.9803438

 $00:05:25.685 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.964$ itself was growing, but that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9803438

 $00:05:26.964 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.665$ the frequency within the population

NOTE Confidence: 0.9803438

 $00:05:28.725 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.185$ wasn't growing or the prevalence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99522746

00:05:30.529 --> 00:05:31.810 But now it does appear

NOTE Confidence: 0.99522746

 $00:05:31.810 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.010$ that it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.99522746

 $00:05:33.010 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.950$ growing even within the population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99522746

 $00{:}05{:}35.250 \longrightarrow 00{:}05{:}36.610$ you know, per hundred thousand

 $00:05:36.610 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.110$ people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97314024

00:05:40.690 --> 00:05:42.230 So, breast cancer in young women

NOTE Confidence: 0.97314024

 $00:05:42.529 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.205$ is in some ways the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97314024

00:05:44.205 --> 00:05:45.565 same and in some ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.97314024

 $00{:}05{:}45.565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}47.005$ quite different than breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.97314024

 $00:05:47.005 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.065$ in older women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99231994

 $00:05:48.525 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.805$ And maybe we could start

NOTE Confidence: 0.99231994

 $00:05:49.805 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.925$ off talking about some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.99231994

 $00:05:50.925 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.005$ the unique challenges that a

NOTE Confidence: 0.99231994

 $00{:}05{:}53.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}54.604$ young woman faces. And let's

 $00{:}05{:}55.404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}56.945$ sort of think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.96848726

 $00:05:57.449 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.050$ the age cutoff is

NOTE Confidence: 0.96848726

 $00{:}05{:}59.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}00.509$ somewhere in the mid for ties.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991779

 $00:06:01.930 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.210$ Yeah. So, you know, the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991779

00:06:03.210 --> 00:06:04.729 way I think about it is

 $00:06:05.930 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.210$ that many things are the

 $00:06:07.210 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.430$ same, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9656975

 $00{:}06{:}08.889 --> 00{:}06{:}10.250$ young women, just like old

NOTE Confidence: 0.9656975

 $00:06:10.250 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.750$ women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986229

 $00:06:11.535 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.755$ care about both

NOTE Confidence: 0.98580325

 $00:06:13.135 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.095$ how they feel and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.98580325

00:06:14.095 --> 00:06:15.535 they look like and whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.98580325

 $00:06:15.535 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.575$ they have good sex or

NOTE Confidence: 0.98580325

 $00:06:16.575 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.035$ not and things like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99972403

 $00{:}06{:}18.495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}20.835$ But many things are accentuated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9168435

 $00:06:21.215 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.275$ by being young,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99956226

 $00:06:22.815 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.795$ and that includes

NOTE Confidence: 0.99524146

 $00:06:24.175 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.069$ things like where

NOTE Confidence: 0.99524146

 $00:06:26.069 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.270$ they are in their lives,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99524146

 $00{:}06{:}27.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}28.490$ their role functioning as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9923253

 $00:06:28.949 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.069$ young woman

NOTE Confidence: 0.9923253

 $00:06:30.069 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.430$ is way more likely to

 $00:06:31.430 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.729$ be starting a family,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99854684

00:06:33.349 --> 00:06:35.029 starting a new job, trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.99854684

 $00:06:35.029 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.169$ to finish education,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9707115

 $00:06:37.430 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.865$ in a newer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9707115

 $00:06:38.925 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.425$ relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.98250365

 $00:06:39.805 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.005$ and trying to work through

NOTE Confidence: 0.98250365

 $00:06:41.005 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.925$ those kinds of things compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.98250365

 $00:06:42.925 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.525$ to an older woman. She's

NOTE Confidence: 0.98250365

 $00:06:44.525 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.585$ also more likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.99942565

 $00:06:45.964 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.925$ to have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.99942565

 $00:06:46.925 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.065$ little less resilience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99969393

00:06:48.525 --> 00:06:49.585 She hasn't seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743779

 $00:06:49.885 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.005$ this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743779

00:06:51.005 --> 00:06:52.685 in her friends before, most

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743779

00:06:52.685 --> 00:06:53.185 likely.

 $00:06:53.569 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.089$ She probably cares a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.95135444

 $00:06:55.089 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.289$ bit more than some older

NOTE Confidence: 0.95135444

 $00:06:56.289 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.410$ women about

NOTE Confidence: 0.95135444

 $00:06:57.410 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.569$ her physical health in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.95135444

 $00:06:59.569 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.330$ of her sexual health and

NOTE Confidence: 0.95135444

 $00:07:01.330 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.389$ her body image.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9798179

 $00:07:02.690 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.130$ Not that older women don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9798179

 $00:07:04.130 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.889$ care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9798179

00:07:05.889 --> 00:07:07.089 It's really just a kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.9798179

 $00:07:07.089 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.185$ of highlighting

NOTE Confidence: 0.9798179

 $00:07:09.245 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.685$ it more, feeling it more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9798179

 $00:07:10.685 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.125$ as a younger woman on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9798179

00:07:12.125 --> 00:07:13.645 average. Not all women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9798179

 $00:07:13.645 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.085$ of course. And then there

NOTE Confidence: 0.9798179

 $00:07:15.085 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.365$ are things that are unique

NOTE Confidence: 0.9798179

 $00:07:16.365 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.344$ to being young,

 $00{:}07{:}18.125 --> 00{:}07{:}19.485$ or way more common when

NOTE Confidence: 0.981721

00:07:19.485 --> 00:07:20.844 you're young, like, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.981721

 $00:07:20.844 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.344$ fertility.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9872072

00:07:21.850 --> 00:07:22.970 A young person, if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9872072

 $00:07:22.970 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.010$ cut it off at forty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9872072

00:07:24.010 --> 00:07:25.210 your average forty five year

NOTE Confidence: 0.9872072

 $00:07:25.210 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.170$ old, if they're gonna have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9872072

 $00:07:26.170 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.210$ kids, is done with having

NOTE Confidence: 0.9872072

 $00:07:27.210 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.210$ kids, whereas your average thirty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9872072

 $00:07:29.210 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.830$ thirty five year old, probably is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9872072

 $00:07:30.890 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.570$ not. The importance there

NOTE Confidence: 0.9872072

 $00:07:32.570 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.330$ is that if someone gets

NOTE Confidence: 0.9872072

 $00:07:34.330 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.830$ chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9266745

 $00:07:35.290 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.750$ they're at risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9266745

 $00:07:37.015 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.835$ to potentially

 $00:07:38.295 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.275$ lose that fertility.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97297883

 $00{:}07{:}39.895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}41.495$ Exactly. The treatments kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97297883

 $00:07:41.495 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.655$ impact fertility directly. And then

NOTE Confidence: 0.97297883

 $00:07:43.655 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.775$ the majority of young women

NOTE Confidence: 0.97297883

 $00:07:44.775 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.455$ will have hormone sensitive breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.97297883

 $00{:}07{:}46.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}48.155$ cancer, breast cancer that expresses

NOTE Confidence: 0.9911476

 $00:07:48.455 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.315$ estrogen or progesterone receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97215873

 $00:07:50.615 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.815$ And as you know, we

NOTE Confidence: 0.97215873 00:07:51.815 --> 00:07:52.315 use NOTE Confidence: 0.9423728

 $00:07:52.870 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.310$ that to treat them, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9423728

 $00{:}07{:}54.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}55.290$ we use antiestrogens

NOTE Confidence: 0.9973331

00:07:55.830 --> 00:07:57.210 or lower their estrogen

NOTE Confidence: 0.9904649

 $00:07:57.510 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.550$ to try and treat them

NOTE Confidence: 0.9904649

 $00:07:58.550 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.590$ to help make their breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.9904649

 $00:07:59.590 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.710$ cancer not come back or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9904649

 $00:08:00.710 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.910$ keep it under control. And

00:08:01.910 --> 00:08:03.130 during that time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9435583

 $00{:}08{:}04.070 --> 00{:}08{:}05.190$ you should not get

NOTE Confidence: 0.9435583

 $00:08:05.190 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.190$ pregnant because those treatments can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9435583

 $00:08:07.190 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.805$ cause birth defects and or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9435583

 $00{:}08{:}08.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}10.325$ you can't become pregnant because

NOTE Confidence: 0.9435583

 $00{:}08{:}10.325 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}11.705$ your ovaries are suppressed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9633097

 $00:08:12.085 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.205$ And so there's a time

NOTE Confidence: 0.9633097

 $00:08:13.205 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.485$ issue there where the ovaries

NOTE Confidence: 0.9633097

00:08:14.485 --> 00:08:15.445 are aging, and that's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9633097

 $00:08:15.445 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.405$ hard one for our young

NOTE Confidence: 0.9633097

 $00{:}08{:}16.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}17.845$ patients who haven't completed their

NOTE Confidence: 0.9633097

 $00{:}08{:}17.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}19.445$ families or even started them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9633097

 $00:08:19.445 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.005$ And often times, those hormonal treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.9633097

 $00:08:22.005 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.845$ are particularly difficult for younger

NOTE Confidence: 0.9633097

00:08:23.845 --> 00:08:24.300 women.

00:08:24.860 --> 00:08:26.539 Very much so. You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9772672

00:08:26.539 --> 00:08:27.580 again, not to say that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9772672

 $00:08:27.580 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.960$ these treatments are a cakewalk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9772672

 $00:08:29.020 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.780$ for our older women, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.9772672

00:08:30.780 --> 00:08:32.640 for our younger women who,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9772672

00:08:32.779 --> 00:08:34.160 you know, they're not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9753442

 $00:08:34.620 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.160$ starting to have hot flashes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9982611

 $00:08:36.700 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.200$ They,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9865642

 $00:08:38.255 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.934$ are often kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.9865642

 $00:08:39.934 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.535$ of not even close to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9865642

 $00{:}08{:}41.535 --> 00{:}08{:}43.295$ menopause by their age

NOTE Confidence: 0.9865642

00:08:43.295 --> 00:08:44.195 or their physiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993592

 $00:08:44.975 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.095$ And then when we give

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993592

00:08:46.095 --> 00:08:47.615 them things that lower their

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993592

00:08:47.615 --> 00:08:49.475 estrogen or block it completely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97977084

 $00:08:50.095 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.695$ they can become very, very

 $00{:}08{:}51.695 --> 00{:}08{:}52.195 \ {\rm symptomatic},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9882139

 $00:08:53.150 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.990$ and often also are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9882139

 $00:08:54.990 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.590$ not as equipped because, again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9882139

 $00:08:56.590 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.870$ their friends aren't dealing with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9882139

 $00{:}08{:}57.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}59.230$ this. Their friends instead are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9882139

 $00:08:59.230 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.270$ having babies or going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9882139

00:09:00.270 --> 00:09:01.550 a club or, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9882139

 $00:09:01.550 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.470$ finishing school. They don't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9882139

 $00:09:03.470 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.830$ all the help to support

NOTE Confidence: 0.9882139

 $00:09:04.830 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.030$ them on managing of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9882139

 $00{:}09{:}06.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}08.475$ various symptoms that are typical

NOTE Confidence: 0.9882139

 $00:09:08.535 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.655$ for women who are in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9882139

 $00{:}09{:}09.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}10.715$ middle age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99829197

 $00:09:11.095 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.315$ And there's some

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991315

 $00:09:12.615 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.554$ popular folklore out there

 $00:09:14.855 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.615$ that somehow breast cancer in

NOTE Confidence: 0.98769563

 $00:09:16.615 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.995$ young women is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991164

 $00:09:18.615 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.709$ much worse and that young

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991164

 $00:09:20.709 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.970$ women are far more likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.97309124

 $00:09:23.429 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.630$ to lose their lives from

NOTE Confidence: 0.97309124

00:09:24.630 --> 00:09:26.410 breast cancer than older women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97309124

 $00:09:26.550 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.829$ Can you comment on that

NOTE Confidence: 0.97309124

 $00:09:27.829 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.190$ and to what extent

NOTE Confidence: 0.97309124

 $00:09:29.190 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.309$ that is true or not true?

NOTE Confidence: 0.99746394

00:09:33.995 --> 00:09:35.295 From a disease standpoint

NOTE Confidence: 0.95780617

 $00:09:35.755 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.515$ and a psychosocial standpoint, an

NOTE Confidence: 0.95780617

 $00:09:37.515 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.955$ emotional standpoint, if you look

NOTE Confidence: 0.95780617

 $00:09:38.955 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.015$ at a big picture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9763912

 $00:09:40.795 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.655$ that folklore is actually true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9763912

00:09:42.715 --> 00:09:44.235 On average, if you're diagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9763912

 $00:09:44.235 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.215$ with breast cancer,

 $00:09:45.755 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.495$ you are

NOTE Confidence: 0.99976903

 $00:09:47.090 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.990$ less likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.9765863

00:09:48.530 --> 00:09:50.070 than an older woman to 00:09:52.050 --> 00:09:53.410 make it through and not

NOTE Confidence: 0.97527075

00:09:53.410 --> 00:09:54.690 die of breast cancer. Meaning

NOTE Confidence: 0.97527075

 $00:09:54.690 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.890$ you're more likely to die

NOTE Confidence: 0.97527075

 $00:09:55.890 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.510$ of breast cancer on average.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97527075

 $00:09:57.730 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.375$ And you are also more

NOTE Confidence: 0.97527075

 $00{:}09{:}59.375 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}01.774$ likely to suffer emotionally in

NOTE Confidence: 0.97527075

 $00:10:01.774 \dashrightarrow 00:10:03.535$ follow-up, including long term follow-up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97527075

 $00:10:03.535 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.335$ That's part of the reason

NOTE Confidence: 0.97527075

 $00:10:04.335 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.695$ I started focusing on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.97527075

00:10:05.695 --> 00:10:06.895 because I said, how can

NOTE Confidence: 0.97527075

00:10:06.895 --> 00:10:08.335 we fix that disparity? Young

NOTE Confidence: 0.97527075

00:10:08.335 --> 00:10:10.195 women are a more disparate

NOTE Confidence: 0.97527075

00:10:10.495 --> 00:10:12.175 group from a medical and

 $00{:}10{:}12.175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}13.395$ an emotional standpoint.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99975854

 $00{:}10{:}14.130 --> 00{:}10{:}15.750$ But that's the big picture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99984515

 $00:10:16.450 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.110$ The important

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997828

 $00:10:17.570 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.410$ message inside that big picture is

 $00:10:20.370 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.210$ most young women will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.97285116

 $00:10:22.210 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.650$ long term survivors and will

NOTE Confidence: 0.97285116

00:10:23.650 --> 00:10:25.650 not only survive, but hopefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.97285116

 $00:10:25.650 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.350$ thrive through their breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992989

 $00{:}10{:}27.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}29.054$ And that's getting better and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992989

 $00:10:29.054 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.595$ better with our medical advancements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994178

 $00{:}10{:}31.375 --> 00{:}10{:}32.035$ And then

NOTE Confidence: 0.96510947

 $00{:}10{:}32.975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}34.895$ young women don't develop just

NOTE Confidence: 0.96510947

00:10:34.895 --> 00:10:36.334 one breast cancer just like

NOTE Confidence: 0.96510947

00:10:36.334 --> 00:10:37.934 older women don't develop like

NOTE Confidence: 0.96510947

00:10:37.934 --> 00:10:39.774 some, you know, monolith of

NOTE Confidence: 0.96510947

 $00:10:39.774 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.295$ the same exact breast cancer.

 $00:10:41.295 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.274$ There's a spectrum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.992644

 $00:10:43.080 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.520$ And young women are more

NOTE Confidence: 0.992644

 $00:10:44.520 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.960$ likely to be diagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.992644

 $00:10:45.960 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.740$ with more aggressive breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9762635

 $00:10:48.200 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.240$ but they can also be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9762635

 $00:10:49.240 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.180$ diagnosed with not so aggressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.9762635

 $00:10:51.240 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.980$ breast cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99009633

 $00{:}10{:}52.760 --> 00{:}10{:}54.680$ And that don't warrant, you

NOTE Confidence: 0.99009633

 $00:10:54.680 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.380$ know, major aggressive therapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.9649371

 $00:10:56.680 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.900$ as in, you know, chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.97952205

00:10:58.360 --> 00:11:00.434 or mega treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97952205

 $00:11:00.655 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.395$ And so

NOTE Confidence: 0.97482276

00:11:02.015 --> 00:11:03.375 just like with older women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97482276

00:11:03.375 --> 00:11:04.815 but perhaps even more so

NOTE Confidence: 0.97482276

 $00:11:04.815 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.735$ with younger women where everybody's

 $00:11:06.735 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.015$ worried and wants to, you

NOTE Confidence: 0.97482276

00:11:08.015 --> 00:11:09.295 know, make sure they get

NOTE Confidence: 0.97482276

 $00:11:09.295 \longrightarrow 00:11:10.335$ rid of the cancer, they

NOTE Confidence: 0.97482276

 $00:11:10.335 \longrightarrow 00:11:11.235$ have to be careful

NOTE Confidence: 0.961217

 $00:11:11.640 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.320$ that they're tailor the treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.961217

 $00:11:13.320 \longrightarrow 00:11:14.200$ We have to be careful

NOTE Confidence: 0.961217

 $00:11:14.200 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.800$ to tailor the treatment to

NOTE Confidence: 0.961217

 $00:11:15.800 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.540$ the disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553716

 $00:11:16.920 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.120$ in the context of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553716

00:11:18.120 --> 00:11:19.559 human being who's, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553716

 $00{:}11{:}19.559 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}21.420$ unfortunately been diagnosed with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553716

 $00:11:21.480 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.160$ So it's a nuanced answer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553716

 $00:11:23.160 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.780$ that is yes on average,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9715588

 $00:11:25.875 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.074$ but the message is most

NOTE Confidence: 0.9715588

00:11:27.074 --> 00:11:28.214 women will be okay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968159

 $00{:}11{:}28.595 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}30.035$ and we have to be

00:11:30.035 --> 00:11:31.415 careful not to overtreat

NOTE Confidence: 0.99675584

 $00{:}11{:}31.795 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}33.154$ our young women because we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.99675584

 $00:11:33.154 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.134$ worried about them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96728367

 $00:11:34.595 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.274$ And that yes on average

NOTE Confidence: 0.96728367

00:11:36.274 --> 00:11:38.115 applies mostly to women under

NOTE Confidence: 0.96728367

 $00:11:38.115 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.095$ the age of forty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97101706

 $00:11:39.750 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.370$ maybe less so in women

NOTE Confidence: 0.97101706

 $00:11:41.670 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.790$ who are in their

NOTE Confidence: 0.97101706

 $00:11:42.790 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.330$ fifth decade or between

NOTE Confidence: 0.96367073

 $00:11:45.510 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.190$ forty and forty nine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96367073

00:11:47.190 --> 00:11:48.950 And, absolutely, young age is

NOTE Confidence: 0.96367073

 $00:11:48.950 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.550$ a moving moving target for as you know.

 $00:11:55.055 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.495$ When I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712921

 $00{:}11{:}56.495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}58.355$ thinking about the age disparity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712921

 $00:11:58.495 \longrightarrow 00:12:00.015$ it really is the under

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712921

00:12:00.015 --> 00:12:01.535 forty and particularly the under

00:12:01.535 --> 00:12:03.535 thirty five on average when

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712921

 $00:12:03.535 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.415$ we've looked at this on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712921

00:12:04.415 --> 00:12:06.495 a population basis. Actually, women

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712921

 $00:12:06.495 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.100$ in their forties, which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712921

00:12:08.259 --> 00:12:09.779 still young, but not our

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712921

 $00:12:09.779 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.279$ conventional

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367593

00:12:10.660 --> 00:12:12.019 very young, women in their

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367593

 $00:12:12.019 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.399$ for ties actually do the best

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992509

 $00:12:13.940 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.880$ in terms of their overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992509

 $00:12:16.100 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.600$ survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99507374

00:12:17.380 --> 00:12:18.819 They do have issues, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.99507374

 $00:12:18.819 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.860$ it depends on where they

NOTE Confidence: 0.99507374

 $00:12:19.860 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.365$ are in their lives with

NOTE Confidence: 0.99507374

 $00:12:21.365 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.804$ regard to the emotional things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99507374

 $00:12:22.804 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.605$ And, of course, some of

00:12:23.605 --> 00:12:25.065 them still wanna have babies

NOTE Confidence: 0.99507374

 $00:12:25.204 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.885$ and are, you know, younger

NOTE Confidence: 0.99507374

 $00:12:26.885 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.005$ in terms of their goals

NOTE Confidence: 0.99507374

 $00:12:28.005 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.045$ in life. And so that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.99507374

 $00:12:29.045 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.325$ why we think about them

NOTE Confidence: 0.99507374

 $00{:}12{:}30.325 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}31.704$ when we think about supporting

NOTE Confidence: 0.99507374

 $00:12:31.764 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.665$ them more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99438196

 $00:12:33.650 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.770$ and tend to target women

NOTE Confidence: 0.99438196

 $00:12:34.770 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.130$ into their mid forties to

NOTE Confidence: 0.99438196

 $00:12:36.130 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.490$ allow them to define their

NOTE Confidence: 0.99438196

00:12:37.490 --> 00:12:38.950 own young.

 $00:12:40.850 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.770$ And this idea of age being

NOTE Confidence: 0.99438196

 $00:12:42.770 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.830$ a moving target,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9725252

 $00{:}12{:}44.690 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}12{:}46.130$ at the risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9725252

00:12:46.130 --> 00:12:47.885 teasing you a bit, I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9725252

 $00:12:47.885 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.065$ do remember when the program

 $00:12:50.125 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.565$ that you started at Dana

NOTE Confidence: 0.9725252

 $00{:}12{:}51.565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}53.725$ Farber focused on breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9725252

00:12:53.725 --> 00:12:55.665 in young women when initially

NOTE Confidence: 0.9725252

00:12:55.725 --> 00:12:57.885 the age cutoff was forty-two, and

 $00:13:00.350 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.030$ as you advanced in age, that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9655543

 $00:13:02.030 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.470$ cutoff went up

NOTE Confidence: 0.9655543

 $00:13:03.470 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.290$ a few years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9909061

 $00:13:04.830 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.950$ That went up for other

NOTE Confidence: 0.9909061

 $00:13:05.950 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.410$ reasons, but it was coincident

NOTE Confidence: 0.9909061

 $00:13:07.630 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.690$ with my aging out of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9129079

 $00:13:09.870 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.310$ I was happy to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9129079

 $00:13:11.310 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.150$ not to have fortunately, have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9129079

 $00:13:13.150 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.350$ become a member. But that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9129079

00:13:14.350 --> 00:13:16.745 being said, yeah, age

00:13:17.865 --> 00:13:19.065 is a state of mind. But that being said,

00:13:21.865 --> 00:13:23.145 I think we target and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9714049

 $00:13:23.145 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.585$ think about women who are

 $00:13:24.585 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.105$ in their thirties and early

NOTE Confidence: 0.9714049

 $00:13:26.105 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.225$ forties for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9714049

 $00{:}13{:}27.225 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}28.525$ the structure and the programmatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9714049

00:13:28.665 --> 00:13:29.785 approaches. But when we think

NOTE Confidence: 0.9714049

 $00:13:29.785 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.145$ about, you know, where the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9714049

 $00:13:31.145 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.645$ disparate

NOTE Confidence: 0.97235155

00:13:32.019 --> 00:13:33.300 outcomes are, it's really from

NOTE Confidence: 0.97235155

 $00:13:33.300 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.740$ a disease standpoint, it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.97235155

 $00:13:34.740 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.100$ the younger women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97235155

 $00:13:36.100 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.540$ the very young women. The

NOTE Confidence: 0.97235155

 $00:13:37.540 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.420$ one thing I wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.97235155

 $00:13:38.420 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.940$ add is there's also the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97235155

 $00:13:39.940 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.920$ genetic component.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9836553

 $00:13:41.459 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.579$ And we talked

NOTE Confidence: 0.9836553

 $00:13:42.579 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.540$ a little bit about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9836553

 $00:13:43.540 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.440$ disease itself,

 $00:13:44.925 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.885$ but one of the things

NOTE Confidence: 0.99878556

 $00:13:45.885 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.005$ that's kind of a hallmark

NOTE Confidence: 0.99878556

00:13:47.005 --> 00:13:48.525 of young onset breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.99878556

 $00:13:48.525 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.205$ is there's a much higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.99878556

00:13:50.205 --> 00:13:52.684 likelihood of having a genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.99878556

 $00:13:52.684 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.365$ predisposition to the disease when

NOTE Confidence: 0.99878556

 $00:13:54.365 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.885$ you're diagnosed as a young

NOTE Confidence: 0.99878556

 $00:13:55.885 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.385$ woman.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9881561

 $00:13:57.420 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.620$ If you include some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9881561

 $00:13:58.620 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.320$ the newer genetic changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9892574

 $00:14:01.260 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.380$ that you can find in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9892574

 $00:14:02.380 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.420$ genes that we now know

NOTE Confidence: 0.9892574

 $00:14:03.420 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.179$ increase risk of breast breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.9892574

 $00:14:05.179 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.460$ cancer, if you look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9892574

 $00:14:06.460 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.540$ women under forty and certainly

00:14:08.540 --> 00:14:09.820 under thirty five, it's almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.9892574

 $00:14:09.820 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.440$ a twenty five percent chance

NOTE Confidence: 0.9938816

 $00:14:12.155 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.675$ of finding if they're diagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9938816

 $00:14:13.675 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.115$ with breast cancer that young,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9938816

 $00:14:15.115 \longrightarrow 00:14:16.075$ there's about a one in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9938816

00:14:16.075 --> 00:14:17.515 four chance of finding one

NOTE Confidence: 0.9938816

 $00:14:17.515 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.355$ of these hereditary predisposition. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9938816

 $00:14:19.355 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.255$ that's in contrast

NOTE Confidence: 0.9901689

 $00:14:20.875 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.715$ to older women where that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9901689

00:14:22.715 --> 00:14:23.835 more like a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9901689

 $00:14:23.835 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.620$ ten percent chance, which has

NOTE Confidence: 0.9901689

 $00:14:25.620 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.800$ both ramifications for their treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98524445

 $00:14:28.340 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.620$ both what they choose

NOTE Confidence: 0.98524445

 $00:14:29.620 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.820$ to do from their breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.98524445

 $00:14:30.820 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.020$ cancer, the breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.98524445

 $00:14:32.020 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.180$ surgeries and treatment locally, as

 $00:14:34.180 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.460$ well as now what kinds

NOTE Confidence: 0.98524445

 $00:14:35.460 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.200$ of treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.9131711

 $00:14:36.740 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.240$ systemically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835044

 $00:14:37.700 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.900$ you know, the medicines they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835044

 $00:14:38.900 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.100$ can take to treat their

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835044

 $00:14:40.100 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.225$ cancer. And it also obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835044

 $00:14:42.225 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.665$ has implications for their loved

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835044

 $00:14:43.665 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.285$ ones and their blood relatives

NOTE Confidence: 0.97146434

 $00:14:45.904 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.665$ who may also be at

NOTE Confidence: 0.97146434 00:14:47.665 --> 00:14:48.165 risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.99847996

00:14:48.545 --> 00:14:50.144 by virtue of having a

NOTE Confidence: 0.99847996

 $00:14:50.144 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.125$ genetic predisposition.

 $00:14:52.384 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.970$ And knowledge is

NOTE Confidence: 0.967234

 $00:14:53.970 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.010$ power, but that can also

NOTE Confidence: 0.967234

00:14:55.010 --> 00:14:56.870 make people quite distressed because,

NOTE Confidence: 0.967234

00:14:57.010 --> 00:14:57.810 of course, you don't want

 $00:14:57.810 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.170$ your own self to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.967234

00:14:59.170 --> 00:15:00.210 cancer, but god knows you

NOTE Confidence: 0.967234

 $00:15:00.210 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.270$ don't want your children

NOTE Confidence: 0.98827213

 $00:15:01.650 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.770$ or your loved ones to

NOTE Confidence: 0.98827213

 $00:15:02.770 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.690$ be diagnosed potentially with

NOTE Confidence: 0.98827213

 $00:15:04.690 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.865$ a cancer. So it adds to the

 $00:15:06.985 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.165$ psychosocial distress these patients face.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98827213

 $00:15:09.464 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.825$ We're gonna take just

NOTE Confidence: 0.98827213

 $00:15:10.825 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.105$ a very brief break. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.98827213

 $00:15:12.105 \longrightarrow 00:15:13.625$ when we come back, we'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.98827213

 $00:15:13.625 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.725$ pick up with some questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.98827213

 $00:15:15.785 \longrightarrow 00:15:16.265$ about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9591413

 $00:15:16.904 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.445$ genetics and breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996342

 $00:15:18.825 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.285$ So we'll be back in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996342

 $00:15:20.345 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.245$ just a minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9498518

 $00:15:22.000 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.759$ Funding for Yale Cancer Answers

00:15:23.759 --> 00:15:25.699 comes from Smilow Cancer Hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9788671

 $00:15:26.000 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.399$ where their hematology program offers

NOTE Confidence: 0.9788671

 $00:15:28.399 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.560$ comprehensive diagnosis and treatment of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9788671

00:15:30.560 --> 00:15:33.139 blood cancers, including lymphoma, leukemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9788671

 $00:15:33.199 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.940$ and myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67759985

 $00:15:34.639 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.139$ Smilow cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.992851

 $00:15:35.519 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.980$ hospital dot org.

NOTE Confidence: 0.976306

00:15:38.775 --> 00:15:40.055 Over two hundred and thirty

NOTE Confidence: 0.976306

 $00:15:40.055 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.915$ thousand Americans will be diagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.976306

 $00:15:41.975 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.675$ with lung cancer this year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.976306

 $00:15:43.815 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.255$ and in Connecticut alone, there

NOTE Confidence: 0.976306

00:15:45.255 --> 00:15:46.535 will be over twenty seven

NOTE Confidence: 0.976306

 $00{:}15{:}46.535 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}47.675$ hundred new cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9683676

00:15:48.135 --> 00:15:49.415 More than eighty five percent

NOTE Confidence: 0.9683676

00:15:49.415 --> 00:15:51.335 of lung cancer diagnoses are

00:15:51.335 --> 00:15:52.475 related to smoking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98404855

00:15:52.950 --> 00:15:54.790 and quitting, even after decades

NOTE Confidence: 0.98404855

00:15:54.790 --> 00:15:56.710 of use, can significantly reduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.98404855

00:15:56.710 --> 00:15:58.230 your risk of developing lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.98404855

 $00:15:58.230 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.730$ cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95563275

00:15:59.270 --> 00:16:00.710 Each day patients with lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.95563275

 $00:16:00.710 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.630$ cancer are surviving thanks to

NOTE Confidence: 0.95563275

 $00:16:02.630 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.550$ increased access to advanced therapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.95563275

 $00:16:04.550 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.930$ and specialized care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99702746

 $00{:}16{:}06.355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}08.035$ New treatment options and surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.99702746

 $00{:}16{:}08.035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}09.635$ techniques are giving lung cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.99702746

 $00{:}16{:}09.635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}10.915$ survivors more hope than they

NOTE Confidence: 0.99702746

00:16:10.915 --> 00:16:12.055 have ever had before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96342903

 $00:16:12.675 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.595$ Clinical trials are currently underway

NOTE Confidence: 0.96342903

 $00:16:14.595 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.235$ at federally designated comprehensive cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.96342903

 $00:16:17.235 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.735$ centers,

 $00:16:18.035 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.399$ such as the battle two

NOTE Confidence: 0.90046424

 $00:16:19.399 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.000$ trial at Yale Cancer Center

NOTE Confidence: 0.90046424

00:16:21.000 --> 00:16:22.620 and Smilow Cancer Hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9911807

 $00:16:23.079 \longrightarrow 00:16:24.120$ to learn if a drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.9911807

 $00{:}16{:}24.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}25.880$ or combination of drugs based

NOTE Confidence: 0.9911807

 $00:16:25.880 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.180$ on personal biomarkers

NOTE Confidence: 0.9931968

 $00:16:27.640 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.000$ can help to control non

NOTE Confidence: 0.9931968

 $00:16:29.000 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.459$ small cell lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94891685

00:16:31.065 --> 00:16:32.665 More information is available at

NOTE Confidence: 0.94891685

00:16:32.665 --> 00:16:34.445 yale cancer center dot org.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94891685

 $00{:}16{:}34.585 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}36.265$ You're listening to Connecticut Public

NOTE Confidence: 0.94891685

 $00:16:36.265 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.765$ Radio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9206257

00:16:38.185 --> 00:16:39.705 Hello again. This is Eric

NOTE Confidence: 0.9206257

00:16:39.705 --> 00:16:41.405 Winer with Yale Cancer Answers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9206257

 $00:16:41.545 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.765$ and I'm joined

 $00:16:44.000 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.760$ by our guest, doctor Ann

NOTE Confidence: 0.9739613

 $00:16:45.760 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.920$ Partridge, professor of medicine at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9739613

00:16:47.920 --> 00:16:48.420 Harvard Medical School and

 $00:16:52.240 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.000$ a breast cancer physician and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9936359

00:16:54.000 --> 00:16:55.600 interim chair of medical oncology

NOTE Confidence: 0.9936359

 $00{:}16{:}55.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}57.140$ at Dana Farber Cancer Institute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313606

 $00:16:58.605 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.965$ So we were just talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313606

 $00:16:59.965 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.965$ about genetics in breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313606

 $00:17:01.965 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.465$ and

NOTE Confidence: 0.99866766

00:17:02.925 --> 00:17:04.845 the more frequent finding of

NOTE Confidence: 0.99866766

 $00{:}17{:}04.845 --> 00{:}17{:}05.665 \text{ a gene}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.991903

 $00:17:06.045 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.665$ change or gene mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.98892397

00:17:08.525 --> 00:17:10.605 that is inherited from one's

NOTE Confidence: 0.98892397

 $00{:}17{:}10.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}12.330$ mother or father that increases

NOTE Confidence: 0.98892397

 $00:17:12.330 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.710$ the risk of breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99848

 $00:17:14.409 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.789$ How does having

00:17:16.090 --> 00:17:17.070 such a change,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9650067

 $00:17:17.850 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.609$ such a mutation, like a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9650067

00:17:19.609 --> 00:17:21.390 BRCA one or BRCA

NOTE Confidence: 0.9795805

00:17:21.769 --> 00:17:23.369 two mutation, which many people

NOTE Confidence: 0.9795805

 $00:17:23.369 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.895$ are familiar with, how does

NOTE Confidence: 0.9795805

 $00:17:24.895 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.275$ that affect decision making

NOTE Confidence: 0.96232176

 $00:17:26.655 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.635$ regarding treatment?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9983501

 $00:17:28.415 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.715$ Having a gene mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9579434

 $00{:}17{:}30.255 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}17{:}32.175$ or pathogenic variant, as we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9579434

 $00:17:32.175 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.395$ call them these days,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997252

00:17:34.175 --> 00:17:35.875 can have a major impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743947

 $00:17:36.175 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.934$ on especially what a young

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743947

00:17:37.934 --> 00:17:38.915 woman considers

NOTE Confidence: 0.96073383

 $00:17:39.490 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.850$ in terms of her local

NOTE Confidence: 0.96073383

 $00:17:40.850 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.350$ therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9607941

 $00{:}17{:}42.049 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}44.289$ local therapy meaning surgery with

 $00:17:44.289 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.429$ or without radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98963046

 $00{:}17{:}47.169 {\:{\circ}{-}{>}\:} 00{:}17{:}48.690$ Generally, a person needs to

NOTE Confidence: 0.98963046

 $00:17:48.690 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.049$ treat the breast where they

NOTE Confidence: 0.98963046

00:17:50.049 --> 00:17:51.570 have the cancer from a

NOTE Confidence: 0.98963046

 $00:17:51.570 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.090$ local standpoint in order to

NOTE Confidence: 0.98963046

 $00:17:53.090 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.835$ get rid of it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9938412

 $00:17:54.554 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.154$ along with often medicines or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9938412

00:17:56.154 --> 00:17:57.215 systemic therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.98900473

 $00:17:57.755 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.794$ to kill off cells that

NOTE Confidence: 0.98900473

 $00:17:58.794 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.015$ might have been left behind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9823531

 $00:18:00.875 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.315$ When a young woman or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9823531

 $00:18:02.315 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.994$ any woman has one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9823531

 $00{:}18{:}03.994 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}05.934$ these known hereditary predisposing

NOTE Confidence: 0.97719014

00:18:06.395 --> 00:18:08.575 genes or a pathogenic variant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996038

 $00:18:09.080 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.440$ her risk of having a

 $00:18:10.440 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.520$ new primary cancer in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996038

 $00:18:12.520 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.660$ breast tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.9429827

 $00:18:14.040 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.500$ goes up pretty dramatically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93643224

 $00:18:16.840 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.200$ Often something on the order

NOTE Confidence: 0.93643224

00:18:18.200 --> 00:18:19.900 of five to tenfold depending

NOTE Confidence: 0.9952545

 $00:18:20.840 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.680$ on the level of the type of

 $00:18:23.560 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.619$ gene they have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99595106

00:18:24.965 --> 00:18:26.405 And so, you know, our

NOTE Confidence: 0.99595106

 $00:18:26.405 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.465$ job as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9667367

 $00:18:28.005 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.685$ medical oncologists working with our

NOTE Confidence: 0.9667367

 $00{:}18{:}29.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}32.085$ genetic counselors and genetic ists is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9667367

 $00:18:32.085 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.605$ if a person tests

NOTE Confidence: 0.9667367

 $00:18:33.605 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.725$ positive for one of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9667367

 $00{:}18{:}34.725 --> 00{:}18{:}35.225 \ \mathrm{genes},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.98112893

 $00:18:35.765 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.045$ the changes in the genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98112893

 $00:18:37.045 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.950$ then we need to help, A.

 $00:18:38.190 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.630$ decide, is it one

NOTE Confidence: 0.98112893

 $00:18:39.630 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.990$ of those ones that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.98112893

 $00:18:40.990 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.669$ associated with a really increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.98112893

00:18:42.669 --> 00:18:44.350 risk of new primary breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.98112893

 $00:18:44.350 \longrightarrow 00:18:45.549$ cancer, even they're in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98112893

 $00:18:45.549 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.750$ same breast where they had

NOTE Confidence: 0.98112893

 $00:18:46.750 \longrightarrow 00:18:48.130$ the original breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9334802

 $00:18:48.750 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.950$ or in the other breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.9334802

 $00:18:49.950 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.549$ or contralateral breast as we call it.

00:18:53.554 --> 00:18:55.015 And then should that, for that individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.98640275

00:18:55.155 --> 00:18:57.174 woman, impact her decisions

NOTE Confidence: 0.98640275

 $00:18:57.234 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.734$ around

NOTE Confidence: 0.9594337

 $00:18:58.355 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.595$ doing more aggressive surgery? Often

NOTE Confidence: 0.9594337

 $00{:}19{:}00.595 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}02.035$ women will consider not only

NOTE Confidence: 0.9594337

 $00:19:02.035 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.475$ treating the breast where they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9594337

 $00:19:03.475 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.455$ have the cancer,

 $00:19:04.755 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.550$ but the other side in

NOTE Confidence: 0.99972904

 $00{:}19{:}06.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}07.850$ terms of having a prophylactic

NOTE Confidence: 0.96140766

00:19:08.310 --> 00:19:08.810 mastectomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9619764

 $00:19:09.990 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.670$ so that they can decrease

NOTE Confidence: 0.9619764

 $00:19:11.670 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.190$ the chances of a future

NOTE Confidence: 0.9619764

 $00:19:13.190 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.950$ breast cancer event in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9619764

 $00:19:14.950 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.990$ breast. And of course, that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9619764

 $00{:}19{:}15.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}16.950$ has a lot to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.9619764

 $00:19:16.950 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.990$ with, well, what are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9619764

 $00:19:17.990 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.369$ risks of the first cancer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.97681034

 $00{:}19{:}19.825 --> 00{:}19{:}21.345$ Number one, hopefully if the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97681034

 $00:19:21.345 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.105$ risks are low, that woman

NOTE Confidence: 0.97681034

 $00:19:23.105 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.545$ will be expected to, you

NOTE Confidence: 0.97681034

 $00:19:24.545 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.825$ know, live and live on

NOTE Confidence: 0.97681034

 $00:19:25.825 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.105$ for many years, in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.97681034

 $00:19:27.105 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.385$ case the idea of a

 $00{:}19{:}28.385 --> 00{:}19{:}30.145$ new cancer occurring in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97681034

 $00:19:30.145 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.765$ next five to ten years

NOTE Confidence: 0.97681034

00:19:31.850 --> 00:19:33.530 would be super important, let

NOTE Confidence: 0.97681034

 $00:19:33.530 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.530$ alone twenty, thirty, forty years

NOTE Confidence: 0.97681034

00:19:35.530 --> 00:19:36.410 from then if you're talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.97681034

 $00:19:36.410 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.609$ about a forty year old.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97681034

 $00:19:37.609 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.210$ And so we often help,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97681034

 $00:19:39.210 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.570$ you know, discuss these issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.97681034

00:19:40.570 --> 00:19:42.090 with women. It's complicated because

NOTE Confidence: 0.97681034

 $00:19:42.090 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.050$ some of these women haven't

NOTE Confidence: 0.97681034

 $00:19:43.050 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.270$ finished their childbearing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94428486

 $00:19:44.955 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.994$ They might want to not

NOTE Confidence: 0.94428486

 $00:19:45.994 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.875$ only just have a baby

NOTE Confidence: 0.94428486

00:19:46.875 --> 00:19:48.174 but nurse that baby.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9726845

 $00:19:48.554 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.434$ So some of these women

00:19:49.434 --> 00:19:51.115 will say, okay, I have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9726845

 $00:19:51.115 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.575$ a known hereditary predisposition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9780988

 $00:19:53.195 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.154$ I'm not just

NOTE Confidence: 0.9780988

 $00:19:54.154 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.275$ gonna treat the side I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9780988

 $00:19:55.275 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.335$ have to now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996721

00:19:56.840 --> 00:19:58.200 but I'll think about doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996721

 $00:19:58.200 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.740$ something on the other side

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986383

00:20:00.119 --> 00:20:01.240 when I'm done with having

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986383

 $00:20:01.240 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.900$ my children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9811087

00:20:02.520 --> 00:20:03.400 But, you know, some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9811087

 $00{:}20{:}03.400 \longrightarrow 00{:}20{:}04.680$ them are living with increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.9811087

 $00:20:04.680 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.880$ risk even in the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.9811087

 $00:20:05.880 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.100$ five to ten years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9689013

 $00:20:07.560 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.520$ and most of them if

NOTE Confidence: 0.9689013

 $00:20:08.520 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.740$ they have a known predisposition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97764665

 $00:20:10.244 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.365$ And so that's kind of

00:20:11.365 --> 00:20:12.645 weighing pros and cons

NOTE Confidence: 0.97764665

 $00{:}20{:}12.645 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}20{:}13.765$ there, and it's important that

NOTE Confidence: 0.97764665

 $00:20:13.765 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.805$ we support them to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.97764665

 $00:20:14.805 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.345$ the best decisions for themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996416

 $00:20:16.645 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.465$ The good news

NOTE Confidence: 0.97136396

 $00:20:17.845 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.965$ is that at least in

NOTE Confidence: 0.97136396

 $00:20:18.965 \longrightarrow 00:20:20.025$ the short term,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97674525

 $00{:}20{:}20.885 \rightarrow 00{:}20{:}23.445$ women aren't usually sitting on

NOTE Confidence: 0.97674525

 $00:20:23.445 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.645$ a time bomb. They feel

NOTE Confidence: 0.97674525

00:20:24.645 --> 00:20:26.660 like they are, but, generally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97674525

 $00:20:26.880 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.000$ you have some time both

NOTE Confidence: 0.97674525

 $00:20:28.000 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.440$ to make these decisions when

NOTE Confidence: 0.97674525

 $00{:}20{:}29.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}30.800$ you're treating the primary breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.97674525

 $00:20:30.800 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.720$ cancer as well as to

NOTE Confidence: 0.97674525

 $00:20:32.720 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.240$ to, you know, hold back

 $00:20:34.240 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.300$ and not necessarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.95786303

 $00{:}20{:}35.760 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}20{:}36.900$ do many prophylactic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9961481

 $00:20:37.360 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.184$ things right at the beginning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9764308

 $00:20:39.804 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.005$ You usually have at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.9764308

 $00:20:41.005 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.965$ a couple years and can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9764308

00:20:41.965 --> 00:20:43.645 be watched very carefully even

NOTE Confidence: 0.9764308

00:20:43.645 --> 00:20:45.184 with the highest risk genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93512195

 $00:20:45.484 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.845$ And of course, for women

NOTE Confidence: 0.93512195

 $00:20:46.845 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.865$ with BRCA one or BRCA

NOTE Confidence: 0.93512195

 $00:20:49.005 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.664$ two mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99657357

 $00:20:50.445 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.664$ there's the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868136

00:20:52.490 --> 00:20:54.590 added risk of ovarian cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868136

00:20:54.650 --> 00:20:56.250 which, of course, with many

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868136

00:20:56.250 --> 00:20:58.110 of these gene changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874066

 $00:20:58.810 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.090$ people are at risk for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874066

 $00:21:00.090 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.610$ a variety of different cancers.

 $00:21:01.610 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.210$ But ovarian cancer is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874066

 $00:21:03.210 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.590$ one that is most notable

NOTE Confidence: 0.97897696

 $00:21:05.015 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.295$ for some people. And that

NOTE Confidence: 0.97897696

 $00:21:06.295 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.715$ also sometimes leads to questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.97897696

 $00{:}21{:}08.775 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}10.235$ about prophylactic surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.992645

 $00:21:10.695 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.615$ So we've been talking off

NOTE Confidence: 0.992645

 $00:21:12.615 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.395$ and on about having

NOTE Confidence: 0.9804241

 $00:21:15.335 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.895$ children after breast cancer, having

NOTE Confidence: 0.9804241

 $00:21:17.895 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.395$ babies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9552671

 $00:21:19.050 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.870$ and fertility.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99585146

 $00:21:20.730 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.010$ And maybe you could tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.99585146

 $00:21:22.010 \longrightarrow 00:21:23.470$ us a little bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.99585146

 $00:21:23.610 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.910$ the study that

NOTE Confidence: 0.99938595

 $00:21:25.370 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.190$ you conducted

NOTE Confidence: 0.98397607

00:21:26.650 --> 00:21:27.950 looking at pregnancy

 $00:21:28.490 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.730$ after breast cancer. Because for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9794854

 $00:21:30.730 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.090$ years and years and years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9794854

 $00:21:32.090 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.470$ women have asked us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9959593

 $00:21:33.895 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.255$ is it safe to become

NOTE Confidence: 0.9959593

 $00:21:35.255 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.355$ pregnant after breast cancer? And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9685262

 $00:21:37.975 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.595$ the usual answer was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9764209

 $00:21:40.135 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.174$ we don't know that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9764209

00:21:41.174 --> 00:21:43.595 isn't safe. It's probably okay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9764209

 $00:21:43.655 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.695$ but we don't know the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9764209

00:21:44.695 --> 00:21:46.054 exact timing. And then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9943308

 $00{:}21{:}47.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}48.490$ you and your colleagues were

NOTE Confidence: 0.9943308

 $00:21:48.490 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.270$ able to do a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9943308

00:21:50.490 --> 00:21:51.950 really landmark study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988979

 $00:21:52.490 \longrightarrow 00:21:53.150$ So we

NOTE Confidence: 0.99912065

00:21:53.690 --> 00:21:54.970 heard from our patients and

NOTE Confidence: 0.99912065

 $00:21:54.970 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.030$ our patient advocates

 $00:21:56.410 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.690$ and we knew

NOTE Confidence: 0.99197733

00:21:57.690 --> 00:21:58.970 how important this was for

NOTE Confidence: 0.99197733

 $00:21:58.970 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.490$ our young women and their

NOTE Confidence: 0.99197733

 $00:22:00.490 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.990$ families

NOTE Confidence: 0.96754426

 $00:22:01.450 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.725$ to be able to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.96754426

 $00:22:02.725 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.085$ babies and also at

NOTE Confidence: 0.96754426

 $00:22:04.085 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.965$ the same time not do

NOTE Confidence: 0.96754426

 $00{:}22{:}04.965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}06.885$ something crazy. Right? It's pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.96754426

00:22:06.885 --> 00:22:08.164 normal to want a baby,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96754426

 $00:22:08.164 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.445$ and yet people want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.96754426

00:22:09.445 --> 00:22:10.725 get good breast cancer care

NOTE Confidence: 0.96754426

 $00{:}22{:}10.725 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}12.024$ too and good risk reduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99873096

 $00{:}22{:}12.484 \to 00{:}22{:}13.605$ And so what we did

NOTE Confidence: 0.99873096

00:22:13.605 --> 00:22:15.385 was a single arm trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.98393875

 $00:22:16.020 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.380$ where we said, okay. Let's

 $00:22:17.380 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.160$ come up with a protocol

NOTE Confidence: 0.98393875

 $00:22:19.380 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.840$ that seems reasonable

NOTE Confidence: 0.99885947

 $00:22:21.380 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.359$ to your average

NOTE Confidence: 0.98793226

00:22:22.740 --> 00:22:25.220 patient, patient advocate, and doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.98793226

 $00:22:25.220 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.340$ who cares for young women

NOTE Confidence: 0.98793226

 $00:22:26.340 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.859$ with breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98793226

 $00:22:27.859 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.900$ And just to be clear, you say

NOTE Confidence: 0.98793226

 $00:22:28.900 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.119$ a single arm trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9903013

 $00{:}22{:}30.664 --> 00{:}22{:}32.105$ I think people know that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9903013

 $00:22:32.105 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.605$ often times

NOTE Confidence: 0.9219839

 $00:22:32.984 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.065$ there are randomized trials, which

NOTE Confidence: 0.9219839

 $00:22:35.065 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.284$ are definitive trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877424

 $00:22:36.585 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.784$ but it is hard to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877424

 $00:22:37.784 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.865$ randomize people to getting pregnant

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877424

 $00:22:39.865 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.145$ or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877424

 $00{:}22{:}41.145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}42.585$ Yes, that would be a very challenging study

 $00:22:42.585 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.265$ to do, a nonstarter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877424

 $00:22:44.265 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.840$ And so we said, what would

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877424

 $00:22:45.840 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.540$ women tolerate? And we actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877424

 $00:22:47.840 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.660$ figured out

NOTE Confidence: 0.96290666

 $00:22:49.119 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.480$ a schema, again, with very

NOTE Confidence: 0.96290666

 $00:22:50.480 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.780$ heavy patient input

NOTE Confidence: 0.97788274

 $00:22:52.400 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.760$ that women were willing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.97788274

 $00{:}22{:}53.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}55.200$ take their endocrine therapy, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.97788274

 $00{:}22{:}55.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}56.160$ really wanted to take a

NOTE Confidence: 0.97788274

00:22:56.160 --> 00:22:57.280 break if we didn't think

NOTE Confidence: 0.97788274

00:22:57.280 --> 00:22:58.260 that was crazy

NOTE Confidence: 0.99580306

 $00:22:58.675 \longrightarrow 00:23:00.115$ and then get back on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99580306

00:23:00.115 --> 00:23:01.075 They were willing to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.99580306

 $00{:}23{:}01.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}02.115$ back on. So the idea is

 $00:23:02.915 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.275$ typically you treat for five

NOTE Confidence: 0.90515053

 $00:23:04.275 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.175$ to ten years,

00:23:05.795 --> 00:23:07.795 you know, straight through with

NOTE Confidence: 0.97963077

 $00{:}23{:}07.795 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}09.635$ or al endocrine therapy and sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.97963077

 $00:23:09.635 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.415$ with shots to suppress ovaries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9869177

 $00:23:11.990 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.790$ But we know that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9869177

 $00:23:12.790 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.330$ risk of breast cancer continues

NOTE Confidence: 0.9869177

 $00:23:14.390 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.830$ for the hormone sensitive breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.9869177

 $00:23:15.830 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.270$ cancer even further out. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.9869177

 $00:23:17.270 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.550$ could we take a break

NOTE Confidence: 0.9869177

 $00:23:18.550 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.750$ sooner than that five to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9869177

00:23:19.750 --> 00:23:20.490 ten years,

 $00:23:24.230 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.590$ support them to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.9457508

 $00:23:25.590 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.090$ pregnant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9774376

00:23:26.725 --> 00:23:27.845 however they needed to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.9774376

 $00{:}23{:}27.845 --> 00{:}23{:}28.885$ pregnant and then get back

NOTE Confidence: 0.9774376

 $00:23:28.885 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.085$ on and complete the full

NOTE Confidence: 0.9774376

 $00:23:30.085 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.445$ duration of the therapy they

 $00{:}23{:}31.445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}32.804$ were going to take. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9774376

 $00:23:32.804 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.085$ the really good news is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9774376

 $00:23:34.085 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.524$ that women all over the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9774376

00:23:35.524 --> 00:23:36.725 world, you know, this was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9774376

00:23:36.725 --> 00:23:38.505 a very big international

NOTE Confidence: 0.9505453

 $00:23:39.044 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.649$ effort. We put on over

NOTE Confidence: 0.9505453

 $00:23:40.649 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.009$ five hundred women around the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9505453

 $00:23:42.009 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.970$ world. I think it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9505453

 $00:23:42.970 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.909$ eighty countries we enrolled from,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9905376

 $00:23:45.210 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.730$ and we actually enrolled in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9905376

 $00:23:46.730 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.629$ record time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9285045

 $00:23:47.929 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.210$ And what we showed at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9285045

00:23:49.210 --> 00:23:50.669 least in early follow-up

NOTE Confidence: 0.99465334

00:23:51.129 --> 00:23:52.490 is that this does appear

NOTE Confidence: 0.99465334

 $00:23:52.490 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.685$ to be a safe approach.

 $00:23:54.085 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.685$ So taking eighteen to thirty

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992444

00:23:55.685 --> 00:23:56.745 months of your treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99057484

00:23:57.285 --> 00:23:58.405 taking a break for up

NOTE Confidence: 0.99057484

00:23:58.405 --> 00:23:59.605 to two years for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.99057484

00:23:59.605 --> 00:24:00.105 pregnancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9942695

 $00:24:00.485 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.985$ delivery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96106786

 $00:24:02.005 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.605$ nursing if someone chose to

NOTE Confidence: 0.96106786

 $00:24:03.605 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.485$ and is able to, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.96106786

 $00:24:04.485 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.685$ then getting back on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.96106786

 $00:24:05.685 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.185$ therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9498042

 $00{:}24{:}06.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}07.940$ When we follow these women

NOTE Confidence: 0.9498042

 $00:24:07.940 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.980$ over time, they seem to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9498042

 $00:24:08.980 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.440$ do just as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.994579

 $00:24:10.820 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.760$ with as few recurrences

NOTE Confidence: 0.9978242

 $00:24:13.380 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.300$ as women who had not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9978242

 $00:24:15.300 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.140$ taken that kind of break

 $00:24:17.140 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.880$ for pregnancy.

00:24:20.625 --> 00:24:22.865 It's admittedly a preliminary result,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95389986

 $00:24:22.865 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.605$ but it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.97785056

 $00:24:23.984 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.445$ really a much more definitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.97785056

 $00:24:25.505 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.625$ study than has ever been

NOTE Confidence: 0.97785056

00:24:26.625 --> 00:24:28.164 done and has really helped

NOTE Confidence: 0.97785056

00:24:28.225 --> 00:24:30.544 in decision making around

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995416

 $00:24:31.460 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.140$ this really challenging issue for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995416

 $00:24:33.140 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.040$ a lot of people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9901672

 $00:24:35.780 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.760$ Could we talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9976922

00:24:37.060 --> 00:24:38.980 for a minute about long

NOTE Confidence: 0.9976922

 $00:24:38.980 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.960$ term complications?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9906833

 $00:24:40.900 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.920$ So a woman goes through

NOTE Confidence: 0.9906833

 $00:24:43.220 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.980$ treatment for breast cancer, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9906833

 $00:24:44.980 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.960$ a young woman

NOTE Confidence: 0.9892388

 $00:24:46.934 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.014$ may live for another fifty

 $00:24:49.014 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.914$ plus years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9981752

 $00:24:51.654 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.695$ What are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9981752

00:24:52.695 --> 00:24:54.294 the long term implications of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9981752

 $00:24:54.294 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.955$ a diagnosis?

NOTE Confidence: 0.97790223

 $00:24:56.855 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.034$ So we know from anecdote,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97790223

 $00:24:59.095 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.634$ actually, the long term implications

NOTE Confidence: 0.77672195

 $00:25:01.174 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.914$ and from

NOTE Confidence: 0.9889797

 $00:25:03.220 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.299$ some studies where we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9889797

 $00:25:05.299 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.640$ looked at kind of populations

NOTE Confidence: 0.82170427

 $00:25:08.100 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.600$ overall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99952465

 $00:25:09.059 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.260$ but we don't have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.99952465

00:25:10.260 --> 00:25:11.640 great amount of data

NOTE Confidence: 0.97510433

 $00{:}25{:}12.020 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}25{:}14.100$ on very young women diagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.97510433

00:25:14.100 --> 00:25:15.160 with breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98163706

00:25:15.645 --> 00:25:17.484 what's happening to them twenty

 $00:25:17.484 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.484$ years out or thirty years

NOTE Confidence: 0.98163706

 $00:25:19.484 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.005$ out. And in fact, I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.98163706

 $00:25:21.005 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.365$ excited that the study that

NOTE Confidence: 0.98163706

 $00:25:22.365 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.725$ you and I launched now

NOTE Confidence: 0.98163706

 $00:25:23.725 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.865$ twenty years ago

NOTE Confidence: 0.98688364

 $00:25:25.244 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.165$ will actually deliver some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.98688364

 $00:25:27.165 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.605$ that data in the years

NOTE Confidence: 0.98688364

 $00:25:28.605 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.000$ to come because we've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.98688364

 $00{:}25{:}30.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}31.440$ following a cohort of young

NOTE Confidence: 0.98688364

00:25:31.440 --> 00:25:31.940 patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9637271

 $00:25:32.800 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.080$ and we'll continue to follow

NOTE Confidence: 0.9637271

 $00:25:34.080 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.760$ them hopefully for another, you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9637271

 $00:25:35.760 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.140$ know, twenty, thirty years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98378134

 $00:25:37.520 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.280$ But for now, we worry

NOTE Confidence: 0.98378134

 $00:25:39.280 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.200$ about their bones. We worry

NOTE Confidence: 0.98378134

 $00:25:41.200 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.800$ about their hearts. We worry

 $00:25:42.800 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.105$ about their mental health and

NOTE Confidence: 0.98378134

 $00:25:45.105 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.585$ even their cognitive functioning over

NOTE Confidence: 0.98378134

 $00:25:47.585 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.905$ time. And that's largely from

NOTE Confidence: 0.98378134

 $00:25:49.905 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.405$ studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997298

 $00:25:50.785 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.005$ that have looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9325808

00:25:52.305 --> 00:25:54.065 not breast cancer survivors, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.9325808

 $00:25:54.065 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.065$ women generally who've gone through

NOTE Confidence: 0.9325808

00:25:56.065 --> 00:25:57.525 very premature menopause

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994817

 $00:25:58.119 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.179$ for different reasons and

 $00:26:00.760 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.440$ have shown in these studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.97431666

 $00:26:02.440 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.240$ that there may be an

NOTE Confidence: 0.97431666

 $00:26:03.240 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.440$ increased risk of some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97431666

 $00:26:04.440 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.520$ these chronic diseases, particularly bone

NOTE Confidence: 0.97431666

 $00:26:06.520 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.960$ health and the risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97431666

 $00:26:07.960 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.020$ premature cardiovascular

NOTE Confidence: 0.99120045

 $00:26:09.480 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.600$ issues. That doesn't mean

 $00:26:10.760 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.200$ we shouldn't use these treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.99120045

 $00:26:12.200 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.035$ because the near risk for

NOTE Confidence: 0.99120045

 $00:26:14.035 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.035$ women is breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99120045

 $00:26:16.035 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.155$ We want them to become

NOTE Confidence: 0.99120045

00:26:17.155 --> 00:26:18.435 long term survivors, and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.99120045

 $00:26:18.435 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.155$ have to but it does

NOTE Confidence: 0.99120045

 $00:26:19.155 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.595$ mean we shouldn't overtreat them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99120045

00:26:20.595 --> 00:26:22.455 number one. And number two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99120045

 $00:26:22.675 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.715$ we wanna follow them long

NOTE Confidence: 0.99120045

00:26:23.715 --> 00:26:24.835 term and do whatever we

NOTE Confidence: 0.99120045

 $00:26:24.835 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.740$ can to prevent these long

NOTE Confidence: 0.99120045

 $00:26:26.740 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.940$ term and late effects as

NOTE Confidence: 0.99120045

 $00{:}26{:}27.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}29.799$ we figure out how frequently

NOTE Confidence: 0.99120045

 $00:26:29.859 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.820$ they occur and what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.99120045

 $00:26:30.820 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.039$ can do to prevent it.

 $00:26:32.820 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.100$ Well, in our last two

NOTE Confidence: 0.99122745

 $00:26:34.100 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.520$ minutes, maybe we can touch

NOTE Confidence: 0.99122745

 $00:26:36.580 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.580$ on a really important topic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99122745

 $00:26:38.580 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.240$ which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9907441

 $00:26:39.619 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.119$ screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9975227

00:26:40.934 --> 00:26:43.355 And, of course, screening recommendations

NOTE Confidence: 0.9975227

00:26:43.494 --> 00:26:45.095 typically start in women who

NOTE Confidence: 0.9975227

 $00:26:45.095 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.875$ are forty or over.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9926669

 $00:26:48.455 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.815$ For women who have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9926669

 $00:26:49.815 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.154$ genetic predisposition to breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9926669

00:26:52.375 --> 00:26:53.994 we often do start younger.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9926669

 $00:26:54.054 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.955$ But for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9979361

 $00:26:55.490 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.470$ general population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98723364

 $00:26:57.090 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.450$ women who do not have

NOTE Confidence: 0.98723364

 $00:26:58.450 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.510$ any kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9936876

 $00:26:59.810 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.650$ strong family history or genetic

 $00:27:01.650 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.150$ predisposition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99470663

 $00:27:03.170 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.130$ there's a lot of discussion

NOTE Confidence: 0.99470663

 $00:27:04.130 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.090$ about what can be done

NOTE Confidence: 0.99470663

 $00:27:05.090 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.770$ with screening in in young

NOTE Confidence: 0.99470663

 $00:27:06.770 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.670$ women. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9733378

 $00:27:07.984 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.744$ what are the challenges there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9733378

 $00:27:09.744 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.705$ and where do you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.9733378

 $00:27:10.705 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.765$ all that going?

NOTE Confidence: 0.98079526

00:27:13.185 --> 00:27:14.645 Yes. That's a very complicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.98079526

 $00:27:14.785 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.025$ situation. Right now, for general

NOTE Confidence: 0.98079526

 $00:27:17.025 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.465$ population, there is no good

NOTE Confidence: 0.98079526

 $00:27:18.465 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.605$ screening for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9696091

 $00:27:19.984 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.345$ young women who are at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9696091

 $00:27:21.345 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.420$ risk for breast cancer. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9696091

00:27:22.420 --> 00:27:23.700 basically, any young woman,

 $00:27:23.780 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.740$ if she has breasts, is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9696091

 $00:27:24.740 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.119$ at risk for breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9696091

 $00:27:26.340 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.540$ Yes. For the high risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9696091

00:27:27.540 --> 00:27:28.980 population, if they know they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9696091

 $00:27:28.980 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.260$ have a gene in their

NOTE Confidence: 0.9696091

 $00{:}27{:}30.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}31.940$ family that predisposes to breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.9696091

00:27:31.940 --> 00:27:33.720 cancer, we'll start getting MRIs

NOTE Confidence: 0.9973272

 $00:27:34.180 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.920$ and mammograms

NOTE Confidence: 0.98266274

00:27:35.635 --> 00:27:36.994 earlier, and that's tailored to

NOTE Confidence: 0.98266274

 $00:27:36.994 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.655$ the individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988366

 $00:27:38.035 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.335$ and their family history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9640613

 $00:27:39.794 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.875$ But for, you know, general

NOTE Confidence: 0.9640613

00:27:41.875 --> 00:27:43.635 population women, there actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.9640613

 $00:27:43.635 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.674$ is not a great test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9640613

 $00:27:44.674 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.875$ So it's really about your

NOTE Confidence: 0.9640613

 $00:27:45.875 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.815$ own breast health awareness.

 $00:27:48.510 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.030$ And that's not a great

NOTE Confidence: 0.987635

00:27:50.030 --> 00:27:51.390 answer because many young women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987635

 $00:27:51.390 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.350$ by the time they feel

NOTE Confidence: 0.987635

00:27:52.350 --> 00:27:53.869 a lump, they're diagnosed and

NOTE Confidence: 0.987635

00:27:53.869 --> 00:27:55.390 and they have higher risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.987635

 $00:27:55.390 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.690$ disease than they would like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.987635

 $00:27:56.990 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.430$ And so what we really

NOTE Confidence: 0.987635

 $00:27:58.430 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.310$ need to do is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.987635

 $00:27:59.310 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.430$ do more work to figure

NOTE Confidence: 0.987635

 $00:28:00.430 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.930$ out

NOTE Confidence: 0.9729253

 $00:28:01.255 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.695$ who's really at risk for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9729253

 $00:28:02.695 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.315$ breast cancer, to really understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.97798294

 $00{:}28{:}05.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}05.815$ not just what is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97798294

00:28:05.815 --> 00:28:07.335 smoking gun, you know, one

NOTE Confidence: 0.97798294

 $00:28:07.335 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.395$ gene that predisposes,

00:28:08.934 --> 00:28:10.054 but, you know, more things

NOTE Confidence: 0.97107875

 $00{:}28{:}10.054 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}11.815$ like polygenic risk scores where

NOTE Confidence: 0.97107875

00:28:11.815 --> 00:28:13.434 we can understand the genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.97107875

 $00:28:13.494 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.195$ and the environment interaction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9482129

 $00:28:15.900 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.119$ And until then, we need

 $00:28:18.220 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.740$ better tests also. So we

NOTE Confidence: 0.98424333

 $00:28:19.740 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.100$ need tests that are, you

NOTE Confidence: 0.98424333

 $00:28:21.100 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.300$ know, better than a mammogram

NOTE Confidence: 0.98424333

 $00{:}28{:}22.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}24.220$ because mammograms aren't terrific in

NOTE Confidence: 0.98424333

 $00:28:24.220 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.500$ young women who have much

NOTE Confidence: 0.98424333

 $00:28:25.500 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.800$ more dense breasts

NOTE Confidence: 0.9684863

 $00{:}28{:}27.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}28.800$ than older women conventionally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9216211

00:28:29.180 --> 00:28:29.920 And therefore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9966811

 $00{:}28{:}30.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}32.225$ seeing a dense tumor different

NOTE Confidence: 0.9966811

 $00:28:32.225 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.505$ from a dense breast is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9966811

 $00:28:33.505 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.865$ much harder in a young

 $00:28:34.865 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.925$ woman with a mammogram.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9647626

00:28:36.545 --> 00:28:37.905 Doctor Ann Partridge is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9647626

 $00{:}28{:}37.905 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}39.505$ professor of medicine at Harvard

NOTE Confidence: 0.9647626

 $00:28:39.505 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.485$ Medical School.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98027647

 $00:28:40.945 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.225$ If you have questions, the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98027647

00:28:42.225 --> 00:28:43.285 address is cancer answers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8708472

 $00:28:43.665 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.965$ at yale dot edu,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98433286

 $00:28:45.340 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.620$ and past editions of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98433286

 $00{:}28{:}46.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}48.380$ program are available in audio

NOTE Confidence: 0.98433286

 $00:28:48.380 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.740$ and written form at yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.98433286

 $00:28:49.740 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.120$ cancer center dot org.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995642

 $00:28:51.420 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.460$ We hope you'll join us

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995642

00:28:52.460 --> 00:28:53.500 next time to learn more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995642

 $00{:}28{:}53.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}55.040$ about the fight against cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9776792

 $00:28:55.340 \longrightarrow 00:28:57.180$ Funding for Yale Cancer Answers

NOTE Confidence: 0.9776792

 $00{:}28{:}57.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}58.940$ is provided by Smilow Cancer

 $00{:}28{:}58.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}59.440$ Hospital.