WEBVTT

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:02.172 Funding for Yale Cancer Answers is NOTE Confidence: 0.933027577272728 00:00:02.172 --> 00:00:04.240 provided by Smilow Cancer Hospital. NOTE Confidence: 0.808610485555556 00:00:06.360 --> 00:00:08.560 Welcome to Yale Cancer Answers NOTE Confidence: 0.808610485555556 $00:00:08.560 \rightarrow 00:00:10.320$ with Doctor Anees Chappar. NOTE Confidence: 0.808610485555556 $00:00:10.320 \rightarrow 00:00:12.170$ Yale Cancer Answers features the NOTE Confidence: 0.808610485555556 00:00:12.170 --> 00:00:14.020 latest information on cancer care NOTE Confidence: 0.808610485555556 00:00:14.085 - 00:00:15.545 by welcoming oncologists and NOTE Confidence: 0.808610485555556 $00:00:15.545 \rightarrow 00:00:17.735$ specialists who are on the forefront NOTE Confidence: 0.808610485555556 $00:00:17.794 \rightarrow 00:00:19.480$ of the battle to fight cancer. NOTE Confidence: 0.808610485555556 $00:00:19.480 \rightarrow 00:00:21.622$ This week it's a conversation about NOTE Confidence: 0.808610485555556 $00:00:21.622 \rightarrow 00:00:23.523$ new research into cancer related NOTE Confidence: 0.808610485555556 00:00:23.523 --> 00:00:25.558 fatigue with Doctor Rachel Perry. NOTE Confidence: 0.808610485555556 00:00:25.560 --> 00:00:27.864 Doctor Perry is an assistant professor NOTE Confidence: 0.808610485555556 $00:00:27.864 \rightarrow 00:00:30.141$ of medicine in endocrinology and of NOTE Confidence: 0.808610485555556 $00:00:30.141 \rightarrow 00:00:31.493$ cellular and molecular Physiology NOTE Confidence: 0.808610485555556

00:00:31.493 - > 00:00:33.599 at the Yale School of Medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808610485555556

 $00{:}00{:}33{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}36{.}480$ where Doctor Chagpar is a professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.808610485555556

 $00:00:36.480 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.920$ of surgical oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808610485555556

 $00:00:37.920 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.320$ Rachel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926080382777778

 $00:00:38.320 \rightarrow 00:00:40.078$ maybe we'll start by you telling

NOTE Confidence: 0.926080382777778

00:00:40.078 --> 00:00:41.925 us a little bit more about

NOTE Confidence: 0.926080382777778

 $00:00:41.925 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.755$ yourself and what you do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95332111625

00:00:44.640 --> 00:00:46.890 Absolutely. So I am a

NOTE Confidence: 0.95332111625

 $00{:}00{:}46.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}48.240$ metabolism trained scientist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95332111625

 $00:00:48.240 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.816$ So I grew up as a scientist

NOTE Confidence: 0.95332111625

 $00:00:50.816 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.552$ studying metabolism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95332111625

 $00:00:51.560 \rightarrow 00:00:54.318$ That is how our bodies use nutrients

NOTE Confidence: 0.95332111625

 $00{:}00{:}54.318 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}57.666$ that we either take in from food or

NOTE Confidence: 0.95332111625

 $00:00:57.666 \rightarrow 00:00:59.751$ generate in our bodies themselves

NOTE Confidence: 0.95332111625

00:00:59.835 --> 00:01:02.308 and where those nutrients go

NOTE Confidence: 0.95332111625

 $00:01:02.308 \dashrightarrow 00:01:04.156$ and how those nutrients can be

- NOTE Confidence: 0.95332111625
- 00:01:04.156 --> 00:01:06.278 used to either fuel tumor growth,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95332111625
- $00:01:06.280 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.960$ which is obviously a problem,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95332111625
- $00:01:07.960 \dashrightarrow 00:01:10.648$ or to help our bodies fight tumor growth
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95332111625
- $00:01:10.648 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.278$ and recover from having cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95332111625
- 00:01:13.280 --> 00:01:14.592 So as I said,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95332111625
- $00:01:14.592 \rightarrow 00:01:16.560$ my training was in pure metabolism,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95332111625
- $00:01:16.560 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.024$ just kind of looking at where
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95332111625
- $00:01:18.024 \rightarrow 00:01:19.430$ nutrients go and how they're
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95332111625
- $00:01:19.430 \dashrightarrow 00:01:20.918$ regulated throughout our body.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95332111625
- 00:01:20.920 --> 00:01:23.400 But when I started my lab in 2018,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95332111625
- $00{:}01{:}23.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}25.986$ I was really excited about getting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95332111625
- $00{:}01{:}25.986 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}27.710$ into the intersection between
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95332111625
- $00{:}01{:}27.784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}29.535$ metabolism and cancer because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95332111625
- $00{:}01{:}29{.}535 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}31{.}880$ there's been a lot of interest in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95332111625
- $00:01:31.880 \rightarrow 00:01:33.520$ cancer metabolism in recent years.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95332111625

 $00:01:33.520 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.781$ And I thought that there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.95332111625

00:01:35.781 --> 00:01:37.485 really a place for metabolism

NOTE Confidence: 0.95332111625

00:01:37.485 --> 00:01:39.710 focused scientists to apply

NOTE Confidence: 0.95332111625

 $00:01:39.710 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.025$ the tools and sort of ways that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.95332111625

00:01:43.025 --> 00:01:45.071 think about science to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.95332111625

 $00{:}01{:}45{.}071 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}47{.}290$ try to make a difference again in

NOTE Confidence: 0.95332111625

 $00:01:47.367 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.500$ terms of coming up with metabolism

NOTE Confidence: 0.95332111625

 $00:01:50.500 \rightarrow 00:01:52.821$ targeting therapies and potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.95332111625

 $00{:}01{:}52.821 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}54.726$ dietary and other recommendations for

NOTE Confidence: 0.95332111625

 $00:01:54.726 \rightarrow 00:01:56.920$ patients who are dealing with cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804454195714286

 $00{:}01{:}58{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}00{.}517$ So let's take a a step back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804454195714286

 $00:02:00.520 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.638$ You know, I think when many

NOTE Confidence: 0.804454195714286

 $00:02:02.638 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.000$ of us think about metabolism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804454195714286

 $00{:}02{:}05{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}07{.}373$ we we think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.804454195714286

00:02:07.373 --> 00:02:09.984 diet and exercise and

NOTE Confidence: 0.804454195714286

 $00:02:09.984 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.114$ revving up our metabolism to

 $00:02:12.114 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.557$ burn off a few extra calories,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804454195714286

 $00:02:14.560 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.455$ building muscle that builds your

NOTE Confidence: 0.804454195714286

 $00:02:16.455 \rightarrow 00:02:18.800$ metabolism and that kind of thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804454195714286

00:02:18.800 --> 00:02:21.840 Can you talk a little bit more about

NOTE Confidence: 0.804454195714286

 $00:02:21.840 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.929$ what exactly is metabolism and what is

NOTE Confidence: 0.804454195714286

 $00:02:24.929 \dashrightarrow 00:02:27.800$ the Nexus between metabolism and cancer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646

 $00:02:28.600 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.092$ Sure. So metabolism is

NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646

 $00:02:30.092 \rightarrow 00:02:33.124$ really the study of what's coming in

NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646

 $00:02:33.124 \dashrightarrow 00:02:35.996$ and what's going out as far as nutrition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646

 $00:02:36.000 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.700$ as far as nutrients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646

 $00:02:37.700 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.426$ So what comes in boils down to

NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646

 $00{:}02{:}40{.}426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}42{.}990$ both the foods that we eat as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646

 $00:02:42.990 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.520$ as what our body does itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646

 $00:02:44.520 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.506$ So many people aren't aware that

NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646

 $00:02:46.506 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.022$ our bodies do quite a bit in

 $00:02:49.022 \rightarrow 00:02:50.514$ terms of generating metabolites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646

 $00{:}02{:}50{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}54{.}030$ So that is generating nutrients and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646

 $00:02:54.030 \rightarrow 00:02:57.120$ using those nutrients in various pathways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646

 $00:02:57.120 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.336$ And there are a lot of places

NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646

 $00:02:59.336 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.818$ that we can intervene in that process

NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646

 $00{:}03{:}01{.}818$ --> $00{:}03{:}04{.}137$ and change what nutrients our

NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646

 $00:03:04.137 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.780$ bodies are generating in a way that

NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646

 $00:03:06.780 \rightarrow 00:03:08.240$ could potentially be beneficial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646

 $00{:}03{:}08{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}08{.}936$ And in addition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646

 $00:03:08.936 \rightarrow 00:03:10.560$ I mentioned it's the study of what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646

 $00:03:10.603 \rightarrow 00:03:11.959$ coming in and what's going out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646

 $00:03:11.960 \rightarrow 00:03:13.690$ What's going out is where

NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646

 $00:03:13.690 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.074$ those nutrients are going.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646

 $00:03:15.080 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.490$ So for instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646

 $00:03:16.490 \rightarrow 00:03:18.840$ if our liver makes glucose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646

 $00:03:18.840 \rightarrow 00:03:21.252$ so that's sugar that our liver can make when

- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- $00:03:21.252 \rightarrow 00:03:23.793$ we haven't had anything to eat in a while,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- $00:03:23.800 \rightarrow 00:03:25.120$ where does that sugar go?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- $00:03:25.120 \rightarrow 00:03:26.398$ Does it go to our muscle?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- $00:03:26.400 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.720$ Does it go to our brain?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- $00:03:27.720 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.712$ If we have cancer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- $00:03:28.712 \rightarrow 00:03:30.200$ does it go to our tumor?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- $00{:}03{:}30{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}32{.}512$ And how can we intervene to send those
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- $00:03:32.512 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.393$ nutrients to a place where they're
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- $00{:}03{:}34{.}393 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}36{.}608$ more beneficial like to our brain or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- $00:03:36.608 \rightarrow 00:03:38.589$ to our heart as opposed to somewhere
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- $00:03:38.589 \rightarrow 00:03:40.440$ where they would cause us a problem,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- $00:03:40.440 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.252$ for instance,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- $00:03:41.252 \rightarrow 00:03:42.876$ going to a tumor.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- $00{:}03{:}42.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}45.778$ And when you ask about the intersection
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- $00{:}03{:}45.778$ --> $00{:}03{:}47.560$ between metabolism and cancer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646

- $00:03:47.560 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.571$ this is really,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- 00:03:48.571 --> 00:03:50.593 I wouldn't say an open question,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- $00:03:50.600 \dashrightarrow 00:03:54.560$ but an an area of a lot of active study.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- $00:03:54.560 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.872$ So there's been for the last 100 years a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- $00:03:57.872 \rightarrow 00:04:00.680$ knowledge that tumors really like glucose.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- $00{:}04{:}00{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}03{.}056$ So tumors take sugar and this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- $00:04:03.056 \dashrightarrow 00:04:05.159$ fuels tumor growth quite a bit.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- $00{:}04{:}05{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}07{.}799$ But what we've learned in recent years
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- 00:04:07.799 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:04:10.314 through work from our lab as well
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- $00{:}04{:}10.314 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}12.724$ as many other wonderful labs is that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- $00{:}04{:}12.724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}15.900$ there this is a lot more nuanced than
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- 00:04:15.900 --> 00:04:18.400 simply glucose fuels tumor growth.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- 00:04:18.400 --> 00:04:19.603 So number one,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- $00{:}04{:}19.603 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}21.207$ there are various nutrients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- $00:04:21.207 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.680$ that are fuels for immune cells.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- $00:04:23.680 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.738$ We know that our immune cells are

- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- $00:04:25.738 \rightarrow 00:04:27.721$ quite important in the anti cancer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- 00:04:27.721 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:04:29.797 response and immune cells like glucose,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- $00:04:29.800 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.260$ they like amino acids and potentially
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- $00:04:32.260 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.900$ there are additional
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- $00:04:33.964 \rightarrow 00:04:35.704$ mechanisms of metabolic regulation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- $00{:}04{:}35{.}704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}38{.}314$ of the immune response to cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- 00:04:38.320 --> 00:04:39.400 And in addition,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- $00:04:39.400 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.560$ it's not just glucose for tumors.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- $00:04:41.560 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.092$ So tumors are really good at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- $00:04:44.092 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.358$ using whatever metabolites,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- $00:04:45.360 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.880$ whatever nutrients we provide to them.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- $00:04:47.880 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.524$ And so in my view being able to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- $00:04:52.524 \rightarrow 00:04:54.396$ intervene effectively in this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- $00{:}04{:}54{.}396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}55{.}800$ intersection between metabolism
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646
- $00:04:55.872 \rightarrow 00:04:58.272$ and cancer is really going to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646

 $00:04:58.272 \rightarrow 00:04:59.872$ require thoughtful approaches that NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646 $00{:}04{:}59{.}936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}01{.}960$ combine metabolic interventions with NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646 $00{:}05{:}01{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}03{.}984$ additional anti cancer interventions NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646 $00:05:03.984 \rightarrow 00:05:07.185$ so that we can potentially boost the NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646 $00:05:07.185 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.370$ effectiveness of anti cancer treatments NOTE Confidence: 0.932927646 $00:05:09.442 \rightarrow 00:05:11.758$ like chemotherapy and immunotherapy. NOTE Confidence: 0.9506241 00:05:12.840 --> 00:05:15.240 You know, when you talk about NOTE Confidence: 0.9506241 $00:05:15.240 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.410$ tumor cells being fed by NOTE Confidence: 0.9506241 00:05:17.410 --> 00:05:19.835 metabolites like glucose or sugar, NOTE Confidence: 0.9506241 $00:05:19.840 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.638$ this brings up one of the NOTE Confidence: 0.9506241 $00:05:22.640 \rightarrow 00:05:25.320$ common questions that we get asked, NOTE Confidence: 0.9506241 $00:05:25.320 \rightarrow 00:05:28.530$ which is if you've been diagnosed NOTE Confidence: 0.9506241 $00:05:28.530 \rightarrow 00:05:32.120$ with cancer and cancer feeds on sugar, NOTE Confidence: 0.9506241 00:05:32.120 --> 00:05:34.784 should you cut out all sugar from your NOTE Confidence: 0.9506241 00:05:34.784 --> 00:05:37.440 diet in order to starve cancer cells? NOTE Confidence: 0.92885274 $00:05:38.120 \rightarrow 00:05:40.955$ Well, I think my first response is that,

 $00:05:40.960 \rightarrow 00:05:43.662$ you know, we can never blame patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.92885274

 $00{:}05{:}43.662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}45.991$ for having done the wrong thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.92885274

00:05:45.991 --> 00:05:48.539 from a dietary standpoint or a

NOTE Confidence: 0.92885274

 $00:05:48.619 \rightarrow 00:05:51.253$ lifestyle standpoint in any way because

NOTE Confidence: 0.92885274

 $00:05:51.253 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.782$ there's no way to predict that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92885274

 $00:05:53.782 \rightarrow 00:05:55.437$ one will eventually develop cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92885274

 $00:05:55.440 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.744$ And in addition, if one were to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.92885274

 $00:05:57.744 \rightarrow 00:05:59.918$ cancer and completely cut out sugar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92885274

 $00{:}05{:}59{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}01{.}436$ unfortunately there's no guarantee

NOTE Confidence: 0.92885274

 $00:06:01.436 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.710$ that will have a beneficial

NOTE Confidence: 0.92885274

 $00:06:03.769 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.237$ effect on their prognosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92885274

 $00{:}06{:}05{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}06{.}359$ But that said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92885274

 $00:06:06.359 \rightarrow 00:06:08.597$ there is literature that reducing sugar

NOTE Confidence: 0.92885274

 $00:06:08.597 \dashrightarrow 00:06:11.478$ one doesn't have to cut it out completely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92885274

 $00:06:11.480 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.095$ but really reducing sugar

 $00:06:13.095 \rightarrow 00:06:15.280$ and consuming a high protein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92885274

 $00{:}06{:}15{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}18{.}120$ high fat diet that is low in

NOTE Confidence: 0.92885274

00:06:18.120 --> 00:06:19.752 sugar may have beneficial effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.92885274

 $00:06:19.752 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.160$ in a number of types of cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92885274

 $00:06:22.160 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.280$ And there is ongoing research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92885274

 $00{:}06{:}25{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}28{.}556$ this isn't a sort of conclusive study yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92885274

 $00{:}06{:}28{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}30{.}872$ but there is quite a bit of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92885274

 $00{:}06{:}30.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}32.705$ evidence from both animal models

NOTE Confidence: 0.92885274

 $00{:}06{:}32.705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}35.066$ and also human clinical trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.92885274

 $00:06:35.066 \rightarrow 00:06:36.996$ that reducing sugar, lowering

NOTE Confidence: 0.92885274

00:06:36.996 --> 00:06:39.344 the carbohydrates in our diet, may

NOTE Confidence: 0.92885274

 $00:06:39.344 \dashrightarrow 00:06:41.194$ have beneficial effects on cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9116423

00:06:42.680 --> 00:06:46.753 OK. But just to kind of delve into

NOTE Confidence: 0.9116423

 $00{:}06{:}46.753 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}50.000$ that just a tad more because that brings

NOTE Confidence: 0.9116423

 $00{:}06{:}50{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}52{.}940$ up a couple of other key questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9116423

 $00:06:52.940 \rightarrow 00:06:56.640$ One is that people will say, I'm going

 $00:06:56.640 \rightarrow 00:06:59.520$ to avoid eating fruits and vegetables,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9116423

 $00:06:59.520 \rightarrow 00:07:03.678$ especially fruit because fruit has sugar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9116423

00:07:03.680 --> 00:07:05.078 What do you think about that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9116423

 $00{:}07{:}05{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}07{.}855$ Many nutritionists will say to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9116423

00:07:07.855 --> 00:07:10.630 cancer patients that they should

NOTE Confidence: 0.9116423

00:07:10.724 --> 00:07:13.290 eat a predominantly plant based

NOTE Confidence: 0.9116423

 $00:07:13.290 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.515$ diet with fruits and vegetables.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9116423

 $00{:}07{:}15{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}18{.}052$ But if fruits have sugar and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9116423

00:07:18.052 --> 00:07:19.318 sugar feeds cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9116423

 $00:07:19.320 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.960$ isn't that a disconnect?

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

 $00:07:21.640 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.530$ So that's a great question and

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

 $00{:}07{:}23.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}25.240$ the answer really is that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

 $00{:}07{:}25.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}26.640$ are different types of sugar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

 $00{:}07{:}26.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}28.476$ So evidence has shown that

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

 $00{:}07{:}28.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}31.657$ the worst type of sugar to consume in

 $00:07:31.657 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.673$ general for our health as well in cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

 $00{:}07{:}34.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}37.039$ is what's called high fructose corn syrup.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

 $00:07:37.040 \dashrightarrow 00:07:40.400$ And so this is added sugar that's in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

 $00:07:40.400 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.052$ you know, cereals and cake and

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

 $00{:}07{:}43.052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}45.320$ cookies and things like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

 $00{:}07{:}45{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}49{.}860$ The sugar that's in that high

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

 $00{:}07{:}49.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}52.528$ fructose corn syrup causes a host of

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

 $00{:}07{:}52.528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}54.460$ metabolic problems and it seems to

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

 $00{:}07{:}54{.}523 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}56{.}719$ be more efficient at fueling tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

 $00{:}07{:}56.719 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}58.879$ growth than other types of sugars.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

 $00{:}07{:}58.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}00.497$ The sugar that is in fruit is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9414190216666667

00:08:00.497 -> 00:08:02.437 sort of a more quote UN quote,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

 $00:08:02.440 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.798$ natural sugar that has less of

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

 $00{:}08{:}04.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}06.847$ a detrimental effect when it

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

 $00{:}08{:}06{.}847 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}08{.}837$ comes to fueling cancer growth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

 $00{:}08{:}08{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}11{.}134$ But that said, the

 $00:08:11.134 \rightarrow 00:08:13.378$ evidence would suggest that it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

 $00{:}08{:}13.378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}16.008$ absolutely fine to consume sugar and

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

 $00:08:16.008 \dashrightarrow 00:08:17.920$ absolutely healthier to consume,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

 $00:08:17.920 \rightarrow 00:08:20.596$ sorry, absolutely fine to consume fruit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

00:08:20.600 --> 00:08:22.679 I didn't mean to say sugar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

 $00:08:22.680 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.137$ absolutely fine to consume fruit and better

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

 $00:08:25.137 \rightarrow 00:08:28.318$ to use fruit rather than for instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

 $00:08:28.320 \rightarrow 00:08:31.920$ cake if we want to satisfy our sweet tooth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

00:08:31.920 --> 00:08:32.826 But you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

 $00:08:32.826 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.940$ it's probably a good idea for cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

00:08:35.001 - 00:08:37.115 patients to try to some degree

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

 $00{:}08{:}37{.}115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}39{.}213$ limit the amount of fruit intake as

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

 $00{:}08{:}39{.}213 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}41{.}236$ well because it does have some

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

00:08:41.236 --> 00:08:43.448 degree of sugar that can be broken

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

 $00{:}08{:}43{.}448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}46{.}191$ down and metabolized in a way that

 $00:08:46.191 \rightarrow 00:08:48.231$ could potentially fuel tumor growth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

00:08:48.240 --> 00:08:50.856 But with all that said, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

 $00:08:50.856 \rightarrow 00:08:52.008$ dietary recommendations have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

 $00:08:52.008 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.720$ be what we call palatable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

 $00:08:53.720 \rightarrow 00:08:55.520$ They have to be doable for the patient.

 $00:08:56.824 \rightarrow 00:08:58.932$ I think it's much better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

 $00:08:58.932 \rightarrow 00:09:01.836$ per what all the research says,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

 $00{:}09{:}01.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}04.157$ to consume a little bit of fruit

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

 $00:09:04.157 \dashrightarrow 00:09:06.772$ amidst a high protein diet that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

 $00:09:06.772 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.256$ can actually stick to rather than

 $00:09:10.192 \rightarrow 00:09:13.000$ making no dietary modifications at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

 $00{:}09{:}13.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}15.296$ And if that fruit makes the

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

 $00:09:15.296 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.280$ diet more palatable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941419021666667

 $00:09:16.280 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.104$ it's absolutely worth it.

 $00:09:18.560 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.014$ Then the final question on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859448

 $00:09:21.014 \rightarrow 00:09:23.313$ this kind of line is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859448

 $00:09:23.313 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.280$ if we're trying to avoid sugar

 $00:09:25.680 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.954$ but we still have a sweet tooth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95790858

 $00{:}09{:}27{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}30{.}320$ what are the current thoughts

NOTE Confidence: 0.95790858

 $00:09:30.320 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.893$ about artificial sweeteners?

NOTE Confidence: 0.95790858

 $00:09:31.893 \rightarrow 00:09:34.358$ We've seen that, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95790858

 $00{:}09{:}34{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}36{.}492$ some organizations have said

NOTE Confidence: 0.95790858

 $00{:}09{:}36{.}492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}38{.}091$ that artificial sweeteners

NOTE Confidence: 0.95790858

00:09:38.091 --> 00:09:40.720 might actually be carcinogenic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95790858

00:09:40.720 --> 00:09:43.840 but if sugar also fuels cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95790858

 $00{:}09{:}43.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}45.920$ then isn't that carcinogenic

NOTE Confidence: 0.95790858

 $00:09:45.920 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.918$ too and if so, which is worse?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653118166666667

00:09:49.640 - 00:09:52.400 Absolutely. And so to my knowledge,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653118166666667

 $00:09:52.400 \dashrightarrow 00:09:55.469$ most if not all of the research on artificial

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653118166666667

 $00{:}09{:}55{.}469 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}57{.}637$ sweeteners and cancer has been in vitro.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653118166666667

 $00{:}09{:}57{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}00{.}932$ That means in cells in a dish where

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653118166666667

 $00:10:00.932 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.164$ in most cases people are giving

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653118166666667

00:10:03.164 --> 00:10:05.505 very very high concentrations of

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653118166666667
- $00:10:05.505 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.797$ the artificial sweeteners.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653118166666667
- 00:10:07.800 --> 00:10:10.376 And it turns out that if you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653118166666667
- 00:10:10.376 --> 00:10:12.406 give high concentrations of many
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653118166666667
- $00:10:12.406 \rightarrow 00:10:14.118$ different chemicals to cells,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653118166666667
- $00{:}10{:}14.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}16.496$ this can have an effect to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653118166666667
- $00:10:16.496 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.719$ promote cancer, again in a dish.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653118166666667
- 00:10:18.720 --> 00:10:21.140 I'm not aware of convincing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.965311816666667
- $00:10:21.140 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.766$ clinical trials in people that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653118166666667
- $00{:}10{:}23.766 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}27.196$ control for other relevant factors.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653118166666667
- 00:10:27.200 --> 00:10:28.298 So for instance,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653118166666667
- $00:10:28.298 \rightarrow 00:10:30.494$ many times people may be shifting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653118166666667
- $00{:}10{:}30{.}494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}32{.}250$ to using artificial sweeteners
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653118166666667
- $00:10:32.250 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.878$ in an attempt to lose weight.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653118166666667
- $00{:}10{:}34.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}37.253$ So these for instance may be people
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653118166666667
- $00{:}10{:}37.253 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}40.017$ who have obesity at baseline or who
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653118166666667

 $00:10:40.017 \rightarrow 00:10:42.117$ have metabolic dysfunction at baseline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653118166666667

 $00:10:42.120 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.584$ And then you sort of can't de convolve

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653118166666667

 $00{:}10{:}44.584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}46.825$ the effects of the artificial sweeteners

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653118166666667

 $00:10:46.825 \rightarrow 00:10:49.159$ from the effects of the underlying

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653118166666667

 $00{:}10{:}49{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}50{.}704$ problems with metabolic health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653118166666667

 $00:10:50.704 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.346$ So personally I would say if

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653118166666667

 $00:10:53.346 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.173$ my family or friends ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653118166666667

 $00:10:55.173 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.880$ me for a recommendation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653118166666667

 $00{:}10{:}56.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}59.319$ although I'm not a doctor and so I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653118166666667

 $00:10:59.319 \rightarrow 00:11:01.598$ can't give clinical recommendations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653118166666667

 $00:11:01.600 \rightarrow 00:11:03.920$ but I would say absolutely

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653118166666667

 $00{:}11{:}03{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}06{.}340$ artificial sweeteners would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653118166666667

 $00:11:06.340 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.648$ expected to be better in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653118166666667

 $00{:}11{:}10{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}12{.}880$ lowering the cancer risk when substituting

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653118166666667

 $00:11:12.880 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.080$ for sugar as opposed to sugar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653118166666667

 $00:11:15.080 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.060$ And frankly for myself that

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653118166666667
- 00:11:17.060 --> 00:11:19.586 is what I do, using artificial
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653118166666667
- $00:11:19.586 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.838$ sweeteners rather than sugar.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9653118166666667
- 00:11:21.840 --> 00:11:23.400 Phew, because that's what I do too,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8443752825
- 00:11:24.040 --> 00:11:26.656 full disclosure. So I want
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8443752825
- $00{:}11{:}26.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}29.600$ to now kind of move into the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966198835
- $00:11:29.600 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.040$ work in your lab
- NOTE Confidence: 0.972337908571429
- 00:11:31.880 --> 00:11:35.066 looking at how you can kind
- NOTE Confidence: 0.972337908571429
- $00:11:35.066 \rightarrow 00:11:37.475$ of target these metabolic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.972337908571429
- 00:11:37.475 --> 00:11:40.600 pathways in terms of cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.972337908571429
- $00:11:40.600 \rightarrow 00:11:41.824$ Can you talk a little bit
- NOTE Confidence: 0.972337908571429
- $00:11:41.824 \rightarrow 00:11:42.640$ more about your research?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9599797675
- $00:11:43.120 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.880$ Absolutely. So because of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9599797675
- 00:11:44.880 --> 00:11:46.640 my background in metabolism,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9599797675
- $00{:}11{:}46.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}49.760$ we've been applying a number of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9599797675
- $00:11:49.760 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.840$ different metabolism targeting drugs
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9599797675

 $00:11:51.840 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.274$ in mouse cancer models and sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599797675

 $00{:}11{:}55{.}274 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}57{.}716$ of tracing what they do to the response

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599797675

 $00:11:57.716 \rightarrow 00:11:59.424$ to immunotherapy and chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599797675

 $00:11:59.424 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.080$ and tumor growth by itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599797675

 $00{:}12{:}02.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}04.480$ And so there have been several

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599797675

 $00:12:04.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.280$ recent studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599797675

 $00:12:05.280 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.552$ one of which was looking at trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599797675

00:12:07.552 --> 00:12:09.880 develop a precision medicine approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599797675

00:12:09.880 --> 00:12:13.546 so using tumor genetics to predict

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599797675

00:12:13.546 --> 00:12:16.160 response and applying a common diabetes

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599797675

 $00{:}12{:}16.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}19.079$ drug that's called an SGLT 2 inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599797675

 $00{:}12{:}19.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}21.355$ And we showed that you can predict

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599797675

 $00{:}12{:}21.355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}23.644$ which tumors may be more likely to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599797675

 $00{:}12{:}23.644 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}25.788$ respond to the SGLT 2 inhibitor than

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599797675

 $00{:}12{:}25.788 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}27.396$ others based on the tumor genetics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599797675

00:12:27.400 --> 00:12:29.440 And I think that's very important

 $00:12:29.440 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.800$ because a lot of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599797675

 $00:12:30.800 \rightarrow 00:12:33.608$ well really all of the metabolism

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599797675

 $00:12:33.608 \rightarrow 00:12:35.480$ targeting human clinical trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599797675

 $00{:}12{:}35{.}552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}37{.}548$ that have been done have not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599797675

 $00{:}12{:}37{.}548 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}39{.}118$ been based on tumor genetics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599797675

 $00{:}12{:}39{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}40{.}788$ We're using precision medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599797675

 $00:12:40.788 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.873$ for everything else in oncology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599797675

 $00:12:42.880 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.891$ not everything else,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599797675

 $00:12:43.891 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.239$ but almost everything else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599797675

 $00:12:45.240 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.740$ And so I think there's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599797675

 $00:12:46.740 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.240$ a role for precision medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599797675

 $00:12:48.240 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.472$ metabolic therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599797675

00:12:49.472 --> 00:12:51.773 And with that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599797675

 $00{:}12{:}51{.}773 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}54{.}426$ there are also sort of unexpected

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599797675

 $00{:}12{:}54{.}426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}56{.}356$ findings that can come out.

 $00{:}12{:}56{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}59{.}912$ We had a recent paper that just came

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599797675

 $00{:}12{:}59{.}912 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}02{.}360$ out showing a metabolism targeting

NOTE Confidence: 0.91933995

 $00{:}13{:}04{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}07{.}560$ modality for cancer related fatigue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91933995

 $00{:}13{:}07{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}09{.}603$ And so it's been a lot of fun

NOTE Confidence: 0.91933995

 $00{:}13{:}09{.}603 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}12{.}460$ in recent years to look at what

NOTE Confidence: 0.91933995

00:13:12.460 --> 00:13:14.561 metabolism targeting agents do and

NOTE Confidence: 0.91933995

00:13:14.561 --> 00:13:17.090 how we can use them not only as anti

NOTE Confidence: 0.91933995

 $00:13:17.168 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.764$ tumor agents but also

NOTE Confidence: 0.91933995

00:13:19.764 --> 00:13:22.043 to reduce the complications of

NOTE Confidence: 0.91933995

 $00:13:22.043 \dashrightarrow 00:13:23.838$ cancer itself and cancer the rapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902477260526316

 $00:13:24.800 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.096$ So we're going to pick up that

NOTE Confidence: 0.902477260526316

 $00{:}13{:}27.096 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}29.004$ conversation right after we take a

NOTE Confidence: 0.902477260526316

 $00{:}13{:}29{.}004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}30{.}714$ short break for a medical minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902477260526316

 $00:13:30.720 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.282$ Please stay tuned to learn more about

NOTE Confidence: 0.902477260526316

 $00{:}13{:}33{.}282 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}34{.}770$ battling cancer related fatigue

NOTE Confidence: 0.902477260526316

00:13:34.770 --> 00:13:36.918 with my guest, Doctor Rachel Perry.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.884244219
- 00:13:37.520 --> 00:13:39.600 Funding for Yale Cancer Answers
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884244219
- $00{:}13{:}39{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}41{.}680$ comes from Smilow Cancer Hospital,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884244219
- $00{:}13{:}41{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}43{.}750$ where the lung cancer screening
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884244219
- 00:13:43.750 00:13:45.406 program provides screening to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884244219
- $00{:}13{:}45{.}406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}48{.}104$ those at risk for lung cancer and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884244219
- $00{:}13{:}48{.}104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}49{.}008$ individualized state-of-the-art
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884244219
- $00:13:49.008 \rightarrow 00:13:51.120$ evaluation of lung nodules.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884244219
- 00:13:51.120 --> 00:13:53.280 To learn more,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884244219
- $00:13:53.280 \rightarrow 00:13:54.360$ visit smilowcancerhospital.org.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.924602957142857
- $00{:}13{:}56{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}59{.}220$ The American Cancer Society estimates
- NOTE Confidence: 0.924602957142857
- $00:13:59.220 \rightarrow 00:14:02.170$ that nearly 150,000 people in the US
- NOTE Confidence: 0.924602957142857
- 00:14:02.170 --> 00:14:04.539 will be diagnosed with colorectal cancer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.924602957142857
- $00:14:04.539 \rightarrow 00:14:06.918$ this year alone. When detected early,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.924602957142857
- 00:14:06.918 --> 00:14:08.622 colorectal cancer is easily
- NOTE Confidence: 0.924602957142857
- $00:14:08.622 \rightarrow 00:14:10.480$ treated and highly curable,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.924602957142857

 $00:14:10.480 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.820$ and men and women over the age of 45

NOTE Confidence: 0.924602957142857

 $00:14:12.820 \rightarrow 00:14:14.350$ should have regular colonoscopies

NOTE Confidence: 0.924602957142857

 $00:14:14.350 \longrightarrow 00:14:16.355$ to screen for the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924602957142857

 $00:14:16.360 \rightarrow 00:14:18.325$ Patients with colorectal cancer have

NOTE Confidence: 0.924602957142857

00:14:18.325 --> 00:14:20.789 more hope than ever before thanks

NOTE Confidence: 0.924602957142857

 $00{:}14{:}20.789 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}22.969$ to increased access to advanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.924602957142857

 $00:14:22.969 \rightarrow 00:14:24.713$ therapies and specialized care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924602957142857

 $00:14:24.720 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.568$ Clinical trials are currently

NOTE Confidence: 0.924602957142857

 $00{:}14{:}26.568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}28.878$ under way at federally designated

NOTE Confidence: 0.924602957142857

 $00{:}14{:}28.878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}30.380$ comprehensive cancer centers such

NOTE Confidence: 0.924602957142857

 $00{:}14{:}30{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}32{.}648$ as Yale Cancer Center and Smilow

NOTE Confidence: 0.924602957142857

00:14:32.713 --> 00:14:34.873 Cancer Hospital to test innovative

NOTE Confidence: 0.924602957142857

 $00{:}14{:}34{.}873 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}37{.}033$ new treatments for colorectal cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924602957142857

 $00:14:37.040 \rightarrow 00:14:39.926$ Tumor gene analysis has helped improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.924602957142857

 $00:14:39.926 \rightarrow 00:14:41.850$ management of colorectal cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.924602957142857

 $00:14:41.922 \rightarrow 00:14:44.036$ by identifying the patient's most

- NOTE Confidence: 0.924602957142857
- $00:14:44.036 \rightarrow 00:14:46.226$ likely to benefit from chemotherapy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.924602957142857
- $00:14:46.226 \rightarrow 00:14:48.556$ and newer targeted agents resulting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.924602957142857
- $00{:}14{:}48.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}50.916$ in more patient specific treatment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.924602957142857
- $00:14:50.920 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.288$ More information is available
- NOTE Confidence: 0.924602957142857
- $00:14:53.288 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.405$ at yale cancercenter.org.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.924602957142857
- $00{:}14{:}54{.}405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}57{.}555$ You're listening to Connecticut Public Radio.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.924602957142857
- 00:14:57.560 --> 00:14:57.920 Welcome
- NOTE Confidence: 0.941668474
- $00:14:57.920 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.440$ back to Yale Cancer Answers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.941668474
- $00{:}14{:}59{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}00{.}960$ This is Doctor Anees Chagpar
- NOTE Confidence: 0.941668474
- 00:15:00.960 --> 00:15:02.878 and I'm joined tonight by my guest,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.941668474
- 00:15:02.880 --> 00:15:04.400 Doctor Rachel Perry.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.941668474
- $00{:}15{:}04{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}06{.}480$ We're discussing the use of a new drug
- NOTE Confidence: 0.941668474
- $00:15:06.480 \dashrightarrow 00:15:08.600$ to battle cancer related fatigue.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.941668474
- $00{:}15{:}08{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}11{.}900$ Now we really didn't get into the drug
- NOTE Confidence: 0.941668474
- $00{:}15{:}11{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}15{.}200$ itself because right before the break,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.941668474

 $00:15:15.200 \rightarrow 00:15:17.276$ Rachel was telling us more about

NOTE Confidence: 0.941668474

 $00{:}15{:}17.276 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}20.266$ some of the work that her lab does

NOTE Confidence: 0.941668474

 $00{:}15{:}20{.}266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}22{.}558$ in looking at metabolism and cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941668474

00:15:22.560 --> 00:15:25.440 So Rachel, can you kind of pick up

NOTE Confidence: 0.941668474

 $00:15:25.440 \rightarrow 00:15:27.440$ the conversation from where we were?

NOTE Confidence: 0.941668474

 $00{:}15{:}27{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}30{.}615$ So my understanding is that you're NOTE Confidence: 0.941668474

 $00:15:30.615 \rightarrow 00:15:34.478$ now looking at using more precision

NOTE Confidence: 0.941668474

 $00:15:34.480 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.016$ genetics and genomics to look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.941668474

00:15:39.016 --> 00:15:41.840 metabolism both for the rapeutics

NOTE Confidence: 0.941668474

 $00:15:41.840 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.240$ as well as to to battle fatigue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941668474

 $00{:}15{:}45{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}47{.}594$ So can you talk a little bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.941668474

 $00{:}15{:}47{.}594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}49{.}418$ about how exactly that works and

NOTE Confidence: 0.941668474

 $00:15:49.418 \rightarrow 00:15:51.560$ and what your findings have been?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

 $00{:}15{:}51{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}54{.}743$ Based on the literature we had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

 $00:15:54.743 \rightarrow 00:15:57.649$ suspicion that increasing whole body and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

00:15:57.649 --> 00:16:00.154 specifically immune cell glucose metabolism,

00:16:00.160 --> 00:16:02.968 so sugar metabolism specifically may improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

 $00:16:02.968 \rightarrow 00:16:05.600$ the response to immunotherapy and cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

 $00:16:05.600 \rightarrow 00:16:07.714$ So we thought that by giving a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

00:16:07.714 --> 00:16:10.120 drug to Rev up glucose oxidation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

 $00:16:10.120 \rightarrow 00:16:13.715$ so glucose metabolism, that this may

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

 $00:16:13.715 \rightarrow 00:16:15.440$ improve the response to immunotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

 $00:16:15.440 \dashrightarrow 00:16:17.000$ against Melanoma in mouse models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

00:16:17.000 --> 00:16:19.040 So skin cancer in mouse models,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

 $00:16:19.040 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.728$ and we treat it with the help

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

00:16:20.728 --> 00:16:22.680 of a wonderful graduate student,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

 $00:16:22.680 \rightarrow 00:16:25.466$ who is defending her PhD

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

 $00:16:25.466 \rightarrow 00:16:28.316$ soon and moving on to the next steps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

 $00:16:28.320 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.360$ She treated a number of mice with Melanoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

 $00{:}16{:}31{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}33{.}760$ with a drug called dichloroace tate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

 $00{:}16{:}33.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}36.832$ and I'll refer to it as DCA in this

00:16:36.832 --> 00:16:38.648 talk because it's much quicker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

00:16:38.648 --> 00:16:41.400 And so when she treated mice with DCA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

 $00:16:41.400 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.120$ we were expecting to see that it would

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

 $00:16:43.120 \rightarrow 00:16:44.718$ improve the response to immunotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

 $00{:}16{:}44.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}47.160$ But that did not turn out to be the case.

 $00:16:48.400 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.080$ We studied a number of mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

 $00:16:50.080 \rightarrow 00:16:51.163$ very careful experiments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

 $00:16:51.163 \rightarrow 00:16:53.329$ It did not improve the response

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

 $00:16:53.329 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.600$ to immunotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

 $00{:}16{:}54{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}56{.}973$ but we were very pleasantly surprised and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

 $00:16:56.973 \rightarrow 00:16:59.877$ excited to see that there was a phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

 $00{:}16{:}59{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}02{.}680$ So DCA made the mice a lot more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

00:17:02.680 --> 00:17:05.184 quote UN quote perky. The mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

00:17:05.184 --> 00:17:06.672 despite having large tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

 $00{:}17{:}06.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}08.160$ moved around their cage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

00:17:08.160 --> 00:17:09.200 They were, you know,

 $00:17:09.200 \dashrightarrow 00:17:11.400$ mice will climb the walls of their cage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

00:17:11.400 --> 00:17:13.272 grab the top of their cage and climb

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

 $00:17:13.272 \rightarrow 00:17:15.158$ on top of it if they're healthy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

00:17:15.160 - 00:17:17.280 but not when they have a large tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

 $00{:}17{:}17{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}19{.}116$ But these mice treated with DCA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

 $00:17:19.120 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.652$ their physical performance was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

00:17:21.652 --> 00:17:23.840 completely preserved despite having

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

 $00:17:23.840 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.600$ fairly large tumors at this stage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

 $00{:}17{:}26.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}27.359$ And we thought,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

00:17:27.360 --> 00:17:27.860 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

00:17:27.860 -> 00:17:29.370 we're not mouse behavioralists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

 $00:17:29.370 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.400$ but this is a clear effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

 $00{:}17{:}32{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}34{.}122$ And so that really brought us into

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

 $00{:}17{:}34{.}122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}36{.}118$ the world of cancer related fatigue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

 $00:17:36.120 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.220$ It's one of these happy accidents

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935

 $00:17:38.220 \rightarrow 00:17:40.039$ sometimes in science,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935
- $00:17:40.040 \rightarrow 00:17:41.318$ you can do the good science,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935
- $00{:}17{:}41{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}42{.}916$ you can have the good plan,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935
- $00:17:42.920 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.928$ but it may not turn out the way
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935
- $00:17:44.928 \rightarrow 00:17:46.073$ you're expecting because really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935
- $00:17:46.073 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.837$ if we already knew the answer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935
- $00{:}17{:}47.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}49.676$ we wouldn't be doing the experiment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935
- $00{:}17{:}49.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}51.780$ And this was one of these wonderful
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935
- $00{:}17{:}51.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}53.528$ cases where although the initial
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935
- 00:17:53.528 --> 00:17:54.716 result was disappointing,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935
- 00:17:54.720 --> 00:17:57.120 DCA did not slow tumor growth,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935
- $00{:}17{:}57{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}59{.}297$ we ended up having a really exciting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935
- $00{:}17{:}59{.}297 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}01{.}352$ finding that that DCA could improve
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9595928935
- $00{:}18{:}01{.}352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}03{.}117$ cancer related fatigue in mice.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88150181125
- 00:18:03.440 --> 00:18:05.855 Part of that makes me
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88150181125
- $00{:}18{:}05{.}855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}08{.}672$ wonder if DCA was the idea behind it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88150181125

00:18:08.672 --> 00:18:11.160 And correct me if I've misunderstood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88150181125

00:18:11.160 --> 00:18:13.410 if the idea behind it was to Rev up

NOTE Confidence: 0.88150181125

 $00{:}18{:}13{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}15{.}948$ the metabolism so that they would

NOTE Confidence: 0.88150181125

 $00:18:15.948 \rightarrow 00:18:17.720$ respond better to immunotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88150181125

 $00:18:17.720 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.586$ it sounds like it revved up

NOTE Confidence: 0.88150181125

 $00{:}18{:}19{.}586 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}21{.}226$ their metabolism to

NOTE Confidence: 0.88150181125

 $00:18:21.226 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.638$ run around their cages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88150181125

00:18:22.640 --> 00:18:24.968 but really didn't Rev up their

NOTE Confidence: 0.88150181125

 $00{:}18{:}24.968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}27.439$ metabolism to respond to the therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88150181125

 $00:18:27.440 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.120$ Why the disconnect?

NOTE Confidence: 0.938073058

 $00:18:29.240 \rightarrow 00:18:32.308$ It's an open question certainly and

NOTE Confidence: 0.938073058

00:18:32.308 --> 00:18:34.400 I don't have a conclusive answer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938073058

 $00{:}18{:}34{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}37{.}270$ there have been studies using higher doses

NOTE Confidence: 0.938073058

 $00:18:37.270 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.637$ of DCA that do show beneficial effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938073058

00:18:40.640 - 00:18:44.080 So I think it may be a dosing question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938073058

 $00:18:44.080 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.050$ but we sort of come at it from the angle

- NOTE Confidence: 0.938073058
- $00:18:47.131 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.226$ of it was disappointing that it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938073058
- $00{:}18{:}49{.}226 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}51{.}399$ didn't improve the response to immunotherapy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938073058
- $00:18:51.400 \rightarrow 00:18:53.320$ but if it makes the mice feel better,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938073058
- $00:18:53.320 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.344$ so down the road if it makes the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938073058
- $00:18:55.344 \rightarrow 00:18:56.730$ patients feel better, that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938073058
- $00:18:56.730 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.200$ could still be a beneficial effect.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938073058
- $00:18:58.200 \longrightarrow 00:19:01.448$ And honestly there is literature in from
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938073058
- $00:19:01.448 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.791$ human patients showing that patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938073058
- $00{:}19{:}03{.}791 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}05{.}966$ who undergo cancer related fatigue,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938073058
- $00:19:05.966 \rightarrow 00:19:08.871$ which is a severe debilitating fatigue
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938073058
- $00{:}19{:}08{.}871 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}11{.}919$ that can't be relieved with sleep,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938073058
- $00{:}19{:}11{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}13{.}780$ unlike the fatigue that we might
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938073058
- $00{:}19{:}13.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}15.719$ feel if we're running a marathon.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938073058
- $00{:}19{:}15{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}17{.}825$ Having cancer related fatigue actually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938073058
- $00{:}19{:}17{.}825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}20{.}388$ reduces the likelihood that patients will
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938073058

 $00:19:20.388 \rightarrow 00:19:22.398$ fully complete their cancer treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938073058

 $00{:}19{:}22{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}24{.}556$ There are patients who feel so crummy

NOTE Confidence: 0.938073058

 $00{:}19{:}24.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}26.262$ because of cancer related fatigue

NOTE Confidence: 0.938073058

 $00:19:26.262 \rightarrow 00:19:28.416$ that they actually don't take their

NOTE Confidence: 0.938073058

 $00:19:28.416 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.348$ treatment to completion and

NOTE Confidence: 0.938073058

 $00{:}19{:}30{.}348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}32{.}273$ that not completing cancer treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.938073058

 $00{:}19{:}32.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}34.896$ would obviously be expected to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.938073058

 $00:19:34.896 \rightarrow 00:19:37.320$ detrimental effects on cancer outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938073058

00:19:37.320 --> 00:19:39.408 So I think although you know mice don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.938073058

 $00:19:39.408 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.816$ get to choose whether or not

NOTE Confidence: 0.938073058

 $00{:}19{:}41.816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}43.636$ they complete their cancer treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938073058

 $00:19:43.640 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.016$ I think down the road if this were

NOTE Confidence: 0.938073058

 $00:19:46.016 \rightarrow 00:19:47.960$ to move to human patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938073058

 $00{:}19{:}47.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}50.130$ there is the possibility that there could

NOTE Confidence: 0.938073058

 $00:19:50.130 \rightarrow 00:19:52.559$ in addition to improving quality of life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938073058

 $00:19:52.560 \rightarrow 00:19:55.539$ there could be an effect to improve the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.938073058
- $00:19:55.539 \rightarrow 00:19:58.639$ treatment success rates in patients.

 $00:19:59.840 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.760$ I think that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475737625

 $00:20:00.800 \rightarrow 00:20:03.410$ really exciting. Has there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475737625

 $00:20:03.410 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.199$ been movement beyond mice?

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00:20:06.320 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.480$ We would love to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00{:}20{:}08{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}10{.}436$ There hasn't been yet but there are some

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00:20:10.436 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.200$ hints that this may be possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

00:20:12.200 --> 00:20:15.096 So DCA actually was approved by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00{:}20{:}15.096 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}17.904$ FDA for treatment of another condition

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00{:}20{:}17{.}904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}20{.}910$ called lactic acidosis and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

00:20:20.988 --> 00:20:23.836 the build up of lactate in the blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00{:}20{:}23.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}26.120$ and can happen for various reasons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00:20:26.120 \rightarrow 00:20:28.680$ not necessarily related to cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00:20:28.680 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.920$ But actually in the 1980s DCA was

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00:20:30.920 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.797$ approved as a treatment for this disease.

 $00:20:33.800 \rightarrow 00:20:37.984$ And that is because DCA pulls carbon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00{:}20{:}37{.}984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}40{.}715$ it pulls metabolites from

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

00:20:40.715 --> 00:20:43.490 lactate and pyruvate which exchanges

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00:20:43.490 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.679$ with lactate into the DCA cycle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00{:}20{:}46.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}48.888$ And so it essentially depletes

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00{:}20{:}48.888 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}51.838$ the build up of lactate in the blood NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00{:}20{:}51.838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}54.302$ and allows the body to oxidize it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00:20:54.302 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.408$ So using that fuel rather than

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00{:}20{:}56{.}408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}57{.}959$ allowing it to build up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

00:20:57.960 --> 00:21:01.332 And so because of that DCA as I

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00:21:01.332 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.516$ said was approved in the 1980s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

00:21:03.520 --> 00:21:05.620 And so although it's not current

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00:21:05.620 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.510$ treatment in the hospital for

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00:21:07.510 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.625$ lactic acidosis because we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00:21:09.625 \rightarrow 00:21:11.317$ better the rapeutic approaches now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00{:}21{:}11{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}13.616$ the fact that it was FDA approved

 $00{:}21{:}13.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}16.041$ indicates that it is safe and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

00:21:16.041 --> 00:21:17.756 is effective at lowering lactate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00{:}21{:}17.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}20.096$ And so at this point we

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00{:}21{:}20.096 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}22.025$ are talking with colleagues and

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00{:}21{:}22.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}24.150$ thinking about potential next steps

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00{:}21{:}24.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}26.680$ toward a clinical trial using DCA

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00{:}21{:}26.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}28.380$ for cancer related fatigue because

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00:21:28.380 \rightarrow 00:21:30.848$ we already know that it's safe and

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00{:}21{:}30{.}848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}32{.}236$ effective at lowering lactate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00{:}21{:}32{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}35{.}570$ And related to that point I

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00{:}21{:}35{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}37{.}798$ do want to make it clear that at this stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00{:}21{:}37.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}39.643$ we really have no pharmaceutical

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00{:}21{:}39.643 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}41.584$ treatments for the entire syndrome

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

00:21:41.584 --> 00:21:43.200 of cancer related fatigue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00:21:43.200 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.238$ So at this point

 $00:21:46.238 \rightarrow 00:21:48.041$ it's treated symptomatically so

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00{:}21{:}48.041 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}50.561$ inflammation can be treated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

00:21:50.561 --> 00:21:52.999 ibuprofen drugs like that

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00{:}21{:}53.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}54.986$ if there are mental health symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00{:}21{:}54{.}986 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}57{.}634$ they can be treated with anti anxiety

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00{:}21{:}57{.}634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}59{.}318$ or anti depression medications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00:21:59.320 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.986$ We may recommend yoga or you

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00:22:02.986 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.770$ know interventions like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

00:22:04.770 --> 00:22:07.500 But there really is no drug treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00{:}22{:}07{.}564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}09{.}804$ for the entire syndrome and we think

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00{:}22{:}09{.}804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}12{.}244$ based on the mouse findings

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00:22:12.244 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.356$ that there is the possibility that

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00{:}22{:}14.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}16.490$ the DCA could potentially represent

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00{:}22{:}16{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}18{.}620$ the first pharmaceutical treatment for

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00{:}22{:}18.682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}21.160$ the entire cancer related fatigue syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511539

 $00:22:21.160 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.318$ So that's really,

00:22:22.320 --> 00:22:23.360 really exciting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949571255789474

 $00:22:24.080 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.809$ Tell us a little bit more about

NOTE Confidence: 0.949571255789474

 $00{:}22{:}25.809 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}27.704$ some of the other work

NOTE Confidence: 0.949571255789474

 $00:22:27.704 \rightarrow 00:22:29.074$ you've been doing as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949571255789474

00:22:37.052 --> 00:22:40.116 looking at metabolic interventions actually affect

NOTE Confidence: 0.949571255789474

 $00:22:40.120 \rightarrow 00:22:42.000$ effectiveness of treatment as well?

NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612

00:22:42.600 --> 00:22:44.560 Yeah, absolutely. So you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612

 $00{:}22{:}44.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}47.608$ both immune cells and tumor cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612

 $00:22:47.608 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.660$ need metabolites and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612

 $00:22:49.660 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.419$ there's a lot that we can do

NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612

 $00{:}22{:}52{.}419 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}53{.}959$ potentially targeting systemic metabolism

NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612

 $00{:}22{:}53.959 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}56.134$ to affect the efficacy of treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612

 $00:22:56.134 \rightarrow 00:22:58.162$ So another ongoing study that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612

 $00:22:58.162 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.070$ have in the lab is to look at how

NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612

 $00{:}23{:}01{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}03{.}020$ exercise actually seems to improve

 $00:23:03.098 \rightarrow 00:23:05.798$ outcomes in mice with breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612

 $00:23:05.800 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.320$ So we found that exercise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612

00:23:08.320 --> 00:23:11.440 running on the treadmill,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612

 $00:23:11.440 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.390$ both slows tumor growth by itself

NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612

 $00{:}23{:}13{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}15{.}265$ and also improves the effectiveness

NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612

 $00:23:15.265 \rightarrow 00:23:17.305$ of immunotherapy against triple

NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612

00:23:17.305 -> 00:23:18.835 negative breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612

 $00:23:18.840 \rightarrow 00:23:20.440$ And these are unpublished studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612

 $00{:}23{:}20{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}23{.}520$ but it's an ongoing project in the lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612

 $00:23:23.520 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.764$ And this is very exciting in

NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612

 $00{:}23{:}25{.}764 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}27{.}703$ particular because with this project

NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612

 $00:23:27.703 \rightarrow 00:23:30.279$ we're looking to try to figure out

NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612

 $00{:}23{:}30{.}279 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}32{.}400$ the mechanism by which this works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612

 $00:23:32.400 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.404$ So it's been shown multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612

 $00:23:34.404 \rightarrow 00:23:36.670$ times by many groups that exercise

NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612

 $00:23:36.670 \rightarrow 00:23:37.957$ slows cancer growth.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612
- $00:23:37.960 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.508$ But we really don't know whether this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612
- $00:23:40.508 \rightarrow 00:23:43.068$ is through effects on tumor metabolism,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612
- $00:23:43.068 \rightarrow 00:23:46.415$ on immune cell metabolism or the interplay
- NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612
- $00:23:46.415 \rightarrow 00:23:49.040$ between tumors and immune cells.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612
- $00:23:49.040 \rightarrow 00:23:51.356$ And our hypothesis, although we're
- NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612
- $00:23:51.356 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.982$ still working on it is that it's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612
- $00:23:53.982 \rightarrow 00:23:56.302$ really working by improving immune
- NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612
- $00:23:56.302 \rightarrow 00:23:59.557$ cell efficacy against the tumors.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612
- $00{:}23{:}59{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}01{.}485$ And the reason that this is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612
- $00:24:01.485 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.159$ very important is that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612
- 00:24:03.160 --> 00:24:05.038 cancer treatment is tough as you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612
- 00:24:05.038 --> 00:24:07.047 know very well and not everybody
- NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612
- $00{:}24{:}07{.}047 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}08{.}435$ is able to exercise.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612
- $00{:}24{:}08{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}11{.}424$ But if we can figure out the mechanism
- NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612
- $00:24:11.424 \rightarrow 00:24:14.399$ by which exercise improves outcomes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612

 $00{:}24{:}14{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}16{.}578$ then there's the potential for developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612

00:24:16.578 --> 00:24:18.959 quote UN quote an exercise pill,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612

 $00{:}24{:}18{.}960$ --> $00{:}24{:}21{.}635$ some sort of pharmaceutical intervention NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612

00:24:21.635 --> 00:24:24.310 that would recapitulate the effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612

 $00{:}24{:}24{.}386 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}26{.}391$ of exercise without actually forcing

NOTE Confidence: 0.958467612

 $00{:}24{:}26{.}391 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}29{.}599$ people to do that exercise itself.

 $00{:}24{:}30{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}34{.}520$ I mean certainly I think that where

NOTE Confidence: 0.814956857142857

 $00{:}24{:}34{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}38{.}404$ possible exercise has a number of other

NOTE Confidence: 0.814956857142857

 $00:24:38.404 \rightarrow 00:24:40.680$ benefits in terms of cardiovascular

NOTE Confidence: 0.814956857142857

 $00:24:40.680 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.160$ disease and other things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814956857142857

 $00:24:44.160 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.444$ Whether it's your

NOTE Confidence: 0.814956857142857

00:24:46.444 --> 00:24:48.008 psychological well-being,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814956857142857

NOTE Confidence: 0.814956857142857

00:24:50.360 --> 00:24:51.860 your gut motility,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814956857142857

 $00{:}24{:}51{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}54{.}680$ not to mention your cancer. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.970954501428571

 $00{:}24{:}54{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}57{.}479$ where possible it would be wonderful for

- $00:24:57.760 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.540$ people to exercise and it's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850601656
- $00{:}25{:}01{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}03{.}450$ wonderful that your research
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850601656
- $00{:}25{:}03{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}05{.}675$ has shown that that's beneficial.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850601656
- 00:25:05.680 --> 00:25:06.860 It's certainly cost effective,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850601656
- $00:25:06.860 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.040$ but you're quite right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850601656
- $00:25:08.040 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.716$ For people who can't,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850601656
- $00{:}25{:}09{.}716 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}11{.}811$ the idea of an exercise
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850601656
- 00:25:11.811 -> 00:25:13.998 pill would be phenomenal.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850601656
- $00{:}25{:}14.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}19.040$ Kind of tying that back to the DCA story,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850601656
- $00{:}25{:}19{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}21{.}992$ have you found on a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850601656
- $00:25:21.992 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.960$ molecular level that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850601656
- $00:25:23.960 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.620$ the impact of DCA is similar to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850601656
- $00:25:26.620 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.821$ exercise or are you thinking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850601656
- $00{:}25{:}28{.}821 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}31{.}251$ that the exercise pill might
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850601656
- 00:25:31.251 -> 00:25:33.519 be something other than DCA?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850601656
- $00:25:33.520 \rightarrow 00:25:35.440$ So it sort of depends

- NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667
- $00:25:35.440 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.718$ on where we're looking at it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667
- $00{:}25{:}36{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}40{.}696$ So we find that from a cardio
- NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667
- $00{:}25{:}40.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}42.400$ metabolic health standpoint,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667
- $00:25:42.400 \rightarrow 00:25:44.200$ DCA is similar to exercise.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667
- 00:25:44.200 --> 00:25:46.120 So DCA increases activity,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667
- $00{:}25{:}46{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}48{.}520$ it increases muscular strength and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667
- $00:25:48.520 \rightarrow 00:25:51.457$ it also increases motivation very
- NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667
- 00:25:51.457 -> 00:25:54.439 similar to what exercise will do,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667
- $00:25:54.440 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.013$ but that's a whole body level
- NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667
- $00:25:57.013 \rightarrow 00:25:58.678$ and frankly that's very beneficial.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667
- $00:25:58.680 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.249$ So cancer survivors unfortunately are at an
- NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667
- 00:26:01.249 --> 00:26:03.799 increased risk of cardiovascular disease,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667
- $00{:}26{:}03.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}06.038$ so heart disease even after they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667
- $00{:}26{:}06{.}038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}08{.}031$ survive their cancer and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667
- $00{:}26{:}08.031 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}09.759$ they finish their treatments.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667

 $00:26:09.760 \rightarrow 00:26:11.594$ We're still working on why this is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667

 $00{:}26{:}11.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}14.480$ but it is a very clear signal

NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667

 $00:26:14.480 \rightarrow 00:26:17.156$ and you know exercise is certainly a

NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667

 $00:26:17.156 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.178$ potential intervention that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667

 $00:26:19.178 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.638$ help these survivors to reduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667

 $00{:}26{:}21.638 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}23.240$ that additional cardiovascular risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667

 $00:26:23.240 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.445$ It seems that DCA may actually have

NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667

 $00:26:25.445 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.808$ similar effects to potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667

 $00{:}26{:}26{.}808 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}28{.}956$ and this is still ongoing work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667

 $00:26:28.960 \rightarrow 00:26:32.224$ but the data would predict that DCA may

NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667

 $00{:}26{:}32{.}224 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}34{.}813$ have similar effects to also reduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667

 $00:26:34.813 \rightarrow 00:26:36.737$ that increased cardiovascular risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667

00:26:36.737 -> 00:26:39.731 But if we're asking about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667

 $00:26:39.731 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.072$ effects of DCA on a molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667

 $00:26:42.072 \rightarrow 00:26:43.832$ level on the tumor itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667

 $00{:}26{:}43.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}45.751$ it appears not to have those same

 $00{:}26{:}45.751 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}47.107$ metabolic effects at the doses

NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667

 $00:26:47.107 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.801$ that we treat people and

NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667

 $00{:}26{:}48{.}801 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}50{.}518$ that we would treat animals with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667

 $00:26:50.520 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.375$ So I guess the question

NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667

 $00{:}26{:}52{.}375 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}54{.}918$ as is often the case in science,

NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667

 $00:26:54.920 \rightarrow 00:26:57.720$ the question is really what is our question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667

 $00:26:57.720 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.904$ And so if we're targeting cancer related

NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667

 $00:26:59.904 \rightarrow 00:27:01.799$ fatigue and cardio metabolic health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667

 $00:27:01.800 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.750$ then absolutely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667

00:27:02.750 --> 00:27:05.600 If we're targeting the tumor itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.968805661666667

 $00:27:05.600 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.400$ maybe not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80857479625

 $00{:}27{:}08{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}11{.}360$ So in our last minute or two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80857479625

 $00{:}27{:}11.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}14.142$ it sounds like there's a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80857479625

 $00:27:14.142 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.194$ really exciting things on the horizon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80857479625

 $00{:}27{:}16{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}18{.}380$ What are you most excited about in

- $00:27:18.380 \longrightarrow 00:27:20.039$ terms of future directions for your lab?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862201337058823
- 00:27:20.040 --> 00:27:22.624 So many things, and
- 00:27:25.040 --> 00:27:26.996 I love science more than anything.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862201337058823
- $00{:}27{:}27{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}28{.}400$ And there's so
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862201337058823
- $00:27:28.400 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.240$ many exciting directions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862201337058823
- $00:27:29.240 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.688$ I think you know bringing these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862201337058823
- 00:27:31.688 --> 00:27:33.826 studies into people and talking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862201337058823
- $00:27:33.826 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.034$ with colleagues about potential
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862201337058823
- $00:27:35.034 \rightarrow 00:27:36.841$ next steps to actually translate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862201337058823
- $00:27:36.841 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.815$ this to the clinic would have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862201337058823
- $00:27:38.872 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.360$ to be the most exciting thing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862201337058823
- $00:27:40.360 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.352$ We actually have a clinical trial
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862201337058823
- $00:27:42.352 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.966$ that's starting up very soon with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862201337058823
- $00:27:44.966 \rightarrow 00:27:46.598$ another metabolism targeting drug.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862201337058823
- $00{:}27{:}46.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}48.399$ We don't have time to talk about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862201337058823
- $00:27:48.399 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.426$ that today it seems.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862201337058823

 $00:27:49.426 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.040$ But there are a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.862201337058823

 $00:27:52.040 \rightarrow 00:27:54.120$ clear translational opportunities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862201337058823

00:27:54.120 --> 00:27:56.274 And frankly this is particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.862201337058823

 $00:27:56.274 \rightarrow 00:27:58.646$ exciting in the context of cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.862201337058823

 $00{:}27{:}58.646 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}00{.}394$ because these metabolism interventions

NOTE Confidence: 0.862201337058823

 $00:28:00.394 \rightarrow 00:28:02.919$ that we're talking about are so safe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862201337058823

 $00:28:02.920 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.279$ they are safe in healthy people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862201337058823

 $00:28:05.280 \rightarrow 00:28:08.440$ in people with metabolic disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862201337058823

 $00:28:08.440 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.474$ much more so frankly than a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.862201337058823

 $00{:}28{:}10{.}474 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}12{.}599$ the approaches we use to treat cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862201337058823

 $00:28:12.600 \rightarrow 00:28:15.138$ And so it seems like there's a large

NOTE Confidence: 0.862201337058823

 $00:28:15.138 \rightarrow 00:28:18.120$ amount of opportunity to really be

NOTE Confidence: 0.862201337058823

 $00{:}28{:}18{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}20{.}390$ able to develop metabolism targeting

NOTE Confidence: 0.862201337058823

 $00:28:20.390 \rightarrow 00:28:23.240$ interventions that can help both cancer,

 $00{:}28{:}25{.}431 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}27{.}608$ to slow tumor growth, and also really

NOTE Confidence: 0.862201337058823

 $00:28:27.608 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.199$ improve quality of life.

 $00{:}28{:}29{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}31{.}902$ Doctor Rachel Perry is an assistant

NOTE Confidence: 0.923122136956522

 $00{:}28{:}31{.}902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}33{.}783$ professor of medicine and endocrinology

NOTE Confidence: 0.923122136956522

00:28:33.783 --> 00:28:36.105 and of cellular and molecular Physiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.923122136956522

 $00{:}28{:}36{.}105 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}38{.}439$ at the Yale School of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923122136956522

 $00:28:38.440 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.496$ If you have questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923122136956522

 $00:28:40.496 \rightarrow 00:28:42.503$ the address is canceranswers@yale.edu,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923122136956522

 $00:28:42.503 \rightarrow 00:28:45.281$ and past editions of the program

NOTE Confidence: 0.923122136956522

 $00{:}28{:}45{.}281 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}47{.}685$ are available in audio and written

NOTE Confidence: 0.923122136956522

 $00:28:47.685 \rightarrow 00:28:48.630$ form at yalecancercenter.org.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923122136956522

 $00:28:48.630 \rightarrow 00:28:51.110$ We hope you'll join us next week to

NOTE Confidence: 0.923122136956522

 $00:28:51.110 \rightarrow 00:28:53.002$ learn more about the fight against

NOTE Confidence: 0.923122136956522

 $00:28:53.002 \rightarrow 00:28:54.880$ cancer here on Connecticut Public Radio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923122136956522

00:28:54.880 --> 00:28:57.502 Funding for Yale Cancer Answers is

NOTE Confidence: 0.923122136956522

00:28:57.502 --> 00:29:00.000 provided by Smilow Cancer Hospital.