WEBVTT

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:02.172 Funding for Yale Cancer Answers is NOTE Confidence: 0.4638805 00:00:02.172 --> 00:00:04.240 provided by Smilow Cancer Hospital. NOTE Confidence: 0.4638805 $00:00:06.440 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.660$ Welcome to Yale Cancer Answers NOTE Confidence: 0.4638805 $00:00:08.660 \rightarrow 00:00:10.436$ with Doctor Anees Chappar. NOTE Confidence: 0.4638805 $00:00:10.440 \rightarrow 00:00:12.636$ Yale Cancer Answers features the latest NOTE Confidence: 0.4638805 $00:00:12.636 \rightarrow 00:00:14.876$ information on cancer care by welcoming NOTE Confidence: 0.4638805 $00:00:14.876 \rightarrow 00:00:17.396$ oncologists and specialists who are on the NOTE Confidence: 0.4638805 $00:00:17.396 \rightarrow 00:00:19.478$ forefront of the battle to fight cancer. NOTE Confidence: 0.4638805 $00:00:19.480 \rightarrow 00:00:21.755$ This week, it's a conversation about the NOTE Confidence: 0.4638805 $00:00:21.755 \rightarrow 00:00:23.958$ role of aerobic exercise in relieving NOTE Confidence: 0.4638805 $00:00:23.958 \rightarrow 00:00:26.292$ pain for ovarian cancer survivors with NOTE Confidence: 0.4638805 00:00:26.292 --> 00:00:28.595 Doctor Leah Ferrucci and Anlan Cao. NOTE Confidence: 0.4638805 00:00:28.600 --> 00:00:30.844 Doctor Ferrucci is an assistant professor NOTE Confidence: 0.4638805 $00:00:30.844 \rightarrow 00:00:32.703$ of epidemiology and chronic diseases NOTE Confidence: 0.4638805 00:00:32.703 --> 00:00:34.838 at the Yale School of Public Health, NOTE Confidence: 0.4638805

 $00:00:34.840 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.318$ where Miss Cao is a graduate student.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4638805

 $00{:}00{:}37{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}39{.}511$ Doctor Chagpar is a professor of surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.4638805

 $00:00:39.511 \rightarrow 00:00:41.797$ on cology at the Yale School of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3282959

 $00{:}00{:}42.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}44.120$ Maybe we can start

NOTE Confidence: 0.3282959

 $00:00:44.120 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.765$ off with each of you telling us

NOTE Confidence: 0.3282959

 $00{:}00{:}45.765 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}47.450$ a little bit more about yourself

NOTE Confidence: 0.3282959

 $00:00:47.450 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.840$ and what it is you do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3282959

 $00:00:48.840 \rightarrow 00:00:50.436$ Leah, maybe we'll start with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

00:00:50.920 --> 00:00:54.035 Sure. So I am a nutritional epidemiologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

 $00{:}00{:}54.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}55.606$ and I actually trained here at

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

 $00{:}00{:}55{.}606 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}57{.}399$ the Yale School of Public Health

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

 $00:00:57.400 \rightarrow 00:00:59.556$ for both my masters and my PhD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

 $00:00:59.560 \rightarrow 00:01:01.360$ And as part of my PhD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

 $00:01:01.360 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.760$ I was able to also be a part of research

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

 $00{:}01{:}03.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}05.800$ at the National Cancer Institute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

 $00:01:05.800 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.851$ So I had that unique ability to

- NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563
- $00:01:07.851 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.146$ work with strong investigators

 $00:01:09.146 \rightarrow 00:01:12.320$ here at Yale as well as at the NCI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

00:01:12.320 --> 00:01:14.469 And so my work has really focused

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

 $00:01:14.469 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.743$ on thinking about diet as a risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

 $00:01:16.743 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.035$ factor for cancer incidence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

 $00:01:18.040 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.988$ risk of cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

 $00:01:18.988 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.200$ And then in the last few years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

 $00{:}01{:}21.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}23.711$ I have taken that to think about diet in

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

 $00:01:23.711 \dashrightarrow 00:01:26.232$ the context of cancer survivorship and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

 $00:01:26.232 \rightarrow 00:01:29.077$ we can think about not only diet itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

 $00:01:29.080 \rightarrow 00:01:31.636$ but also energy balance as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

00:01:31.636 --> 00:01:32.914 as physical activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

 $00:01:32.920 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.790$ Another lifestyle factor in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

 $00{:}01{:}34.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}37.281$ context of thinking through how we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

 $00:01:37.281 \rightarrow 00:01:38.992$ improve outcomes in cancer survivors,

 $00:01:38.992 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.648$ whether it be quality of life or

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

00:01:41.648 --> 00:01:43.400 perhaps even ultimately survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00{:}01{:}44.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}46.092$ Fantastic, and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

00:01:46.092 --> 00:01:48.199 about you, Anlan?

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00{:}01{:}48.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}51.007$ I'm a fourth year PhD candidate and I'm also

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00{:}01{:}51{.}007 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}52{.}682$ Cancer Prevention and Control

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00{:}01{:}52.682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}54.717$ fellow at Yale Cancer Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

00:01:54.720 --> 00:01:56.135 Professor Ferrucci is

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

00:01:56.135 --> 00:01:57.992 my mentor and I'm also mentored

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00{:}01{:}57{.}992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}59{.}516$ by Professor Melinda Irwin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00:01:59.520 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.836$ Before coming to Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

00:02:00.836 --> 00:02:03.519 I was a preventive medicine major in college,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00{:}02{:}03.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}05.075$ and I received my Bachelor

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00{:}02{:}05{.}075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}06{.}319$ of Medicine in China.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00{:}02{:}06{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}08{.}695$ My current research centers around

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00:02:08.695 \rightarrow 00:02:10.595$ cancer and nutritional epidemiology,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118
- $00:02:10.600 \rightarrow 00:02:13.264$ with a special focus on lifestyle
- NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118
- $00:02:13.264 \rightarrow 00:02:15.040$ factors and ovarian cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118
- $00:02:15.040 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.100$ And I entered this program
- NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118
- 00:02:17.100 --> 00:02:19.160 because I just loved epidemiology.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118
- $00:02:19.160 \dashrightarrow 00:02:20.666$ And I'm not saying this because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118
- $00{:}02{:}20.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}22.420$ my professor is here and she
- NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118
- $00:02:22.420 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.705$ teaches epidemiology.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118
- 00:02:23.705 --> 00:02:27.800 But in my first interaction with API,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118
- $00{:}02{:}27{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}29{.}440$ the study designs and the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118
- $00:02:29.440 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.080$ philosophy behind them amazed me.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118
- 00:02:31.080 --> 00:02:32.176 And I was like,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118
- $00:02:32.176 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.546$ I definitely want to get
- NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118
- $00:02:33.546 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.758$ additional training in this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118
- $00{:}02{:}34.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}37.147$ So I entered this program and I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118
- $00{:}02{:}37{.}147 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}39{.}137$ specifically focus on cancer and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00:02:39.137 \rightarrow 00:02:40.967$ lifestyle because we've all been

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00{:}02{:}40{.}967 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}42{.}203$ hearing about the compromised

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00:02:42.203 \dashrightarrow 00:02:44.194$ quality of life of cancer survivors NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00{:}02{:}44.194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}45.869$ and how researchers and physicians NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00:02:45.869 \rightarrow 00:02:47.680$ should pay more attention to it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00{:}02{:}47.680$ --> $00{:}02{:}50.132$ So I'm paying attention and lifestyle NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00:02:50.132 \dashrightarrow 00:02:52.660$ factors to me are really powerful

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00:02:52.660 \rightarrow 00:02:54.880$ because they are highly modifiable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00{:}02{:}54.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}56.806$ And if we found that lifestyle

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00{:}02{:}56{.}806 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}58{.}778$ interventions like what we do here NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

00:02:58.778 --> 00:03:00.674 can actually improve quality of life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00:03:00.680 \dashrightarrow 00:03:02.520$ prevent recurrence and improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

00:03:02.520 --> 00:03:04.360 survival for cancer survivors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00:03:04.360 \rightarrow 00:03:05.800$ then this lifestyle interventions

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

00:03:05.800 --> 00:03:07.960 could be valuable additions to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00:03:08.013 \dashrightarrow 00:03:09.758$ current standard of oncology care.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118
- $00:03:09.760 \rightarrow 00:03:11.800$ And I think that's where I'm working towards.
- $00:03:13.056 \rightarrow 00:03:15.040$ I mean, if we can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9395958
- $00:03:15.040 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.608$ really hone in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9395958
- 00:03:17.608 --> 00:03:18.930 on lifestyle modifications,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9395958
- $00:03:18.930 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.045$ not only might that be a benefit
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9395958
- $00:03:22.045 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.502$ to cancer survivors or cancer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9395958
- $00:03:24.502 \rightarrow 00:03:26.357$ patients or even preventing cancer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9395958
- $00:03:26.360 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.994$ but could have offshoots of reducing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9395958
- $00{:}03{:}28{.}994 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}31{.}859$ heart disease and stroke and all kinds
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9395958
- 00:03:31.859 --> 00:03:35.256 of other ailments and it might be a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9395958
- $00:03:35.256 \dashrightarrow 00:03:37.516$ really cost effective intervention too.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9395958
- $00{:}03{:}37{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}39{.}680$ So let's dive into your research
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9395958
- $00:03:39.680 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.120$ a little bit more.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9395958
- 00:03:41.120 --> 00:03:42.443 Leah, do you want to tell us
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9395958
- $00:03:42.443 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.799$ a little bit more about your
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9395958
- $00:03:43.800 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.264$ current studies and what

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9395958
- 00:03:45.264 --> 00:03:46.960 you're doing and what you're finding?

00:03:47.560 -> 00:03:49.306 Sure. So the most recent study

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:03:49.306 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.583$ that we are working on is

 $00:03:53.160 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.002$ we are looking at an exercise

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

00:03:56.002 --> 00:03:58.337 intervention among ovarian cancer survivors

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}03{:}58{.}337 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}00{.}957$ who had already completed chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}04{:}00{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}03{.}000$ And were sort of described as a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:04:03.000 \rightarrow 00:04:04.724$ bit low in their physical activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}04{:}04{.}724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}07{.}127$ So they couldn't have been doing more than

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:04:07.127 \dashrightarrow 00:04:09.038$ 90 minutes of physical activity a week.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}04{:}09{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}10{.}816$ And what we were trying to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:04:10.816 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.100$ at here is if we were able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}04{:}13.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}14.400$ increase their physical activity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:04:14.400 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.048$ would we see an impact on a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}04{:}17.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}19.135$ common symptom that's related to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:04:19.135 \rightarrow 00:04:21.841$ chemotherapy and this is known as

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223
- $00:04:21.841 \rightarrow 00:04:23.254$ chemotherapy induced peripheral

 $00:04:23.254 \rightarrow 00:04:26.820$ neuropathy or CIPN. So here again we had

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:04:26.820 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.600$ this exercise intervention in place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:04:28.600 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.945$ It was six months long and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}04{:}30{.}945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}33{.}320$ was home based aerobic exercise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:04:33.320 \dashrightarrow 00:04:34.800$ We'll probably go into a little bit more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:04:34.800 \rightarrow 00:04:37.064$ but mainly the women in our study were

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:04:37.064 \rightarrow 00:04:39.918$ able to do this by doing just brisk walking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}04{:}39{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}41{.}945$ something that is accessible

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:04:41.945 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.160$ to many individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}04{:}43.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}45.155$ And so over the intervention time period,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:04:45.160 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.326$ we had one group receiving this

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:04:47.326 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.344$ exercise program and another group

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

00:04:49.344 --> 00:04:51.364 receiving just information about

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:04:51.364 \rightarrow 00:04:52.879$ ovarian cancer survivorship.

 $00:04:52.880 \rightarrow 00:04:54.872$ So that comparison group we call

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}04{:}54.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}56.200$ an attention control group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}04{:}56{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}58{.}258$ So we're giving them the same contact

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}04{:}58.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}00.045$ with our research staff and really

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}05{:}00{.}045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}02{.}026$ trying to isolate is it the exercise

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}05{:}02{.}085 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}03{.}954$ or is it the attention that some one

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}05{:}03{.}954 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}06{.}496$ is giving that might have an impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:05:06.496 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.798$ on our outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

00:05:07.800 --> 00:05:09.795 It's also important to keep in mind

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}05{:}09{.}795 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}11{.}228$ for this particular analysis that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

00:05:11.228 --> 00:05:13.300 we were looking at what's known as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:05:13.361 \rightarrow 00:05:15.437$ secondary outcome of the original study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}05{:}15{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}17{.}744$ So this study is known as the walk

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}05{:}17.744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}19.911$ trial and the primary outcome for

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:05:19.911 \dashrightarrow 00:05:22.191$ that had actually just been health

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

00:05:22.261 -> 00:05:24.356 related quality of life overall.

 $00:05:24.360 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.726$ And we did see benefits for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:05:26.726 \rightarrow 00:05:28.724$ exercise intervention for that primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:05:28.724 \rightarrow 00:05:30.572$ outcome specifically with physical

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:05:30.572 \rightarrow 00:05:32.960$ related health related quality of life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}05{:}32{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}35{.}168$ But in this analysis we got to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}05{:}35{.}168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}38{.}224$ relook at our data and see is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:05:38.224 \rightarrow 00:05:39.691$ intervention specifically also impacting

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:05:39.691 \rightarrow 00:05:42.155$ this key symptom that we know about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}05{:}42.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}44.120$ Our key side effect that we know

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

00:05:44.120 -> 00:05:45.760 about related to chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:05:45.760 \rightarrow 00:05:48.000$ So when we evaluated this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

00:05:48.000 --> 00:05:49.960 this CIPN which was self reported by

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}05{:}49{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}52{.}327$ the women in our study before they

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

00:05:52.327 --> 00:05:53.791 started the exercise intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}05{:}53{.}791 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}55{.}869$ and then after the six months we

 $00{:}05{:}55{.}869 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}57{.}792$ saw that there was an improvement

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}05{:}57{.}792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}00{.}756$ in this self reported chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:06:00.756 \rightarrow 00:06:02.064$ induced peripheral neuropathy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

00:06:02.064 --> 00:06:04.680 And those who had completed our

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

00:06:04.739 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:06:06.749 exercise intervention and those who

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}06{:}06{.}749 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}08{.}590$ had been in the attention control group NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}06{:}08{.}642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}10{.}340$ actually saw a slight worsening of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}06{:}10{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}11{.}929$ their symptoms as they were reporting

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}06{:}11.929 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}13.949$ it to us at the end of the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}06{:}13.949 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}16.672$ So this was really indicating to us

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}06{:}16.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}19.696$ that among those who have completed NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}06{:}19.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}21.077$ chemotherapy and potentially have

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}06{:}21.077 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}23.030$ these symptoms at the start of an

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

00:06:23.091 $-\!>$ 00:06:24.901 exercise intervention that the exercise

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}06{:}24{.}901 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}27{.}089$ intervention can be helpful in reducing

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:06:27.089 \rightarrow 00:06:28.997$ the symptoms that they are having.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921
- $00:06:31.080 \rightarrow 00:06:33.888$ You know that's really interesting

 $00:06:33.888 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.880$ because we can imagine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

 $00{:}06{:}35{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}38{.}157$ that exercise does a lot in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

00:06:38.157 --> 00:06:40.280 of improving quality of life, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

 $00:06:40.280 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.280$ It releases endorphins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

 $00:06:42.280 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.800$ it makes you feel better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

 $00:06:44.800 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.496$ But this idea of the fact that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

00:06:49.496 --> 00:06:51.704 walking, simple walking, could

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

00:06:51.704 --> 00:06:53.360 actually improve peripheral

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

 $00{:}06{:}53{.}441 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}56{.}357$ neuropathy might not be as intuitive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

 $00{:}06{:}56{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}58{.}784$ So Anlan, can you talk a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

00:06:58.784 --> 00:07:01.314 more about why it was that at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

 $00{:}07{:}01{.}314 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}03{.}381$ beginning of this whole study you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

00:07:03.381 --> 00:07:05.643 hypothesized that there might have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

 $00{:}07{:}05.643 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}08.686$ a link and maybe talk a little

 $00{:}07{:}08.686 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}11.880$ bit more about the intervention itself

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

00:07:11.880 --> 00:07:14.520 and how you measured peripheral neuropathy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

00:07:14.520 --> 00:07:17.397 It sounds like it was self reported,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

 $00:07:17.400 \rightarrow 00:07:18.756$ but can you talk about why,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

 $00:07:18.760 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.699$ you would think at the outset

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

 $00:07:20.699 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.518$ that these two would be linked?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

 $00:07:22.520 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.800$ That's just not intuitive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

 $00:07:24.160 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.920$ Yeah, sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00{:}07{:}25{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}28{.}596$ So actually we hypothesize not specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00{:}07{:}28.596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}31.280$ walk but aerobic exercise overall.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00{:}07{:}31{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}34{.}028$ And there were some potential mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:07:34.028 \dashrightarrow 00:07:36.808$ that we hypothesize like exercise overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00{:}07{:}36.808 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}39.874$ can just make the patients feel better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:07:39.880 \rightarrow 00:07:43.000$ so subjectively if they are feeling more fit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:07:43.000 \dashrightarrow 00:07:45.772$ in that case, they probably will perceive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:07:45.772 \dashrightarrow 00:07:48.717$ less pain or better quality of life.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591
- 00:07:48.720 --> 00:07:51.120 And because as Professor Ferrucci mentioned,

 $00{:}07{:}51{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}52{.}740$ the primary outcome of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

00:07:52.740 --> 00:07:54.360 study was quality of life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:07:54.360 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.512$ So we hypothesize neuropathy as one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:07:57.512 \rightarrow 00:08:00.492$ mediators on this pathway of how aerobic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:08:00.492 \rightarrow 00:08:03.040$ exercise can improve quality of life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

00:08:03.040 --> 00:08:04.452 And biologically speaking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:08:04.452 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.896$ there are some molecules and some biomarkers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:08:07.896 \rightarrow 00:08:10.993$ that can be increased by exercise and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:08:10.993 \rightarrow 00:08:14.200$ that may have an effect on neuropathy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00{:}08{:}14.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}17.266$ But I think currently there is no

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00{:}08{:}17.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}19.127$ solid biological evidence suggesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:08:19.127 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.477$ any clear pathway this way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00{:}08{:}21{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}24{.}456$ So I guess our study also calls for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00{:}08{:}24.456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}26.931$ more studies like basic science

 $00:08:26.931 \dashrightarrow 00:08:29.320$ studies to help review the mechanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00{:}08{:}29{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}31{.}270$ of how exercise actually improved

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

00:08:31.270 -> 00:08:32.815 peripheral neuropathy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

00:08:32.815 --> 00:08:35.138 And the other way that we can develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

00:08:35.138 --> 00:08:37.322 other drugs or others forms of exercise

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

00:08:37.322 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:08:40.520 that can achieve the same or even

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:08:40.520 \rightarrow 00:08:43.640$ better effect of improving neuropathy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:08:43.640 \rightarrow 00:08:45.199$ And about the specific exercise

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00{:}08{:}45{.}199 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}47{.}437$ form that we were looking at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:08:47.440 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.448$ as we mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00{:}08{:}48{.}448{\:}-{>}00{:}08{:}50{.}800$ we focus on home based moderate and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

00:08:50.870 --> 00:08:53.198 moderate intensity aerobic exercise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00{:}08{:}53{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}56{.}304$ but most of the women chose to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

00:08:56.304 --> 00:08:58.016 brisk walking and that's actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00{:}08{:}58.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}59.564$ more than 95% of women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:08:59.564 \rightarrow 00:09:01.412$ And it maybe makes sense because

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591
- $00:09:01.412 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.680$ we call ourselves the walk study.

 $00:09:03.680 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.864$ So it may sort of leave some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

00:09:05.864 --> 00:09:06.800 impression on them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:09:06.800 \rightarrow 00:09:09.040$ but some women also chose to do hiking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

00:09:09.040 --> 00:09:11.120 biking, swimming or yoga.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00{:}09{:}11{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}14{.}240$ And as professor Ferrucci mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00{:}09{:}14.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}16.922$ we have an American College of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:09:16.922 \dashrightarrow 00:09:18.710$ Sports Medicine certified Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00{:}09{:}18.788 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}20.918$ Access Trainer that guides them

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00{:}09{:}20{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}22{.}990$ through weekly phone calls to how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:09:22.990 \dashrightarrow 00:09:24.960$ to improve their physical activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

00:09:24.960 --> 00:09:27.060 And we also have this 26 chapter

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00{:}09{:}27{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}28{.}762$ book on exercise and ovarian

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

00:09:28.762 --> 00:09:30.612 cancer survivorship that can serve

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:09:30.612 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.320$ as an additional resource.

 $00:09:32.600 \rightarrow 00:09:36.576$ Leah, I mean, was there a

NOTE Confidence: 0.40757778

 $00:09:36.576 \rightarrow 00:09:39.298$ particular dose that was significant?

NOTE Confidence: 0.40757778

 $00{:}09{:}39{.}298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}43{.}370$ So you mentioned that these were women who

NOTE Confidence: 0.40757778

 $00{:}09{:}43.457 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}46.875$ in general had what you call low rates of

NOTE Confidence: 0.40757778

00:09:46.875 --> 00:09:49.918 of activity 90 minutes a week or less.

NOTE Confidence: 0.40757778

 $00:09:49.920 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.175$ Some might think that 90

NOTE Confidence: 0.40757778

00:09:51.175 - 00:09:52.680 minutes is actually quite a bit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.40757778

 $00:09:52.680 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.480$ but we'll leave that as ide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.40757778

 $00{:}09{:}55{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}57{.}080$ Was there a certain dose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.40757778

00:09:57.080 - 00:09:59.880 like for example, if you did 91 minutes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.40757778

 $00:09:59.880 \longrightarrow 00:10:01.680$ it wasn't as effective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.40757778

00:10:01.680 --> 00:10:04.184 but if you did 150 minutes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.40757778

 $00:10:04.184 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.240$ it really was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.40757778

 $00:10:05.240 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.992$ Did you look at that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.40757778

 $00{:}10{:}06{.}992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}09{.}038$ did you find that that was impactful?

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00:10:09.400 \rightarrow 00:10:11.157$ So we didn't look specifically at dose,

 $00{:}10{:}11{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}13.870$ but I will say what our goal for women to

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00:10:13.941 \rightarrow 00:10:16.552$ achieve was that 150 minutes per week,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00:10:16.552 \rightarrow 00:10:18.492$ which is the general recommendation

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00:10:18.492 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.730$ out there for all individuals in

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00{:}10{:}20.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}23.026$ the population and also has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00:10:23.026 \rightarrow 00:10:24.806$ translated specifically to cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00:10:24.806 \rightarrow 00:10:27.031$ survivors as being an important

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00:10:27.040 \longrightarrow 00:10:28.942$ kind of threshold to be thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00{:}10{:}28{.}942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}30{.}640$ about for physical activity levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00{:}10{:}30{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}32{.}158$ And these women were actually very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

00:10:32.160 --> 00:10:34.100 very successful in increasing

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00{:}10{:}34{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}35{.}555$ their aerobic activities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

00:10:35.560 --> 00:10:37.800 So I believe it's on average they

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00:10:37.800 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.330$ had about 160 minutes per week of NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00:10:40.330 \rightarrow 00:10:42.220$ this moderate to vigorous activity

 $00:10:42.295 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.317$ by the end of our intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00{:}10{:}44.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}45.760$ So this was actually very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00:10:45.760 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.840$ very exciting to see, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00:10:47.840 \rightarrow 00:10:50.052$ This is a group that potentially was

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00{:}10{:}50{.}052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}52{.}140$ not doing activity as you had mentioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00:10:52.140 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.394$ at the start and yet we're able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00:10:54.394 \rightarrow 00:10:56.116$ attain that goal quite early on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00:10:56.120 \rightarrow 00:10:58.318$ And actually we had very little variation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00{:}10{:}58{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}00{.}678$ So most women got up to that high level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

00:11:00.680 --> 00:11:02.738 So looking at the dose response was

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00{:}11{:}02.738 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}04.725$ actually not even really that possible

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

00:11:04.725 --> 00:11:06.819 because everyone was just so successful

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00{:}11{:}06{.}819 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}09{.}116$ in attaining that goal in this study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00:11:09.120 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.614$ So unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

00:11:09.614 --> 00:11:11.590 I can't say if just a small increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00{:}11{:}11{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}13{.}306$ would have also seen the same effect

- NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934
- $00:11:13.306 \rightarrow 00:11:14.927$ because we were seeing such strong

 $00{:}11{:}14.927 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}16.357$ increases overall in the women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97069925

00:11:16.760 --> 00:11:18.716 How exactly did women do that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.97069925

 $00{:}11{:}18.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}20.472$ I mean there might be

NOTE Confidence: 0.97069925

 $00{:}11{:}20{.}472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}22{.}701$ a lot of people who are sitting

NOTE Confidence: 0.97069925

00:11:22.701 --> 00:11:24.687 listening to the show and saying

NOTE Confidence: 0.97069925

 $00:11:24.687 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.896$ to themselves, that's fabulous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97069925

 $00{:}11{:}26.896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}29.636$ I mean these cancer survivors

NOTE Confidence: 0.97069925

 $00:11:29.640 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.852$ magically got themselves up

NOTE Confidence: 0.97069925

 $00:11:31.852 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.592$ to over 160 minutes a week.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97069925

 $00:11:34.592 \rightarrow 00:11:37.100$ Now was that due to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.97069925

 $00:11:37.100 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.200$ trainer that you had?

NOTE Confidence: 0.97069925

00:11:39.200 --> 00:11:41.832 I mean is that the advice that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.97069925

00:11:41.832 --> 00:11:44.565 would have for people is to get a

NOTE Confidence: 0.97069925

00:11:44.565 --> 00:11:47.114 trainer or what were some of

 $00:11:47.114 \rightarrow 00:11:49.238$ the perhaps techniques or skills

NOTE Confidence: 0.97069925

 $00{:}11{:}49{.}238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}51{.}661$ or bits of knowledge that really

NOTE Confidence: 0.97069925

00:11:51.661 --> 00:11:54.223 helped these women to achieve

NOTE Confidence: 0.97069925

00:11:54.223 --> 00:11:56.638 that outcome that perhaps the rest

NOTE Confidence: 0.97069925

 $00{:}11{:}56.638 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}58.870$ of our list eners in our audience

NOTE Confidence: 0.97069925

 $00{:}11{:}58.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}01.040$ might be able to behave themselves of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

00:12:01.880 --> 00:12:03.560 Well, I'm not an interventionist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00:12:03.560 \rightarrow 00:12:05.674$ so I cannot say much about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

00:12:05.680 --> 00:12:07.840 But what I can say is that brisk

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00{:}12{:}07{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}10{.}088$ walking is likely accessible to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

00:12:10.088 --> 00:12:12.133 vast majority of patients diagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00{:}12{:}12{.}133 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}14{.}205$ with ovarian cancer because it

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00{:}12{:}14.205 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}16.200$ does not require any equipment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00{:}12{:}16.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}19.280$ And if the patients wanted to do this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00:12:19.280 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.820$ they could initiate this form

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00:12:20.820 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.360$ of exercise on their own,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407
- $00:12:22.360 \rightarrow 00:12:24.060$ well after obtaining a clearance

 $00:12:24.060 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.760$ from their doctors too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00{:}12{:}25.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}28.028$ But if they need additional resources to

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00:12:28.028 \rightarrow 00:12:30.671$ help them to motivate them of actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00{:}12{:}30.671 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}32.676$ initiating this form of exercise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00{:}12{:}32{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}35{.}074$ then many cancer centers have physical

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00:12:35.074 \rightarrow 00:12:37.997$ therapists that could be a resource for them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00{:}12{:}38{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}41{.}178$ And some also have the certified

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

00:12:41.178 --> 00:12:43.544 cancer exercise trainers like the

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00:12:43.544 \rightarrow 00:12:46.200$ interventionists in our study could also

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00:12:46.200 \dashrightarrow 00:12:48.600$ help them to start aerobic exercise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00{:}12{:}48.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}50.616$ And for some women if they prefer

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00{:}12{:}50.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}53.225$ to go to the facility instead of

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00{:}12{:}53.225 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}55.320$ doing some home based exercise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

00:12:55.320 --> 00:12:59.141 theres the LIVESTRONG program at YMCA,

 $00{:}12{:}59{.}141 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}01{.}248$ which is a program specific to cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00{:}13{:}01{.}248 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}02{.}733$ survivors that incorporate both

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

00:13:02.733 --> 00:13:04.397 aerobic and resistance training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00{:}13{:}04{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}06{.}311$ So those are all the resources that

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00{:}13{:}06{.}311 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}08{.}480$ could be useful to the cancer survivors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6055559

 $00:13:08.760 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.594$ We're going to take

NOTE Confidence: 0.6055559

 $00:13:10.594 \rightarrow 00:13:12.560$ a short break for a medical minute,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6055559

 $00:13:12.560 \rightarrow 00:13:13.640$ but when we come back,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6055559

00:13:13.640 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:13:15.985 we'll learn more about the role of

NOTE Confidence: 0.6055559

 $00{:}13{:}15{.}985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}18{.}277$ aerobic exercise in relieving pain for

NOTE Confidence: 0.6055559

 $00{:}13{:}18{.}277 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}20{.}719$ ovarian cancer survivors with my guests,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6055559

 $00{:}13{:}20.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}24.400$ Leah Ferrucci and Anlan Cao.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5015049

 $00{:}13{:}24{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}26{.}400$ Funding for Yale Cancer Answers comes

NOTE Confidence: 0.5015049

 $00{:}13{:}26{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}28{.}000$ from Smilow Cancer Hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5015049

 $00:13:28.000 \rightarrow 00:13:30.670$ where their Center for Breast Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.5015049

 $00:13:30.670 \rightarrow 00:13:31.920$ provides coordinated state-of-the-art

- NOTE Confidence: 0.5015049
- $00:13:31.920 \rightarrow 00:13:34.080$ care for patients with benign and

 $00{:}13{:}34.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}35.760$ malignant diseases of the breast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5015049

00:13:35.760 --> 00:13:38.640 Learn more at smilowcancerhospital.org.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

00:13:40.680 --> 00:13:42.440 The American Cancer Society

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

 $00:13:42.440 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.575$ estimates that more than 65,000

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

 $00{:}13{:}44{.}575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}46{.}450$ Americans will be diagnosed with

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

 $00:13:46.450 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.639$ head and neck cancer this year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

 $00{:}13{:}48.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}51.895$ making up about 4% of all cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

 $00{:}13{:}51{.}895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}53{.}548$ diagnosed when detected early.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

 $00{:}13{:}53{.}548 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}55{.}924$ However, head and neck cancers are

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

 $00:13:55.924 \rightarrow 00:13:58.120$ easily treated and highly curable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

 $00{:}13{:}58{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}00{.}124$ Clinical trials are currently

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

 $00{:}14{:}00{.}124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}02{.}128$ underway at federally designated

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

00:14:02.128 --> 00:14:03.680 comprehensive Cancer Centers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

 $00{:}14{:}03.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}06.592$ such as Yale Cancer Center and Smilow

 $00:14:06.592 \rightarrow 00:14:08.976$ Cancer Hospital to test innovative new

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

 $00{:}14{:}08{.}976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}11{.}358$ treatments for head and neck cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

00:14:11.360 --> 00:14:13.335 Yale Cancer Center was recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

 $00:14:13.335 \rightarrow 00:14:15.310$ awarded grants from the National

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

 $00{:}14{:}15{.}377 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}17{.}267$ Institutes of Health to fund the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

00:14:17.267 --> 00:14:19.620 Yale Head and Neck Cancer Specialized

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

00:14:19.620 --> 00:14:21.680 Program of Research Excellence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

00:14:21.680 --> 00:14:22.496 or SPORE,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

 $00{:}14{:}22{.}496 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}24{.}536$ to address critical barriers to

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

 $00{:}14{:}24{.}536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}27{.}486$ treatment of head and neck squamous cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

 $00{:}14{:}27{.}486 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}29{.}970$ carcinoma due to resistance to immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

 $00{:}14{:}30{.}049 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}32{.}399$ DNA damaging and targeted therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

 $00:14:32.400 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.800$ More information is available

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

 $00{:}14{:}34{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}36{.}028$ at yale cancercenter.org.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

00:14:36.028 --> 00:14:39.796 You're listening to Connecticut Public Radio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

00:14:39.800 --> 00:14:40.280 Welcome

- NOTE Confidence: 0.31572294
- 00:14:40.280 --> 00:14:41.880 back to Yale Cancer Answers.

 $00{:}14{:}41{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}43{.}400$ This is Doctor Anees Chagpar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.31572294

 $00:14:43.400 \rightarrow 00:14:45.556$ and I'm joined tonight by my guests,

NOTE Confidence: 0.31572294

00:14:45.560 --> 00:14:48.320 Doctor Leah Ferrucci and Anlan Cao.

NOTE Confidence: 0.31572294

 $00:14:48.320 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.774$ We're talking about the role of

NOTE Confidence: 0.31572294

 $00{:}14{:}50{.}774 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}52{.}935$ aerobic exercise in relieving pain

NOTE Confidence: 0.31572294

 $00:14:52.935 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.759$ for ovarian cancer survivors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.31572294

 $00{:}14{:}54{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}57{.}238$ And right before the break we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.31572294

00:14:57.238 --> 00:14:59.736 talking about a recent study that

NOTE Confidence: 0.31572294

 $00{:}14{:}59{.}736$ --> $00{:}15{:}02{.}454$ they've done looking at a walking NOTE Confidence: $0{.}31572294$

 $00{:}15{:}02{.}454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}04{.}652$ intervention basically home based

NOTE Confidence: 0.31572294

00:15:04.652 --> 00:15:06.872 exercise primarily walking that

NOTE Confidence: 0.31572294

00:15:06.872 --> 00:15:09.080 actually reduced cancer induced

NOTE Confidence: 0.31572294

00:15:09.080 --> 00:15:12.400 peripheral neuropathy and Anlan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.31572294

 $00:15:12.400 \rightarrow 00:15:14.872$ maybe you can tell us a little bit

 $00:15:14.872 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.339$ more about what exactly is this

NOTE Confidence: 0.31572294

 $00:15:17.339 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.554$ neuropathy that cancer survivors get.

NOTE Confidence: 0.31572294

 $00:15:19.560 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.200$ Yeah, sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:15:20.760 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.856$ So chemotherapy induced peripheral

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00{:}15{:}22.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}25.476$ neuropathy or CIPN biologically speaking

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00{:}15{:}25{.}476 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}28{.}285$ is the damage to neurons that leads

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

00:15:28.285 --> 00:15:30.780 to alter perception of touch, pain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:15:30.780 \rightarrow 00:15:34.560$ sense of position and vibration or damage

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:15:34.560 \rightarrow 00:15:37.120$ voluntary movement and coordination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:15:37.120 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.772$ So that being said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00{:}15{:}38.772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}41.250$ some typical symptoms of CIPN include

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:15:41.327 \rightarrow 00:15:43.541$ like a tingling sensation in hands

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00{:}15{:}43{.}541 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}46{.}451$ and feet or some patients may describe

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00{:}15{:}46{.}451 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}49{.}157$ that as pins and needles feeling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:15:49.160 \rightarrow 00:15:51.360$ Some patients may also experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

00:15:51.360 --> 00:15:53.913 burning or warm feeling, numbress,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367
- $00{:}15{:}53{.}913 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}57{.}878$ weakness or discomfort or pain.

 $00{:}15{:}57{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}01{.}000$ And some may also have less ability to

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:16:01.000 \rightarrow 00:16:04.200$ feel hot and cold and cramps in feet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

00:16:04.200 --> 00:16:05.878 And it's actually highly prevalent

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00{:}16{:}05{.}878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}08{.}273$ for cancer patients who receive

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:16:08.273 \rightarrow 00:16:10.480$ chemotherapy to experience neuropathy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

00:16:10.480 --> 00:16:13.260 And it's actually dependent on the chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:16:13.260 \rightarrow 00:16:16.050$ regimen because some drugs are more

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:16:16.135 \rightarrow 00:16:18.837$ likely to cause CIP and than others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:16:18.840 \rightarrow 00:16:22.165$ And so what we are testing is exercise

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:16:22.165 \rightarrow 00:16:25.163$ and how exercise can improve CIPN and

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00{:}16{:}25{.}163 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}27{.}921$ that's because so far we only have

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:16:27.921 \rightarrow 00:16:30.800$ limited options for how to manage CIPN.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:16:30.800 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.640$ We do have one drug,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

00:16:32.640 --> 00:16:33.006 Duluxetine,

 $00:16:33.006 \rightarrow 00:16:35.568$ that is the only drug that had

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:16:35.568 \rightarrow 00:16:37.294$ enough supportive evidence to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:16:37.294 \rightarrow 00:16:39.160$ a part of the current guidelines

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

00:16:39.160 --> 00:16:41.118 for CIPN management from ASCO,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:16:41.120 \rightarrow 00:16:44.235$ which is a large clinical oncology organization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:16:44.240 \rightarrow 00:16:46.060$ But it's developed specifically for

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00{:}16{:}46.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}48.375$ CIPN and the evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:16:48.375 \rightarrow 00:16:50.919$ indicates it only has limited benefits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00{:}16{:}50{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}53{.}880$ So it's not a solution to all and

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:16:53.880 \rightarrow 00:16:56.556$ some patients may also use patches,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00{:}16{:}56{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}59{.}472$ creams, or cooling gloves to help with

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00{:}16{:}59{.}472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}02{.}277$ numbress and tingling in hands and in feet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:17:02.280 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.247$ but there's not enough evidence so far

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00{:}17{:}04.247 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}06.480$ to support a recommendation on those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

00:17:06.480 --> 00:17:07.242 And clinically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:17:07.242 \rightarrow 00:17:09.528$ there is a pretty common approach

- NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367
- 00:17:09.528 --> 00:17:10.680 to reduce CIPN,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367
- $00:17:10.680 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.249$ which is to reduce or delay the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367
- $00:17:13.249 \rightarrow 00:17:14.760$ chemotherapy that causes CIPN,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367
- 00:17:14.760 --> 00:17:17.280 like paclitaxel in ovarian cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367
- $00{:}17{:}17{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}19{.}940$ So this is pretty effective because you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367
- $00:17:19.940 \rightarrow 00:17:22.440$ are targeting directly to the cause of CIPN,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367
- $00:17:22.440 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.939$ but this will lead to the patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367
- $00{:}17{:}24.939 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}26.568$ receiving less than the original
- NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367
- 00:17:26.568 --> 00:17:29.466 chemo prescription or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367
- $00:17:29.466 \rightarrow 00:17:31.478$ delayed original prescription which
- NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367
- $00{:}17{:}31{.}478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}33{.}438$ may negatively impact survival.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367
- $00{:}17{:}33{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}35{.}351$ So this is like the last resort
- NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367
- $00:17:35.351 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.612$ that we don't want to go into if
- NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367
- $00{:}17{:}37.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}38.716$ we have other options.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367
- $00:17:38.720 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.564$ And exercise was proven to be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:17:41.564 \rightarrow 00:17:43.856$ effective in improving quality of

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00{:}17{:}43.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}46.900$ life in our study and also in other

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

00:17:46.900 --> 00:17:48.680 aspects of cancer survivorship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:17:48.680 \rightarrow 00:17:51.102$ So we were hoping that maybe exercise

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:17:51.102 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.317$ could also help us to manage CIP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00{:}17{:}53.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}56.280$ And as Professor Ferrucci mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:17:56.280 \rightarrow 00:18:00.164$ we used a self report questionnaire

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00{:}18{:}00{.}164 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}03{.}464$ to measure CIPN that is called the

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

00:18:03.464 --> 00:18:05.748 FACT GOG Neurotoxicity Questionnaire

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:18:05.748 \rightarrow 00:18:09.205$ and it has 11 questions basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:18:09.205 \rightarrow 00:18:11.896$ asking about the symptoms of CIPN

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

00:18:11.896 --> 00:18:14.200 and how the patients feel about

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

00:18:14.283 --> 00:18:16.797 pain or overall weakness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:18:16.800 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.338$ And the overall range of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:18:19.338 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.559$ questionnaire is from zero to 44.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00{:}18{:}21{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}25{.}669$ And what we found was that exercise

- NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367
- $00:18:25.669 \rightarrow 00:18:29.358$ significantly improved the score by 1.6 point,

00:18:29.360 --> 00:18:30.490 1.6 points,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:18:30.490 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.055$ yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00{:}18{:}31.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}34.866$ And that could translate to a moderate

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

00:18:34.866 --> 00:18:37.824 improvement in one symptom or just

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00{:}18{:}37{.}824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}40{.}677$ slightly improvement in a couple of symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00{:}18{:}40.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}42.920$ So what we think about that outcome is

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:18:42.920 \rightarrow 00:18:44.989$ that it's pretty clinically effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00{:}18{:}44{.}989 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}47{.}399$ and it's also statistically effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:18:47.400 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.782$ So that's why we concluded that

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

00:18:49.782 --> 00:18:51.370 aerobic exercise actually can

NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595

 $00{:}18{:}51{.}443 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}53{.}398$ help improve CIP and symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595

00:18:54.440 --> 00:18:55.880 And so, Leah, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595

 $00:18:55.880 \rightarrow 00:18:57.894$ kind of expanding on that, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595

 $00{:}18{:}57{.}894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}01{.}846$ So if we think that exercise could you

 $00:19:01.846 \rightarrow 00:19:04.408$ know reduce peripheral neuropathy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595

 $00{:}19{:}04{.}408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}07{.}828$ potentially allow patients to continue NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595

 $00{:}19{:}07{.}828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}11{.}499$ on a chemotherapy regimen that they NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595

 $00{:}19{:}11{.}499 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}14{.}559$ may have been intolerant to before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595

 $00{:}19{:}14.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}17.220$ And I know that this was this

NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595

 $00{:}19{:}17{.}220$ --> $00{:}19{:}20{.}704$ study was done in people who had NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595

 $00{:}19{:}20.704$ --> $00{:}19{:}22.706$ completed chemotherapy who may

NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595

 $00:19:22.706 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.358$ have had residual neuropathy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595

 $00{:}19{:}24.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}27.720$ But can you talk a little bit about NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595

 $00{:}19{:}27{.}720$ --> $00{:}19{:}29{.}796$ other studies that you might have NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595

 $00:19:29.796 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.141$ done that have looked at you know NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595

 $00:19:32.141 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.880$ whether exercise really allows

NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595

 $00:19:33.880 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.760$ patients to be more adherent to

NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595

 $00{:}19{:}36{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}39{.}798$ their their chemother apeutic regimen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595

 $00:19:39.800 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.523$ whether in fact we do have any

NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595

 $00{:}19{:}42{.}523 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}44{.}964$ data suggesting that there is an

- NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595
- $00:19:44.964 \rightarrow 00:19:47.644$ improvement in survival or recurrence

 $00{:}19{:}47.644 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}50.156$ free survival with exercise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

00:19:50.800 --> 00:19:52.298 So I think this is sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:19:52.298 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.758$ a story of more to come.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00{:}19{:}53.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}56.224$ I would say we have recently along

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

00:19:56.224 --> 00:19:58.345 with Doctor Melinda Irwin who had

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00{:}19{:}58{.}345 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}00{.}669$ led the walk study originally and has

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00{:}20{:}00{.}742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}03{.}556$ recently completed a study in breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:20:03.556 \rightarrow 00:20:05.432$ cancer patients during chemotherapy NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:20:05.440 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.630$ along with doctor Tara Sant here

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00{:}20{:}07{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}10{.}240$ at Yale where they were looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

00:20:10.240 --> 00:20:12.120 exercise and diet intervention in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00{:}20{:}12.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}14.448$ breast cancer survivors at the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:20:14.448 \rightarrow 00:20:16.840$ that they were receiving chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:20:16.840 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.155$ Unfortunately,

00:20:17.155 --> 00:20:19.360 they did not see that it looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00{:}20{:}19{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}22{.}185$ like the exercise component or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00{:}20{:}22{.}185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}24{.}360$ diet component of the intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00{:}20{:}24{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}26{.}036$ positively impacted the amount

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:20:26.036 \rightarrow 00:20:27.712$ of chemotherapy that individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00{:}20{:}27{.}712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}29{.}240$ were able to receive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00{:}20{:}29{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}30{.}482$ So that was a question that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:20:30.482 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.920$ we were trying to think about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00{:}20{:}31{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}33{.}760$ But surprisingly, in that study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:20:33.760 \rightarrow 00:20:35.568$ we actually had very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

00:20:35.568 --> 00:20:38.280 very high adherence to chemotherapy overall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:20:38.280 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.400$ which is not what we would have expected

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00{:}20{:}40{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}42{.}480$ kind of looking at historical data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:20:42.480 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.181$ So that's a little bit up in the air

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:20:44.181 \rightarrow 00:20:45.966$ if perhaps we ended up enrolling

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:20:45.966 \rightarrow 00:20:47.198$ this really adherent group.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902
- $00:20:47.200 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.272$ They were all motivated to kind of

 $00:20:49.272 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.439$ be involved in a research study

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:20:51.439 \rightarrow 00:20:53.464$ and perhaps had higher chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00{:}20{:}53.464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}55.680$ adherence than what we would have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:20:55.680 \longrightarrow 00:20:57.360$ expected in a wider population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:20:57.360 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.080$ So that was for breast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

00:20:59.080 --> 00:21:01.438 But in tandem with that work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:21:01.440 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.498$ Doctor Irwin has recently begun to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00{:}21{:}03.498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}05.520$ study in ovarian cancer survivors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:21:05.520 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.418$ where again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:21:06.418 \rightarrow 00:21:08.663$ she's testing a lifestyle intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:21:08.663 \rightarrow 00:21:11.114$ that combines both diet and exercise

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00{:}21{:}11{.}114 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}13{.}536$ during chemotherapy to see if we can NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00{:}21{:}13.606 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}15.170$ impact that chemotherapy adherence

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00{:}21{:}15{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}18{.}040$ or how well women are able to

 $00:21:18.040 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.120$ adhere to their prescription.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:21:20.120 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.570$ So that one has just actually started

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:21:22.570 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.300$ enrollment and this is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:21:24.300 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.449$ an exciting one as well to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00{:}21{:}26{.}449 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}28{.}410$ about CIPN because this will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00{:}21{:}28{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}31{.}390$ a group in which we can see is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:21:31.390 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.480$ exercise potentially also able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:21:33.480 \longrightarrow 00:21:36.078$ prevent that symptom from beginning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

00:21:36.080 --> 00:21:37.320 So in our current trial,

 $00:21:37.684 \rightarrow 00:21:40.232$ we had women who had already completed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00{:}21{:}40{.}232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}42{.}960$ chemotherapy, already had CIPN at baseline,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00{:}21{:}42{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}45{.}074$ they were reporting these symptoms to us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

00:21:45.080 --> 00:21:46.360 But in this new study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00{:}21{:}46{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}47{.}907$ we're hoping that we can take a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00{:}21{:}47.907 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}50.077$ look and see again as a secondary outcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00{:}21{:}50{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}52{.}320$ but an important one to think through

- $00:21:52.320 \longrightarrow 00:21:52.960$ for hypothesis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902
- $00:21:52.960 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.718$ can we actually prevent CIPN
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902
- $00:21:54.718 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.881$ if they are having exercise
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902
- $00:21:56.881 \rightarrow 00:21:59.196$ during their active treatment phase?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739
- 00:22:00.560 --> 00:22:02.270 I mean, so important
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739
- $00{:}22{:}02{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}04{.}400$ when we think about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739
- $00:22:04.400 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.300$ the massive amount of money
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739
- $00:22:06.300 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.640$ that we spend on these drugs,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739
- $00{:}22{:}08.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}11.760$ the toxicity of the drugs,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739
- $00{:}22{:}11.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}15.575$ to think that something like exercise or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739
- $00:22:15.575 \rightarrow 00:22:19.794$ diet might actually be able to improve
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739
- $00:22:19.794 \rightarrow 00:22:22.896$ outcomes independently is just so enticing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739
- 00:22:22.896 --> 00:22:25.680 But it is difficult I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739
- $00:22:25.680 \rightarrow 00:22:29.592$ think for people to embrace lifestyle
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739
- $00:22:29.592 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.280$ interventions, nonetheless,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739
- $00:22:32.280 \rightarrow 00:22:34.674$ I think these studies are really laudable.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739
- 00:22:34.680 --> 00:22:37.008 So, Anlan, maybe you can talk

 $00:22:37.008 \rightarrow 00:22:39.130$ a little bit about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739

 $00{:}22{:}39{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}41{.}800$ what does it take to do studies like this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739

00:22:41.800 --> 00:22:44.320 I mean, it sounds like this

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739

 $00:22:44.320 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.000$ is quite the endeavor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739

 $00{:}22{:}46.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}48.144$ Can you talk a little bit more about

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739

 $00:22:48.144 \rightarrow 00:22:50.754$ kind of how you set up a study like this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739

 $00:22:50.760 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.324$ the team that's involved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739

 $00:22:52.324 \rightarrow 00:22:54.986$ the patients that are involved and

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739

 $00:22:54.986 \rightarrow 00:22:57.810$ for patients who are coming down the Pike

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739

00:22:57.885 --> 00:23:00.475 who might be listening to this show,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739

00:23:00.480 --> 00:23:01.760 who might think, jeez,

00:23:02.400 - 00:23:05.795 I really wish there was a study

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739

 $00:23:05.795 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.680$ like that near me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739

00:23:07.680 --> 00:23:09.556 Can you talk a little bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739

00:23:09.556 --> 00:23:11.085 how patients get involved

- NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739
- $00:23:11.085 \rightarrow 00:23:12.760$ in clinical trials in general?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697
- $00:23:13.720 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.856$ Yeah, that is definitely
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697
- 00:23:15.856 --> 00:23:17.280 a very important question,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697
- 00:23:17.280 --> 00:23:18.880 but I'm only a PhD
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697
- $00{:}23{:}18.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}21.352$ student and I'm more of a research
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697
- $00{:}23{:}21{.}352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}23{.}359$ assistant in this sort of study.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697
- 00:23:23.360 --> 00:23:24.620 So I think maybe Professor
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697
- $00:23:24.620 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.880$ Ferrucci might be the better
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697
- $00{:}23{:}25{.}935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}27{.}360$ person to answer this question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697
- $00:23:27.440 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.318$ Sure, I can take that on.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697
- $00:23:29.320 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.156$ So these are known as our
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697
- $00{:}23{:}31{.}156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}32{.}074$ randomized controlled trials,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697
- $00:23:32.080 \rightarrow 00:23:33.910$ which many people are familiar with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697
- $00:23:33.910 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.734$ more in the context of testing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697
- $00:23:35.734 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.360$ a new treatment or new drug.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

00:23:37.360 - 00:23:39.390 But we use this same design for NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697 $00{:}23{:}39{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}40.665$ testing these lifestyle interventions NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697 $00:23:40.665 \rightarrow 00:23:43.066$ where we're trying to enroll a group NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697 $00:23:43.066 \rightarrow 00:23:45.101$ of individuals who are willing to NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697 $00:23:45.101 \rightarrow 00:23:46.389$ essentially randomly be assigned NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697 $00:23:46.389 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.165$ to either the intervention or NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697 00:23:48.165 --> 00:23:50.040 educational program that we are NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697 $00:23:50.040 \rightarrow 00:23:52.400$ testing and then our comparison group. NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697 $00{:}23{:}52{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}53{.}680$ So in the walk study, NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697 $00:23:53.680 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.724$ it had been the exercise was the NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697 $00:23:55.724 \rightarrow 00:23:57.683$ education and the sort of lifestyle NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697 $00:23:57.683 \rightarrow 00:23:59.378$ component that we wanted to NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697 $00{:}23{:}59{.}378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}01{.}756$ evaluate and then we had that in NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697 $00:24:01.756 \rightarrow 00:24:03.391$ comparison to the attention control. NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697 $00:24:03.400 \rightarrow 00:24:05.325$ So this is an important thing that NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697 $00:24:05.325 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.345$ we have to describe to potential

- NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697
- $00:24:07.345 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.235$ participants is that they might

 $00:24:09.235 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.160$ get that control condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:24:11.160 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.942$ But this is a really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

00:24:12.942 --> 00:24:14.151 piece to understand, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:24:14.151 \rightarrow 00:24:16.328$ Is exercise going to improve the outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}24{:}16{.}328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}18{.}318$ that we're hoping that they improve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:24:18.320 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.294$ So our staff get really well

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:24:20.294 \rightarrow 00:24:22.536$ trained in trying to convey the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:24:22.536 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.240$ importance of the research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}24{:}24{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}25{.}720$ the importance that individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}24{:}25.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}27.200$ understand that random chance

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}24{:}27{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}28{.}840$ of being in either group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:24:28.840 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.038$ And then particularly with the walk study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}24{:}31{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}33{.}609$ they also had this benefit even in

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:24:33.609 \rightarrow 00:24:35.496$ the control condition of having

 $00:24:35.496 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.276$ contact with our study staff

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:24:37.280 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.648$ through weekly telephone calls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}24{:}38{.}648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}40{.}700$ So they were getting this extra

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

00:24:40.760 --> 00:24:43.186 layer of support in a way not tied

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}24{:}43.186 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}45.318$ specifically to exercise content.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}24{:}45{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}47{.}504$ So that also might have been helpful NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}24{:}47{.}504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}49{.}635$ for this particular study and bringing

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}24{:}49.635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}51.520$ people into the research component.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

00:24:51.520 --> 00:24:52.584 But as Anlan said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}24{:}52{.}584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}54{.}180$ this is a very complicated thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:24:54.234 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.795$ to sort of get off the ground.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}24{:}55{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}57{.}816$ We have a large research staff

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:24:57.816 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.160$ for this particular study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}24{:}59{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}01{.}482$ We had about 144 women who

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:25:01.482 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.680$ enrolled in the study itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:25:03.680 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.843$ but we had actually screened over 700

- NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697
- $00:25:05.843 \rightarrow 00:25:07.804$ individuals to find those people who

 $00{:}25{:}07{.}804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}09{.}754$ were not only willing to participate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}25{:}09{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}12{.}080$ but also eligible based on some of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:25:12.080 \rightarrow 00:25:14.119$ criteria we had had mentioned before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:25:14.120 \rightarrow 00:25:16.600$ like having completed their chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:25:16.600 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.320$ having that lower level of

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:25:18.320 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.040$ physical activity at the start.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}25{:}20.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}21.920$ So to just enroll for this one study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}25{:}21{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}24{.}716$ it actually took over four years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}25{:}24.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}26.690$ So I would say you know to keep in mind

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}25{:}26{.}744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}28{.}515$ that this work while you can generate

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}25{:}28.515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}30.640$ a paper what seems like fairly quickly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:25:30.640 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.800$ the actual background work that goes into it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}25{:}32{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}34{.}400$ that in the field piece

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:25:34.400 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.000$ can take quite a while.

00:25:36.000 --> 00:25:37.120 And as you can imagine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

00:25:37.120 --> 00:25:38.608 as we're enrolling participants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:25:38.608 \rightarrow 00:25:40.840$ we have to have our interventionist

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:25:40.904 \rightarrow 00:25:42.439$ ready to be counseling them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}25{:}42{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}44{.}816$ So this is not a process where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:25:44.816 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.480$ can enroll all 144 women at one time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

00:25:47.480 --> 00:25:48.188 So in part,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:25:48.188 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.604$ you have that pool of people

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}25{:}49{.}604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}51{.}249$ coming in and sort of a trickle

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}25{:}51{.}249 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}52{.}959$ or a rolling process so that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}25{:}52{.}959 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}54{.}465$ can provide the actual content to

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:25:54.465 \rightarrow 00:25:56.000$ them that you're trying to convey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}25{:}56{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}57{.}263$ in this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:25:57.263 \rightarrow 00:25:59.368$ the exercise counseling and these

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}25{:}59{.}368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}01{.}920$ weekly telephone calls that they received.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:26:01.920 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.509$ So I hope that answers a little

- NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697
- $00{:}26{:}03.509 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}04.600$ bit about the design.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9109729
- $00{:}26{:}04.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}07.856$ Yeah, for sure. I mean and Anlan did you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9109729
- $00:26:07.856 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.649$ find that you know if you tell patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9109729
- $00:26:10.649 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.561$ that we're looking at exercise
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9109729
- $00{:}26{:}13.561 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}16.068$ and its potential impact on improving
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9109729
- 00:26:16.068 --> 00:26:18.958 quality of life in in cancer survivors,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9109729
- $00:26:18.958 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.470$ would that in and of itself
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9109729
- $00{:}26{:}22.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}26.268$ even if you were randomized to the non
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9109729
- $00:26:26.268 \rightarrow 00:26:29.480$ intervention arm the attention control,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9109729
- $00:26:29.480 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.280$ where might those women be more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9109729
- $00{:}26{:}31{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}33{.}620$ likely to just try a little bit of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9109729
- $00{:}26{:}33.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}35.606$ exercise on their own and how
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9109729
- $00{:}26{:}35{.}606 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}37{.}748$ would that dilute the results and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9109729
- $00:26:37.748 \rightarrow 00:26:39.960$ how would you mitigate against that?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343
- 00:26:40.680 --> 00:26:44.680 Yeah, definitely. I mean,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:26:44.680 \rightarrow 00:26:46.112$ doing randomized controlled trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:26:46.112 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.846$ usually the best way to do this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00{:}26{:}48.846 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}50.666$ to blind the patients of what kind NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:26:50.666 \rightarrow 00:26:52.840$ of intervention that they received.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:26:52.840 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.478$ Like if it's a drug trial,

00:26:55.540 --> 00:26:56.600 methodologically speaking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00{:}26{:}56{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}59{.}250$ we probably will provide women

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00{:}26{:}59{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}01{.}185$ who were randomized to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

00:27:01.185 --> 00:27:02.960 control group with a placebo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:27:02.960 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.165$ So in that way they wouldn't know

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00{:}27{:}05{.}165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}07{.}266$ which kind of intervention that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:27:07.266 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.793$ they're getting and that way we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00{:}27{:}09{.}857 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}11{.}915$ help to get a more valid result.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:27:11.920 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.912$ But this is a lifestyle intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00{:}27{:}13{.}912 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}15{.}240$ and like you mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00{:}27{:}15{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}18{.}008$ there's no way that we can stop the

 $00:27:18.008 \rightarrow 00:27:20.440$ women from exercising themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

00:27:20.440 --> 00:27:22.696 And actually that's what we've seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00{:}27{:}22.696$ --> $00{:}27{:}25.548$ our study that women in the control NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00{:}27{:}25{.}548 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}28{.}092$ group actually started to elevate their

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00{:}27{:}28.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}30.395$ exercise level a little bit as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00{:}27{:}30{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}34{.}496$ But how we deal with it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00{:}27{:}34{.}496 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}36{.}702$ statistically in our analysis is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:27:36.702 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.964$ that we will consider that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

00:27:38.964 --> 00:27:41.655 sort of crossover as what we call

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

00:27:41.655 --> 00:27:43.590 in randomized control trial will

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00{:}27{:}43.662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}45.798$ bias our results toward and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00{:}27{:}45.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}49.061$ so because control women started

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

00:27:49.061 --> 00:27:51.023 to exercise that sort of made

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00{:}27{:}51.023 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}52.906$ them more similar to women who

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00{:}27{:}52{.}906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}54{.}396$ were in the exercise arm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00{:}27{:}54{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}58{.}240$ So that would make us

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343
- $00:27:58.240 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.052$ observe generally
- $00:28:01.224 \rightarrow 00:28:03.696$ reduced effect size compared

 $00:28:03.696 \rightarrow 00:28:06.720$ to what we would actually have if

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:28:06.800 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.680$ they did not start exercising at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:28:09.680 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.600$ So in that case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00{:}28{:}11.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}13.720$ if we observe a fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:28:13.720 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.716$ a very effective result in the end,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00{:}28{:}16.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}19.253$ then that would mean if every body

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00{:}28{:}19{.}253 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}21{.}118$ adheres to their randomized group, NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00{:}28{:}21{.}120$ --> $00{:}28{:}23{.}528$ we will actually have a even larger NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00{:}28{:}23{.}528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}25{.}758$ effect size than what we observed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:28:25.760 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.488$ So that's usually how we deal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00{:}28{:}27{.}488 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}29{.}440$ with this sort of crossover in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00{:}28{:}29{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}30{.}640$ randomized controlled trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4909421

00:28:31.080 --> 00:28:33.124 Anlan Cao is a graduate student

NOTE Confidence: 0.4909421

 $00:28:33.124 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.155$ and doctor Leah Ferrucci is an

- NOTE Confidence: 0.4909421
- 00:28:35.155 --> 00:28:36.607 assistant professor of epidemiology

 $00{:}28{:}36{.}607 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}39{.}159$ at the Yale School of Public Health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4909421

00:28:39.160 --> 00:28:41.080 If you have questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.4909421

00:28:41.080 --> 00:28:42.966 the address is canceranswers@yale.edu

NOTE Confidence: 0.4909421

 $00{:}28{:}42.966 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}45.642$ and past editions of the program

NOTE Confidence: 0.4909421

 $00{:}28{:}45.642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}47.979$ are available in audio and written

NOTE Confidence: 0.4909421

 $00{:}28{:}47.979 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}48.908$ form at yale cancercenter.org.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4909421

00:28:48.908 --> 00:28:51.372 We hope you'll join us next week to

NOTE Confidence: 0.4909421

 $00{:}28{:}51{.}372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}53{.}257$ learn more about the fight against

NOTE Confidence: 0.4909421

 $00{:}28{:}53{.}257 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}55{.}120$ cancer here on Connecticut Public Radio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4909421

 $00{:}28{:}55{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}57{.}622$ Funding for Yale Cancer Answers is

NOTE Confidence: 0.4909421

00:28:57.622 --> 00:29:00.000 provided by Smilow Cancer Hospital.