WEBVTT

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.172$ Funding for Yale Cancer Answers is

NOTE Confidence: 0.4638805

 $00:00:02.172 \longrightarrow 00:00:04.240$ provided by Smilow Cancer Hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4638805

00:00:06.440 --> 00:00:08.660 Welcome to Yale Cancer Answers

NOTE Confidence: 0.4638805

 $00:00:08.660 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.436$ with Doctor Anees Chappar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4638805

 $00:00:10.440 \longrightarrow 00:00:12.636$ Yale Cancer Answers features the latest

NOTE Confidence: 0.4638805

00:00:12.636 --> 00:00:14.876 information on cancer care by welcoming

NOTE Confidence: 0.4638805

 $00:00:14.876 \longrightarrow 00:00:17.396$ oncologists and specialists who are on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.4638805

 $00:00:17.396 \longrightarrow 00:00:19.478$ forefront of the battle to fight cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4638805

00:00:19.480 --> 00:00:21.755 This week, it's a conversation about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.4638805

 $00:00:21.755 \longrightarrow 00:00:23.958$ role of aerobic exercise in relieving

NOTE Confidence: 0.4638805

 $00:00:23.958 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.292$ pain for ovarian cancer survivors with

NOTE Confidence: 0.4638805

 $00{:}00{:}26.292 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}28.595$ Doctor Leah Ferrucci and Anlan Cao.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4638805

 $00{:}00{:}28.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}30.844$ Doctor Ferrucci is an assistant professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.4638805

 $00:00:30.844 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.703$ of epidemiology and chronic diseases

NOTE Confidence: 0.4638805

00:00:32.703 --> 00:00:34.838 at the Yale School of Public Health,

 $00:00:34.840 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.318$ where Miss Cao is a graduate student.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4638805

 $00{:}00{:}37.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}39.511$ Doctor Chagpar is a professor of surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.4638805

 $00:00:39.511 \dashrightarrow 00:00:41.797$ on cology at the Yale School of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3282959

 $00:00:42.920 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.120$ Maybe we can start

NOTE Confidence: 0.3282959

 $00:00:44.120 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.765$ off with each of you telling us

NOTE Confidence: 0.3282959

 $00:00:45.765 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.450$ a little bit more about yourself

NOTE Confidence: 0.3282959

 $00:00:47.450 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.840$ and what it is you do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3282959

 $00:00:48.840 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.436$ Leah, maybe we'll start with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

 $00{:}00{:}50.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}54.035$ Sure. So I am a nutritional epidemiologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

 $00:00:54.040 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.606$ and I actually trained here at

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

 $00{:}00{:}55.606 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}57.399$ the Yale School of Public Health

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

 $00:00:57.400 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.556$ for both my masters and my PhD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

00:00:59.560 --> 00:01:01.360 And as part of my PhD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

 $00:01:01.360 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.760$ I was able to also be a part of research

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

 $00:01:03.820 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.800$ at the National Cancer Institute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

 $00:01:05.800 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.851$ So I had that unique ability to

00:01:07.851 --> 00:01:09.146 work with strong investigators

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

 $00:01:09.146 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.320$ here at Yale as well as at the NCI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

 $00:01:12.320 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.469$ And so my work has really focused

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

00:01:14.469 --> 00:01:16.743 on thinking about diet as a risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

 $00:01:16.743 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.035$ factor for cancer incidence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

 $00:01:18.040 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.988$ risk of cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

00:01:18.988 --> 00:01:21.200 And then in the last few years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

 $00:01:21.200 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.711$ I have taken that to think about diet in

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

 $00:01:23.711 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.232$ the context of cancer survivorship and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

 $00:01:26.232 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.077$ we can think about not only diet itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

 $00:01:29.080 \longrightarrow 00:01:31.636$ but also energy balance as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

 $00:01:31.636 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.914$ as physical activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

 $00{:}01{:}32.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}34.790$ Another lifestyle factor in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

 $00:01:34.790 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.281$ context of thinking through how we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

 $00:01:37.281 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.992$ improve outcomes in cancer survivors,

 $00:01:38.992 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.648$ whether it be quality of life or

NOTE Confidence: 0.75485563

 $00:01:41.648 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.400$ perhaps even ultimately survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

00:01:44.840 --> 00:01:46.092 Fantastic, and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00:01:46.092 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.199$ about you, Anlan?

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00:01:48.200 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.007$ I'm a fourth year PhD candidate and I'm also

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

00:01:51.007 --> 00:01:52.682 Cancer Prevention and Control

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00:01:52.682 \longrightarrow 00:01:54.717$ fellow at Yale Cancer Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

00:01:54.720 --> 00:01:56.135 Professor Ferrucci is

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00{:}01{:}56.135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}57.992$ my mentor and I'm also mentored

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00:01:57.992 \longrightarrow 00:01:59.516$ by Professor Melinda Irwin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00:01:59.520 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.836$ Before coming to Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

00:02:00.836 --> 00:02:03.519 I was a preventive medicine major in college,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00:02:03.520 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.075$ and I received my Bachelor

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00:02:05.075 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.319$ of Medicine in China.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00:02:06.320 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.695$ My current research centers around

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00:02:08.695 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.595$ cancer and nutritional epidemiology,

 $00{:}02{:}10.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}13.264$ with a special focus on lifestyle

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00:02:13.264 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.040$ factors and ovarian cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00:02:15.040 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.100$ And I entered this program

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

00:02:17.100 --> 00:02:19.160 because I just loved epidemiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00:02:19.160 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.666$ And I'm not saying this because

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00:02:20.666 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.420$ my professor is here and she

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00:02:22.420 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.705$ teaches epidemiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

00:02:23.705 --> 00:02:27.800 But in my first interaction with API,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00:02:27.800 \longrightarrow 00:02:29.440$ the study designs and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00:02:29.440 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.080$ philosophy behind them amazed me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00:02:31.080 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.176$ And I was like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

00:02:32.176 --> 00:02:33.546 I definitely want to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00{:}02{:}33.546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}34.758$ additional training in this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00{:}02{:}34.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}37.147$ So I entered this program and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00:02:37.147 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.137$ specifically focus on cancer and

 $00:02:39.137 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.967$ lifestyle because we've all been

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00{:}02{:}40.967 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}42.203$ hearing about the compromised

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00:02:42.203 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.194$ quality of life of cancer survivors

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00:02:44.194 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.869$ and how researchers and physicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00:02:45.869 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.680$ should pay more attention to it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

00:02:47.680 --> 00:02:50.132 So I'm paying attention and lifestyle

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00:02:50.132 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.660$ factors to me are really powerful

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

00:02:52.660 --> 00:02:54.880 because they are highly modifiable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00{:}02{:}54.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}56.806$ And if we found that lifestyle

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00{:}02{:}56.806 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}58.778$ interventions like what we do here

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00{:}02{:}58.778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}00.674$ can actually improve quality of life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

00:03:00.680 --> 00:03:02.520 prevent recurrence and improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

00:03:02.520 --> 00:03:04.360 survival for cancer survivors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00:03:04.360 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.800$ then this lifestyle interventions

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00{:}03{:}05.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}07.960$ could be valuable additions to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.3137118

 $00{:}03{:}08.013 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}09.758$ current standard of oncology care.

 $00:03:09.760 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.800$ And I think that's where I'm working towards.

 $00:03:13.056 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.040$ I mean, if we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9395958

 $00:03:15.040 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.608$ really hone in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9395958

00:03:17.608 --> 00:03:18.930 on lifestyle modifications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9395958

 $00:03:18.930 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.045$ not only might that be a benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.9395958

 $00:03:22.045 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.502$ to cancer survivors or cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9395958

00:03:24.502 --> 00:03:26.357 patients or even preventing cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9395958

 $00:03:26.360 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.994$ but could have offshoots of reducing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9395958

 $00{:}03{:}28.994 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}31.859$ heart disease and stroke and all kinds

NOTE Confidence: 0.9395958

00:03:31.859 --> 00:03:35.256 of other ailments and it might be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9395958

 $00{:}03{:}35.256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}37.516$ really cost effective intervention too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9395958

 $00{:}03{:}37.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}39.680$ So let's dive into your research

NOTE Confidence: 0.9395958

 $00:03:39.680 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.120$ a little bit more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9395958

 $00{:}03{:}41.120 --> 00{:}03{:}42.443$ Leah, do you want to tell us

NOTE Confidence: 0.9395958

 $00:03:42.443 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.799$ a little bit more about your

NOTE Confidence: 0.9395958

 $00{:}03{:}43.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}45.264$ current studies and what

00:03:45.264 --> 00:03:46.960 you're doing and what you're finding?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

00:03:47.560 --> 00:03:49.306 Sure. So the most recent study

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:03:49.306 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.583$ that we are working on is

 $00:03:53.160 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.002$ we are looking at an exercise

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:03:56.002 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.337$ intervention among ovarian cancer survivors

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:03:58.337 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.957$ who had already completed chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:04:00.960 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.000$ And were sort of described as a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

00:04:03.000 --> 00:04:04.724 bit low in their physical activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}04{:}04{:}04{:}724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}07.127$ So they couldn't have been doing more than

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

00:04:07.127 --> 00:04:09.038 90 minutes of physical activity a week.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}04{:}09.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}10.816$ And what we were trying to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:04:10.816 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.100$ at here is if we were able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

00:04:13.100 --> 00:04:14.400 increase their physical activity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:04:14.400 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.048$ would we see an impact on a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

00:04:17.048 --> 00:04:19.135 common symptom that's related to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

00:04:19.135 --> 00:04:21.841 chemotherapy and this is known as

00:04:21.841 --> 00:04:23.254 chemotherapy induced peripheral

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:04:23.254 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.820$ neuropathy or CIPN. So here again we had

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

00:04:26.820 --> 00:04:28.600 this exercise intervention in place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:04:28.600 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.945$ It was six months long and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

00:04:30.945 --> 00:04:33.320 was home based aerobic exercise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

00:04:33.320 --> 00:04:34.800 We'll probably go into a little bit more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

00:04:34.800 --> 00:04:37.064 but mainly the women in our study were

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

00:04:37.064 --> 00:04:39.918 able to do this by doing just brisk walking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}04{:}39.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}41.945$ something that is accessible

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:04:41.945 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.160$ to many individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:04:43.160 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.155$ And so over the intervention time period,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:04:45.160 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.326$ we had one group receiving this

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}04{:}47.326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}49.344$ exercise program and another group

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}04{:}49.344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}51.364$ receiving just information about

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

00:04:51.364 --> 00:04:52.879 ovarian cancer survivorship.

 $00:04:52.880 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.872$ So that comparison group we call

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}04{:}54.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}56.200$ an attention control group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:04:56.200 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.258$ So we're giving them the same contact

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}04{:}58.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}00.045$ with our research staff and really

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:05:00.045 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.026$ trying to isolate is it the exercise

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:05:02.085 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.954$ or is it the attention that someone

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:05:03.954 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.496$ is giving that might have an impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:05:06.496 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.798$ on our outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}05{:}07.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}09.795$ It's also important to keep in mind

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

00:05:09.795 --> 00:05:11.228 for this particular analysis that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}05{:}11.228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}13.300$ we were looking at what's known as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:05:13.361 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.437$ secondary outcome of the original study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:05:15.440 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.744$ So this study is known as the walk

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}05{:}17.744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}19.911$ trial and the primary outcome for

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:05:19.911 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.191$ that had actually just been health

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}05{:}22.261 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}24.356$ related quality of life overall.

 $00:05:24.360 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.726$ And we did see benefits for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:05:26.726 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.724$ exercise intervention for that primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:05:28.724 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.572$ outcome specifically with physical

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:05:30.572 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.960$ related health related quality of life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}05{:}32.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}35.168$ But in this analysis we got to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

00:05:35.168 --> 00:05:38.224 relook at our data and see is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:05:38.224 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.691$ intervention specifically also impacting

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

00:05:39.691 --> 00:05:42.155 this key symptom that we know about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

00:05:42.160 --> 00:05:44.120 Our key side effect that we know

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

00:05:44.120 --> 00:05:45.760 about related to chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:05:45.760 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.000$ So when we evaluated this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:05:48.000 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.960$ this CIPN which was self reported by

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}05{:}49.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}52.327$ the women in our study before they

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:05:52.327 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.791$ started the exercise intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

00:05:53.791 --> 00:05:55.869 and then after the six months we

 $00:05:55.869 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.792$ saw that there was an improvement

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:05:57.792 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.756$ in this self reported chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:06:00.756 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.064$ induced peripheral neuropathy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}06{:}02.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}04.680$ And those who had completed our

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:06:04.739 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.749$ exercise intervention and those who

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}06{:}06.749 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}08.590$ had been in the attention control group

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

00:06:08.642 --> 00:06:10.340 actually saw a slight worsening of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:06:10.340 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.929$ their symptoms as they were reporting

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:06:11.929 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.949$ it to us at the end of the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:06:13.949 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.672$ So this was really indicating to us

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00{:}06{:}16.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}19.696$ that among those who have completed

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:06:19.696 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.077$ chemotherapy and potentially have

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:06:21.077 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.030$ these symptoms at the start of an

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:06:23.091 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.901$ exercise intervention that the exercise

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:06:24.901 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.089$ intervention can be helpful in reducing

NOTE Confidence: 0.83701223

 $00:06:27.089 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.997$ the symptoms that they are having.

 $00:06:31.080 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.888$ You know that's really interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

 $00:06:33.888 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.880$ because we can imagine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

 $00:06:35.880 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.157$ that exercise does a lot in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

00:06:38.157 --> 00:06:40.280 of improving quality of life, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

 $00:06:40.280 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.280$ It releases endorphins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

 $00:06:42.280 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.800$ it makes you feel better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

 $00:06:44.800 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.496$ But this idea of the fact that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

00:06:49.496 --> 00:06:51.704 walking, simple walking, could

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

 $00:06:51.704 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.360$ actually improve peripheral

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

 $00:06:53.441 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.357$ neuropathy might not be as intuitive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

 $00{:}06{:}56.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}58.784$ So Anlan, can you talk a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

 $00:06:58.784 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.314$ more about why it was that at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

 $00{:}07{:}01.314 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}03.381$ beginning of this whole study you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

 $00:07:03.381 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.643$ hypothesized that there might have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

 $00{:}07{:}05.643 \rightarrow 00{:}07{:}08.686$ a link and and maybe talk a little

 $00:07:08.686 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.880$ bit more about the intervention itself

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

 $00:07:11.880 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.520$ and how you measured peripheral neuropathy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

 $00:07:14.520 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.397$ It sounds like it was self reported,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

00:07:17.400 --> 00:07:18.756 but can you talk about why,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

 $00:07:18.760 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.699$ you would think at the outset

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

 $00:07:20.699 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.518$ that these two would be linked?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

 $00:07:22.520 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.800$ That's just not intuitive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965921

 $00:07:24.160 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.920$ Yeah, sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00{:}07{:}25.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}28.596$ So actually we hypothesize not specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00{:}07{:}28.596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}31.280$ walk but aerobic exercise overall.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:07:31.280 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.028$ And there were some potential mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:07:34.028 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.808$ that we hypothesize like exercise overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:07:36.808 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.874$ can just make the patients feel better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

00:07:39.880 --> 00:07:43.000 so subjectively if they are feeling more fit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:07:43.000 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.772$ in that case, they probably will perceive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

00:07:45.772 --> 00:07:48.717 less pain or better quality of life.

00:07:48.720 --> 00:07:51.120 And because as Professor Ferrucci mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00{:}07{:}51.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}52.740$ the primary outcome of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:07:52.740 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.360$ study was quality of life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:07:54.360 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.512$ So we hypothesize neuropathy as one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:07:57.512 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.492$ mediators on this pathway of how aerobic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

00:08:00.492 --> 00:08:03.040 exercise can improve quality of life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

00:08:03.040 --> 00:08:04.452 And biologically speaking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:08:04.452 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.896$ there are some molecules and some biomarkers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:08:07.896 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.993$ that can be increased by exercise and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:08:10.993 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.200$ that may have an effect on neuropathy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00{:}08{:}14.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}17.266$ But I think currently there is no

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:08:17.266 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.127$ solid biological evidence suggesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00{:}08{:}19.127 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}21.477$ any clear pathway this way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:08:21.480 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.456$ So I guess our study also calls for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00{:}08{:}24.456 \to 00{:}08{:}26.931$ more studies like basic science

 $00:08:26.931 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.320$ studies to help review the mechanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00{:}08{:}29.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}31.270$ of how exercise actually improved

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:08:31.270 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.815$ peripheral neuropathy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:08:32.815 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.138$ And the other way that we can develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:08:35.138 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.322$ other drugs or others forms of exercise

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:08:37.322 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.520$ that can achieve the same or even

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:08:40.520 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.640$ better effect of improving neuropathy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

00:08:43.640 --> 00:08:45.199 And about the specific exercise

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:08:45.199 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.437$ form that we were looking at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:08:47.440 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.448$ as we mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:08:48.448 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.800$ we focus on home based moderate and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

00:08:50.870 --> 00:08:53.198 moderate intensity aerobic exercise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:08:53.200 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.304$ but most of the women chose to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

00:08:56.304 --> 00:08:58.016 brisk walking and that's actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:08:58.016 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.564$ more than 95% of women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

00:08:59.564 --> 00:09:01.412 And it maybe makes sense because

 $00:09:01.412 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.680$ we call ourselves the walk study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:09:03.680 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.864$ So it may sort of leave some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:09:05.864 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.800$ impression on them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

00:09:06.800 --> 00:09:09.040 but some women also chose to do hiking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

00:09:09.040 --> 00:09:11.120 biking, swimming or yoga.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00{:}09{:}11.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}14.240$ And as professor Ferrucci mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:09:14.240 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.922$ we have an American College of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:09:16.922 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.710$ Sports Medicine certified Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:09:18.788 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.918$ Access Trainer that guides them

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:09:20.920 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.990$ through weekly phone calls to how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00{:}09{:}22.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}24.960$ to improve their physical activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:09:24.960 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.060$ And we also have this 26 chapter

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00{:}09{:}27.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}28.762$ book on exercise and ovarian

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00{:}09{:}28.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}30.612$ cancer survivorship that can serve

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066591

 $00:09:30.612 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.320$ as an additional resource.

 $00:09:32.600 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.576$ Leah, I mean, was there a

NOTE Confidence: 0.40757778

 $00:09:36.576 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.298$ particular dose that was significant?

NOTE Confidence: 0.40757778

 $00:09:39.298 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.370$ So you mentioned that these were women who

NOTE Confidence: 0.40757778

 $00:09:43.457 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.875$ in general had what you call low rates of

NOTE Confidence: 0.40757778

 $00:09:46.875 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.918$ of activity 90 minutes a week or less.

NOTE Confidence: 0.40757778

 $00:09:49.920 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.175$ Some might think that 90

NOTE Confidence: 0.40757778

00:09:51.175 --> 00:09:52.680 minutes is actually quite a bit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.40757778

 $00:09:52.680 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.480$ but we'll leave that aside.

NOTE Confidence: 0.40757778

 $00{:}09{:}55.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}57.080$ Was there a certain dose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.40757778

00:09:57.080 --> 00:09:59.880 like for example, if you did 91 minutes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.40757778

 $00:09:59.880 \longrightarrow 00:10:01.680$ it wasn't as effective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.40757778

00:10:01.680 --> 00:10:04.184 but if you did 150 minutes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.40757778

 $00:10:04.184 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.240$ it really was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.40757778

 $00:10:05.240 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.992$ Did you look at that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.40757778

00:10:06.992 --> 00:10:09.038 did you find that that was impactful?

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00:10:09.400 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.157$ So we didn't look specifically at dose,

00:10:11.160 --> 00:10:13.870 but I will say what our goal for women to

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

00:10:13.941 --> 00:10:16.552 achieve was that 150 minutes per week,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00:10:16.552 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.492$ which is the general recommendation

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00:10:18.492 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.730$ out there for all individuals in

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00:10:20.730 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.026$ the population and also has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00:10:23.026 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.806$ translated specifically to cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

00:10:24.806 --> 00:10:27.031 survivors as being an important

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00:10:27.040 \longrightarrow 00:10:28.942$ kind of threshold to be thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00{:}10{:}28.942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}30.640$ about for physical activity levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

00:10:30.640 --> 00:10:32.158 And these women were actually very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

00:10:32.160 --> 00:10:34.100 very successful in increasing

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00:10:34.100 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.555$ their aerobic activities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00{:}10{:}35.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}37.800$ So I believe it's on average they

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00:10:37.800 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.330$ had about 160 minutes per week of

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00:10:40.330 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.220$ this moderate to vigorous activity

 $00:10:42.295 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.317$ by the end of our intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00:10:44.320 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.760$ So this was actually very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00:10:45.760 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.840$ very exciting to see, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

00:10:47.840 --> 00:10:50.052 This is a group that potentially was

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00:10:50.052 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.140$ not doing activity as you had mentioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00:10:52.140 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.394$ at the start and yet we're able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

00:10:54.394 --> 00:10:56.116 attain that goal quite early on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00:10:56.120 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.318$ And actually we had very little variation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00:10:58.320 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.678$ So most women got up to that high level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00:11:00.680 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.738$ So looking at the dose response was

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

00:11:02.738 --> 00:11:04.725 actually not even really that possible

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00:11:04.725 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.819$ because everyone was just so successful

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00:11:06.819 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.116$ in attaining that goal in this study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

00:11:09.120 --> 00:11:09.614 So unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

00:11:09.614 --> 00:11:11.590 I can't say if just a small increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00:11:11.640 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.306$ would have also seen the same effect

 $00:11:13.306 \longrightarrow 00:11:14.927$ because we were seeing such strong

NOTE Confidence: 0.94499934

 $00{:}11{:}14.927 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}16.357$ increases overall in the women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97069925

00:11:16.760 --> 00:11:18.716 How exactly did women do that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.97069925

 $00:11:18.720 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.472$ I mean there might be

NOTE Confidence: 0.97069925

 $00{:}11{:}20.472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}22.701$ a lot of people who are sitting

NOTE Confidence: 0.97069925

00:11:22.701 --> 00:11:24.687 listening to the show and saying

NOTE Confidence: 0.97069925

 $00:11:24.687 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.896$ to themselves, that's fabulous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97069925

00:11:26.896 --> 00:11:29.636 I mean these cancer survivors

NOTE Confidence: 0.97069925

 $00{:}11{:}29.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}31.852$ magically got themselves up

NOTE Confidence: 0.97069925

 $00:11:31.852 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.592$ to over 160 minutes a week.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97069925

 $00:11:34.592 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.100$ Now was that due to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.97069925

 $00:11:37.100 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.200$ trainer that you had?

NOTE Confidence: 0.97069925

 $00{:}11{:}39.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}41.832$ I mean is that the advice that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.97069925

00:11:41.832 --> 00:11:44.565 would have for people is to get a

NOTE Confidence: 0.97069925

 $00:11:44.565 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.114$ trainer or what were some of

00:11:47.114 --> 00:11:49.238 the perhaps techniques or skills

NOTE Confidence: 0.97069925

 $00{:}11{:}49.238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}51.661$ or bits of knowledge that really

NOTE Confidence: 0.97069925

00:11:51.661 --> 00:11:54.223 helped these women to achieve

NOTE Confidence: 0.97069925

 $00:11:54.223 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.638$ that outcome that perhaps the rest

NOTE Confidence: 0.97069925

00:11:56.638 --> 00:11:58.870 of our listeners in our audience

NOTE Confidence: 0.97069925

 $00:11:58.870 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.040$ might be able to behoove themselves of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00{:}12{:}01.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}03.560$ Well, I'm not an interventionist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00:12:03.560 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.674$ so I cannot say much about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00{:}12{:}05.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}07.840$ But what I can say is that brisk

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00:12:07.840 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.088$ walking is likely accessible to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00{:}12{:}10.088 \mathrel{\text{--}}{>} 00{:}12{:}12.133$ vast majority of patients diagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00:12:12.133 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.205$ with ovarian cancer because it

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

00:12:14.205 --> 00:12:16.200 does not require any equipment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00{:}12{:}16.200 \longrightarrow 00{:}12{:}19.280$ And if the patients wanted to do this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00:12:19.280 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.820$ they could initiate this form

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

00:12:20.820 --> 00:12:22.360 of exercise on their own,

 $00:12:22.360 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.060$ well after obtaining a clearance

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00:12:24.060 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.760$ from their doctors too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00:12:25.760 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.028$ But if they need additional resources to

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

00:12:28.028 --> 00:12:30.671 help them to motivate them of actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00{:}12{:}30.671 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}32.676$ initiating this form of exercise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

00:12:32.680 --> 00:12:35.074 then many cancer centers have physical

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00:12:35.074 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.997$ therapists that could be a resource for them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00:12:38.000 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.178$ And some also have the certified

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

00:12:41.178 --> 00:12:43.544 cancer exercise trainers like the

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00:12:43.544 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.200$ interventionists in our study could also

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00:12:46.200 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.600$ help them to start aerobic exercise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

00:12:48.600 --> 00:12:50.616 And for some women if they prefer

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00{:}12{:}50.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}53.225$ to go to the facility instead of

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

00:12:53.225 --> 00:12:55.320 doing some home based exercise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

00:12:55.320 --> 00:12:59.141 theres the LIVESTRONG program at YMCA,

00:12:59.141 --> 00:13:01.248 which is a program specific to cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00{:}13{:}01.248 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}02.733$ survivors that incorporate both

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00:13:02.733 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.397$ aerobic and resistance training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00:13:04.400 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.311$ So those are all the resources that

NOTE Confidence: 0.72754407

 $00:13:06.311 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.480$ could be useful to the cancer survivors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6055559

 $00:13:08.760 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.594$ We're going to take

NOTE Confidence: 0.6055559

00:13:10.594 --> 00:13:12.560 a short break for a medical minute,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6055559

 $00:13:12.560 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.640$ but when we come back,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6055559

 $00:13:13.640 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.985$ we'll learn more about the role of

NOTE Confidence: 0.6055559

00:13:15.985 --> 00:13:18.277 aerobic exercise in relieving pain for

NOTE Confidence: 0.6055559

 $00:13:18.277 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.719$ ovarian cancer survivors with my guests,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6055559

 $00:13:20.720 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.400$ Leah Ferrucci and Anlan Cao.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5015049

 $00:13:24.400 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.400$ Funding for Yale Cancer Answers comes

NOTE Confidence: 0.5015049

 $00{:}13{:}26.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}28.000$ from Smilow Cancer Hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5015049

00:13:28.000 --> 00:13:30.670 where their Center for Breast Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.5015049

 $00{:}13{:}30.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}31.920$ provides coordinated state-of-the-art

00:13:31.920 --> 00:13:34.080 care for patients with benign and

NOTE Confidence: 0.5015049

 $00{:}13{:}34.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}35.760$ malignant diseases of the breast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5015049

 $00:13:35.760 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.640$ Learn more at smilowcancerhospital.org.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

00:13:40.680 --> 00:13:42.440 The American Cancer Society

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

 $00:13:42.440 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.575$ estimates that more than 65,000

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

00:13:44.575 --> 00:13:46.450 Americans will be diagnosed with

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

00:13:46.450 --> 00:13:48.639 head and neck cancer this year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

00:13:48.640 --> 00:13:51.895 making up about 4% of all cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

 $00{:}13{:}51.895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}53.548$ diagnosed when detected early.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

 $00:13:53.548 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.924$ However, head and neck cancers are

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

 $00:13:55.924 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.120$ easily treated and highly curable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

00:13:58.120 --> 00:14:00.124 Clinical trials are currently

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

00:14:00.124 --> 00:14:02.128 underway at federally designated

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

00:14:02.128 --> 00:14:03.680 comprehensive Cancer Centers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

 $00:14:03.680 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.592$ such as Yale Cancer Center and Smilow

 $00:14:06.592 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.976$ Cancer Hospital to test innovative new

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

 $00{:}14{:}08.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}11.358$ treatments for head and neck cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

 $00:14:11.360 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.335$ Yale Cancer Center was recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

 $00:14:13.335 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.310$ awarded grants from the National

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

00:14:15.377 --> 00:14:17.267 Institutes of Health to fund the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

00:14:17.267 --> 00:14:19.620 Yale Head and Neck Cancer Specialized

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

00:14:19.620 --> 00:14:21.680 Program of Research Excellence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

00:14:21.680 --> 00:14:22.496 or SPORE,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

00:14:22.496 --> 00:14:24.536 to address critical barriers to

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

 $00:14:24.536 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.486$ treatment of head and neck squamous cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

 $00{:}14{:}27.486 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}29.970$ carcinoma due to resistance to immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

 $00:14:30.049 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.399$ DNA damaging and targeted therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

 $00{:}14{:}32.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}34.800$ More information is available

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

 $00:14:34.800 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.028$ at yale cancercenter.org.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

00:14:36.028 --> 00:14:39.796 You're listening to Connecticut Public Radio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6614816

 $00:14:39.800 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.280$ Welcome

 $00:14:40.280 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.880$ back to Yale Cancer Answers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.31572294

 $00{:}14{:}41.880 \to 00{:}14{:}43.400$ This is Doctor Anees Chagpar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.31572294

00:14:43.400 --> 00:14:45.556 and I'm joined tonight by my guests,

NOTE Confidence: 0.31572294

 $00:14:45.560 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.320$ Doctor Leah Ferrucci and Anlan Cao.

NOTE Confidence: 0.31572294

 $00:14:48.320 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.774$ We're talking about the role of

NOTE Confidence: 0.31572294

 $00:14:50.774 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.935$ aerobic exercise in relieving pain

NOTE Confidence: 0.31572294

 $00:14:52.935 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.759$ for ovarian cancer survivors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.31572294

 $00{:}14{:}54.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}57.238$ And right before the break we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.31572294

00:14:57.238 --> 00:14:59.736 talking about a recent study that

NOTE Confidence: 0.31572294

00:14:59.736 --> 00:15:02.454 they've done looking at a walking

NOTE Confidence: 0.31572294

 $00:15:02.454 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.652$ intervention basically home based

NOTE Confidence: 0.31572294

 $00:15:04.652 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.872$ exercise primarily walking that

NOTE Confidence: 0.31572294

 $00{:}15{:}06.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}09.080$ actually reduced cancer induced

NOTE Confidence: 0.31572294

 $00{:}15{:}09.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}12.400$ peripheral neuropathy and Anlan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.31572294

00:15:12.400 --> 00:15:14.872 maybe you can tell us a little bit

00:15:14.872 --> 00:15:17.339 more about what exactly is this

NOTE Confidence: 0.31572294

 $00:15:17.339 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.554$ neuropathy that cancer survivors get.

NOTE Confidence: 0.31572294

 $00:15:19.560 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.200$ Yeah, sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:15:20.760 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.856$ So chemotherapy induced peripheral

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:15:22.856 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.476$ neuropathy or CIPN biologically speaking

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:15:25.476 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.285$ is the damage to neurons that leads

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:15:28.285 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.780$ to alter perception of touch, pain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:15:30.780 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.560$ sense of position and vibration or damage

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:15:34.560 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.120$ voluntary movement and coordination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:15:37.120 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.772$ So that being said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00{:}15{:}38.772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}41.250$ some typical symptoms of CIPN include

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:15:41.327 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.541$ like a tingling sensation in hands

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:15:43.541 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.451$ and feet or some patients may describe

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00{:}15{:}46.451 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}49.157$ that as pins and needles feeling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:15:49.160 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.360$ Some patients may also experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:15:51.360 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.913$ burning or warm feeling, numbness,

00:15:53.913 --> 00:15:57.878 weakness or discomfort or pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00{:}15{:}57.880 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}16{:}01.000$ And some may also have less ability to

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:16:01.000 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.200$ feel hot and cold and cramps in feet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

00:16:04.200 --> 00:16:05.878 And it's actually highly prevalent

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:16:05.878 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.273$ for cancer patients who receive

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

00:16:08.273 --> 00:16:10.480 chemotherapy to experience neuropathy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

00:16:10.480 --> 00:16:13.260 And it's actually dependent on the chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:16:13.260 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.050$ regimen because some drugs are more

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:16:16.135 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.837$ likely to cause CIP and than others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:16:18.840 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.165$ And so what we are testing is exercise

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

00:16:22.165 --> 00:16:25.163 and how exercise can improve CIPN and

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:16:25.163 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.921$ that's because so far we only have

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00{:}16{:}27.921 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}30.800$ limited options for how to manage CIPN.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:16:30.800 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.640$ We do have one drug,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

00:16:32.640 --> 00:16:33.006 Duluxetine,

 $00:16:33.006 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.568$ that is the only drug that had

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:16:35.568 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.294$ enough supportive evidence to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:16:37.294 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.160$ a part of the current guidelines

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

00:16:39.160 --> 00:16:41.118 for CIPN management from ASCO,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:16:41.120 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.235$ which is a large clinical oncology organization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

00:16:44.240 --> 00:16:46.060 But it's developed specifically for

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:16:46.060 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.375$ CIPN and the evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:16:48.375 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.919$ indicates it only has limited benefits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:16:50.920 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.880$ So it's not a solution to all and

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

00:16:53.880 --> 00:16:56.556 some patients may also use patches,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:16:56.560 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.472$ creams, or cooling gloves to help with

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

00:16:59.472 --> 00:17:02.277 numbness and tingling in hands and in feet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:17:02.280 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.247$ but there's not enough evidence so far

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00{:}17{:}04.247 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}06.480$ to support a recommendation on those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

00:17:06.480 --> 00:17:07.242 And clinically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:17:07.242 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.528$ there is a pretty common approach

00:17:09.528 --> 00:17:10.680 to reduce CIPN,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:17:10.680 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.249$ which is to reduce or delay the

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

00:17:13.249 --> 00:17:14.760 chemotherapy that causes CIPN,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00{:}17{:}14.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}17.280$ like paclitaxel in ovarian cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:17:17.280 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.940$ So this is pretty effective because you

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

00:17:19.940 --> 00:17:22.440 are targeting directly to the cause of CIPN,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:17:22.440 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.939$ but this will lead to the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00{:}17{:}24.939 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}26.568$ receiving less than the original

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

00:17:26.568 --> 00:17:29.466 chemo prescription or

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:17:29.466 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.478$ delayed original prescription which

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00{:}17{:}31.478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}33.438$ may negatively impact survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:17:33.440 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.351$ So this is like the last resort

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:17:35.351 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.612$ that we don't want to go into if

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

00:17:37.612 --> 00:17:38.716 we have other options.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:17:38.720 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.564$ And exercise was proven to be

00:17:41.564 --> 00:17:43.856 effective in improving quality of

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00{:}17{:}43.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}46.900$ life in our study and also in other

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:17:46.900 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.680$ aspects of cancer survivorship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:17:48.680 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.102$ So we were hoping that maybe exercise

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

00:17:51.102 --> 00:17:53.317 could also help us to manage CIP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

00:17:53.320 --> 00:17:56.280 And as Professor Ferrucci mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:17:56.280 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.164$ we used a self report question naire

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:18:00.164 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.464$ to measure CIPN that is called the

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00{:}18{:}03.464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}05.748$ FACT GOG Neurotoxicity Questionnaire

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

00:18:05.748 --> 00:18:09.205 and it has 11 questions basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:18:09.205 \longrightarrow 00:18:11.896$ asking about the symptoms of CIPN

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00{:}18{:}11.896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}14.200$ and how the patients feel about

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

00:18:14.283 --> 00:18:16.797 pain or overall weakness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:18:16.800 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.338$ And the overall range of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:18:19.338 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.559$ questionnaire is from zero to 44.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:18:21.560 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.669$ And what we found was that exercise

00:18:25.669 --> 00:18:29.358 significantly improved the score by 1.6 point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

00:18:29.360 --> 00:18:30.490 1.6 points,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367 00:18:30.490 --> 00:18:31.055 yes. NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:18:31.055 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.866$ And that could translate to a moderate

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:18:34.866 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.824$ improvement in one symptom or just

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00{:}18{:}37.824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}40.677$ slightly improvement in a couple of symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:18:40.680 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.920$ So what we think about that outcome is

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:18:42.920 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.989$ that it's pretty clinically effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00{:}18{:}44.989 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}47.399$ and it's also statistically effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00:18:47.400 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.782$ So that's why we concluded that

NOTE Confidence: 0.48949367

 $00{:}18{:}49.782 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}51.370$ aerobic exercise actually can

NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595

 $00{:}18{:}51.443 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}53.398$ help improve CIP and symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595

 $00:18:54.440 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.880$ And so, Leah, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595

 $00:18:55.880 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.894$ kind of expanding on that, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595

 $00:18:57.894 \longrightarrow 00:19:01.846$ So if we think that exercise could you

00:19:01.846 --> 00:19:04.408 know reduce peripheral neuropathy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595

 $00:19:04.408 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.828$ potentially allow patients to continue

NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595

00:19:07.828 --> 00:19:11.499 on a chemotherapy regimen that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595

00:19:11.499 --> 00:19:14.559 may have been intolerant to before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595

 $00:19:14.560 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.220$ And I know that this was this

NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595

00:19:17.220 --> 00:19:20.704 study was done in people who had

NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595

 $00{:}19{:}20.704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}22.706$ completed chemotherapy who may

NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595

 $00:19:22.706 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.358$ have had residual neuropathy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595

 $00{:}19{:}24.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}27.720$ But can you talk a little bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595

 $00:19:27.720 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.796$ other studies that you might have

NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595

 $00:19:29.796 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.141$ done that have looked at you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595

 $00:19:32.141 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.880$ whether exercise really allows

NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595

 $00:19:33.880 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.760$ patients to be more adherent to

NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595

 $00{:}19{:}36.760 \to 00{:}19{:}39.798$ their their chemother apeutic regimen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595

 $00:19:39.800 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.523$ whether in fact we do have any

NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595

 $00{:}19{:}42.523 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}44.964$ data suggesting that there is an

00:19:44.964 --> 00:19:47.644 improvement in survival or recurrence

NOTE Confidence: 0.93556595

 $00{:}19{:}47.644 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}50.156$ free survival with exercise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:19:50.800 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.298$ So I think this is sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:19:52.298 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.758$ a story of more to come.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:19:53.760 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.224$ I would say we have recently along

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

00:19:56.224 --> 00:19:58.345 with Doctor Melinda Irwin who had

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:19:58.345 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.669$ led the walk study originally and has

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00{:}20{:}00.742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}03.556$ recently completed a study in breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

00:20:03.556 --> 00:20:05.432 cancer patients during chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00{:}20{:}05.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}07.630$ along with doctor Tara Sant here

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:20:07.630 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.240$ at Yale where they were looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00{:}20{:}10.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}12.120$ exercise and diet intervention in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:20:12.192 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.448$ breast cancer survivors at the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:20:14.448 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.840$ that they were receiving chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

00:20:16.840 --> 00:20:17.155 Unfortunately,

 $00:20:17.155 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.360$ they did not see that it looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00{:}20{:}19.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}22.185$ like the exercise component or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:20:22.185 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.360$ diet component of the intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

00:20:24.360 --> 00:20:26.036 positively impacted the amount

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:20:26.036 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.712$ of chemotherapy that individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:20:27.712 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.240$ were able to receive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:20:29.240 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.482$ So that was a question that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:20:30.482 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.920$ we were trying to think about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00{:}20{:}31.920 \longrightarrow 00{:}20{:}33.760$ But surprisingly, in that study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:20:33.760 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.568$ we actually had very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:20:35.568 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.280$ very high adherence to chemotherapy overall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

00:20:38.280 --> 00:20:40.400 which is not what we would have expected

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:20:40.400 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.480$ kind of looking at historical data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:20:42.480 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.181$ So that's a little bit up in the air

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

00:20:44.181 --> 00:20:45.966 if perhaps we ended up enrolling

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00{:}20{:}45.966 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}47.198$ this really adherent group.

00:20:47.200 --> 00:20:49.272 They were all motivated to kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:20:49.272 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.439$ be involved in a research study

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

00:20:51.439 --> 00:20:53.464 and perhaps had higher chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:20:53.464 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.680$ adherence than what we would have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:20:55.680 \longrightarrow 00:20:57.360$ expected in a wider population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:20:57.360 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.080$ So that was for breast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

00:20:59.080 --> 00:21:01.438 But in tandem with that work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:21:01.440 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.498$ Doctor Irwin has recently begun to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00{:}21{:}03.498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}05.520$ study in ovarian cancer survivors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:21:05.520 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.418$ where again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:21:06.418 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.663$ she's testing a lifestyle intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00{:}21{:}08.663 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}11.114$ that combines both diet and exercise

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00{:}21{:}11.114 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}13.536$ during chemotherapy to see if we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:21:13.606 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.170$ impact that chemotherapy adherence

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:21:15.170 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.040$ or how well women are able to

 $00:21:18.040 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.120$ adhere to their prescription.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:21:20.120 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.570$ So that one has just actually started

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:21:22.570 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.300$ enrollment and this is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:21:24.300 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.449$ an exciting one as well to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:21:26.449 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.410$ about CIPN because this will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:21:28.410 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.390$ a group in which we can see is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:21:31.390 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.480$ exercise potentially also able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

00:21:33.480 --> 00:21:36.078 prevent that symptom from beginning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

00:21:36.080 --> 00:21:37.320 So in our current trial,

 $00:21:37.684 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.232$ we had women who had already completed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:21:40.232 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.960$ chemotherapy, already had CIPN at baseline,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00{:}21{:}42.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}45.074$ they were reporting these symptoms to us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

00:21:45.080 --> 00:21:46.360 But in this new study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:21:46.360 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.907$ we're hoping that we can take a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:21:47.907 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.077$ look and see again as a secondary outcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:21:50.080 \longrightarrow 00:21:52.320$ but an important one to think through

 $00:21:52.320 \longrightarrow 00:21:52.960$ for hypothesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

00:21:52.960 --> 00:21:54.718 can we actually prevent CIPN

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

 $00:21:54.718 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.881$ if they are having exercise

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393902

00:21:56.881 --> 00:21:59.196 during their active treatment phase?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739

 $00:22:00.560 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.270$ I mean, so important

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739

 $00:22:02.270 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.400$ when we think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739

 $00:22:04.400 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.300$ the massive amount of money

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739

 $00:22:06.300 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.640$ that we spend on these drugs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739

00:22:08.640 --> 00:22:11.760 the toxicity of the drugs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739

 $00:22:11.760 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.575$ to think that something like exercise or

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739

 $00{:}22{:}15.575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}19.794$ diet might actually be able to improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739

 $00:22:19.794 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.896$ outcomes independently is just so enticing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739

00:22:22.896 --> 00:22:25.680 But it is difficult I

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739

 $00:22:25.680 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.592$ think for people to embrace lifestyle

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739

00:22:29.592 --> 00:22:32.280 interventions, nonetheless,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739

 $00:22:32.280 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.674$ I think these studies are really laudable.

00:22:34.680 --> 00:22:37.008 So, Anlan, maybe you can talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739

 $00:22:37.008 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.130$ a little bit about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739

00:22:39.130 --> 00:22:41.800 what does it take to do studies like this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739

 $00:22:41.800 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.320$ I mean, it sounds like this

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739

 $00:22:44.320 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.000$ is quite the endeavor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739

 $00:22:46.000 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.144$ Can you talk a little bit more about

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739

00:22:48.144 --> 00:22:50.754 kind of how you set up a study like this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739

 $00:22:50.760 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.324$ the team that's involved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739

 $00:22:52.324 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.986$ the patients that are involved and

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739

 $00:22:54.986 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.810$ for patients who are coming down the Pike

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739

 $00:22:57.885 \longrightarrow 00:23:00.475$ who might be listening to this show,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739

 $00:23:00.480 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.760$ who might think, jeez,

 $00:23:02.400 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.795$ I really wish there was a study

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739

 $00:23:05.795 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.680$ like that near me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739

 $00{:}23{:}07.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}09.556$ Can you talk a little bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046739

00:23:09.556 --> 00:23:11.085 how patients get involved

 $00:23:11.085 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.760$ in clinical trials in general?

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:23:13.720 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.856$ Yeah, that is definitely

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:23:15.856 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.280$ a very important question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:23:17.280 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.880$ but I'm only a PhD

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

00:23:18.880 --> 00:23:21.352 student and I'm more of a research

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:23:21.352 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.359$ assistant in this sort of study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:23:23.360 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.620$ So I think maybe Professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}23{:}24.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}25.880$ Ferrucci might be the better

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

00:23:25.935 --> 00:23:27.360 person to answer this question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:23:27.440 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.318$ Sure, I can take that on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:23:29.320 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.156$ So these are known as our

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:23:31.156 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.074$ randomized controlled trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}23{:}32.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}33.910$ which many people are familiar with

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:23:33.910 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.734$ more in the context of testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:23:35.734 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.360$ a new treatment or new drug.

 $00:23:37.360 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.390$ But we use this same design for

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}23{:}39.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}40.665$ testing these lifestyle interventions

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:23:40.665 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.066$ where we're trying to enroll a group

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:23:43.066 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.101$ of individuals who are willing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:23:45.101 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.389$ essentially randomly be assigned

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:23:46.389 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.165$ to either the intervention or

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:23:48.165 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.040$ educational program that we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:23:50.040 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.400$ testing and then our comparison group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

00:23:52.400 --> 00:23:53.680 So in the walk study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:23:53.680 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.724$ it had been the exercise was the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}23{:}55.724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}57.683$ education and the sort of lifestyle

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:23:57.683 \longrightarrow 00:23:59.378$ component that we wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}23{:}59.378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}01.756$ evaluate and then we had that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:24:01.756 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.391$ comparison to the attention control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:24:03.400 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.325$ So this is an important thing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:24:05.325 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.345$ we have to describe to potential

 $00:24:07.345 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.235$ participants is that they might

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:24:09.235 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.160$ get that control condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:24:11.160 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.942$ But this is a really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:24:12.942 \longrightarrow 00:24:14.151$ piece to understand, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}24{:}14.151 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}16.328$ Is exercise going to improve the outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:24:16.328 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.318$ that we're hoping that they improve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:24:18.320 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.294$ So our staff get really well

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:24:20.294 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.536$ trained in trying to convey the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

00:24:22.536 --> 00:24:24.240 importance of the research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}24{:}24.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}25.720$ the importance that individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:24:25.720 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.200$ understand that random chance

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:24:27.200 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.840$ of being in either group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}24{:}28.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}31.038$ And then particularly with the walk study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:24:31.040 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.609$ they also had this benefit even in

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

00:24:33.609 --> 00:24:35.496 the control condition of having

00:24:35.496 --> 00:24:37.276 contact with our study staff

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:24:37.280 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.648$ through weekly telephone calls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:24:38.648 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.700$ So they were getting this extra

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:24:40.760 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.186$ layer of support in a way not tied

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:24:43.186 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.318$ specifically to exercise content.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:24:45.320 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.504$ So that also might have been helpful

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:24:47.504 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.635$ for this particular study and bringing

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

00:24:49.635 --> 00:24:51.520 people into the research component.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

00:24:51.520 --> 00:24:52.584 But as Anlan said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:24:52.584 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.180$ this is a very complicated thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:24:54.234 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.795$ to sort of get off the ground.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}24{:}55.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}57.816$ We have a large research staff

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:24:57.816 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.160$ for this particular study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:24:59.160 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.482$ We had about 144 women who

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:25:01.482 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.680$ enrolled in the study itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:25:03.680 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.843$ but we had actually screened over 700

 $00:25:05.843 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.804$ individuals to find those people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}25{:}07.804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}09.754$ were not only willing to participate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:25:09.760 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.080$ but also eligible based on some of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

00:25:12.080 --> 00:25:14.119 criteria we had had mentioned before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:25:14.120 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.600$ like having completed their chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:25:16.600 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.320$ having that lower level of

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:25:18.320 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.040$ physical activity at the start.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}25{:}20.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}21.920$ So to just enroll for this one study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:25:21.920 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.716$ it actually took over four years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:25:24.720 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.690$ So I would say you know to keep in mind

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}25{:}26.744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}28.515$ that this work while you can generate

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:25:28.515 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.640$ a paper what seems like fairly quickly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}25{:}30.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}32.800$ the actual background work that goes into it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:25:32.800 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.400$ that in the field piece

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:25:34.400 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.000$ can take quite a while.

 $00:25:36.000 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.120$ And as you can imagine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

00:25:37.120 --> 00:25:38.608 as we're enrolling participants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:25:38.608 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.840$ we have to have our interventionist

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:25:40.904 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.439$ ready to be counseling them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:25:42.440 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.816$ So this is not a process where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:25:44.816 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.480$ can enroll all 144 women at one time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

00:25:47.480 --> 00:25:48.188 So in part,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

00:25:48.188 --> 00:25:49.604 you have that pool of people

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}25{:}49.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}51.249$ coming in and sort of a trickle

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:25:51.249 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.959$ or a rolling process so that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00{:}25{:}52.959 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}54.465$ can provide the actual content to

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

00:25:54.465 --> 00:25:56.000 them that you're trying to convey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:25:56.000 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.263$ in this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

00:25:57.263 --> 00:25:59.368 the exercise counseling and these

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:25:59.368 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.920$ weekly telephone calls that they received.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77203697

 $00:26:01.920 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.509$ So I hope that answers a little

00:26:03.509 --> 00:26:04.600 bit about the design.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9109729

 $00{:}26{:}04.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}07.856$ Yeah, for sure. I mean and Anlan did you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9109729

00:26:07.856 --> 00:26:10.649 find that you know if you tell patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9109729

 $00:26:10.649 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.561$ that we're looking at exercise

NOTE Confidence: 0.9109729

 $00:26:13.561 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.068$ and its potential impact on improving

NOTE Confidence: 0.9109729

00:26:16.068 --> 00:26:18.958 quality of life in in cancer survivors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9109729

00:26:18.958 --> 00:26:22.470 would that in and of itself

NOTE Confidence: 0.9109729

 $00{:}26{:}22.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}26.268$ even if you were randomized to the non

NOTE Confidence: 0.9109729

00:26:26.268 --> 00:26:29.480 intervention arm the attention control,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9109729

 $00:26:29.480 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.280$ where might those women be more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9109729

 $00:26:31.280 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.620$ likely to just try a little bit of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9109729

 $00:26:33.620 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.606$ exercise on their own and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.9109729

 $00{:}26{:}35.606 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}37.748$ would that dilute the results and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9109729

 $00:26:37.748 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.960$ how would you mitigate against that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

00:26:40.680 --> 00:26:44.680 Yeah, definitely. I mean,

00:26:44.680 --> 00:26:46.112 doing randomized controlled trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:26:46.112 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.846$ usually the best way to do this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:26:48.846 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.666$ to blind the patients of what kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:26:50.666 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.840$ of intervention that they received.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

00:26:52.840 --> 00:26:54.478 Like if it's a drug trial,

 $00:26:55.540 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.600$ methodologically speaking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:26:56.600 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.250$ we probably will provide women

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:26:59.250 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.185$ who were randomized to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

00:27:01.185 --> 00:27:02.960 control group with a placebo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:27:02.960 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.165$ So in that way they wouldn't know

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00{:}27{:}05.165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}07.266$ which kind of intervention that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

00:27:07.266 --> 00:27:09.793 they're getting and that way we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:27:09.857 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.915$ help to get a more valid result.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00{:}27{:}11.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}13.912$ But this is a lifestyle intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:27:13.912 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.240$ and like you mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:27:15.240 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.008$ there's no way that we can stop the

 $00:27:18.008 \longrightarrow 00:27:20.440$ women from exercising themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00{:}27{:}20.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}22.696$ And actually that's what we've seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

00:27:22.696 --> 00:27:25.548 our study that women in the control

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:27:25.548 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.092$ group actually started to elevate their

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:27:28.092 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.395$ exercise level a little bit as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:27:30.400 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.496$ But how we deal with it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:27:34.496 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.702$ statistically in our analysis is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:27:36.702 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.964$ that we will consider that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:27:38.964 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.655$ sort of crossover as what we call

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00{:}27{:}41.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}43.590$ in randomized control trial will

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00{:}27{:}43.662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}45.798$ bias our results toward and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:27:45.800 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.061$ so because control women started

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

00:27:49.061 --> 00:27:51.023 to exercise that sort of made

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

00:27:51.023 --> 00:27:52.906 them more similar to women who

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:27:52.906 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.396$ were in the exercise arm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:27:54.400 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.240$ So that would make us

 $00:27:58.240 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.052$ observe generally

 $00:28:01.224 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.696$ reduced effect size compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:28:03.696 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.720$ to what we would actually have if

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:28:06.800 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.680$ they did not start exercising at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:28:09.680 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.600$ So in that case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:28:11.600 \longrightarrow 00:28:13.720$ if we observe a fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:28:13.720 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.716$ a very effective result in the end,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:28:16.720 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.253$ then that would mean if everybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00{:}28{:}19.253 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}21.118$ adheres to their randomized group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:28:21.120 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.528$ we will actually have a even larger

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00{:}28{:}23.528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}25.758$ effect size than what we observed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:28:25.760 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.488$ So that's usually how we deal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:28:27.488 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.440$ with this sort of crossover in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743343

 $00:28:29.440 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.640$ randomized controlled trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4909421

00:28:31.080 --> 00:28:33.124 Anlan Cao is a graduate student

NOTE Confidence: 0.4909421

 $00{:}28{:}33.124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}35.155$ and doctor Leah Ferrucci is an

 $00:28:35.155 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.607$ assistant professor of epidemiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.4909421

 $00{:}28{:}36.607 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}39.159$ at the Yale School of Public Health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4909421

00:28:39.160 --> 00:28:41.080 If you have questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.4909421

 $00:28:41.080 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.966$ the address is canceranswers@yale.edu

NOTE Confidence: 0.4909421

 $00:28:42.966 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.642$ and past editions of the program

NOTE Confidence: 0.4909421

 $00:28:45.642 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.979$ are available in audio and written

NOTE Confidence: 0.4909421

 $00:28:47.979 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.908$ form at yalecancercenter.org.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4909421

 $00{:}28{:}48.908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}51.372$ We hope you'll join us next week to

NOTE Confidence: 0.4909421

 $00:28:51.372 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.257$ learn more about the fight against

NOTE Confidence: 0.4909421

 $00{:}28{:}53.257 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}55.120$ cancer here on Connecticut Public Radio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4909421

 $00:28:55.120 \longrightarrow 00:28:57.622$ Funding for Yale Cancer Answers is

NOTE Confidence: 0.4909421

 $00:28:57.622 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.000$ provided by Smilow Cancer Hospital.